

*Commission of Inquiry*  
*Into the Wrongful*  
*Conviction of David Milgaard*  
*before*  
**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE**  
**EDWARD P. MacCALLUM**

\*\*\*\*\*

Transcript of Proceedings

and

Testimony before the Commission  
sitting at the  
Ramada Hotel at  
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

\*\*\*\*\*

On Thursday, April 20th, 2006

Volume 135

Inquiry Proceedings



**Commission Staff:**

<i>Mr. Douglas C. Hodson,</i>	Commission Counsel
<i>Candace D. Congram,</i>	Executive Director
<i>Ms. Kara Isabelle,</i>	Document Assistant
<i>Ms. Jodie Kendry,</i>	Document Assistant

**Support Staff:**

<i>Ms. Irene Beitel,</i>	Clerk to the Commission
<i>Ms. Karen Hinz, CSR, and</i>	Official Q.B. Court Reporters
<i>Mr. Don Meyer, RPR, CSR,</i>	
<i>Mr. Hugh Esson,</i>	Security Officer
<i>Mr. Jason White and</i>	Inland Audio Technician
<i>Mr. Larry Prehodchenko,</i>	





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

DESCRIPTION:

PAGE:

DAVID ALLAN ASPER, CONTINUED

- BY MR. HODSON

27207



Transcript of Proceedings

(Reconvened at 9:04 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good morning.

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.

DAVID ALLAN ASPER, continued:

BY MR. HODSON:

Q Mr. Asper, we left off on the issue of the police files. If we could call up 004592, and I think we went through this, and in particular this quote here where you suggest in the article that the files did exist on August 16th, 1991, and I think you told us yesterday that you've tried to remember where you got that from, you can't remember, and that someone would have told you that, and likely Tom Vanin. Is that a fair summary of your evidence?

A Yes.

Q And I just want to draw to your attention what Mr. Vanin has said at this inquiry. If we could go to his evidence at page 22211 and I'll just go through what he had to say and then ask for your response. I asked him the question:

"Q And so when you found that they were missing, were you able to say whether they had been there, maybe had been



1 destroyed, for example, 10 years ago or  
2 20 years or two years ago, were you able  
3 to make any determination as to when the  
4 files would have gone missing?

5 A I had a conversation with Dave Roberts  
6 and we were talking about the files  
7 missing and according to Roberts he  
8 interviewed Gus Weir and Gus Weir told  
9 Roberts that he was looking for those  
10 files more than two years ago and even  
11 at that time they were missing and Mr.  
12 Roberts relayed this information back  
13 to me."

14 And then:

15 "Q Okay. So put it this way, Mr. Vanin,  
16 there was nothing -- is it fair to say  
17 there was nothing that you saw or heard  
18 in 1991 when you were looking for the  
19 Larry Fisher files that suggested they  
20 had just vanished or just gone missing?

21 A No. It appeared to me that they had  
22 been missing for a considerable length  
23 of time.

24 Q Okay. And would you have conveyed that  
25 information to David Asper?



1 A Certainly.

2 Q Would you have conveyed that information  
3 to David Roberts?

4 A I probably did."

5 And then the next page, I then -- actually,  
6 sorry, go to page 22295, and then I specifically  
7 put to Mr. Vanin the same article, Mr. Asper,  
8 that I read to you, and the quote attributed to  
9 you that:

10 "Sometime between Aug. 16 and this past  
11 Tuesday the files went missing."

12 And I asked Mr. Vanin:

13 "Q Did you give any information to Mr.  
14 Asper to suggest that between August  
15 16th and August 27th, 1991 the Larry  
16 Fisher files had existed and had  
17 disappeared during that time frame?

18 A No, sir, and I don't agree with that  
19 statement.

20 Q What don't you agree about it?

21 A Well, that what I heard from Roberts,  
22 that I believe it was from Gus Weir,  
23 that Weir had searched for these files  
24 years before that, or at least a  
25 couple of years before, and the files



1                   were already missing."

2                   And so I think from that, Mr. Asper, that Tom  
3                   Vanin is at least saying, (a), I didn't think  
4                   they had just gone missing, I knew they had been  
5                   missing for a while, and two, I certainly never  
6                   told Mr. Asper that. Is it your contention, sir,  
7                   that he's mistaken or wrong on that?

8           A        No, my contention is I don't recall. I believe it  
9                   was Mr. Vanin who would have provided me with that  
10                  information, but I don't recall, I just don't  
11                  recall how that came to be.

12          Q        Okay.

13          A        I don't recall even that that's exactly what I  
14                  said to the journalist.

15          Q        Okay.

16          A        I accept that that's what I said, but I don't  
17                  recall the circumstances.

18          Q        And just to go back to 004592, and this is to  
19                  Terry Craig of the *StarPhoenix*, just so that I  
20                  understand your position, I think you are saying  
21                  you acknowledge that you would have said this, you  
22                  just don't have a recollection; is that fair?

23          A        That's right.

24          Q        If we can then go on to 333582, and this is your  
25                  August 30th letter, 1991 directly to the minister,



1 and you attach a copy of the August 29th edition  
2 of *The Globe and Mail*, it:

3 "... contains an article regarding  
4 missing files which is most disturbing.  
5 I enclose a copy for handy reference,  
6 and emphasize the need for a thorough  
7 reopening of the case."

8 Is it fair to say that the missing file  
9 allegation, if I can call it that, or the missing  
10 file issue, was a matter that you, being David  
11 Asper and/or the Milgaard group, or both, put  
12 forward to the Minister of Justice as a ground  
13 supporting your contention that there had been a  
14 miscarriage of justice and in support of your  
15 request to have the investigation re-opened?

16 A No, that's not correct at all. If in fact the  
17 files were missing, and we believed that they may  
18 be, we were suggesting that it was time for the  
19 Department of Justice to move on the matter and  
20 perhaps take an interest and get involved, find  
21 out.

22 Q Okay.

23 A I don't think we were suggesting it was a ground  
24 that there was a miscarriage of justice.

25 Q Okay. And maybe -- I'm sorry, I don't understand.



1 Was it a piece of information that was relevant to  
2 the minister's decision as to whether or not she  
3 should re-open the case?

4 A I suspect what we were thinking there was that we  
5 would hope that the Minister of Justice would  
6 provide some assistance in attempting to find the  
7 files, sequester whatever existed and become  
8 engaged. I think that's what we were trying to do  
9 here.

10 Q But is it fair to say, and I'm sorry if I'm not  
11 understanding correctly, that two weeks earlier  
12 you had filed an application under Section 690  
13 saying we would like a remedy?

14 A Right.

15 Q And the basis of the remedy was similar fact  
16 evidence that Larry Fisher committed the crime.  
17 You now are sending to the minister here is  
18 information which suggests that the actual rape  
19 files for Larry Fisher, so it relates to what you  
20 have put forward, have gone missing or appear to  
21 have gone missing and you ask, emphasize the need  
22 for a thorough re-opening of the case, and I'm  
23 just -- are you saying that this letter and the  
24 missing file information was not part of the  
25 information you put forward to the minister?



1           A           I don't recall it being that, being used for that  
2                       purpose at all. My recollection was we were using  
3                       it as an alarm bell to the Department of Justice  
4                       to get involved, get to Saskatoon and sequester  
5                       and get everything that related to this case into  
6                       their custody.

7           Q           Okay. On August 14th and August 16th  
8                       Mr. McCloskey and others publicly stated that  
9                       David Milgaard was framed and there was a  
10                      cover-up. Would it be fair to say that the  
11                      missing files, that if the Larry Fisher files went  
12                      missing right after you filed your application,  
13                      that that would be information relevant to the  
14                      question of whether or not there was a frame and a  
15                      cover-up, it related to that?

16          A           Sure, it could be.

17          Q           And it was relevant -- if someone was going to  
18                      consider has there been a frame and has there been  
19                      a cover-up, the fact that the Fisher rape files  
20                      may have gone missing would be relevant to that  
21                      issue?

22          A           Yes, but that was not an issue that was raised in  
23                      our 690 application.

24          Q           The frame and cover-up?

25          A           Right.



1 Q And that was raised publicly?

2 A Yes.

3 Q But not directly to the minister?

4 A No.

5 Q And why was that?

6 A I can't say. I assume we felt we had sufficient  
7 grounds by identifying the true killer.

8 Q Okay. And just on that, and we touched on this  
9 yesterday, the allegation of frame and cover-up  
10 which I think we went through what that entailed,  
11 would it be fair to say that that would call into  
12 question the conduct of certainly Saskatoon City  
13 Police or some of its officers would have to be  
14 involved; is that fair?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And as well the Crown, agents of the Crown, the  
17 prosecutor and Mr. Kujawa would have to be  
18 involved in that allegation; is that fair?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And I think the allegation was that once they  
21 discovered Fisher, the police and the Crown or the  
22 government or the state knew that he had committed  
23 the Gail Miller murder, or likely had, and took  
24 steps to cover it up and so that would involve  
25 both the police and Crown officials being



1           involved; is that fair?

2           A           Yes.

3           Q           And I think you touched on this yesterday,  
4                       certainly if that allegation were proven, would  
5                       you agree that that would be a miscarriage of  
6                       justice? I think that goes without saying.

7           A           Yes.

8           Q           And that that in and of itself would be a basis,  
9                       would you believe that that would be a basis to  
10                      re-open or to get a remedy under Section 690?

11          A           I'm not sure about that. I'm not sure about that.

12          Q           You would hope --

13          A           I think the fact that Fisher was arrested and the  
14                      fact that if you assume hypothetically that he was  
15                      arrested and that the fact of his arrest was kept  
16                      quiet and held back from the Milgaard counsel,  
17                      Milgaard counsel and family, in and of itself is  
18                      kind of meaningless unless you know that Fisher is  
19                      the perpetrator. We had submitted in our  
20                      application the assertion that Fisher was the  
21                      perpetrator, that was the more important  
22                      information, frankly, for the 690 application.

23          Q           Right. So perhaps, if in addition to that,  
24                      though, but the fact that the state was involved  
25                      in covering up evidence and taking deliberate



1 action --

2 A I think that speaks frankly -- my view would be  
3 that speaks to the question of damages more than  
4 it does to whether -- sure, it speaks to the  
5 miscarriage of justice, but the miscarriage of  
6 justice occurs because the wrong man was  
7 prosecuted because you've identified the right  
8 man, the man who was actually the killer.

9 Q And would it not go further that it would also be  
10 a miscarriage of justice because those in charge  
11 of Justice didn't take steps to undo the wrong?

12 A Oh, absolutely, yes.

13 Q If we can go to 039314, and I won't take you  
14 through all the articles that followed up on this  
15 missing file issue, but you would be aware, Mr.  
16 Asper, that the issue of the missing files in  
17 Saskatoon attracted a lot of attention in the  
18 media; would you agree with that? I think we have  
19 in our record lots of newspaper articles on that.

20 A Yes.

21 Q And I think yesterday I think you used the word it  
22 was sensational; is that right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Something that grabbed attention?

25 A Yes.



1 Q And here's a comment where you say:

2 "Asper said he has sources which lead  
3 him to believe the published reports are  
4 accurate.

5 "I had heard rumours about it  
6 for days now."

7 Do you know what that would be referring to, what  
8 the rumours were?

9 A No.

10 Q And then you go on about the search for that, you  
11 say:

12 "I find it very disconcerting the  
13 Saskatoon police are investigating  
14 themselves."

15 And I think this relates to the fact that the  
16 police are actually looking into whether the  
17 files are missing, so that would have been a  
18 concern at the time as well, not only that they  
19 were missing, but the police are actually looking  
20 or investigating themselves?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Now, later when the Saskatchewan Police Commission  
23 was put in place, or it was asked to do an  
24 investigation, did you have the same concerns  
25 then, that the Police Commission would be looking



1 into it rather than the city police?

2 A I don't recall what my view was.

3 Q Now, there's another issue here:

4 "The *Globe and Mail* also reported  
5 Saskatoon police are searching for a  
6 fifth file of an unsolved sexual assault  
7 the day after Miller was killed."

8 And I believe this is referring to the (V4)---  
9 assault, and I think what the record shows is  
10 that there was not a separate file opened for the  
11 (V4)---- (V4)--- complaint, it was part of the  
12 Gail Miller file, and I believe the evidence is  
13 that it was always on the Gail Miller file, but  
14 that when people went to look for the (V4)---  
15 file they couldn't find it because there wasn't  
16 one, and then I think within a few days or around  
17 this time they discovered where it was. Does  
18 that sound right, do you recall any of that?

19 A As I say, I'm afraid I don't have any specific  
20 recollection of this whole episode.

21 Q And 333594, this is a September 4th, 1991 memo  
22 from Eugene Williams to Bruce MacFarlane, and I  
23 suspect, Mr. Asper, you may not have seen this  
24 document before, but there's just a comment in  
25 here, Mr. Williams is relating his recollection of



1           how he dealt with the files and what he  
2           communicated to Mr. Wolch, and I just want to ask  
3           you whether that accords with your recollection,  
4           and what he says, just by background, this is back  
5           after the first application, he says:

6                   "I learned that the 1968 sexual assault  
7                   files were not available. A number of  
8                   older files had been destroyed, as part  
9                   of the normal file destruction. The  
10                  1968 assaults to which Fisher had  
11                  pleaded guilty had not been microfilmed  
12                  before they had been destroyed."

13          And then scroll down, he says:

14                   "Despite press reports alleging that  
15                   Saskatoon police files were lost,  
16                   recently, the police told me in 1990  
17                   that the files were not available. I  
18                   relayed that information to Hersh Wolch  
19                   shortly after I had received it."

20          And are you able to recall, Mr. Asper, whether  
21          you would have been aware of that, would Mr.  
22          Wolch have informed you of that or were you  
23          aware, at least according to Mr. Williams, that  
24          this information was conveyed to Mr. Wolch in  
25          1990, that the files had been destroyed some time



1 ago?

2 A I have a good deal of trouble with that. Although  
3 I don't recall the specific incidents as I said, I  
4 feel very confident that I would not have  
5 responded as I did to the media report unless  
6 someone had given me information, and I'm certain  
7 that we would have shared that information, and if  
8 Mr. Wolch had been told the information that is,  
9 that it's alleged he was told in this memorandum,  
10 I kind of doubt that we would have responded the  
11 way we did.

12 Q Okay. Now yesterday you recall I went through the  
13 August 8th letter to Ellen Gunn and the August  
14 14th letter to Kim Campbell of Mr. Wolch where, in  
15 both letters, he refers to the fact that they were  
16 told there were no files and then they located one  
17 file?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Remember that?

20 A Yes.

21 Q So, again, it appears on those dates, August 8th  
22 and August 14, at least according to Mr. Wolch in  
23 his letter, that the knowledge at least that he  
24 had was that yes, the files had been missing and  
25 one was located, and I'm just wondering, does



1           that -- do you take issue with that or was that  
2           your knowledge?

3           A       No, I don't take issue with it but, you know, it's  
4           likely that we received information sometime  
5           subsequent to those letters that files may  
6           exist --

7           Q       So after --

8           A       -- or did exist.

9           Q       So after August 8th or after August 14th, 1991 and  
10          before August 30th of '91, when you made the  
11          comments, you think you would have got some  
12          information to the contrary?

13          A       Well I'm very -- yes. As I say, I'm very  
14          confident that I would not have responded, as I  
15          say, as I did unless somebody had given me the  
16          information, somebody that I relied on.

17          Q       Okay. If we can go to 229820, and there's some  
18          further articles here that relate to the missing  
19          files issue, but -- 229820, and *Missing files*  
20          *skewed review*, and if we can just go here I'll  
21          just ask you to comment. What's attributed to  
22          you:

23                                ''It's very disconcerting the  
24                                Department of Justice may not have  
25                                received a full picture of the Milgaard



1 case from the Saskatoon police  
2 department,' he said in response to  
3 information released Thursday by city  
4 police.

5 'That very well may have  
6 affected the approach the minister took  
7 (in denying Milgaard's application for a  
8 new trial).'"

9 And then over to the right, down here:

10 "It's all very easy and  
11 tempting ...",

12 actually, let me just go back here and I think I  
13 can summarize. What information the police had  
14 released around this time, Mr. Asper, was that  
15 they had discovered a file when they searched  
16 that had not been given to Federal Justice on the  
17 first application; do you recall becoming aware  
18 of that?

19 A Vaguely.

20 Q Okay. And in any event you say:

21 "It's all very easy and  
22 tempting to criticize the feds,' ...

23 'But the fact is, we don't  
24 know what is the quality of information  
25 that the federal Department of Justice



1 is getting from Saskatoon.'" "

2 And I'm just wondering if you wanted, if you had  
3 a recollection of that issue being raised that  
4 there may have been a file that Mr. Williams  
5 didn't have, a partial police file?

6 A No. I can't recall this.

7 Q Now do you remember having discussions with  
8 Sergeant Pearson in the latter part of 1991 and  
9 the early part of 1992 where he was asking you to  
10 disclose your confidential source, Mr. Vanin -- he  
11 didn't know it was Mr. Vanin at the time --  
12 because Sergeant Pearson felt that Sergeant Vanin  
13 may have, (a) may have information about the  
14 missing files, or (b) may in fact be the person  
15 who took the missing files; do you recall those  
16 discussions?

17 A Vaguely.

18 Q If we can go through a couple of documents that  
19 may assist your memory, 056816. And this is  
20 Sergeant Pearson's November 26th, 1991 note, and  
21 Bill Graham is the fellow who investigated for the  
22 Saskatchewan Police Commission, and Pearson says  
23 to him:

24 "... that he should go to the source of  
25 the Application material ...",



1           that being your second application to the  
2           Minister:

3                         "... and ask for the identity of the  
4                         City Police officer vetted out in the  
5                         Application. The possibility exists  
6                         that if the Fisher files were removed on  
7                         this one occasion, other Fisher files  
8                         and in fact other police files, may have  
9                         been removed by this same person. In  
10                        fairness to all interests in the  
11                        Milgaard/Fisher investigation, it would  
12                        be appropriate to have this  
13                        information."

14           And I think what Sergeant Pearson told us when he  
15           testified here is that at this time he knew that  
16           the source had actually gone in and taken out of  
17           the police station either a copy or the file, one  
18           of the Larry Fisher files, which I think he said  
19           would have been against, you know, the police  
20           protocol. So if someone went in and did that,  
21           that if you were looking for a suspect or looking  
22           for someone who might have -- be responsible for  
23           removing them, the person who had already done it  
24           might be a good starting point.

25           A           I get the point.



1 Q And do you recall those discussions with him?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And maybe I'll just take you through a couple of  
4 your letters so that you can comment on that.

5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Hodson, could  
6 I have the doc. ID, again, of that please?

7 MR. HODSON: Yeah, the doc. ID, this is  
8 056743.

9 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yup.

10 MR. HODSON: That's the lengthy Pearson  
11 note and it's page 056816.

12 BY MR. HODSON:

13 Q And just in the chronology here, I don't think we  
14 need to review it, at 165470 -- sorry -- 165469 is  
15 the doc. ID, and go to 470. And this is a letter  
16 December 3rd, 1991 from Theresa Dust, the city  
17 solicitor, to Murray Brown that has the Police  
18 Commission report dated November 29th, 1991, and a  
19 copy was sent to you and Mr. Wolch. So presumably  
20 -- and we may go to the next page and just look at  
21 the cover page -- is it fair to say that you and  
22 Mr. Wolch would have received the report of the  
23 Saskatchewan Police Commission into the missing  
24 files?

25 A Yes.



1 Q Do you recall whether you would have taken issue  
2 with any of that at the time or did anything with  
3 that?

4 A I don't recall.

5 Q 056826. This is December 12th of '91, and again  
6 Mr. Commissioner, this is part of 056743. Pearson  
7 says he:

8 "... met with Police Commission  
9 investigators ..."

10 and he:

11 "... asked if they had checked Asper for  
12 the source who leaked the file material  
13 ...",

14 and:

15 "... apparently the Commission chairman,  
16 Laing, contacted Asper asking if there  
17 was any more info to be provided, and  
18 apparently Asper stated there was not,  
19 and the matter rests there."

20 Do you recall whether Bob Laing called you? He  
21 was the Chair of the Police Commission at the  
22 time.

23 A I don't recall it.

24 Q Okay. 159391. And this is the December 30th  
25 letter from Sergeant Pearson to you, and he talks



1 about, here:

2 "It has been suggested the missing  
3 Fisher files may contain information  
4 relevant to David Milgaard's case.",  
5 and then asks you to provide the missing  
6 information. Do you recall getting that request  
7 from Sergeant Pearson?

8 A I don't, not specifically, but I accept that I did  
9 or must have.

10 Q In fact what Sergeant Pearson also said, that one  
11 of his thoughts at the time was that the -- I  
12 think he was asked the question about whether the  
13 missing Fisher files, if they were found who would  
14 it benefit, who would it hurt, and he expressed  
15 the view that the missing files may have actually  
16 been detrimental to David Milgaard's position in  
17 the sense -- with respect to the arguments on  
18 Larry Fisher. I think what he said is, "look, it  
19 could cut either way, it may be that the missing  
20 files divulge information unfavourable to the  
21 police, on the other hand it may not". Do you  
22 recall any discussion with him about that, that  
23 umm --

24 A No. I'm sure that the prospect that it might  
25 contain evidence detrimental to David Milgaard,



1 umm --

2 Q Actually, sorry, I stated that poorly Mr. Asper.  
3 I think what he said is that the police files may  
4 adversely affect the position taken with respect  
5 to the value of the Larry Fisher files, in other  
6 words I think -- and one example might be that if  
7 the files were found and there was nothing  
8 mentioned about any link to the murder, etcetera,  
9 it may respond to a number of the suggestions that  
10 were made, is one possibility. If -- I shouldn't  
11 have said "to David Milgaard", "to the argument  
12 that he had put forward"; do you understand where  
13 --

14 A Yes.

15 Q Yeah. 057275.

16 A I have a thought on that one but I won't express  
17 it.

18 Q Pardon me?

19 A I have a thought on that but I won't --

20 Q Well, and in fairness I think what Sergeant  
21 Pearson was saying is he didn't know what was on  
22 the files, and from his perspective he said you  
23 shouldn't just conclude that they would be  
24 unfavourable to the police, that maybe they were  
25 favourable?



1 A Right.

2 Q And I don't think he was saying that they did  
3 contain information that would help the police, he  
4 just said it might. 057205 is your letter back,  
5 and I think the bottom line here is -- actually  
6 there is a comment here about the (V5)--- file.  
7 If we can scroll up, you say:

8 "I am enclosing ... photocopies of the  
9 entire police report dealing with (V5)--  
10 (V5)--- to whom reference is made in the  
11 'vetted out portions' of the report that  
12 you provided to me. The material that I  
13 am sending to you was provided to us by  
14 the Saskatchewan Department of Justice.  
15 Quite frankly, I am somewhat amazed that  
16 you had not been provided with this  
17 material, especially since it appears  
18 that the (V5)--- file is only one of two  
19 ((V3)-----) that remain in existence.  
20 In any event, I am providing you with a  
21 copy of the file that we have in our  
22 possession."

23 And am I correct, Mr. Asper, that the (V5)---  
24 file that you are sending here you would have  
25 received from Saskatchewan Justice as part of the



1 disclosure leading up to the reference case?

2 A I believe so, yes.

3 Q That's --

4 A And I say that just because of the date.

5 Q As opposed to a copy that Mr. Vanin, or whoever  
6 else, may have given to Paul Henderson; is that  
7 right?

8 A I'm assuming that, yes.

9 Q And then you go on to say:

10 "Insofar as the information which is  
11 vetted on the second page of the report  
12 that you provided to us is concerned, I  
13 can advise that this information was  
14 provided by a confidential informant,  
15 and I am not at liberty to disclose the  
16 identity of this person. I can assure  
17 you, however, that this informant did  
18 not provide us with anything that we do  
19 not now have in our possession from the  
20 Department of Justice in Saskatchewan."

21 And is that statement correct?

22 A I assume it is, yes.

23 Q And I think what you are saying is here's the  
24 (V5)--- report, is it implicit or even explicit,  
25 lookit, this is all our informant gave us, I'm



1 sending you everything that he would have shown  
2 us?

3 A I'm assuming it is, yes, correct.

4 Q 056845. And this is just at the bottom where  
5 Pearson makes a note saying he received your  
6 letter and the (V5)--- file, which he already had,  
7 and says:

8 "I have no idea why it was included ..."  
9 And on to the next page. And again, just so you  
10 are aware, this is what Sergeant Pearson had in  
11 his notes and this is what he testified to. He  
12 says:

13 "What concerns me about this is that Mr.  
14 Asper makes reference in his  
15 correspondence as to how 'intriguing' it  
16 is that files have gone missing,  
17 possibly, in my opinion, by his own  
18 source, yet he seems satisfied with  
19 leaving this question as it is. I am  
20 not sure if there is more that can be  
21 done ..."

22 And then we go to 159396, and this is his January  
23 14th, 1992 letter to you, and basically says  
24 that:

25 "... you describe as 'intriguing' the



1 fact some of the Fisher files are  
2 missing. Is it possible your informant  
3 has provided the Fisher files to other  
4 individuals? What I find intriguing  
5 with this is the fact your confidential  
6 informant may actually be the person  
7 responsible for the missing files.  
8 Without your assistance, we may never  
9 know!"

10 And you (sic) say:

11 "You make mention that many interesting  
12 points relating to Larry Fisher ...",  
13 I'm sorry, that relates I think to the other file  
14 materials. So is it fair to say at this point,  
15 Mr. Asper, you would have been aware, from  
16 Sergeant Pearson, that he was saying, number 1,  
17 your confidential source is someone who I think  
18 might be responsible for the missing files, and  
19 number 2, he may have been the one who gave them  
20 to other individuals, and 3, that Sergeant  
21 Pearson held the view that the files may be  
22 unfavourable to a position put forward as to what  
23 might be in the file; do you recall?

24 A Well, it doesn't say that, the third point.

25 Q No, no, it --



1 A And I don't recall the conversation --

2 Q Let, let --

3 A -- regarding what was in the file --

4 Q Let --

5 A -- or what may be in the file.

6 Q Sorry, let me back up and do it in part. So I  
7 think you would agree, from this correspondence,  
8 that Sergeant Pearson made you aware that he felt  
9 Tom Vanin -- although he didn't know who it was --  
10 but your source may be responsible --

11 A Oh yes.

12 Q -- for the removal of the files?

13 A Oh yes.

14 Q You knew that?

15 A Oh yes.

16 Q And number 2, what he says here:

17 "Is it possible your informant has  
18 provided the Fisher files to other  
19 individuals?"

20 So in other words that he has them and by  
21 implication, if they were favourable to your  
22 position you would do something with them, and  
23 that maybe they are unfavourable. Now that's not  
24 in the letter but that was Sergeant Pearson's  
25 evidence, and my question to you is do you recall



1           being made aware by Sergeant Pearson that he was  
2           raising the issue that lookit, these files might  
3           be unfavourable to your position and your source  
4           might be the one who took them?

5           A       Well I knew that he was suggesting that the source  
6           may have taken the files, but I wasn't aware that  
7           -- of his interpretation of why, or what use might  
8           be made, or what the contents of the files might  
9           contain, --

10          Q       And I don't --

11          A       -- or his views on that.

12          Q       And I don't want to overstate his views. I think  
13          what he was saying is it was a possibility and  
14          without seeing the files you couldn't tell?

15          A       Right.

16          Q       And, again, you don't recall being made aware of  
17          that by him?

18          A       No. I do recall, and I think we had telephone  
19          conversations, he wanted to know who the source  
20          was.

21          Q       And, ultimately, you didn't provide that  
22          information; correct?

23          A       I don't recall.

24          Q       Okay. I think actually in '93 -- and we've heard  
25          some evidence -- I think that ended up coming out



1 through provision of information to the RCMP in  
2 '93. I think Sergeant Pearson's evidence was that  
3 you never told him who it was at this time?

4 A I think that's true. My recollection is that  
5 somebody was able to read a blacked-out document  
6 eventually and get the name.

7 Q And, again, what were your -- what were your  
8 reasons for not disclosing Mr. Vanin to Sergeant  
9 Pearson?

10 A Umm, I didn't -- I wanted to keep my source where  
11 he was and, by exposing him, he would be of no use  
12 to us.

13 Q If we could now go back to September of 1991, if  
14 we can call up 229822, please. And this is a  
15 September 7th, 1991 front-page story I believe in  
16 *The Globe and Mail*, at least part of it is, about  
17 an encounter that Mrs. Milgaard had with the Prime  
18 Minister, Brian Mulroney. And you mentioned this  
19 the other day when we were talking about the  
20 encounter with Kim Campbell, and there is a fair  
21 number of articles, clips, etcetera, that we have  
22 received around this time, and I think it received  
23 a fair bit of publicity. I don't propose to go  
24 through the details, but wondering if you can  
25 comment on how this came about and how this fit



1           into the re-opening efforts?  
2       A       We knew that the Prime Minister was visiting  
3           Winnipeg and, umm, Joyce, umm -- and by this time  
4           the size of the support group had grown quite  
5           significantly, umm, across the country, and  
6           certainly in Winnipeg. He was visiting Winnipeg,  
7           we talked about whether there might be some  
8           attempt made to communicate indirectly with the  
9           Prime Minister and I implored Joyce and the others  
10          to, umm, be very passive, "feel free to make your  
11          presence known but do not create a fuss" was, I  
12          think, my mildly -- the mildest version of my  
13          advice to the group.

14                           What happened was quite  
15          remarkable, what actually happened was quite  
16          remarkable. Mrs. Milgaard and her group had set  
17          up outside the hotel, I think you see the  
18          depiction in the photograph, and they had a big  
19          banner, and there were a number of banners, and  
20          Mrs. Milgaard, true to our plan, indicated to I  
21          think a security official that there was not going  
22          to be any trouble and she was gonna stay back and  
23          be passive and 'don't worry'. And my recollection  
24          is that she was advised by the security official,  
25          "oh, don't worry Mrs. Milgaard, the Prime Minister



1 would like to speak with you". The motorcade then  
2 pulled up, the Prime Minister got out of the car,  
3 had clearly been fully briefed on what was  
4 happening in the case, certainly laterally, and  
5 engaged in a conversation, a very warm and  
6 engaging conversation, with Mrs. Milgaard.

7 Q And, again, I think the nature of the discussions  
8 were twofold, and I can maybe just summarize so we  
9 don't have to go through all of it, but number 1 I  
10 think Mrs. Milgaard expressed concern about  
11 David's health and was seeking some assistance in  
12 moving him, is that right, within the institution  
13 because of his health concerns?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And, secondly, about getting the second  
16 application dealt with in a timely fashion, or  
17 words to that effect, and I think the Prime  
18 Minister's response -- and, again, the documents  
19 speak for themselves -- but was essentially that  
20 he would look into the prison issue and that he  
21 would -- he just simply indicated that the Justice  
22 Minister is reviewing the matter, and I don't  
23 think he said he would be doing anything with  
24 respect to that or that he wouldn't -- he wouldn't  
25 be stepping in; is that your recollection of what



1 he was saying?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And, Mr. Commissioner, there is -- I don't have  
4 the doc. ID here but there is actually a  
5 transcript of what Mr. Mulroney and Mrs. Milgaard  
6 said, there is a press report, and I'll give you  
7 that doc. ID later.

8 But I take it you viewed that as  
9 a favourable response from the Prime Minister?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And can you tell us a couple of things; number 1,  
12 if I can divide it into, I've been using the term  
13 'legal avenue', but as far as your -- the legal  
14 application under 690, the second one, and then  
15 secondly the public part of your campaign, if I  
16 can call it that. Just as far as the legal  
17 avenue, did you place any significance on this  
18 encounter with the Prime Minister?

19 A Umm, I -- I think I would have to say that it was  
20 a purely political moment. I don't -- I -- for  
21 example, nothing came of that encounter that would  
22 have amended our 690 application.

23 Q Sorry, maybe I can phrase it this way. As a  
24 result of what Mr. Mulroney said to Joyce Milgaard  
25 did you take more comfort that maybe your second



1 application was being considered more seriously,  
2 or more favourably, by the Minister of Justice?

3 A Umm, I -- I would have to say we probably did.  
4 I -- I would -- I -- my view at the time was that,  
5 when the Prime Minister came over to speak with  
6 Mrs. Milgaard, he typically -- or -- or -- I think  
7 the smart political thing, if there was going to  
8 be a bad result, would be for him to not have done  
9 what he did, so we interpreted what happened here  
10 to mean that the Prime Minister of Canada had an  
11 interest in this case, a personal interest. And  
12 I've said, and I don't know, but I've said that I  
13 do believe that he would not have done this  
14 unless, unless something was going to happen.

15 Q And, something, you are referring with respect,  
16 here, to your application?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Now let's talk a bit about -- and you've already  
19 covered this, I think, when you talked about other  
20 information in the media.

21 A Yeah.

22 Q But tell us about the role this played in the,  
23 umm, the re-opening efforts that were geared  
24 towards informing and galvanizing the public to  
25 influence the Minister to act favourably on your



1 application?

2 A Well it, it created the ultimate juxtaposition  
3 between how Mrs. Campbell, or Ms. Campbell, had  
4 treated Mrs. Milgaard and how the Prime Minister  
5 treated Mrs. Milgaard, and of course the media now  
6 had two sets of images, one of the Minister of  
7 Justice brushing Mrs. Milgaard off and the other  
8 of a caring Prime Minister speaking to  
9 Mrs. Milgaard and offering her some degree of  
10 encouragement. What that did was it, I think,  
11 isolated the Minister of Justice politically and  
12 emboldened more people to come forward.

13 Q And did you -- and when I say "you" it can be you,  
14 David Asper, or you the Milgaard group, if it's  
15 different please tell me -- but did this incident  
16 and the coverage it received with the Prime  
17 Minister; did you then take that and take further  
18 steps in the public domain to use that to assist  
19 your efforts to re-open the case?

20 A I don't know that we took that specific event. I  
21 think it, it created other opportunities -- my  
22 recollection is that it just created other  
23 opportunities, broader opportunities --

24 Q In the media?

25 A -- for us to go -- yes, for us to go and



1           communicate the message.

2           **Q**       Okay. Now in this time I want to go through,  
3                   we're now into September of 1991, we know on  
4                   November 28th of '91 the Minister --

5           **A**       My memory is going to get foggy again because I'm  
6                   having another child about this point.

7           **Q**       Was it you or your wife?

8           **A**       My wife.

9           **Q**       Okay.

10          **A**       Sometimes it feels --

11          **Q**       So the -- so I want to go through the time frame,  
12                   here, leading up to the Minister's decision, and I  
13                   will take you through some of the document to --  
14                   to assist your memory, Mr. Asper, but I take it  
15                   that there appears to be discussions going on, and  
16                   I think as early as September '91 through to  
17                   November, between you and Mr. Wolch and Justice  
18                   officials that appear to be discussions about what  
19                   type of reference there might be and what type of  
20                   remedy; is that, is that a fair summary?

21          **A**       Yes.

22          **Q**       And when did you -- do you recall when you first  
23                   heard or got some idea from the Justice officials  
24                   that your application was going to be looked upon  
25                   favourably? And I appreciate that until the order



1 is made you don't know it's gonna happen, but did  
2 you get some indication from the Justice officials  
3 that lookit, we want to talk to you about how this  
4 matter ought to be resolved?

5 A I --

6 Q And I'll take you through the documents, but maybe  
7 if you can just give me a general recollection of  
8 who told you and when they told you and what they  
9 told you?

10 A Well, look, I don't have a specific recollection  
11 of the chronology but it wasn't long after the  
12 meeting with the Prime Minister, umm, that the  
13 tone of the conversations -- and they were  
14 telephone conversations -- changed. Umm, ah, and  
15 I was part of some of, I was part of some of the  
16 conversations, these were generally conducted by  
17 Mr. Wolch, umm, I just can't tell you the exact  
18 timeline.

19 Q No, that's fine. And, again, --

20 A And part, and I will say part of -- we had to be  
21 very careful at this point because, and I don't  
22 recall the exact time, but there came a point of  
23 extreme sensitivity of the information, umm, where  
24 it became clear that the discussions were about  
25 re-opening the case and the basis on which the



1 case might be re-opened. And you are right, we  
2 were very cautious, because we hadn't received an  
3 order. We couldn't, or we were very reluctant to  
4 release the tenor of those conversations to the  
5 Milgaards, and certainly not to the public, umm,  
6 and yet we'd built up this huge well of public  
7 activity and it was, umm, it was a rather  
8 difficult period of time.

9 Q And so, just so that I understand, you would have  
10 received some indication from Justice officials  
11 that you were likely to get a favourable response  
12 to the application?

13 A I think we were reading that in.

14 Q Or reading that in?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And your concerns, I think you are telling us,  
17 were twofold: One, the public I don't know what  
18 you want to call it, you can give better  
19 adjectives than I, but whatever was going on in  
20 the public domain that you had created earlier, I  
21 think you said, was still bubbling and growing; is  
22 that fair?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And were you --

25 A And we had a choice, I guess, to sort of cut it



1 off, which would have been highly suspicious, or  
2 to try to manage it and keep what was really  
3 happening close to the chest.

4 Q And were you concerned, then, that what had been  
5 created -- and I think your words on a few  
6 occasions is that once you put it in the hands of  
7 the media you lose some control, I think those  
8 were your words?

9 A All control.

10 Q All control. And was that a concern that lookit,  
11 we've got this thing out there that we don't  
12 really have control over it, and it might impede  
13 what we're now doing with Justice officials; is  
14 that what you are saying? Was that a concern?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And that if something happened in the public  
17 domain or through the media, it might cause the  
18 officials to back off, is that --

19 A Yes.

20 Q Okay. And so therefore --

21 A Or at least prejudice the, what we thought was the  
22 more positive tone of the conversations.

23 Q Yeah, and you didn't want to, you didn't wanna  
24 tick 'em off; is that fair?

25 A Right. And Mr. Wolch was often not a happy camper



1 coming to the office in the morning, seeing what  
2 was in the papers.

3 Q And elaborate on that? I don't --

4 A Well as -- there was a lot of residual materials.  
5 Some journalists came to the story late and there  
6 would be reporting, updates of what had happened,  
7 he just -- we just couldn't really slow it down  
8 and I think what we would have preferred at that  
9 point, certainly what Mr. Wolch would have  
10 preferred and made it clear to all of us, was that  
11 okay, it looks like the train is on the track  
12 here, let's just -- let's just get the deal done.

13 Q And the, and the items that were bothering  
14 Mr. Wolch in the morning were articles that were  
15 --

16 A Anything, anything.

17 Q Okay. But unfavourable towards the, I mean,  
18 critical of the Justice department?

19 A Anything. Even favourable. Anything. His view  
20 was "stop it, just stop it, see if we can have  
21 silence for a period of time".

22 Q And you also commented that if you shut it down,  
23 that that would raise suspicions; is that right?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And I take it you also had a concern that if the



1 public or the media became aware that you were  
2 having discussions or that you were getting  
3 somewhere, that that also might impede the  
4 progress you were making with Justice officials?

5 A Yes. So as I say, I continued, we continued along  
6 as though we weren't having the discussions, but  
7 trying to sanitize what was being said.

8 Q Try to put the lion back in the cage or just tame  
9 it a little bit?

10 A Just trying to say a lot and say nothing.

11 Q Okay. Now, what about your discussions, you said  
12 as well with the Milgaards, I think you said that  
13 you were, and please correct me if I'm wrong, that  
14 you were concerned about informing your clients  
15 about some of this as well; is that -- because of  
16 the sensitive nature of it? I'm not sure if I  
17 understood that.

18 A Yes.

19 Q And why was that?

20 A We didn't -- well, there was a couple of reasons.  
21 First of all, all of us, and I have to confess I  
22 think that Mr. Wolch probably managed me as much  
23 as anyone else, were at a point of needing hope,  
24 wanting hope, wanting a positive result and, you  
25 know, it was an emotional period, that the



1           slightest prospect of hope might be magnified  
2           beyond where things actually were, and secondly,  
3           as I say, we had to be very, very careful about a  
4           risk of any kind of leak and we just made some  
5           decisions.

6           Q       Okay.

7           A       To keep the circle very tight.

8           Q       If we could call up 335968, this is one of the  
9           recent transcripts, and there's an exchange here,  
10          Mr. Asper, that I think touches on what you are  
11          telling us, and if we can go to 336045, and I  
12          think this is around September of 1991,  
13          thereabouts, and here's a discussion between you  
14          and Mrs. Milgaard, you say, 'This is very  
15          important, okay. Hersh talked to Doug,' and I  
16          believe Doug is Doug Rutherford; is that right?

17          A       Probably, yes.

18          Q       'If this gets out, Joyce, we're in deep, deep,  
19          deep trouble.' Joyce, 'Okay, it won't get out  
20          from me.' 'The thing that they're working on now  
21          is the admissibility of the Fisher evidence in a  
22          prosecution against David. Okay. And I've got a  
23          stack of books in front of me right now, and I'm  
24          preparing a memorandum of law on that subject.  
25          And you know, the sense was that it's being



1 treated extremely serious.' And then you go on to  
2 say, 'No, they're not saying that at all. What  
3 they're saying is, let's just make sure we're on  
4 safe ground. Let's find some authority.' About  
5 the similar act evidence.

6 And I'll bring up a letter in a  
7 moment where you provide that information, but  
8 would this be an example where at least --  
9 actually, let me call up the document, 131986,  
10 this is a September 11, 1991 letter to Mr.  
11 MacFarlane and it says:

12 "Further to our telephone conversation  
13 of September 11, 1991, Mr. Asper and I  
14 have now had an opportunity to review a  
15 number of authorities dealing with the  
16 use of similar fact evidence by the  
17 accused. This was in response to your  
18 query whether the criminal conduct of  
19 Larry Fisher would be admissible in  
20 proceedings against David Milgaard for  
21 the purpose of disproving or raising a  
22 reasonable doubt as to Milgaard's  
23 guilt."

24 And can I take it from the taped conversation and  
25 this letter, that around September 11th, 1991



1           there would have been discussions between Mr.  
2           Wolch and Doug Rutherford and perhaps others that  
3           were in a favourable light that were moving  
4           towards a potential remedy; is that fair?

5           A           Yes.

6           Q           And so here, and in this letter I think one of the  
7           issues, is it correct that one of the issues they  
8           raised with you is lookit, are you sure that the  
9           Larry Fisher rapes would be admissible in a trial  
10          against David Milgaard, and the Commission has  
11          heard some comment on that; in fact, we've had a  
12          research paper done about the admissibility of  
13          similar act evidence, sort of an unknown, or a  
14          known other perpetrator and the ability to put  
15          that in for the defence, and I think that's what  
16          this letter deals with, your research that says  
17          yes, the standard is much lower for an accused  
18          than it is for the Crown in putting in similar act  
19          evidence; is that correct?

20          A           Right.

21          Q           So those were the discussions at the time Federal  
22          Justice was saying that give us some comfort?

23          A           Yes. These were substantive legal discussions  
24          about the law and how the law would be applied if  
25          the case were re-opened. It's the first time we



1 really had these kind of discussions.

2 Q And then 229827, again this is an article  
3 September 12th, the next day, and talks about --  
4 talks about problems with the existing system, and  
5 again, it appears that there was still some  
6 commentary, this may well have been said earlier  
7 and reported later, or do you --

8 A I don't know where this occurred. I don't know if  
9 this was an interview or a speech or what.

10 Q If we can go to 335020, please, this is a  
11 transcript from the *Shirley Show*, September 17th,  
12 1991, and I think I would be in trouble if I  
13 showed the video of the *Shirley Show* again, Mr.  
14 Asper, we've seen parts of it, but I'll refer you  
15 to parts of the transcript that refer to you. Do  
16 you recall appearing on this show, and I think  
17 Joyce Milgaard, Neil Boyd, Paul Henderson were on  
18 the show and I think David Milgaard was present by  
19 telephone. Do you recall that?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And if we could go to page 335029 and again  
22 there's a question here from Shirley about Deborah  
23 Hall. I just want to go through your answer. So  
24 this is September 17th, '91 and you go through the  
25 background about the event, you say:



1 "It was alleged by a couple of the  
2 witnesses at the trial that in May of  
3 '69, during a party in a motel room in  
4 Regina, a local news segment came on  
5 about 11:15 and there was a story about  
6 the Gail Miller murder not being solved.  
7 At that point David had been interviewed  
8 and provided various samples, bodily  
9 fluids, etc., to the police and had been  
10 telling his friends about it. When the  
11 news story came on some of them started  
12 bugging him or ribbing him about it and  
13 it's at this point that two of the  
14 people in the room testified that David,  
15 in a very wild, grand gesture, reenacted  
16 the murder of Gail Miller. One said he  
17 did it on the bed, the other said, uh,  
18 it was on the floor. The jury never  
19 heard any evidence to contradict that.  
20 We located a lady named Deborah Hall who  
21 was at that motel room and participating  
22 and watching the events unfold. She  
23 says that the people who said that  
24 Milgaard reenacted the crime were lyers.  
25 She said there was no reenactment."



1           And let me just pause there, Mr. Asper. At this  
2           time was it still your view -- this would have  
3           been after the first application and after you  
4           would have been aware of Deborah Hall's  
5           information that she gave to Mr. Williams. Was  
6           it still your view at this time that Deborah Hall  
7           was saying that the reenactment didn't happen,  
8           that Melnyk and Lapchuk were liars?

9           A           Yes.

10          Q           And how did you, and I'm just wondering if you  
11           can, and I'm sorry if you've already explained  
12           this, but what impact did what Deborah Hall say to  
13           Eugene Williams have on sort of this contention  
14           that it, the reenactment never happened and Melnyk  
15           and Lapchuk lied about it when they described it?

16          A           What impact did it have?

17          Q           Yes.

18          A           Well, I think you can look at it two ways, it  
19           either added a further lack of credibility to the  
20           entire description because her description to Mr.  
21           Williams was actually different yet again from the  
22           differences between Melnyk and Lapchuk, or it  
23           described a crude joke where people reacted quite  
24           different according to Deborah Hall than they  
25           reacted according to Melnyk and Lapchuk.



1 Q And so was your position that maybe Deborah Hall  
2 was lying, that her version is not correct?

3 A No. My position is that Ms. Hall, in her version  
4 to Mr. Williams, gave a version of events that was  
5 different from Melnyk and Lapchuk, it was still  
6 different from Melnyk and Lapchuk. Melnyk and  
7 Lapchuk were inconsistent with each other as well,  
8 so that either we've got three inconsistent  
9 versions of something that happened and you've got  
10 questionable validity, that's one version. My  
11 interpretation of it was that Deborah Hall,  
12 notwithstanding her expansion of what occurred in  
13 her description to Mr. Williams continued to  
14 describe it as a sarcastic, crude joke.

15 Q Right, and I guess that's what I -- and so when  
16 she says here, or you say, pardon me, there was no  
17 reenactment, are you saying that because she  
18 viewed it as a joke, then it wasn't a reenactment?

19 A Yes.

20 Q So that even though she said yes, there were words  
21 spoken and actions taken that could be construed  
22 as a reenactment, it wasn't a reenactment because  
23 I viewed it as a joke?

24 A Right.

25 Q And that when she says Melnyk and Lapchuk were



1 liars, that because they didn't see it the way she  
2 saw it they were lying; is that --

3 A And I believe -- yes, and I believe Ms. Hall said  
4 the way others in the room saw it as well other  
5 than Melnyk and Lapchuk, but yes, that's --  
6 fundamentally that's my position.

7 Q And if we can go to the next page, NB is Neil  
8 Boyd, and I'll come to his report a bit later.  
9 Maybe just tell us a bit about -- how did Neil  
10 Boyd, who is Neil Boyd and how did he get on the  
11 *Shirley Show* with you and how did he become  
12 involved in the matter; do you remember?

13 A Well, Neil is a criminologist at Simon Fraser  
14 University and I can't recall if we contacted him  
15 or he contacted us, but he had a student at the  
16 time named Kim Rossmo who, in the criminology  
17 department, and they offered to, I believe they  
18 contacted us and offered to conduct an analysis of  
19 the evidence in the case.

20 Q Okay. Now, Mr. Boyd and Dr. Rossmo are actually  
21 both testifying next week, we're going to hear  
22 from them about their involvement. So they  
23 presumably at this time then -- I'm not sure if  
24 it's Dr. Boyd or Mr. Boyd.

25 A Doctor.



1 Q Dr. Boyd -- would have been starting his, he was  
2 having some involvement in reviewing the case and  
3 that would be why he would be on the *Shirley Show*  
4 with you; is that correct?

5 A Yes, I believe so.

6 Q And then you go on to say, and again this is  
7 talking about the motel reenactment:

8 "You have to understand this, and this  
9 has never been sort of reported, David  
10 has given his sworn word to the  
11 Department of Justice by way of  
12 affidavit, in which he denies committing  
13 the murder, denies reenacting the  
14 murder, denies having blood, and  
15 everything else and he's given his sworn  
16 word about that."

17 And then David I think says:

18 "That event never took place, really. I  
19 was there. The event never took place."

20 So were you as well relying upon the fact that  
21 your client at the time was also saying it didn't  
22 happen or --

23 A Well, no, Deborah Hall described something  
24 occurring. I was relying on the fact that --

25 Q Okay. And then if we can go to the next page and



1 David gives some, I think -- I think David was  
2 pressed about lifestyle and what was happening at  
3 the time, and then Paul Henderson says:

4 "Let me, let me make one point here I  
5 think is very important. A great deal  
6 of significance is being attached to  
7 this, this motel scene. But keep in  
8 mind that both of these witnesses came  
9 in at the very last minute, the trial  
10 was actually in, in progress when they  
11 brought these people..."

12 And then:

13 "Which witnesses are you talking about?"

14 He says:

15 "Melnyk and Lapchuk, the two witnesses  
16 attesting to the reenactment in the  
17 motel room. Now, in the United States,  
18 we see, see this same type of thing  
19 happen quite frequently. At the last  
20 minute the prosecution can put the icing  
21 on the cake will bring in a jailhouse  
22 informant. Now, jailhouse informants  
23 have been proven to be notoriously  
24 unreliable, a prosecution ploy, quite  
25 often in the United States, I would



1           consider this thing, this motel  
2           reenactment to be anything other than a  
3           prosecution ploy to put the icing on, on  
4           the cake, or the case."

5           And then you go on to say:

6           "And the other thing, the other thing  
7           that the jury never heard was that six  
8           days after David Milgaard was convicted,  
9           Craig Melnyk got the lightest sentence  
10          ever handed down in Regina for armed  
11          robbery and Lapchuk was pending on  
12          charges, I believe it was forgery or  
13          uttering, or fraud or something, a crime  
14          involving dishonesty, and had been  
15          previously convicted of conspiring with  
16          others to take David Milgaard's  
17          identification so they could go and pass  
18          cheques."

19          Did you share Mr. Henderson's view about Melnyk  
20          and Lapchuk being a prosecution ploy?

21          A        I don't know that I would call it prosecution  
22          employ. I do believe -- I did have the belief  
23          that they were icing on the cake for the Crown's  
24          case.

25          Q        If we can go to 335034, and I simply bring this



1 up, there's a discussion about Dr. Ferris and just  
2 I think your comment here, and I just want you to  
3 confirm that that was your position at the time.

4 You say:

5 "And the Crown, and the Crown took this  
6 big batch of stuff that didn't make any  
7 sense, threw it against the wall to try  
8 and make something stick and to leave  
9 the jury with the impression that  
10 somehow this related to David Milgaard  
11 and it didn't. Not only didn't it, it  
12 excludes it. The jury never heard  
13 that."

14 And I think that's similar to what you told us  
15 yesterday; is that correct?

16 A Yes, yes.

17 Q So this would have been your view at the time  
18 about the, not the Ferris evidence, but the frozen  
19 semen and how it was used at trial?

20 A Right.

21 Q Now if we can go to 335968 and go to page -- yeah,  
22 I think this is around, it says September 9, 1991,  
23 it's in that time frame, go to page 986, and  
24 there's a discussion here. It looks as though  
25 it's a discussion between you and Mrs. Milgaard



1 and there's reference here to information from  
2 Neil Boyd, you say, 'Neil got nowhere with Karst.'  
3 'Apparently not.' And then, 'Got nowhere with  
4 Caldwell.'

5 And can we take it from this  
6 that Neil Boyd, in the course of his, doing his  
7 work, would have been in touch with you and you  
8 would have been learning from him some of the  
9 information he was gathering?

10 A Apparently, yes.

11 Q And would it be also fair to say that you would  
12 have been giving him information as well to assist  
13 him in his work, and Dr. Rossmo?

14 A I would assume so, yes.

15 Q And you would have been aware that Dr. Boyd and  
16 Dr. -- I think he was Mr. Rossmo at the time, but  
17 Boyd and Rossmo were interviewing a number of the  
18 key people involved in this matter, both police,  
19 Crown and witnesses?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And I take it you would be interested in what  
22 information they were finding out from these  
23 people?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Go to 335988, and I'm just going to read a part



1 here. It looks as though this is where you and  
2 Mrs. Milgaard learned that Dr. Emson has changed  
3 his view about one of the matters he testified to  
4 at trial, and maybe I can just give you a bit of  
5 background. This relates to the issue about  
6 whether young males would bleed into their semen  
7 and whether that was a common occurrence, and you  
8 recall at trial I think he said words to the  
9 effect that it was not uncommon or something like  
10 that. You remember that being his evidence?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And I think here Dr. Boyd interviewed him and  
13 Dr. Emson said lookit, I've changed my view, I  
14 don't think it was very common. Do you remember  
15 that coming to your attention?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And I just want to go through parts of this, and  
18 if we can just go to the bottom half and again,  
19 'Boyd told me last night.' 'Well, let's get the  
20 bloody statement.' 'I don't think he's going to  
21 make a statement.' 'Are they going to print it.'  
22 'I don't know.' 'Holy smokes. I mean, this is  
23 key.' And then Joyce, 'I know, but Boyd is taking  
24 that down from him.' 'Oh, Boyd got it from him.'  
25 'Yeah, Boyd, Neil.' And then the next page, 'And



1           Emson says that Markesteyn is right.'

2                           And then again we can just skip  
3 through some of this because it deals with  
4 relating that information, and then you say,  
5 'We've got to find that out. If Justice didn't  
6 talk to Emson --' 'That would be great.' '-- but  
7 Emson now says that he would testify differently.'  
8 'That's exactly what Boyd said to me.' And you  
9 say, 'Man, oh, man. When does this stop? Maybe  
10 we give that to Yanko. No, you know what, no --'

11                           Now, Yanko was a *StarPhoenix*  
12 reporter?

13       A       Yes.

14       Q       And there's an earlier tape that, a transcript  
15 from a few days, a day earlier that I haven't  
16 shown you, but there was a discussion in there,  
17 and I can get the doc ID, Mr. Commissioner, for  
18 you, but where you and Joyce Milgaard are talking  
19 and she says lookit, Yanko is begging for  
20 something, really wants something, what can we  
21 give him. Do you remember that happening?

22       A       I don't remember it specifically, but it happened  
23 a lot.

24       Q       Okay. So here when you learn of this, you are  
25 saying, 'Maybe we give that to Yanko.' And Mrs.



1 Milgaard, 'Not until after we'd talk to Boyd.'  
2 You say, '-- no, no, you know what, if Boyd will  
3 give that to us, we've got to give that to the  
4 Globe. We've got to give that to Appleby.  
5 That'll be front page. That's unbelievable.'

6 And again, just to comment at  
7 this time, it appears, and please correct me if  
8 I'm wrong, that Professor Boyd has learned some  
9 information from Dr. Emson that's relevant to the  
10 re-opening, right, that Dr. Emson is now saying  
11 lookit, what I said at trial isn't right?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And I would like you to comment on sort of your  
14 decision and what to do with it, and it appears  
15 that your decision is to firstly decide which  
16 media outlet to give it to to get some public  
17 exposure; is that correct?

18 A Well, no. In fact, my -- what this says is get a  
19 statement.

20 Q I'm sorry, yeah.

21 A Okay. Then we have a discussion about what to do  
22 with it. I don't recall what we did with it, but  
23 then the discussion was should we give it to  
24 Yanko, should we give it to *The Globe and Mail*.  
25 It's a discussion. I wouldn't call it a decision.



1 Q I'm sorry, I didn't mean to miss out the statement  
2 part, that's quite clear, that lookit, let's get  
3 it verified, let's get the information, but the  
4 question is once you have the information, and I  
5 can tell you it is in Boyd's report --

6 A Right.

7 Q -- just a comment at this time as to whether, why  
8 you wouldn't simply send it to the Justice  
9 Minister and say lookit, here's some more  
10 information that might be helpful, or -- and again  
11 this is just a discussion, but -- or do we give it  
12 to the media and put it on the front page.

13 A Well, because I think at this point we were still  
14 taking new information, as I said yesterday, with  
15 lights blazing ahead of the submission to Justice.

16 Q Okay. If we can go to 33367 --

17 A That was certainly my thinking. I don't know if  
18 we did that or not, but that certainly would have  
19 been my thinking I assume.

20 Q And, I'm sorry, I can't, I don't know whether  
21 there was an article -- I mean, certainly the  
22 Emson information went to Justice and I will show  
23 you in a moment the report that is sent in to  
24 Justice with that information.

25 A Yes. My recollection was we didn't do anything



1           until we had the entire report.

2           **Q**       If we can go to 333676, and this is a transcript  
3           of a scrum with Kim Campbell October 7th, 1991 and  
4           there's a question and answer here that I just --  
5           go to the next page -- and it deals with I guess a  
6           bit of a systemic issue and I just wouldn't mind  
7           getting your comment on it, and you spent some  
8           time telling us about your efforts to put public  
9           pressure and influence on the minister to make a  
10          political decision and I think your evidence has  
11          been that you decided to take that tact because  
12          you didn't think you were getting a proper  
13          response going the other way; is that fair?

14          **A**       Correct.

15          **Q**       And so here what she says, and again I think:

16                    "Has she sought a meeting with you?"

17          And I think this is talking about Mrs. Milgaard,  
18          and she says:

19                    "No, she hasn't, but she is free to  
20                    communicate with my department, and she  
21                    has done so. But the appropriate way to  
22                    deal with such cases is when her case is  
23                    made through her counsel. Every person  
24                    who applies under those circumstances  
25                    knows that he will get the same full



1 fair hearing and that no one is ...  
2 lobbying with the Minister and creating  
3 a public campaign should not have an  
4 influence on my decision. It does have  
5 influence on my need to insure full  
6 public disclosure of what I do and why I  
7 do it."

8 And then goes on to say, and there's some other  
9 comments later that I may show you to the same  
10 effect where the minister is saying lookit, if I,  
11 if the way to get a remedy from me is to lobby  
12 and create a public campaign, and I think what  
13 she's saying is that's not the basis upon which I  
14 should make my decisions, and I wouldn't mind  
15 your comment on that, because I think, and  
16 correct me if I'm wrong, your position was that's  
17 exactly what you were doing.

18 A Yes. We had come to the view that we were not  
19 getting a fair shake at Justice, at the Federal  
20 Department of Justice, and we didn't know whether  
21 the Minister of Justice was part of that or not,  
22 we didn't know what was going on, so the public  
23 campaign initially was to get the Minister of  
24 Justice's attention on the assumption that he, in  
25 the first case, and then she later on, would take



1 an interest and ensure that proper action was  
2 being taken on the Milgaard file.

3 When the minister declined our  
4 application, as I said, as you showed me the quote  
5 yesterday, she clearly, it was clear to us that  
6 she clearly was part of the problem, so that the  
7 publicity campaign -- and I think that it was  
8 pretty clear to us really from when she brushed  
9 past Mrs. Milgaard that the minister was going to  
10 be a problem, but there was certainly no doubt  
11 about it after she turned down the application,  
12 then she became the focal point, and, I'm sorry, I  
13 disagree with what the minister says.

14 I think it was -- I think it's  
15 perfectly likely that without the public pressure  
16 and possibly without the intervention of the prime  
17 minister when he met Mrs. Milgaard to establish  
18 another public peg, this minister would have  
19 ignored the public pressure and would have been  
20 content with a flawed process that would have kept  
21 David in prison.

22 Q And let me just explore that a bit further. So  
23 putting aside David Milgaard's case for a moment,  
24 just the general concept of the Justice Minister  
25 saying lookit, in order for me to exercise my



1 ministerial discretion on this matter, it should  
2 not be whether the applicant can mount a great --

3 A I totally agree with that, Mr. Hodson. I've said  
4 at the outset, and I think you can see to their  
5 credit the Department of Justice has made some  
6 substantial changes to the way it operates in  
7 wrongful convictions, with wrongful convictions,  
8 you don't have to do this any more.

9 Q Right. So that was my question. So I think what  
10 you are saying is lookit, putting aside what  
11 happened to David Milgaard for a moment, in a  
12 perfect world a wrongfully convicted person should  
13 not have to depend upon the media and getting a  
14 public campaign and getting political persuasion  
15 to cause the minister to give a remedy, I think  
16 you are saying yes, you agree with that?

17 A Absolutely. If I can give --

18 Q Yes.

19 A I want to -- because it invites a fulsome  
20 response. As I said at the outset, and I want to  
21 be absolutely clear, we began with a very naive  
22 view of what was going to happen, and it was an  
23 erroneous view of what was going to happen when we  
24 filed the original application. When we realized  
25 that we were not going to get anywhere and we made



1 a decision, we made it in good faith in an effort  
2 to try and win a new hearing for David. We  
3 believed he was innocent, all we wanted was a new  
4 hearing. Because we had to resort to the  
5 extraordinary means, and by that I mean bringing  
6 the media into the activity, there were a lot of  
7 things that happened that should never have  
8 happened in a 690 process, and I sincerely regret  
9 the circus that had to be unleashed in order to  
10 get the attention at the political level in order  
11 to get the action that we needed, I totally -- I  
12 totally regret that.

13 Q When you say you regret, regret what?

14 A None of us -- I didn't want to spend six years of  
15 my life having to take conduct of this case with  
16 probably three or four of those years full time  
17 doing nothing but running a political campaign.

18 Q Just on -- when you say you regret the circus, are  
19 you saying that, and we've heard some evidence  
20 from some witnesses that say lookit, I got caught  
21 up in that circus and it adversely affected me.  
22 Is that what you are referring to?

23 A We all got caught up in the circus and, yes, there  
24 were some people who were adversely affected and I  
25 regret that that happened. We are now closer I



1 think, Mr. Commissioner, to finding out the facts  
2 and what people did and what they didn't do will  
3 be a matter of fact and people will be judged  
4 accordingly, but once you -- but the process we  
5 got into is one that sets up hair-trigger  
6 comments, emotional comments, spur of the moment  
7 reactions and is not always entirely fair.

8 Q And to who?

9 A To the subject of news reporting.

10 Q Okay.

11 A And I said yesterday, there were comments that I  
12 certainly made that became the subject of  
13 reporting that was unfair, we saw it in Mr.  
14 Caldwell and to Mr. Tallis, you know, the comment  
15 about putting Wilson's statement to him and  
16 conjoining it with lack of disclosure to create a  
17 story that there might have been prosecutorial  
18 misconduct, just -- you know, not intended, but  
19 that's the way it comes out, and that's the risk,  
20 and it was sort of the basis of the flaw in the  
21 system because it was the only way we thought we  
22 could get the relief that we needed.

23 Q Okay. And I hesitate to ascribe this position to  
24 Mr. Kujawa in light of your dealings with Mr.  
25 Kujawa, but one of the positions that he took and



1 others have taken is that the minute you put the  
2 resolution of an issue, a judicial issue, or the  
3 resolution of an issue out of a judicial setting  
4 and into the public domain, you lose the rules of  
5 relevancy, of response and fairness, etcetera,  
6 etcetera, so that in a public domain if you have  
7 the -- if you try the issue in the public or in  
8 the media, the safeguards in the judicial system  
9 aren't there that would ensure that what's put  
10 forward is relevant, that people have a response,  
11 etcetera. Would you agree with that general  
12 statement?

13 A Yes, I think I absolutely agree with the general  
14 statement, but the problem here was we had a  
15 system that wasn't capable of responding and we  
16 had some humans -- I'm not sure that the system  
17 itself was the flaw here, it might have been and I  
18 think it is personally, and I have a view on  
19 that -- but, yes, there is a big risk, and a  
20 better system administered by better people gets  
21 better results.

22 Q So just back on the point of -- and I think what  
23 you are saying is lookit, we went to have it tried  
24 in the public, or the tack that you took, whatever  
25 you want to call it when you went the political



1 route, I don't know what, I don't want to  
2 misdescribe it, I think we're probably talking the  
3 same things, but when you decided to take a  
4 different tact and to get the public galvanized  
5 and influence the political decision-makers,  
6 whatever you want to call it, when you decided to  
7 take that tact was it fair to say that you knew  
8 that it was going to get ugly for some people; is  
9 that fair?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And that there were -- again, this issue of trying  
12 it in the public versus trying it in a judicial  
13 setting, that there would be some consequences for  
14 some people in doing it that way?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And that your reason for going that route, I think  
17 you've told us, is that you attempted to do it  
18 within the frameworks of the judicial system or  
19 within the legal means, and you didn't think it  
20 was working, you didn't think you were going to  
21 get a result, and your client was in jail  
22 wrongfully; is that a fair summary?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And so that at that point is it fair to say that  
25 you felt you had no choice but to go there; is



1           that your evidence?

2           A           That's exactly it.

3           Q           And so when we take a step back you say that the  
4                    system may have failed you at the start, I mean,  
5                    let's talk about the first part. You said it's  
6                    either the system or the players, and I think  
7                    that's probably something that this Commission has  
8                    spent and will spend some more time on, but I  
9                    think you are saying it didn't work for whatever  
10                   reason and therefore you had to go this route in  
11                   the public, and I think what you are saying is  
12                   that's not the best way to do it?

13          A           No, it's not, not by any stretch.

14          Q           Okay.

15          A           And I thought, Mr. Hodson, I really -- and I have  
16                    said this -- I really believed and hoped, because  
17                    I don't think the Milgaards or anybody else in our  
18                    society expects perfection from our system, it's  
19                    -- the justice system is human-based, it's frail,  
20                    mistakes can and do occur, and we really hoped --  
21                    and that all of this could have avoided if the  
22                    Department of Justice had accepted that there  
23                    might be some legitimacy and done its part, and  
24                    then the actors in the original investigation and  
25                    prosecution concede the possibility that a mistake



1           might have occurred, and I think Sergeant Pearson  
2           actually, in his evidence, described this idea,  
3           and I've talked about it. If you all get in a  
4           room with all the information that everybody has,  
5           with no blame, just "let's -- is the -- did a  
6           mistake occur and can we fix it and how do we do  
7           that" in mind, including the possibility that no  
8           mistake occurred, none of this would have  
9           happened. None of this entire *Milgaard* case would  
10          have happened, especially knowing what you and the  
11          Commissioner know now about what existed at the  
12          time, at the -- in 1969, 1970, and 1971.

13        Q           And are you talking about information that came  
14           forward that became aware to you later as to what  
15           was on the police files; is that what you are  
16           referring to?

17        A           Yes, yes. And I, I will tell you that if you, if  
18           you put aside the notion or this theory of  
19           conspiracy or coverup, I -- I think that Mr. Karst  
20           and the police officers probably didn't or don't  
21           like the idea that the true killer was at large,  
22           especially in 1980 in the case of (V10) (V10)-,  
23           and I don't think Mr. Caldwell would like the idea  
24           that the true killer was at large. And so as I  
25           say, if we don't get to blame and just say, "a



1 mistake occurred and everybody get in a room" --

2 Q Are you suggesting that Mr. Caldwell and Mr. Karst  
3 knew that the real killer was at large?

4 A No, I think that -- I don't think -- my suggestion  
5 is that if you put aside the question of whether  
6 there was a coverup or whether there was some evil  
7 motive, you might be able to say to Mr. Karst or  
8 Mr. Caldwell and to the original actors in this  
9 system, "you know what, maybe a mistake occurred".  
10 I don't believe that those people -- I think it's  
11 possible that those people actually didn't want  
12 the true killer out on the street, but that's what  
13 happened, because certain things were missed. And  
14 I think if you can talk to people and say, "a  
15 mistake was made, we don't have to blame you",  
16 then it creates an environment that is far more  
17 open to resolving these cases early on, but that's  
18 -- that didn't happen, it just didn't happen.

19 Q Are you --

20 A And as a result, as a result you go down a path of  
21 "why didn't we have that discussion, why can't we  
22 have that discussion", and that's why you start to  
23 wonder why you can't have that discussion.

24 Q And I think your position is you would have had  
25 it, Justice didn't arrange it, and I anticipate



1           that Justice may say, "well you didn't ask for  
2           it", and again -- and this is -- and, again, and I  
3           think you've touched on that already; is that  
4           correct?

5           A       Well, yes.  And, unfortunately, it created an  
6           environment where we were put into an environment  
7           where the stakes maybe may have been too high and  
8           too public.

9           Q       You talk about saying, and you referred to  
10          Mr. Karst and Mr. Caldwell, about them not wanting  
11          to have the real killer out there, and is what you  
12          are saying is that you don't think that they would  
13          have -- are you saying that they wouldn't have  
14          deliberately convicted an innocent person so that  
15          the real killer is out there?

16          A       I think that's, I think that's probably fair to  
17          say.

18          Q       And --

19          A       But I'm saying that, that once you let the genie  
20          of this case out of the bottle and it becomes  
21          public and there becomes an adversarial process,  
22          it gets a little difficult --

23          Q       Well --

24          A       -- to even have that conversation.

25          Q       -- one of the comments that we've heard from some



1 of the witnesses and mostly from, well,  
2 authorities and even from some witnesses, that the  
3 witnesses have said, "lookit, I can deal with  
4 mistakes, I'm human and I can deal with mistakes,  
5 but the moment that someone says I deliberately  
6 committed misconduct and deliberately left a  
7 guilty person out there", that the stakes change a  
8 bit and the reaction changes a bit. Would you  
9 agree with that, that the --

10 A That's my point. That's my point.

11 Q And --

12 A If we could have started on the basis of safe  
13 environment, "we, the system made a mistake here,  
14 for whatever reason we made a mistake, let's fix  
15 it quickly", if we could have started there we  
16 would not have wound up in a position where sides  
17 get taken, you gotta take fixed positions, you say  
18 things, people -- things are in the media and then  
19 all of a sudden people are in defensive mode, some  
20 are attacking, some are defending, and you wind up  
21 in a debate.

22 Q Okay. This is probably an appropriate spot to  
23 break.

24 (Adjourned at 10:29 a.m.)

25 (Reconvened at 10:49 a.m.)



1 BY MR. HODSON:

2 Q Call up 157128, please. This is the October 24th,  
3 1991 letter from Mr. Wolch to Mr. MacFarlane  
4 enclosing the Boyd report, I think is what it's  
5 been referred to, or the Rossmo-Boyd report. And  
6 he says that:

7 "I would remind you that the authors of  
8 the report were not commissioned by us  
9 in any way and were fully independent."

10 And was that the case with Boyd and Rossmo?

11 A Yes. As I say, my recollection is they contacted  
12 us.

13 Q And I think you said, though, but you would have  
14 given them information and would have discussed  
15 some of their findings with them as they were  
16 doing the investigation; is that fair?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And Mr. Wolch says:

19 "... I don't know the current status of  
20 the matter I will leave it to you to use  
21 the report as you see fit. The last  
22 thing in the world I want to do is delay  
23 the matter any further.",

24 and then talks about meeting. And am I right if  
25 I read into that, this is October 24, 1991, would



1           it be fair to say at this time that discussions  
2           with Justice officials were progressing and you  
3           were talking about -- and I'll show you some  
4           documents about a meeting on November 11th -- but  
5           talking about how best to provide a remedy and  
6           send this matter to a Court, that things were  
7           progressing well, and that Mr. Wolch's comment  
8           here is:

9                           "The last thing in the world I want to  
10                           do is delay the matter any further.",  
11           is "here is the Boyd report but don't delay what  
12           we're talking about in getting a remedy"; is that  
13           fair?

14    A       Yes.

15    Q       However the Boyd report, would you agree, would be  
16           another piece of information that you, being the  
17           Milgaard group or on behalf of David Milgaard,  
18           were putting forward to the Minister to say "here  
19           is information that is relevant to the re-opening  
20           of the investigation"?

21    A       Yes.

22    Q       If we can just go to the next page. Sorry, I'm  
23           sorry, 130837 is the actual report, and I think  
24           Neil Boyd was a Professor of Criminology, or is a  
25           Professor of Criminology, and Kim Rossmo was a



1 Ph.D. candidate at --

2 A He was also a, I think he was a detective with the  
3 Vancouver police at the time as well.

4 Q Right. And I think Dr. Rossmo was with the  
5 Vancouver police, he is now down, I think he is  
6 teaching at the Texas State University and is a  
7 criminal profiler, etcetera. He will be here next  
8 week. I think at the time, though, he was a Ph.D.  
9 candidate for Professor Boyd; is that right?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And just a couple parts in these reports. If we  
12 can go to 130853, and in this report I think Neil  
13 Boyd and Mr. Rossmo interviewed a number of  
14 people, including Dr. Markesteyn. And in this  
15 report it appears, it says:

16 "What Dr. Markesteyn did not consider  
17 ...",

18 and we're talking about the issue of this being  
19 dog urine, remember the -- his report that it  
20 might be dog urine:

21 "What Dr. Markesteyn did not consider is  
22 the trial evidence from hair and fibre  
23 specialist Victor Malchanko of the RCMP  
24 Crime Detection Laboratory; Malchanko  
25 told the Court that he found seven pubic



1                   hairs in the semen stain. Dr.  
2                   Markesteyn now agrees that it is more  
3                   probable that this was a human semen  
4                   stain."

5                   And, in fact, Molchanko's evidence was that they  
6                   were human pubic hairs. And, again, do recall  
7                   being made aware of that, at the time, by Mr.  
8                   Boyd, or seeing this in the report, that the  
9                   prospect that it might have been dog urine may  
10                  not be as valid as you once thought?

11                  A            Sure.

12                  Q            And then 130858. And I'm gonna, there is a couple  
13                  paragraphs here and I'm maybe paraphrasing a bit,  
14                  but I think what Boyd and Rossmo concluded in  
15                  their report about Wilson's statement being a lie  
16                  and a recantation, they seemed to be saying that  
17                  Mr. Wilson was more -- and I'm paraphrasing -- was  
18                  more responsible than the police for the fact that  
19                  he lied. And I'll just read you this part and I  
20                  want your comment. They say:

21                                "According to Ron Wilson, he was simply  
22                                interested in getting free from police  
23                                questioning on May 24, 1969, going home,  
24                                and 'getting loaded'. He was not forced  
25                                to implicate David Milgaard, but



1                   implicating Milgaard was the easiest way  
2                   to remove himself from a persistently  
3                   stressful situation - two months of  
4                   questioning by police. Wilson was a 17  
5                   year old delinquent who would usually  
6                   place his own interests first. He was  
7                   involved in drugs and crime until the  
8                   early Eighties, using and selling heroin  
9                   and LSD, and for 10 years a member of  
10                  the Regina motorcycle club, the Apollos.

11                  Ron Wilson sketches a picture  
12                  of disenfranchised street youth in 1969,  
13                  on the fringes of the fledgling hippie  
14                  culture and on the edge of a criminal  
15                  lifestyle. They were all involved in  
16                  using illegal drugs. 'Friends' were  
17                  passing acquaintances who you ran into  
18                  in the park, spent a few days with, and  
19                  who would then disappear for months.  
20                  Loyalties and allegiances were  
21                  non-existent, the primary concern being  
22                  only to look out for yourself -  
23                  survival, 'better him than me'."

24                  And, again, I don't -- I mean Dr. Boyd can speak  
25                  for himself next week, but certainly in this



1 report, do you recall reading this report and his  
2 conclusions about where he saw, where he saw the  
3 problem being with Ron Wilson's evidence?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And what was your view on that, did you agree with  
6 him, disagree?

7 A I think it was -- I thought it was entirely  
8 possible. I, you know, I accepted it as a  
9 perfectly valid evaluation of what happened.

10 Q And then if we can go to 1308 --

11 A I mean the key point, Mr. Hodson --

12 Q Okay?

13 A -- is that Wilson didn't tell the truth. Why he  
14 didn't tell the truth, you know, was frankly of  
15 secondary concern.

16 Q And I think you told us earlier, though, that when  
17 Mr. Henderson went out to see Mr. Wilson the  
18 thinking at the time was, or the reason that was  
19 gonna be put forward to him was that "you were  
20 manipulated, coerced and bullied by the police,  
21 that's why you lied"?

22 A Gotta give him an out, gotta give him a door to go  
23 through.

24 Q And so that was put to him as an out, and I think  
25 when we went through yesterday, the Minister when



1 reviewing that was saying "we tested that and we  
2 didn't find the reason to be credible, therefore"  
3 -- and I know you disagree with that but that  
4 seemed to be their reasoning?

5 A Well I mean look, the Commissioner will make the,  
6 make the findings. We can debate what happened on  
7 the weekend of May 24th as to whether it was  
8 coercive. It may not have been rubber hose  
9 coercive, but there's certainly an argument or a  
10 discussion one can have about the tactics that  
11 were used, and bearing in mind that some of those  
12 tactics probably played right into the hand of who  
13 this character was.

14 Q Right. And, again, we'll hear from Professor Boyd  
15 on his views. If you can go to 130861, just a  
16 couple of other points. I think, in this report,  
17 the, and in particular doctor -- well he was not a  
18 doctor then -- but Mr. Rossmo did a profiling of  
19 the Fisher crimes, is that correct, that he went  
20 through and looked at them and analysed them and  
21 reached some conclusions, and that would have been  
22 part of the work; is that right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And in fact 130866, and I won't go through that  
25 because we'll hear from him, but Psychological and



1           Geographic Profiling of Sex Offenders, and talks  
2 about -- and we'll see on the next page this is  
3 where the term "punishment rapist" comes in, and I  
4 believe this was in part the tact that Mr. Wolch  
5 took with Larry Fisher when he testified at the  
6 reference, is that correct, some of the  
7 information from Dr. Rossmo's work on this point?

8           A       Yes.

9           Q       And then, finally, 130870. Boyd and Rossmo talk  
10 about 690 and they comment on the process and the  
11 need for reform. I won't go through it with you,  
12 we'll go through it with them, but they provided  
13 some commentary on that. Would you have had --  
14 and when I say "you" I'm talking about you or  
15 Mr. Wolch or the Milgaards -- have had some input  
16 into the specific areas that Boyd and Rossmo would  
17 look into; do you recall?

18          A       Umm, I don't recall, I -- my recollection is that  
19 they were pretty independent-minded. Umm, we, we  
20 -- and my recollection is that we were pretty  
21 responsive to their work.

22          Q       If we can go to 336197, and again that's October,  
23 we're gonna move into November. And this is a  
24 tape October-November 1991, and if we can go to  
25 page 336230, and this was a conversation between



1 Mrs. Milgaard and Mr. Wolch about discussions with  
2 Mr. MacFarlane. And if we can go to the next  
3 page. And would it be fair to say, at this time,  
4 that Mr. Wolch would be having discussions with  
5 Mr. MacFarlane and others at Justice? This is, I  
6 think, November of 1991, around this -- and you as  
7 well?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Did Mr. Wolch have the primary relationship or  
10 contact with Bruce MacFarlane?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And there is a discussion here about, I think,  
13 sort of updating Mrs. Milgaard about a discussion,  
14 and Mr. Wolch says:

15 "... and I'm telling you this between  
16 you and I and, you know, obviously David  
17 and I talked about it --",

18 I'm assuming that's you:

19 "... but between you and I, his -- the  
20 conversation made me feel optimistic."

21 "... and optimistic in the sense that my  
22 reasoned guess is that we'll know  
23 something within about ten days."

24 And then the next page there is a discussion,  
25 here, about, umm, Mr. MacFarlane confiding in



1 Mr. Wolch that he was on our side and that it was  
2 two to one, or:

3 "It was two to one against. You can --  
4 well, the decision-makers were himself,  
5 Corbett, and Williams, and it was a  
6 split decision, he was on side then so  
7 converting him now is irrelevant."

8 I'm wondering what knowledge you have, Mr. Asper,  
9 of your own about this idea that Corbett,  
10 MacFarlane, and Williams, and we see it in some  
11 of the articles, either voted on this or were  
12 somehow on one side or the other both on the  
13 first or the second application. Are you able to  
14 tell us what you knew?

15 A I didn't know anything specifically in the sense  
16 that there was a committee of three that was  
17 deciding this application or was deciding on the  
18 recommendation of the Minister.

19 Q Sorry, let's just go back to the first application  
20 that was rejected, did you have any information or  
21 knowledge about whether the people in Justice,  
22 where they stood on it?

23 A I could guess.

24 Q And when you --

25 A I didn't have any concrete information, but I



1 was -- it seemed pretty clear to me where people  
2 stood.

3 Q And on what do you base that?

4 A Demeanour, words, --

5 Q Okay.

6 A -- attitude.

7 Q And on the first application did you have any  
8 information that, or perception that one or more  
9 Justice officials were favourable to your  
10 position, --

11 A Umm --

12 Q -- or in favour of granting you remedy?

13 A Well, I can't say that I -- Mr. MacFarlane was by  
14 far the most congenial and informative and  
15 helpful, I would say. I wouldn't have taken from  
16 that necessarily that he had formed a view, umm,  
17 but I would feel comfortable in saying that, based  
18 on the conversations and interaction with Messrs.  
19 Williams and Corbett, that they would not have  
20 been favourable to our application.

21 Q Did you have any information or knowledge on the  
22 first or the second application that these Justice  
23 officials voted on the matter or that that's how  
24 issues were decided by the Justice officials?

25 A No. No. We -- I think this was the first time,



1           this transcript that you are showing me would be  
2           the first time that we heard that.

3           Q       Okay. Well, and that's what I am trying to get  
4           at, did Mr. Wolch advise you of any -- I'm trying  
5           to find out what you knew at the time or what  
6           information you had at --

7           A       At the time of this conversation?

8           Q       No, this is --

9           A       At the time of the conversation you are showing  
10          me, this would have been the first time any of us  
11          knew that there had been this vote.

12          Q       Okay, and that's what I am trying to get at, would  
13          your -- the information you had about the  
14          workings, or whether there was a vote or not,  
15          would that come from Mr. Wolch or would it come  
16          from any contact you had with MacFarlane, Corbett,  
17          or Williams?

18          A       Oh, Mr. Wolch.

19          Q       And what do you recall Mr. Wolch telling you about  
20          this issue of where Corbett, Rutherford,  
21          MacFarlane and Williams stood?

22          A       I don't recall this specific conversation but, as  
23          we were moving closer toward the re-opening, I do  
24          recall the general discussion about how the first  
25          application had gone.



1 Q And what -- what -- what do you recall being told?

2 A He just told me that there had been, that there  
3 had been a group of three, and that two had voted  
4 against us, and Williams and Corbett were the two.

5 Q And who voted for you?

6 A MacFarlane, I believe.

7 Q And this is information that Mr. Wolch told you?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And where did Mr. Wolch tell you he got that  
10 information from?

11 A Where were we when he told me?

12 Q No, I'm sorry, where did he get that information  
13 from?

14 A Oh, I'm assuming Justice, someone from the  
15 Department of Justice.

16 Q Mr. MacFarlane?

17 A I would assume so.

18 Q So you didn't hear anything directly --

19 A No.

20 Q -- other than through Mr. Wolch?

21 A No.

22 Q And so that was your information on the first  
23 application, what about the second application,  
24 and this is the time frame November of '91; did  
25 you have any -- did you learn anything from



1 Mr. Wolch about where --

2 A Well --

3 Q -- he was informed Justice officials were at?

4 A Well I believe this was early November 1991?

5 Q Yes.

6 A I have had my, we have had our baby at this point,  
7 and I wasn't working. I don't recall, and I think  
8 this is why Mr. Wolch is actually dealing with  
9 Mrs. Milgaard, because I wasn't part of these  
10 discussions.

11 Q Yeah, no, and I appreciate you are not part of  
12 this call and the reason I put it up there is to  
13 find out what you knew about it and whether you  
14 could inform us about what information the  
15 Milgaard group was getting from Justice officials.

16 A There wasn't information, there wasn't any  
17 information going to the group per se, there was  
18 information going to Hersh and my -- and either to  
19 me directly or through Hersh and then to Joyce,  
20 and you've seen some snippet of it as to what we  
21 were talking about with Justice.

22 The principal, as I recall the  
23 principal conversation evolved to framing, framing  
24 the reference itself as opposed to issues of law  
25 that we had been dealing with on Fisher and other



1 matters.

2 Q And I'll, and I will take you to some of those  
3 documents. If we could go to 165713, this is a  
4 news release of November 4th, '91, and there's  
5 some other documents that suggest -- and I'll just  
6 put this to you, Mr. Asper, and see if you can  
7 shed some light on this. I think around this time  
8 Mrs. Milgaard was planning to go to Ottawa and do  
9 something in Parliament, whether it was lobby or  
10 protest or do something, and I think there was  
11 some discussion that, lookit, things are  
12 progressing to a meeting?

13 A There was, there was a plan to make a large  
14 presence, as large a presence as possible known on  
15 Parliament Hill, and we instructed Mrs. Milgaard  
16 absolutely to stop it and not do it.

17 Q And I think there was some information in the  
18 media that this was gonna happen; is that right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And this news release says that Mrs. Milgaard has  
21 cancelled plans to travel to Ottawa and:

22 "Mrs. Milgaard, who has recently been in  
23 ill health due to emotional stress, is  
24 very encouraged by this development ..."  
25 and it's talking about some meetings. So this



1 news release would be put out to (a) --

2 A To stop it.

3 Q To stop it. Go to 157132. This is a November  
4 6th, 1991 letter from Mr. Wolch just confirming  
5 that there is a meeting on Monday, November 11th,  
6 1991. And if we can go to 157134. And this is a  
7 November 7th letter from Mr. MacFarlane, it  
8 confirms the meeting and confirms that Rutherford,  
9 Williams, and MacFarlane will be present, and then  
10 if we can scroll down. He says:

11 "To bring some focus to the discussions,  
12 you may wish to give some advance  
13 thought to two aspects of the case. The  
14 first involves the extent, if any, to  
15 which the evidence relating to the  
16 actions of Larry Fisher has relevance to  
17 the basis on which David Milgaard was  
18 convicted for Gail Miller's murder. Put  
19 another way, is there a nexus between  
20 the activities of Fisher and the guilt  
21 of David Milgaard sufficient to permit  
22 the Minister to consider that evidence  
23 in disposing of the second application  
24 for mercy. If you feel that a nexus  
25 does exist, what is the nature and



1 extent of that nexus?"

2 And:

3 "The second aspect of the case concerns  
4 the original statement provided by  
5 Nichol John to the police in 1969.

6 While John did not adopt portions of the  
7 statement on the stand at trial, some  
8 aspects of the statement are confirmed  
9 by other evidence led at trial. To what  
10 extent is the Minister of Justice  
11 entitled to take this statement into  
12 account in her consideration of the  
13 second application for mercy?"

14 And do you recall considering those issues at  
15 that time?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And I think that the first issue is "tell us how  
18 you think the Fisher information plays, is  
19 relevant to the second application"?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And the second one -- and we haven't talked about  
22 this yet -- is "to what extent can the Minister,  
23 in considering the application, look at Nichol  
24 John's sworn statement of May 24th in light of the  
25 fact that she didn't adopt parts of the statement



1 at trial"; do you remember that being an issue for  
2 discussion?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And I think, correct me if I'm wrong, what Justice  
5 was saying to you, umm, "lookit, I think we need  
6 to look at that and what she now says about it,  
7 even though it wasn't evidence at trial it might  
8 be relevant to the issue today"; is that fair?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And do you recall what position you took, or you  
11 and Mr. Wolch took, on that?

12 A Umm, we took two positions. The first position  
13 was that Nichol John's statement had to be false  
14 because Larry Fisher committed the crime, not  
15 David Milgaard. Umm, and secondly, we took the  
16 position that even if you accept Nichol John's  
17 statement, as we've discussed earlier in my  
18 evidence, there were -- there were many, many --  
19 the vast majority of the aspects of her statement  
20 were just physically, demonstrably not true, not  
21 possible.

22 Q If I could just take a step back, and I think the,  
23 the procedural issue was can we even -- can we go  
24 behind her trial evidence and look at the sworn  
25 statement and test that part of the statement that



1           wasn't adopted at trial?

2           A           Yeah, but you have to appreciate what -- the first  
3           point that I made.

4           Q           Yeah.

5           A           And it's quite clear the Department of Justice was  
6           fixated on Nichol John, there is no question about  
7           that I don't think, but Nichol -- our first  
8           position was that Nichol John's statement is  
9           meaningless in the sense that it implicates  
10          David -- it purported to implicate, and Justice  
11          was looking at it as though it did implicate,  
12          David Milgaard for a murder that Larry Fisher  
13          committed.

14          Q           Let me try and --

15          A           So our first position was you don't -- it's not  
16          relevant any more.

17          Q           Let me try this again. Just for the purpose of  
18          framing the issue let's put aside the Larry Fisher  
19          issue, and I hear your point on that, and I  
20          think -- let me be devil's advocate for a moment.  
21          If Justice says "okay, but let's say you are wrong  
22          on that", and I know you disagree, --

23          A           Right.

24          Q           -- put that aside, --

25          A           Right.



1 Q -- "when we go to the Minister to consider your  
2 application for mercy can we go and look at what  
3 Nichol John now says in relation to the sworn  
4 statement, in other words even though it was not  
5 adopted at trial, if she now can shed some light  
6 on that and either" -- and she didn't, but let's  
7 say for example she says, "you know what, I now  
8 remember, and here's why I didn't say it at  
9 trial", I think what Justice is saying is can the  
10 Minister look at that?

11 A I --

12 Q Can the Minister consider what --

13 A Right.

14 Q -- Nichol John now says about her statement --

15 A Right.

16 Q -- and consider more than what the trier of fact  
17 had at the time of trial from her?

18 A Right, I understand, and my -- I don't recall  
19 discussing that specifically, but my assumption  
20 would be that in light of the fact that we were  
21 asking the minister to consider a whole range of  
22 things that were beyond the purview of, or beyond  
23 the evidence at the trial, that we would have  
24 accepted that, although I do recall we were very  
25 concerned that we had been excluded from the



1 entire process during which time Nichol John was  
2 questioned and hypnotized and --

3 Q And I'll get to that --

4 A And whatever else.

5 Q -- because I think the evidence at the reference  
6 suggests that that matter was looked into, that  
7 not only did Nichol John testify, but a doctor,  
8 Dr. Fleming testified, and so the Supreme Court  
9 and the reference did hear and get into the  
10 question of the unadopted portions of her  
11 statement.

12 A Well, I'm not sure how we could argue that  
13 information obtained about, from her after the  
14 trial should not be considered while at the same  
15 time asking the minister to consider a whole bunch  
16 of evidence that we had given from other  
17 witnesses.

18 Q So do I take it from that that your position ended  
19 up being yes, that's fair game to be considered?

20 A I think that was our position.

21 Q Yeah.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: By the Supreme  
23 Court or by Justice officials before the Supreme  
24 Court or by both?

25 A Well, at this point we were talking about the



1 Minister of Justice I think alone.

2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Right. Okay.

3 BY MR. HODSON:

4 Q And I think maybe if I can just follow up on that.  
5 My understanding is that the Minister of Justice  
6 was saying I'm going to send an issue to the  
7 Supreme Court for advice and if the Supreme Court  
8 can consider it, then I can consider it, so one  
9 couldn't without the other?

10 A Right.

11 Q Is that correct?

12 A Right.

13 Q And in fact I think we have seen in some of the  
14 announcements, I think Minister Campbell, when she  
15 announced the reference, talked about the fact  
16 that there were matters that had come to her  
17 attention that were not on the public record that  
18 had to be put through this reference, and I'm  
19 assuming that what she's referring to is the  
20 Nichol John information and the hypnosis and  
21 things of that nature. Do you agree with that?

22 A I don't know.

23 Q Don't know that? I'll maybe show you that  
24 document a bit later and we may hear from other  
25 witnesses on that point. 334244, or actually,



1           sorry, go to 004313. The doc ID is 312 but go to  
2           313. Actually, no, I'm sorry, let me back up,  
3           334244. And this is a letter to Mr. Wolch --  
4           sorry, no, let me back up. I'm getting my --  
5           that's December 9th. Sorry, if we can go back to  
6           157134. Do you recall attending this meeting in  
7           Ottawa then on November 11th?

8           A           Vaguely, yes. I recall being there.

9           Q           And again it would be with Mr. Williams,  
10          Mr. Rutherford and Mr. MacFarlane and you and Mr.  
11          Wolch?

12          A           Yes.

13          Q           And do you know what would have been discussed at  
14          that meeting?

15          A           I just recall -- yeah, I'm sure there was a broad  
16          range of issues discussed. I recall Mr. Wolch  
17          taking the group through, inch by inch, the  
18          Fisher, the chart of Fisher's activities and  
19          challenging the Justice officials that he could  
20          prosecute Fisher on that basis and that there was  
21          some degree of frustration as to why we were still  
22          contorting ourselves over what seemed to be such  
23          an obvious and plain piece of information that  
24          should get over any obstacle.

25          Q           And so was the issue there to what extent could



1           this Larry Fisher information be used in two  
2           respects; one, could it have been used at David  
3           Milgaard's original trial, and two, is it  
4           information that should be relevant to the  
5           minister's consideration of your application for  
6           mercy?

7           A        You framed that in formal terms.  Yes, I think  
8           that's a fair way to say it.

9           Q        And the issue being discussed is Mr. Wolch was  
10          saying there's enough information here in my  
11          opinion to prosecute Larry Fisher for these crimes  
12          and convict him as well?

13          A        Yes.

14          Q        And therefore that should meet any test --

15          A        Yes.

16          Q        -- of getting that evidence in on either a trial  
17          or an application for mercy?

18          A        Yes.

19          Q        And the Justice position was what?

20          A        Justice didn't see it that way.

21          Q        Were they expressing concern that it would be  
22          relevant at a trial of David Milgaard if there had  
23          been a new trial or --

24          A        My recollection is that Mr. Williams was debating  
25          with us whether in fact there was similar acts.



1 Q I believe there's a reference that at that meeting  
2 they showed you the tape of Nichol John's  
3 hypnosis. Do you remember that?

4 A I don't recall that.

5 Q And I'll find the document a bit later, but I  
6 think she was hypnotized twice, one by a Dr. Pulos  
7 in Vancouver and then later by a Dr. Orne in  
8 Philadelphia in early '92. Do you recall being  
9 aware of that or viewing any of the hypnosis?

10 A Generally I recall it, but I don't -- I don't  
11 recall seeing the tapes.

12 Q At this November 11th meeting, or at any other  
13 discussion or meeting around this time, do you  
14 recall what the Justice officials, what weight or  
15 what view they were taking with respect to Nichol  
16 John and the evidence she might be able to give at  
17 that time?

18 A Well, my recollection, and it's very general, is  
19 that Mr. Williams, as I've said before, seemed to  
20 be fixated on Nichol John's evidence and seemed to  
21 take it at its face value. Not the evidence at  
22 the trial, but the statement in which she claimed  
23 to see David commit the murder, and he was bound  
24 and determined to elicit that and that that was  
25 the truth.



1 Q If we could go to 157138. While that document is  
2 coming up, at the November 11th meeting were there  
3 discussions about a remedy and about sending it to  
4 a Court and, if so, what Court and what question?  
5 Do you remember any of that?

6 A I think there was some general discussion about  
7 that.

8 Q And would it be fair to say, and I'll go through  
9 Section 690 a bit later, but there were really  
10 three potential remedies, actually a fourth, but  
11 three remedies, one would be to direct a new  
12 trial, and that would be 690(a), (b) would be to  
13 send it back to the Court of Appeal as if it were  
14 an appeal, and (c) would be to send a reference to  
15 a Court of Appeal for advice, for an opinion for  
16 the minister; correct?

17 A Right.

18 Q And the fourth one I think is what happened, that  
19 it ended up going to the Supreme Court because  
20 690(c) didn't specifically allow the minister to  
21 send it to the Supreme Court, they relied on the  
22 Supreme Court Act to send it there, but it was  
23 basically the same purpose. Is that your  
24 understanding of what happened?

25 A Yes.



1 Q So back to -- so would there be discussions about  
2 should this be a new trial, should it be an  
3 appeal, should it be a reference? Do you remember  
4 any of that?

5 A I recall there being a problem with Saskatchewan  
6 as a venue because of Justice Tallis' presence on  
7 the Court of Appeal, I think there was some  
8 discussion about the feasibility of a trial given  
9 the passage of time and the unavailability of  
10 witnesses and exhibits, but I don't recall us  
11 getting to the discussion of where a reference  
12 might occur.

13 Q Was your position, do you recall, at the time,  
14 that an appeal, the 690(b) remedy, which would be  
15 to send it to allow you to bring an appeal, did  
16 you -- I think there's some documents which  
17 suggest you said no, we don't want that, we want a  
18 reference because on an appeal we bear the onus of  
19 proof, etcetera. Do you recall that?

20 A I don't recall that, but that --

21 Q I'll show you some documents.

22 A I accept that as being the case.

23 Q This is a November 14th letter from -- actually,  
24 this one is from you to Mr. MacFarlane and this is  
25 where you indicate you are going to set forth your



1 position with respect to the witness, Nichol John.

2 You say:

3 "In our view, the manner which Ms. John  
4 testified at the trial was considerably  
5 more harmful to Milgaard's defence than  
6 if she had testified true to her  
7 statement of May 24, 1969. The  
8 statement is a blatant lie which is  
9 contradicted by Wilson, the police and  
10 common sense."

11 And I think what you are saying here, Mr.  
12 Milgaard would have been better off if she had  
13 adopted the statement at trial, that was your  
14 view at the time?

15 A Apparently.

16 Q And so again would this be a response coming out  
17 of the November 11th meeting?

18 A It looks to be, yes.

19 Q Then if we could also go to 157141, and again this  
20 is a letter of the same date by you to Mr.  
21 MacFarlane -- no, I'm sorry, 157141, November  
22 14th, same date, from you to Mr. MacFarlane, you  
23 say:

24 "Further to our meeting in Ottawa, I  
25 have contacted Professor Neil Boyd, and



1           have requested that he provide me with a  
2           transcript of his interview with Ronald  
3           Dale Wilson. He is agreed, and I assume  
4           that it is on its way to me. Once I  
5           have received it, I will in turn forward  
6           it to you."

7           And then:

8           "Insofar as the Centurion Ministries  
9           material relating to Fisher, it seems  
10          that what you have is a complete and  
11          transcribed version of notes made during  
12          the conversations with the various  
13          victims. As I believe I indicated to  
14          you during our meeting, we did not want  
15          to structure the interviews with the  
16          victims as a statement-taking session,  
17          and wanted to make these individuals  
18          feel as comfortable as possible.  
19          Consequently, we have no further  
20          material to provide you in that  
21          respect."

22          And then you talk about a further meeting. And  
23          so do we take it from this that at your meeting  
24          of November 11th Justice asked for disclosure of  
25          some items from you?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And the first one was Neil Boyd had interviewed  
3 Ron Wilson, is that right, and there was I think  
4 a, you are saying you are going to get a  
5 transcript?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And as well they were looking for information from  
8 Centurion Ministries; is that right?

9 A Yes. You can see they are starting to work with  
10 us here.

11 Q Go to 336312 and this is a tape -- just for the  
12 record, the redacted means that there's parts of  
13 this transcript that were personal and irrelevant  
14 so they've been removed before they were put up.  
15 If you can go to 336352 and there's a discussion  
16 here between you and Mrs. Milgaard, I think it is  
17 mid November, 1991. In fact, we can go to the  
18 next page and you say here, 'And -- you know, and  
19 I guess then the other question was, should the  
20 reference under "C" simply be a broad was there a  
21 miscarriage of justice, or should it enumerate --  
22 should it be framed sort of as follows: After  
23 considering the following things, and then list  
24 all the things, is it your opinion that the  
25 conviction of David Milgaard was a miscarriage of



1 justice? Now, the problem there was, that when  
2 you enumerate all the things to consider, it might  
3 be difficult and might require subsequent  
4 references by the Minister if new things arise, so  
5 I'm not sure how you actually word it.'

6 And then if we can go ahead to  
7 page 336358 and this is a further discussion on  
8 this issue, and you say, 'Well, I asked David  
9 about it when I went up to see him. I asked him  
10 to think about the scope, like what does he want  
11 out of this hearing? Does he want to deal with  
12 the evidence? Do we want to include police  
13 misconduct? You know, do we want the whole  
14 charade. It'll be -- because --' and then, '--  
15 you've got to remember a reference under "C" the  
16 way we're thinking will blend -- the evidentiary  
17 questions --' if we can scroll down. 'It'll blend  
18 the evidentiary issues, you know, (i.e., you know,  
19 what evidence is there left against David, if  
20 any), but also can speak to questions of police  
21 misconduct, non-disclosure by the Crown --' 'All  
22 that stuff,' '-- the whole thing. Now, let me ask  
23 you a question,' and it carries on.

24 And just for the record, if we  
25 bring up 335463, go to the next page, and can you



1 confirm, Mr. Asper, that when you are talking to  
2 Mrs. Milgaard about (a), (b) and (c), that you are  
3 referring to the Section 690 provision? When you  
4 talk about (c), you are talking about a reference  
5 to a Court on any question which desires the --

6 A Yes.

7 Q Yes?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And so do I take it from that conversation that  
10 what you were discussing with Mrs. Milgaard, and  
11 even with David Milgaard, is what is the scope of  
12 the reference we want or we would like to have,  
13 and that under a (c) reference, that it would  
14 cover police misconduct, Crown misconduct as part  
15 of it; is that a fair --

16 A Potentially, yes.

17 Q Potentially. And do you recall whether that was  
18 your desire, to go under (c) and have a reference  
19 that would be perhaps broader?

20 A I don't recall.

21 Q Go to 157143, and this is a November 19th, 1991  
22 letter from you to Mr. MacFarlane, and it says:

23 "Further to our recent meeting --"

24 Which I'm assuming was the November 11th, but  
25 might there have been a following meeting or do



1           you remember?

2           A           I don't think there was.

3           Q           And again you mention here, this is about Nichol  
4                   John, and keep in mind that I showed you that  
5                   letter earlier where Justice said to you lookit,  
6                   what is the scope of what the minister and/or a  
7                   Court can inquire into with respect to Nichol  
8                   John, and you say:

9                                 "While we can totally sympathize with  
10                                the desire to refresh her memory, our  
11                               view is that it is being aimed in the  
12                               wrong direction. If her memory was to  
13                               be refreshed it should be in the area of  
14                               the police interrogation and the  
15                               suggestions that were made to her in  
16                               order to obtain the false statement."

17           And then you enclose a number of articles there,  
18           and then you say:

19                                "It is our view that to hold back the  
20                               decision now while awaiting some  
21                               assistance from hypnosis would not be  
22                               fruitful because the options are the  
23                               following:

- 24                               1. There will be no recall.  
25                               2. In the event of recall, and



1                   presumably recall negative to David  
2                   Milgaard, you would be confronted with  
3                   firstly, the problem that hypnosis does  
4                   not necessarily bring out the truth, and  
5                   secondly, how do we obtain an  
6                   opportunity to confront the sudden  
7                   recall?"

8                   And I'm wondering, Mr. Asper, at this time we  
9                   have seen from other documents that around this  
10                  time Federal Justice had set up one hypnosis of  
11                  Nichol John, had arranged for her to meet a  
12                  Dr. Fleming, a Dr. Perry I believe, or for  
13                  Dr. Perry to review something, and then in  
14                  January another hypnosis. Am I correct that one  
15                  of the matters being raised here is that Justice  
16                  said we might wait to have a reference or a  
17                  remedy until this work is done?

18                A            Yes.

19                Q            And tell us what you recall about their decision  
20                  on that?

21                A            I think they wanted to just wait until they had  
22                  completed all their work with Nichol John, I think  
23                  that was their position.

24                Q            Before sending it to a court or granting a remedy?

25                A            Right.



1 Q And your position is don't wait because it's not  
2 going to matter?

3 A Right.

4 Q Is that fair?

5 A Right.

6 Q And as well it appears that you raised the issue  
7 here that rather than, I'm paraphrasing, rather  
8 than looking at how to get the memory out, maybe  
9 you should look at how the memory got in there; is  
10 that fair?

11 A Yes.

12 Q If we can go to 147145, page 3, I want to go  
13 through this part with you because it relates to  
14 the scope of the reference. Is it fair to say  
15 that Federal Justice invited you, or when I say  
16 you, I mean the Milgaards, you and Mr. Wolch, to  
17 provide your thoughts or position on the scope of  
18 a reference for their consideration? They were  
19 seeking input from you?

20 A Right.

21 Q And you say:

22 "Should the Minister decide that this is  
23 a matter that requires re-opening, we  
24 appreciate the dilemma posed as to the  
25 most appropriate manner of re-opening.



1 We appreciate how difficult the matter  
2 is considering that this case is unlike,  
3 in terms of its number of issues, other  
4 cases, such as Nepoose. In a general  
5 sense our view is that an inquiry that  
6 is not hampered by strict rules is the  
7 best way to determine the issues and we  
8 would like to avoid duplicity of effort.  
9 Accordingly, if the Minister feels that  
10 justice would best be served by an  
11 inquiry whose mandate is to answer  
12 specific questions, we would certainly  
13 voice no objection.

14 Presumably this discussion is  
15 confined to the parameters of Section  
16 690 of The Criminal Code, and within  
17 that section we take the view that  
18 subsection (c) is probably the  
19 preferable approach because of its wider  
20 latitude. It seems that the Marshall  
21 experience has taught that a subsection  
22 (b) reference may not necessarily  
23 resolve the many issues as to the reason  
24 for a wrongful conviction. We have  
25 given this matter a great deal of



1 thought, and ultimately are persuaded by  
2 the following passage from the report of  
3 the Commission of Enquiry into the  
4 Marshall matter:

5 "As a practical matter, this decision to  
6 refer under Section 617(b) left Marshall  
7 with the burden of preparing and  
8 presenting the case to prove his own  
9 innocence. This reinforced the  
10 adversarial nature of an appeal, and it  
11 served to limit the issues canvassed  
12 before the Court. Although both  
13 Governments felt that a full public  
14 airing was essential, the Section 617(b)  
15 appeal effectively confined the public  
16 hearing to the facts of the incident,  
17 and precluded a complete examination of  
18 why the wrongful conviction occurred.

19 Given that all parties agreed  
20 that a Section 617(c) reference was  
21 preferable, that fresh evidence should  
22 be admitted, that a full airing of all  
23 issues was necessary, and that  
24 appropriate executive action could  
25 follow with respect to any or all of



1                   those issues, we believe it is  
2                   regrettable that officials in the  
3                   Department of Justice were influenced by  
4                   the views of the Chief Justice in  
5                   determining the final form of the  
6                   Reference."

7                   And again, is it fair -- that would have been  
8                   your position put forward, Mr. Asper?

9           A           Yes.

10          Q           And so I think the Marshall Enquiry, I think in  
11                   that case it ended up, was supposed to be a (c)  
12                   reference, it went to a (b) reference and I think  
13                   the Commission of Enquiry later said that that  
14                   placed a higher burden on Mr. Marshall; is that --

15          A           That's correct.

16          Q           Paraphrasing. So here, and secondly, I think in  
17                   the Marshall Enquiry, what they said is if you go  
18                   to a (c) reference, you can look into why --

19          A           It's the last sentence of the first paragraph, why  
20                   the wrongful conviction occurred.

21          Q           Yeah, I'm sorry. And so in this case your  
22                   position, if I'm correct, is go under (c) and have  
23                   a reference and deal with all issues?

24          A           Yes.

25          Q           And if we can go to the next page, you say:



1 "Finally, insofar as the Wilson  
2 transcript is concerned --"

3 And you recall the last letter I showed you they  
4 asked you to get the transcript of the Boyd  
5 interview of Ron Wilson?

6 A Yes.

7 Q You say:

8 "Insofar as the Wilson transcript is  
9 concerned, it is our understanding that  
10 although Professor Boyd provided us with  
11 a copy of same, his understanding with  
12 Wilson was that the discussion was only  
13 for the purpose of the preparation of  
14 Professor Boyd's report, and  
15 consequently we are not at liberty to  
16 provide it to you. Indeed, we are not  
17 even supposed to have it. We can advise  
18 however that it really does not shed any  
19 new light on anything except perhaps to  
20 explain in greater depth why he lied at  
21 David Milgaard's trial. The reason is a  
22 combination of selfishness and fear."

23 And I take it that despite the request from  
24 Federal Justice, the transcript of Professor  
25 Boyd's interview of Ron Wilson was not provided



1 to them?

2 A That appears to be the case.

3 Q Do you have any recollection?

4 A No.

5 Q If we can call up 336312, this is tape 47, and  
6 it's around November 19th, 1991 which I think is  
7 the date of the letter, if we can go to 336361,  
8 it's a discussion between you and Mrs. Milgaard,  
9 you say, 'I got a transcript of that conversation.  
10 It's -- you know, it's really nothing. You know,  
11 he says that he and David had been taking acid the  
12 day of the trip and he can't remember whether they  
13 were coming down when they left or just on their  
14 way up.' Mrs. Milgaard, 'Well that's something  
15 that --' and you say, 'I don't think that that  
16 really matters.' Mrs. Milgaard, 'I don't even  
17 think that that's true.' You say, 'Well, I don't  
18 think it matters.' Mrs. Milgaard, 'Then why are  
19 we putting this in. This could be a real  
20 negative. That wasn't in the report.' You say,  
21 'It wasn't in which report.' 'His report.'  
22 'Wilson's report.' Mrs. Milgaard, 'Boyd's  
23 report.' Mrs. Milgaard, 'Don't give them  
24 something that they don't need. What positive  
25 aspect is there of it.' You say, "Oh, I mean, the



1 whole -- I mean, he gives a pretty detailed --'  
2 and Mrs. Milgaard, 'But they have the Boyd  
3 report.'

4 And it appears -- I think that's  
5 all on that transcript that relates to it. Was  
6 the reason the transcript of Professor Boyd's  
7 interview of Ron Wilson was not provided to  
8 Federal Justice because of this reference to,  
9 where Wilson said that he and Mr. Milgaard were on  
10 acid on the trip, or the trip to Saskatoon?

11 A I don't recall. Based on my correspondence with  
12 Mr. MacFarlane, it looks like we weren't supposed  
13 to even have it, let alone disclose it, and that  
14 may have been on the basis of some promise that  
15 Mr. Boyd had made to Mr. Wilson or Mr. Watson.

16 Q Do you recall if that transcript of Ron Wilson's  
17 interview was provided to Federal Justice or  
18 included in the Supreme Court reference?

19 A I don't recall.

20 Q I don't know the answer to that, I'll maybe check,  
21 or check over the break to see whether that was  
22 listed in the reference materials. You don't know  
23 whether it was or not?

24 A I don't.

25 Q Go to 157840, this is the minister's letter I



1 think of November 28th, and it talks about your  
2 letter and also the November 11th, '91 meeting and  
3 your submissions, and then it goes on to talk  
4 about the remedy she's going to provide, and if we  
5 can go to 157842, this is the order and it goes on  
6 to the preamble, and then if we can just go to  
7 the -- actually, just at the bottom, the hearing,  
8 submit to the Supreme Court for hearing and  
9 consideration the following questions:

10 "(a) upon a review and consideration of  
11 the judicial record, the Reference Case  
12 that will be filed before this Court,  
13 and such further or other evidence as  
14 the Court, in its discretion, may  
15 receive and consider, does the continued  
16 conviction of David Milgaard in  
17 Saskatoon, Saskatchewan for the murder  
18 of Gail Miller, in the opinion of the  
19 Court, constitute a miscarriage of  
20 justice?

21 (b) depending on the answer to the first  
22 question, what remedial action under the  
23 Criminal Code, if any, is advisable?"

24 Now, do you recall, Mr. Asper, would you have  
25 had, and when I say you, let's include Mr. Wolch,



1           have had some input into the framing of the  
2           reference and the questions?

3           A        I don't think -- I think we were invited to give  
4           the Department of Justice our view, but once the  
5           Department of Justice had decided what it was  
6           going to do, I don't think we were part of it.

7           Q        And the reason I ask, your letter to Mr.  
8           MacFarlane that says we would like a reference  
9           under section (c), other than the fact it's to the  
10          Supreme Court instead of a Court of Appeal, it  
11          would appear that that's what the minister  
12          ordered; is that correct?

13          A        Not quite.

14          Q        Okay.

15          A        The minister ordered a reference under the Supreme  
16          Court Act.

17          Q        Right. But apart from the fact that it was under  
18          the Supreme Court Act, because she couldn't do it  
19          under 690 to the Supreme Court?

20          A        Right.

21          Q        And so in order to send it to the Supreme Court,  
22          it's my understanding that she had to go under the  
23          Supreme Court Act?

24          A        Right.

25          Q        And apart from that distinction, was it



1           essentially what you had put forward as the scope  
2           of the reference that you would like?

3           A       Well, I guess the answer is yes except that other  
4           than when we actually got to the hearing, my  
5           recollection is that we were more circumscribed as  
6           to the issues than we would have preferred.

7           Q       Okay. And that was in discussions with Mr. Lamer,  
8           Chief Justice Lamer?

9           A       Yes.

10          Q       And we'll get to that. And so --

11          A       So that the 690(c) could have specified the scope  
12          and specified the issues I think, whereas in what  
13          happened we were at the discretion of the Chief  
14          Justice.

15          Q       And as far as the scope of the question I guess  
16          would be had there been a miscarriage of justice;  
17          correct?

18          A       Yes.

19          Q       And putting aside for a moment what the Chief  
20          Justice later said, was it your view, at least  
21          when the order was granted, that this would allow  
22          an inquiry into any matter that pointed to a  
23          miscarriage of justice?

24          A       Yes.

25          Q       So (a), proving David Milgaard is innocent could



1 be one, (b), police misconduct could be a  
2 miscarriage of justice; correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Crown misconduct could be a miscarriage of  
5 justice?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And so at least when the order was granted, is it  
8 fair to say it was your view that you could put  
9 anything forward as far as a grounds for the  
10 miscarriage of justice to get a remedy?

11 A Yes. Initially, yes.

12 Q And then I think what you are saying is later you  
13 felt that the Court, I think your words were,  
14 circumscribed?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And can you just elaborate on that?

17 A My recollection, and I really can't be precise,  
18 and I'll tell you why in a minute, the Chief  
19 Justice wanted to be very precise and very focused  
20 on specific issues and did not want to turn the  
21 reference into an inquiry into all of the issues  
22 and so some issues that we wanted to raise such as  
23 the role of the police were basically not allowed.

24 Q And can you tell me, how did that discussion take  
25 place, was it something you put forward in writing



1 or did you just -- what did he say that caused you  
2 to conclude that?

3 A Well, I think these were discussions among counsel  
4 prior to the reference.

5 Q In the presence of the Chief Justice?

6 A Yes, and the Chief Justice was giving direction to  
7 counsel.

8 Q When you talk about the role of the police, it's  
9 my understanding, at least from reading Ron  
10 Wilson's evidence at the Supreme Court and  
11 Inspector Roberts' evidence, that certainly the  
12 role of the police in getting Wilson's statement  
13 was a matter before the Court; is that right?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And so when you say, I think you said the role of  
16 the police was an issue that you couldn't get  
17 into?

18 A The overall -- yes, the overall role of the police  
19 and the police conduct of the file and subsequent  
20 to the conviction of David Milgaard.

21 Q Okay.

22 A Now, I will tell you, because we're moving to the  
23 Supreme Court, and I was remarking the other day,  
24 I have not read the evidence from the Supreme  
25 Court and I have to be candid with you, that other



1 than Milgaard's escape while in Ottawa and a  
2 couple of moments during the proceedings, I have a  
3 very flawed recollection of what happened at the  
4 Supreme Court.

5 Q And is there, I mean, is there a particular reason  
6 for that?

7 A Well I have a feeling that I had done most of my  
8 job at that point, and we handed the ball to  
9 Mr. Wolch and let him run with it, --

10 Q Okay.

11 A -- and other than providing a support role this  
12 was Mr. Wolch's turn to go to work.

13 Q And why was that decision made?

14 A He was older and wiser and more experienced in the  
15 courtroom.

16 Q You realize you will be cross-examined on that  
17 point, Mr. Asper.

18 A I have truth on my side.

19 Q The -- so, and I think we saw some reference to  
20 that in some articles, that around this time a  
21 decision was made that Mr. Wolch would take over  
22 the lead on the matter; is that right?

23 A Yes, he was a clearly more experienced counsel.

24 Q And so what role did you play in not only the  
25 actual hearings but the preparations and the



1 meetings and the conduct of the reference case?

2 A Umm, I guess I would describe it at that point as  
3 a support role, cataloguing, organizing, helping  
4 Mr. Wolch prepare. We spent a significant amount  
5 of time with, I think, a couple of psychiatrists  
6 preparing for Larry Fisher and trying to get into  
7 the mindset of how to approach that evidence given  
8 its importance, but I was, I was in a support  
9 role.

10 Q And did you appear in Court on the record as  
11 co-counsel with him; were you present during all  
12 the evidence?

13 A Oh yes.

14 Q And were you present at all of the meetings of  
15 counsel with Chief Justice Lamer?

16 A I believe so, yes.

17 Q And I'm not going to ask you any questions about  
18 the evidence that was given during the reference  
19 but I do intend to go through your recollection of  
20 the discussions amongst counsel and the Chief  
21 Justice on some of the preparatory things.

22 A I'll do my best. Sorry, I should also add the  
23 Launa Edwards moments were, the Launa Edwards  
24 moments stick out in my mind as well, but there's  
25 big gaps between.



1 Q And I think you interviewed her on January 8th,  
2 1992 in Vancouver; is that right?

3 A No, I just remember her with the Chief Justice.  
4 That was a special moment.

5 Q If we could go to 334170. And I just want to  
6 point this out, I told you earlier that this was  
7 Kim Campbell's press conference -- or sorry --  
8 Minister Campbell's press conference on November  
9 29th announcing the reference, and I have told you  
10 there was another remark she had made similar to  
11 what she said at the scrum on October 7th, and I  
12 just want to read it to you and I think it's  
13 similar to what you said before. Go to the next  
14 page. And she says:

15 "I am aware of the substantial amount of  
16 public concern and interest that Mr.  
17 Milgaard's application has aroused. I  
18 wish to make it clear that I do not  
19 exercise my discretion in favour of an  
20 applicant based on the amount of public  
21 exposure through the media that a  
22 particular case may receive. Indeed, it  
23 would be quite improper for me to do so.  
24 Decisions of this nature must be based  
25 on a full understanding of evidence, not



1 media reports. That being said, this is  
2 a difficult case which, given the  
3 growing public interest and concern, I  
4 have now decided deserves a judicial and  
5 public examination."

6 And, again, I think there is reference, there's  
7 even reference, sending it to the Court, of words  
8 to the effect of the growing public interest and  
9 concern. And I think this is similar to what she  
10 said before. And would you agree, Mr. Asper,  
11 with the qualification you gave before about why  
12 you had to go this route, that is it fair to say  
13 that you would agree with the Minister's view  
14 about how, in a perfect world, these applications  
15 should and should not be dealt with?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Go to 334244. And this is a letter, I think it's  
18 December 9th, I'm not sure if there is a date on  
19 there but I think it's December 9th, 1991 from  
20 Mr. MacFarlane -- or confirming that there will be  
21 a meeting on Monday, December 9th:

22 "... to discuss some of the procedural  
23 issues concerning the Milgaard  
24 Reference."

25 And then scroll down. It says:



1 "I attach a list of issues that we may  
2 wish to discuss. They range from some  
3 fairly broad and important questions, to  
4 the narrow and somewhat mundane."

5 And then if we can just go to 004313, it is a  
6 similar letter to Ellen Gunn, Department of  
7 Justice, and I -- do you recall attending this  
8 meeting on December 9th, '91 with, presumably,  
9 Mr. MacFarlane and either Ms. Gunn or it might  
10 have been Murray Brown by this time?

11 A I don't recall. I know there were a number of  
12 preliminary meetings. There were a range of  
13 logistical issues that we had to deal with, --

14 Q If we could go --

15 A -- as Mr. MacFarlane says, as well as substantive  
16 issues. They, like they didn't have a witness box  
17 in the Supreme Court, there was issues about how  
18 we were going to build a witness box and where we  
19 were going to put it.

20 Q If you can go to 004312 and go to 314, this is the  
21 list of issues, I think, that Mr. MacFarlane  
22 prepared. Based on your answer about the witness  
23 box, which I think is one of the issues might be  
24 raised in here, but procedural questions, do you  
25 think you would have attended this meeting with



1 Mr. Wolch?

2 A I think so.

3 Q And so, if we can just quickly go through this,  
4 (a) Interventions in the Reference, we know that  
5 Mr. Fisher applied and was granted standing. Do  
6 you recall what other discussions you would have  
7 had about interventions?

8 A No.

9 Q (b) was Mutual disclosure; what do you recall  
10 about what was discussed there?

11 A I don't, I don't recall the specific discussions.

12 Q There is some later correspondence, I think from  
13 Murray Brown and perhaps from some others, that  
14 there was a general agreement of full and mutual  
15 disclosure from all parties; would you agree with  
16 that?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And I think both Federal Justice and the Attorney  
19 General of Saskatchewan both turned over to you  
20 everything they had or everything they said they  
21 had; is that correct?

22 A I assume so, yes.

23 Q And would it be fair to say you received a large  
24 volume of documents?

25 A Oh, we were -- there was a huge volume of



1 documents came in.

2 Q And I think you received the Saskatoon City Police  
3 file for the Gail Miller investigation; is that  
4 right?

5 A I believe so, yes.

6 Q And the prosecutor's file; do you recall receiving  
7 that?

8 A Probably.

9 Q And Mr. Williams, his files, or some of --

10 A I don't -- yeah, probably.

11 Q And what, what did you turn over to Federal  
12 Justice or Saskatchewan Justice, what do you  
13 recall?

14 A I can't recall.

15 Q And in particular we had talked earlier about the  
16 1981 taped interviews of Ron Wilson; do you recall  
17 if those were provided or whether the Justice  
18 departments for Saskatchewan and federal were made  
19 aware of those tapes or provided copies of them?

20 A I can't recall.

21 Q And the Nichol John interview by Tony Merchant; do  
22 you recall if that was --

23 A I have no recollection of that.

24 Q And what about Peter Carlyle-Gordge's files and  
25 his interviews and notes?



1 A I don't know. I just don't recall.

2 Q And as far as some of the taped interviews that  
3 we've had --

4 A I assume there must have been some correspondence  
5 --

6 Q Yeah.

7 A -- listing what we were turning over.

8 Q Who would have been responsible from your group,  
9 then, to coordinate what was given over to the  
10 ministers?

11 A Well I assume between Hersh and I and Joyce, and  
12 we may have had an articling student or two  
13 involved by this point, I can't say for sure.

14 Q Composition of the Court; do you recall anything  
15 --

16 A We were, I will say we were on a very short leash,  
17 and we certainly -- I do recall the need to get  
18 prepared extraordinarily quickly, umm, and we  
19 needed, because Mr. Wolch had not been as keenly  
20 or intimately involved in the run-up to the  
21 reference we needed to get him as completely  
22 prepared for the witnesses as possible, so I may  
23 have been more working with Mr. Wolch on witness  
24 prep than on documents, I just can't say.

25 Q And to the extent that the Commission has the file



1 documents and the correspondence from you or your  
2 firm to, I think it was from Frater that was  
3 coordinating it, that would provide us the  
4 information we need as to what was sent and what  
5 was not sent?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And, apart from the correspondence, are you  
8 telling us that you don't have any recollection  
9 and can't tell us what was or wasn't sent without  
10 referring to a piece of paper?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And is it fair to say that the -- we can rely upon  
13 the correspondence back and forth to reflect what  
14 was provided and what was in the Supreme Court  
15 reference document, the official record, or the  
16 reference file; is that fair?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q Composition of the Court; was there any issue  
19 there?

20 A Don't recall it.

21 Q And, again, we'll speed through some of these.  
22 Timing of the hearing, I think there's some  
23 reference we see where the, I think it was Chief  
24 Justice Lamer who wanted to start right away in  
25 mid-January, is that correct?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And that was a little quicker than you and others  
3 had contemplated; is that fair?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Responsibility for calling witnesses; what do you  
6 recall being discussed there?

7 A Umm, well I, I seem to recall there was an issue  
8 about who, umm, whose witnesses these were, the  
9 people who would come before the Court were, who  
10 would prepare the witness list, and I think this  
11 is what the discussion was about.

12 Q And do you remember what was resolved or what was  
13 agreed to or not agreed to?

14 A I believe we agreed that they would be Department  
15 of Justice witnesses and we would give them lists.

16 Q The federal department?

17 A Federal Department of Justice, and we would give  
18 them a list of who we wanted to call, and then  
19 there would be a discussion among counsel and with  
20 the Chief Justice as to who --

21 Q Who would go first?

22 A -- who would go first.

23 Q And I think we see that in some of the documents  
24 there's correspondence from Mr. Frater to others  
25 saying "give me your witness list"?



1 A Right.

2 Q And do you recall, Mr. Asper, was there any, at  
3 any time during the reference was there any  
4 witness that you or Mr. Wolch or your group wanted  
5 to call at the reference that either the Court or  
6 other parties objected or that you were not able  
7 to call?

8 A Well I don't recall any specific witnesses, but I  
9 think there was a, sort of a class of witnesses,  
10 they being the original investigating officers at  
11 the trial, that I think we were interested in  
12 talking to.

13 Q Okay, I'm sorry, that were not -- my question was  
14 whether there was any witnesses that you wanted to  
15 testify that either -- the Court said "no, we  
16 won't hear from them"?

17 A Yes, I believe that we were looking to call a  
18 group of witnesses that would have allowed us to  
19 explore the entire evolution of the police  
20 investigation both before and after the trial.

21 Q There are some documents that suggest Ray Mackie  
22 was in Arizona and Charles Short was ill and  
23 couldn't attend; is that what you are referring  
24 to?

25 A That may be it, yes.



1 Q And Mr. Karst did testify; correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And so -- and the documents I think reflect  
4 this -- but the reasons that Mr. Short and  
5 Mr. Mackie may not have attended were illness and  
6 out of the country. But, apart from that, did the  
7 Chief Justice, do you recall the Chief Justice of  
8 the Court ever saying "no, you can't call that  
9 witness"?

10 A No, I don't think it was in response to a specific  
11 witness, it was issue -- issue-based, and then,  
12 you know, witnesses attached to an issue.

13 Q And then, okay, were there any issues that the  
14 Chief Justice of the Court said "you know, you  
15 can't get into that issue, therefore you can't  
16 call that witness"?

17 A Yes. My recollection is that the Chief Justice  
18 made it quite clear that we were not going to  
19 conduct a *de facto* inquiry into the original  
20 investigation, into the conduct of police or  
21 prosecution at the original investigation or in  
22 the couple or three, four years following the  
23 conviction.

24 Q And even if that area of inquiry gave rise to a  
25 potential miscarriage of justice, was the Chief



1 Justice saying that you still couldn't get into  
2 that?

3 A That's my recollection, yes.

4 Q Yes. And that would be based on the meetings  
5 with --

6 A Yes, the Chief Justice and counsel.

7 Q And, again, so the calling of witnesses Federal  
8 Justice would coordinate and you could give lists,  
9 and presumably subject to the Court's overriding  
10 ability to deal with that, is that fair?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Costs. It's my understanding that Federal Justice  
13 covered the legal expense or cost for you and  
14 Mr. Wolch to prepare for and conduct the hearing;  
15 is that correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And, as well, perhaps some other parties?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Contents of the Reference Case. Do you remember,  
20 we have seen volumes of that, and is it fair to  
21 say that whatever piece of paper you wanted to put  
22 in on the record you could put in on the record?

23 A Pretty much, yes.

24 Q And I'll show you some documents where I think you  
25 are sending to Mr. Frater, throughout the process,



1 additional documents as you discover them in the  
2 documents you've received from Federal and  
3 Provincial Justice; is that right?

4 A Right.

5 Q Relevance of the Section 690 process to the issues  
6 on the Reference. And is it correct, Mr. Asper,  
7 that -- or let me ask you this; what did this  
8 relate to?

9 A I -- I can't say for sure, but I -- I suspect that  
10 we would have wanted that as part of the broader  
11 inquiry, as to what happened in our application.

12 Q And maybe let me put it a different way. When I  
13 read that I thought it might be the following  
14 issue, that in the reference are you limited to  
15 only put forward miscarriages of justice that were  
16 raised in your first two applications, or could  
17 you go beyond your first two applications? Now  
18 that was only my read of it but --

19 A That may have been, but I think that the point you  
20 are raising may have arisen under a different --

21 Q Okay.

22 A -- heading.

23 Q And what do you recall, if anything, about whether  
24 or not the Supreme Court was prepared to hear  
25 concerns about the 690 process?



1           A           My, well my -- we didn't hear any. As I say, the  
2                       Chief Justice was very -- wanted a very narrow  
3                       hearing.

4           Q           And were you, you talked before about being  
5                       circumscribed a number of issues; do you recall  
6                       whether or not the Court -- and presumably these  
7                       would be in discussions because I don't, I wasn't  
8                       able to find any documents that set out that  
9                       information, now maybe I don't even have them all,  
10                      but presumably those would be in discussions  
11                      between the Chief Justice and counsel where these  
12                      limits may have been put on?

13          A           Yes.

14          Q           And were there any limitations put on to say "well  
15                      you can't raise that issue because you didn't  
16                      include it in your first two applications"?

17          A           There may have been those kinds, yes, that may  
18                      have happened.

19          Q           Do you recall that happening?

20          A           No, I don't.

21          Q           Do you recall anything else about the meeting or  
22                      these issues?

23          A           No.

24          Q           Probably an appropriate spot to break.

25                      *(Adjourned at 12:00 noon)*



1 (Reconvened at 1:34 p.m.)

2 MR. HODSON: Mr. Commissioner, before we  
3 start, I have been informed yesterday that I  
4 misspoke when I indicated that order of counsel  
5 for examination of Mr. Asper had been agreed upon  
6 and it was my mistake. I had -- I don't know  
7 where I got it from, but I had indicated that  
8 there was agreement that Mr. Wolch and Ms. McLean  
9 would go second last and third last. That has  
10 not been canvassed or agreed upon by counsel, so  
11 I simply wish to point that out, and rather than  
12 deal with the issue right now, perhaps at the end  
13 of today we can maybe address the issue of order  
14 of cross-examination so that people know. I'm  
15 hopeful by the end of today I'll give a better  
16 indication that either I'm done or very close to  
17 done, and so rather than deal with the  
18 cross-examination now, the order of  
19 cross-examination, that maybe at 4:30 or at 4:25  
20 we can ask counsel to address that. I can assure  
21 everybody that unfortunately I will still be up  
22 here at 4:25, so no one is going to be asked to  
23 be called upon today.

24 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right.

25 BY MR. HODSON:



1 Q Mr. Asper, this morning we talked about the Neil  
2 Boyd interview transcript of Ron Wilson and I  
3 asked you whether that had been provided to  
4 Federal Justice and put in the reference case, and  
5 I did check, or I had one of our staff check over  
6 the lunch hour and I'm advised that that  
7 transcript is not on the reference case materials,  
8 and again, do you take any issue with that?

9 A No.

10 Q And would it seem from that that it would not have  
11 been provided to Justice officials; is that a fair  
12 assumption? That if it had been provided, it  
13 would have been put in the reference?

14 A I assume so, yes.

15 Q If we can just go back, and again we were talking  
16 about this issue of the scope of the Supreme Court  
17 reference and I went through the privy council, I  
18 think it was the privy council order that said it  
19 would be, to look into or advise on matters  
20 relating to a miscarriage of justice, and I think  
21 we've gone over the last couple of days and gone  
22 through in some detail what had been put forward  
23 on David Milgaard's behalf as representing a  
24 miscarriage of justice, and I think what you said  
25 is, you know, for example, the Ferris information,



1 the Deborah Hall information and I think you  
2 pointed out, lookit, we didn't have to prove  
3 David's innocence, although that's what it did, we  
4 simply had to prove a miscarriage of justice or  
5 some basis. Is that fair?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And so when we get to the Supreme Court reference  
8 case, am I correct that what the Federal Minister  
9 is doing is saying okay, rather than have me  
10 simply listen to all these grounds and consider  
11 whether there's a miscarriage of justice, I'm  
12 going to send it to the Supreme Court and let them  
13 have a reference hearing and they can sort out  
14 some of these issues and give me their advice as  
15 to whether or not there's a miscarriage of  
16 justice. Is that a general way of stating it?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And so again I think some of the things that you  
19 talked about in your evidence about the  
20 miscarriage of justice, number one, obviously if  
21 David Milgaard is innocent, then his conviction is  
22 a miscarriage of justice; agreed?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Two, if he didn't have a fair trial, whether it be  
25 through disclosure or whatever other reason, I



1 think you told us that would be a miscarriage of  
2 justice?

3 A Or appeal I would add, yes.

4 Q Or appeal, I'm sorry, and thanks for clarifying  
5 that. If his Court proceedings were not fair and  
6 whether the trial and subsequent appeals were not  
7 fair or there wasn't proper disclosure, that would  
8 be a miscarriage of justice; agreed?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And I think just on that point, the fact that I  
11 think the record shows that it was after his  
12 conviction but before his appeal was argued, that  
13 Larry Fisher confessed to two of the assaults;  
14 correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And so again, when it gets to the time of the  
17 appeal, I think one of the positions put forward  
18 from time to time was that when Mr. Milgaard, his  
19 counsel argued his appeal before the Saskatchewan  
20 Court of Appeal, the state, if I can call it that,  
21 or the Crown or the authorities had information  
22 about Larry Fisher, about sexual assaults that  
23 Mr. Tallis didn't have?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And the failure to disclose that was one of the



1 grounds of saying there's a miscarriage of  
2 justice; is that fair?

3 A In the end, yes.

4 Q And if we just go through quickly some of the  
5 grounds again that were put forward as being a  
6 miscarriage of justice in the earlier applications  
7 that I think were raised in the reference, and one  
8 is the significance of the frozen semen, the  
9 forensic evidence, the Dr. Ferris information, and  
10 we've covered that in great detail, but I think  
11 basically you are saying it was used to convict  
12 Mr. Milgaard when it should have either exonerated  
13 him or at least been neutral; is that fair?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And I'll talk a bit about what actually happened  
16 at the Supreme Court, but that was again I think  
17 saying there's a miscarriage of justice because  
18 the frozen semen evidence either went in wrong,  
19 was used wrong or interpreted wrong?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Or was incomplete?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And third -- or second, the motel room reenactment  
24 evidence, again I think you told us that was put  
25 forward as a miscarriage of justice in that Melnyk



1 and Lapchuk lied at trial and if that were true,  
2 that would be a miscarriage of justice?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And secondly, if they were paid, paid witnesses by  
5 the Crown, that would be a miscarriage of justice?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And that if the Crown didn't --

8 A Paid and not disclosed as such.

9 Q Okay.

10 A Yes.

11 Q And if the Crown didn't call two witnesses who  
12 would have refuted their evidence, namely, Deborah  
13 Hall and Ute Frank, that would be a miscarriage of  
14 justice I think was your position; is that  
15 correct?

16 A Yes. I mean, you are describing each element as  
17 though each element alone is a miscarriage of  
18 justice and I don't think that's correct. It's  
19 cumulative.

20 Q Sorry.

21 A Some stand on their own, some are cumulative.

22 Q And I didn't mean to suggest that, Mr. Asper, so  
23 thanks for clarifying that, that the cumulative of  
24 what you put forward as being a miscarriage of  
25 justice had a number of components and these are



1 all components; is that a better way of putting  
2 it?

3 A Right.

4 Q And another one was that the event didn't happen  
5 and therefore the evidence is fabricated and that  
6 would be a component of the miscarriage of  
7 justice, being the motel room reenactment?

8 A Right.

9 Q And I think as part of that as well that you had  
10 alleged -- when I say you, people on behalf of  
11 David Milgaard -- alleged misconduct by both the  
12 police and the Crown in both the gathering and  
13 presentation of the motel room evidence; is that  
14 fair?

15 A Of the motel room evidence?

16 Q Yes, Melnyk and Lapchuk.

17 A I don't recall that as being a specific ground.

18 Q Okay. Well, were you not saying at least in the  
19 first application, and again later, that Melnyk  
20 and Lapchuk lied at trial, that they were paid, or  
21 they were induced and there was two witnesses who  
22 could have refuted them that the police knew about  
23 and the Crown knew about, but they chose not to  
24 call them?

25 A Well, the sin there it seems to me, Mr. Hodson, is



1 if in fact they were paid, it would be not  
2 disclosing that they had received favourable  
3 treatment on sentencing.

4 Q Put aside the issue of being paid, just the fact  
5 that Melnyk and Lapchuk -- I had read, or  
6 understood what you said earlier, that somehow the  
7 Crown and the police had committed some wrong in  
8 the manner in which they gathered the evidence  
9 from Melnyk and Lapchuk and presented only two of  
10 the four witnesses.

11 A Yes, I was definitely concerned that the other  
12 witnesses had not been presented, but I don't  
13 recall making, putting in issue how Melnyk and  
14 Lapchuk came to testify.

15 Q Okay. And, I'm sorry, I thought the fact that  
16 they -- would you not characterize the failure to  
17 call two witnesses who you say refute what they  
18 say as being a wrong?

19 A I would argue that part of it, yes.

20 Q Okay. And then Wilson, John and Cadrain, I think  
21 just so that I have it right, where they fit into  
22 the components of the miscarriage of justice is  
23 you say that they lied at trial because they were  
24 manipulated, coerced, threatened or wrongfully  
25 influenced by the police; is that fair?



1 A I think those sound like the words we used.

2 Q Or similar --

3 A Or Mr. Henderson used.

4 Q And so -- but certainly the position or the ground  
5 put forward is that the police caused witnesses to  
6 fabricate evidence?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And so certainly police misconduct would be a  
9 component of that allegation; is that fair?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And as well I think there was some discussion  
12 about -- in addition to that, Inspector Roberts,  
13 and maybe that's included in the police influence,  
14 that Inspector Roberts in conducting the polygraph  
15 interview somehow also wrongly coerced,  
16 threatened, manipulated or did something wrong in  
17 influencing fabricated evidence; is that fair?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And as well, is it also fair to say that in  
20 advancing this, that one of the components of the  
21 miscarriage of justice is the Crown put forward  
22 this evidence of Nichol John, Ron Wilson and  
23 Cadrain when it was wrong and ought to have been  
24 not put forward?

25 A Yes.



1 Q And then last on this point, and this came out in  
2 August of 1991, that the police framed David  
3 Milgaard presumably by getting these three  
4 witnesses and Melnyk and Lapchuk I think were  
5 included to give false evidence; is that correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And that that would be a miscarriage of justice or  
8 a component of it?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And that as well that when the police and  
11 authorities or Crown discovered Larry Fisher and  
12 his convictions, that they knowingly covered them  
13 up to prevent the discovery of a wrongful  
14 conviction?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And that that would be a miscarriage of justice  
17 that had been advanced at least in the public in  
18 August of 1991; is that right?

19 A Yes, that's correct.

20 Q And the fourth one I think that was put forward  
21 was the, I call it the impossibility argument that  
22 we've talked about, saying lookit, if I can get in  
23 the door, this isn't new evidence, but it's a  
24 miscarriage of justice because if you go through  
25 this all and look at it again, there's no way



1 David Milgaard could have committed the crime and  
2 therefore it's a miscarriage of justice to be  
3 convicted when he couldn't have done it?

4 A That's correct.

5 Q And lastly, the Larry Fisher component, and as I  
6 understand what you are saying, number one, he was  
7 the killer, therefore David Milgaard wasn't, and  
8 it would be a miscarriage of justice to convict  
9 the wrong person?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Two, and this may be alternative or in addition,  
12 that David Milgaard was denied the opportunity to  
13 raise these related sexual offences and Larry  
14 Fisher before his jury and that it would have or  
15 could have led to an acquittal?

16 A And the Court of Appeal.

17 Q And, I'm sorry, the Court of appeal. Before the  
18 courts?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And that would be, number one, that the offences  
21 themselves were not disclosed, number one; number  
22 two, the fact that the offences had been tied or  
23 related to the murder by the police had not been  
24 disclosed; and three, when Fisher confessed to  
25 those offences, that that was not disclosed to Mr.



1 Milgaard before his court proceedings were  
2 completed, including the appeal?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And that if disclosed, would have or could have  
5 affected the verdict?

6 A Yes.

7 Q So again, that would be one of the components of  
8 the miscarriage of justice?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And again I think you described that the  
11 miscarriage there would be improper disclosure by  
12 police and/or Crown?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And two, I think cover-up by police and/or Crown  
15 when they learned of the Fisher confessions?

16 A Yes.

17 Q The last one I just want to raise in there as well  
18 is (V4)---- (V4)---, I think that, whether that's  
19 part of the Larry Fisher or just part of the  
20 general disclosure, that was one of the grounds  
21 saying the information on (V4)---- (V4)--- was not  
22 disclosed and that might have, or that might have,  
23 or would have, I think, in your submission, have  
24 affected the result and therefore there was a  
25 miscarriage of justice because of the disclosure?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And as well I think, and I'll show you this in a  
3 later document, but once you received copies of  
4 the police files and the prosecutor's file, is it  
5 fair to say that you would have expanded the  
6 disclosure submission on a miscarriage of justice  
7 to say that there was a bunch more information  
8 that was not disclosed that should have been  
9 disclosed that would have affected the verdict and  
10 that became I guess an additional component to the  
11 miscarriage of justice?

12 A I believe that's true, yes.

13 Q And what I'm talking about there is I think there  
14 were a number of people who observed or didn't  
15 observe things in and around the area, some other  
16 assaults that had been reported in the months  
17 prior, and I'll show you a document that will  
18 assist you on that, but generally you recall that  
19 being a ground put forward?

20 A Yes, I do.

21 Q Now, for the Supreme Court reference itself, I've  
22 gone through again in a fairly summary fashion, we  
23 spent a number of days talking about all these  
24 things, but would it be fair to say that you, and  
25 when I say you, counsel on behalf of David



1 Milgaard, were free to put forward evidence and  
2 submissions and documents with respect to all of  
3 the miscarriages of justice or all of the  
4 components of the miscarriages of justice that you  
5 had alleged or discovered or were discovering?

6 A Mr. Hodson, my recollection is that we were not  
7 given the kind of, the scope that you've just  
8 described in what we were allowed to present to  
9 the Supreme Court, but I really do not have a  
10 specific recollection. My only general  
11 recollection is that the Court was, seemed to be  
12 very unwilling to get into the question of why did  
13 it happen or what gave rise to the issues, the  
14 assertions that we were making.

15 Q And are you able to give me an example of one of  
16 the areas where the Court said no, we're not going  
17 to get into that?

18 A I can't recall.

19 Q And so again, just on that point, did you -- was  
20 it your understanding, though, that if there was  
21 this why did it happen question that related to a  
22 component of what you said was a miscarriage of  
23 justice, that you were precluded from putting that  
24 forward?

25 A That was my understanding, yes. That's my



1 recollection.

2 Q And so that even though you had arguments or  
3 evidence on a miscarriage of justice, the Court  
4 would not let you put that evidence forward?

5 A Right.

6 Q And that's -- and, I'm sorry, that's based upon  
7 what directive or information, where did you --

8 A My recollection is that this occurred during  
9 conversations in the Chief Justice's chambers  
10 where he was providing direction to counsel.

11 Q Okay. And I'll show you --

12 A And I also seem to recall that the Department of  
13 Justice lawyers were pretty active in narrowing  
14 the, or they were very keen in narrowing the field  
15 as well.

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Is that federal or  
17 provincial or both?

18 A Federal.

19 BY MR. HODSON:

20 Q Now, as far as the documentary evidence, the  
21 reference documents, let's break this down into  
22 three areas. The first one would be the  
23 documentary evidence, and I think you told us that  
24 lookit, you could pretty much put in whatever you  
25 wanted by way of documents; that is correct?



1 A I think so, yes.

2 Q And two, the witnesses, I think you told us that  
3 you could put forward the witnesses you wanted to  
4 call, you pretty much had free rein but for the  
5 fact that you indicated the Court had given this  
6 direction about areas that the Court did not want  
7 you to go; is that correct?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And third, I suppose when a witness was on the  
10 stand, the scope of areas you could cover with  
11 that witness, was there some limitation on that do  
12 you recall? Let me give you --

13 A I don't recall specifically. I do recall that --  
14 my recollection is quite unreliable about this, so  
15 I won't even attempt to reconstruct it.

16 Q On issues relating to the scope?

17 A Right. Just -- Mr. Hodson, just generally, the  
18 whole Supreme Court proceeding.

19 Q Okay. My understanding is that as far as the  
20 positions taken, that it was discussed and fairly  
21 early on decided that the Attorney General for  
22 Saskatchewan would defend the conviction, I think  
23 those were the words used, do you recall that, and  
24 that it would be an adversarial hearing from  
25 that --



1 A Well, I don't know. I don't recall. I do recall  
2 one, at one point Mr. Justice Sopinka interrupting  
3 counsel for either the federal government or the  
4 provincial government and chastising them for  
5 behaving in an adversarial manner, but I don't  
6 recall the --

7 Q And perhaps I'm misstating it by being  
8 adversarial, but I think your position was saying  
9 the conviction is unsafe, the Attorney General for  
10 Saskatchewan, their position was to defend that  
11 conviction?

12 A I believe so, yes.

13 Q And maybe it didn't have to be in an adversarial  
14 fashion, but --

15 A Yes.

16 Q Now, I'm not going to go through the list of  
17 witnesses, but I do want to ask you with a couple  
18 of witnesses that were not called, and start with  
19 Mr. Caldwell. Do you recall why he was not called  
20 as a witness at the reference?

21 A Well, I would have to assume it was because we  
22 were not allowed to, we were not going to be  
23 allowed to look into prosecutorial -- the  
24 prosecution and the conduct of the prosecution as  
25 part of the reference.



1 Q And you are talking disclosure?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Or the lack of disclosure?

4 A Well, yes. I assume that would -- yes, that would  
5 clearly be one of the issues.

6 Q And what about Mr. Kujawa, do you know why he was  
7 not called as a witness?

8 A Again, no, I don't. I can't recall off the top of  
9 my head.

10 Q And as far as the missing police files, and I  
11 believe the Police Commission had issued its  
12 report in November of '91, I don't recall whether  
13 there was anything directly, it may have been in  
14 the reference books, in fact, it probably was, but  
15 there was certainly no witnesses on that issue.  
16 Do you recall why that evidence or information was  
17 not put forward?

18 A No, I don't.

19 Q And Jim McCloskey and Paul Henderson had both, in  
20 August of 1991, stated that based upon their  
21 review and investigation, they had concluded that  
22 David Milgaard had been framed and there was a  
23 cover-up, and do you know why neither  
24 Mr. McCloskey nor Mr. Henderson were called?

25 A I don't.



1 Q I just want to call up 115417, and this is just an  
2 example, this is December 11th, '91, this is a  
3 letter from Robert Frater. Do you recall Mr.  
4 Frater?

5 A I do.

6 Q And my understanding is he was a Federal Justice  
7 lawyer who was the person responsible for  
8 assembling the reference case books and dealing  
9 with some of the documentary issues and perhaps  
10 some of the witness issues; is that right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And we see a number of letters, and I won't go  
13 through them all, I'll just point out this one,  
14 where he would communicate with the parties saying  
15 here is Volume I, here's what's going in and does  
16 anybody else want documents to go in and there's a  
17 fair bit of correspondence back and forth, and  
18 that's how the reference case, the documents were  
19 compiled; is that right?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And just go to 115419, and we've got all these on  
22 the record, Mr. Asper, but he would send out  
23 Volume I, tab 1, and I think by the time you were  
24 done you were into 26 some volumes; is that right?  
25 It kept growing throughout the reference?



1 A Yes.

2 Q Go to 213342. This is a memo from you to your  
3 client David Milgaard regarding I think a number  
4 of matters, and bail I don't think we need to get  
5 into, I think there was some discussion about  
6 whether he could get bail or not and there was  
7 some legal constraints; is that right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And if we can go to the next page, this might  
10 assist you, this is just talking about -- and  
11 again this is December 12, '91, three days after  
12 your meeting in Ottawa, and you say to Mr.  
13 Milgaard:

14 "As you know, Hersh and I met in Ottawa  
15 with officials from the Federal  
16 Department of Justice, as well as the  
17 Saskatchewan Attorney General on  
18 December 9th and 10th. The purpose of  
19 these meetings was to begin the process  
20 of defining what is about to happen in  
21 the Supreme Court.

22 It is the view of the  
23 Department of Justice that the  
24 proceeding should be "adverse" in  
25 nature, meaning that there will be two



1 sides to the argument. This is not  
2 surprising since one of the basic  
3 principals of the criminal justice  
4 system is the truth somehow is more  
5 likely to emerge as a result of the  
6 testing of witnesses through the  
7 adversarial process. Consequently,  
8 Saskatchewan will be taking the view  
9 that your conviction was safe.

10 It would appear that the role  
11 of the Department of Justice will  
12 essentially be neutral, bearing in mind  
13 that it is they who have after all  
14 sought an opinion."

15 And let me just pause there. Would that be an  
16 accurate report of what was discussed and  
17 concluded at least at the December 9th and 10th  
18 meeting?

19 A Yeah. As I say, I don't recall it, but I accept  
20 that as an accurate report.

21 Q "Some of the other issues that we  
22 discussed involved full and complete  
23 disclosure by the Feds and the Province  
24 of Saskatchewan of everything that they  
25 have relating to both your case and



1 Larry Fisher. This process I expect  
2 will begin within the next few days, and  
3 I am confident that we will receive  
4 everything that there is to get. All  
5 parties have agreed to make full  
6 disclosure, and I think that this is a  
7 very major step for us in trying to  
8 understand how you came to be convicted  
9 in the first place."

10 And again, the disclosure, all parties, and I  
11 think you've told us David Milgaard, or you as  
12 his counsel, had agreed to make full disclosure  
13 as well; is that correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Go to the next page, and again this is still  
16 reporting on the December 9th and 10th, it says:

17 "Later in the afternoon, we had a  
18 meeting with the Chief Justice of the  
19 Supreme Court of Canada, a gentleman by  
20 the name of Chief Justice Antonio LaMer.  
21 He is very anxious to get this case  
22 rolling, and wants to provide the  
23 Court's opinion to the Minister of  
24 Justice at the very latest by the  
25 beginning of April."



1 And then goes on to talk about some dates, and  
2 you say:

3 "We were all somewhat surprised by the  
4 eagerness of the Court to get this case  
5 going, but I can tell you that neither  
6 Saskatchewan nor the Feds will rush us  
7 in any way and we will take as much time  
8 as necessary to get this case prepared."

9 Now, I'm wondering, there's nothing mentioned in  
10 this report to Mr. Milgaard about what else might  
11 have been discussed with Chief Justice Lamer. Do  
12 you recall, Mr. Asper, would this have been the  
13 meeting where he may have made the comments  
14 providing limits or -- and I'll take it to you,  
15 or show you a bit later the January 16th, there's  
16 a transcript of proceedings in Court that were  
17 transcribed where there were some discussions and  
18 in that transcript is where he says lookit, this  
19 is not a Royal Commission of Inquiry, and I'll  
20 take you to that. Are you able to tell us  
21 whether the meeting of December 9th or 10th  
22 described in this memo is the meeting where Chief  
23 Justice Lamer provided limits or whether it was  
24 the later meeting in open court.

25 A I seem to recall that we got notice from him at



1           this meeting. Maybe not as specific as it later  
2           became, but we had, we were -- my recollection is  
3           he was pretty clear from the outset that this was  
4           going to be a narrow hearing.

5           Q       And you think it would have been at the very first  
6           time you met with him where you would have heard  
7           him --

8           A       That's my recollection.

9           Q       Okay. Again, 165384, this is a letter from Mr.  
10          Beresh on behalf of Larry Fisher to you and Mr.  
11          Wolch where he sought standing for the reference,  
12          and I think Mr. Wolch on behalf of David Milgaard  
13          opposed that application; however, standing or  
14          partial standing was granted. Is that correct?

15          A       Yes.

16          Q       335410, this is a letter from Mr. Wolch to Mr.  
17          MacFarlane December 18, 1991 and it relates to  
18          Mr. Tallis, or to Mr. Justice Tallis, and a couple  
19          of things, one, the disclosure of his interview  
20          with Eugene Williams, and two, how he would give  
21          evidence at the reference case itself, and we've  
22          heard from Mr. Justice Tallis on that point and  
23          gone through the documents. Do you have any  
24          recollection, Mr. Asper, of any issues that  
25          related to either obtaining Mr. Williams'



1 interview, memorandum and/or how Mr. Tallis would  
2 testify, who would question him and the scope of  
3 questions?

4 A In a general sense I recall there being an issue  
5 on the questioning of Mr. Tallis, but I don't have  
6 any specific recollection.

7 Q If you could go to 115617, please, and this -- go  
8 to the next page, please. And this is a letter  
9 from Henry Brown of the Gowling firm who was an  
10 agent for Murray Brown or for the Attorney General  
11 of Saskatchewan and it's the only document I could  
12 find in our database that talks about what may  
13 have been discussed before the Supreme Court on  
14 December 19th, 1991 on the application brought by  
15 counsel for Larry Fisher, so I apologize putting  
16 to you an agent's report to counsel for another  
17 party, but I'm wondering if this might assist your  
18 memory. Do you recall if you were present at the  
19 formal hearing where Mr. Fisher sought standing or  
20 is it --

21 A I don't recall.

22 Q Is it possible Mr. Wolch would have attended  
23 without you?

24 A We may have attended with an agent. I don't know.

25 Q I think Mr. Wolch was present, at least the



1 records show that. Is it possible he went and you  
2 didn't? No recollection?

3 A No.

4 Q Again, I won't go through this, but this letter  
5 talks about at least Mr. -- well, actually, Mr.  
6 Brown's report to Mr. Brown about what was  
7 discussed and it appears there may have been some  
8 discussion during the Fisher standing hearing that  
9 may have touched on the scope of the reference.  
10 Do you have any recollection at all of what may  
11 have been discussed?

12 A No.

13 Q 156827, this is a letter from Mr. Brown to Mr.  
14 Wolch and it talks about:

15 "Further to your assurance to us of full  
16 disclosure, I am writing to request  
17 copies of the following materials:

18 (a) any statements from witnesses or  
19 potential witnesses that you have not  
20 already sent to the Federal Government;  
21 and that you will rely on or may rely on  
22 in your presentation to the Supreme  
23 Court; and

24 (b) copies of any tape recorded  
25 interviews or transcripts of such



1 interviews and in particular any such  
2 tape recordings or transcripts of such  
3 tape recorded interviews conducted by  
4 Paul Henderson."

5 And do you recall, Mr. Asper, request being made  
6 for, again specifically for the Henderson  
7 interview of Ron Wilson, do you recall that being  
8 a matter that was requested on a number of  
9 occasions?

10 A I don't recall the request being made, but if it  
11 says it was made, it was made.

12 Q And it would appear, and I could be wrong on this,  
13 but it would appear that at least a couple of the,  
14 I think the 1981 Wilson transcripts, the Neil Boyd  
15 interview of Ron Wilson, and possibly the Nichol  
16 John interview by Tony Merchant -- I'm not sure  
17 about that -- the records suggest that those may  
18 not have been provided to either Saskatchewan  
19 Justice or Federal Justice. Are you able, do you  
20 know if that was the case, and if so why not?

21 A I don't recall, umm, I -- you know, I just don't  
22 recall.

23 Q 157226. December 23, 1991 letter -- 157226. It's  
24 a letter December 23, '91 from Mr. Williams to  
25 Mr. Wolch and he says:



1 "Accompanying this letter are materials  
2 on file that is being disclosed for the  
3 purposes of the reference to the Supreme  
4 Court of Canada. The majority of these  
5 documents were provided to you on  
6 October 1, 1990. However, at your  
7 request, I reviewed the materials on  
8 file and am disclosing these materials  
9 to you."

10 And then it talks about other arrangements with  
11 the A.G. of Saskatchewan. We have not been able  
12 to determine, from at least the documents we  
13 received, what -- what might have been sent with  
14 this letter. Are you able to tell us, Mr. Asper,  
15 whether, in preparation or at the time right  
16 before the Supreme Court reference, whether the  
17 documents you received from Federal Justice were  
18 the same as what you had been given on October 1,  
19 1990 when you met with them?

20 A I can't recall.

21 Q 002671. Go to the next page. A letter December  
22 24, 1991 from Murray Brown to Mr. Wolch, and  
23 Mr. Brown says:

24 "After reading the scientific reports  
25 you filed with the Minister of Justice



1 and consulting with the R.C.M.P. crime  
2 lab personnel, it would appear that Mr.  
3 Milgaard's status as a non secretor is  
4 not established. I am informed that the  
5 test used to determine that  
6 characteristic in 1969 has subsequently  
7 proven to be unreliable. This confirms  
8 information given the federal Department  
9 of Justice by Colin Terry ...",

10 I think that should be Colin Merry:

11 "... of the University of Manitoba and  
12 the statement to that effect in the  
13 report of Dr. Markesteyn.

14 I would like to know therefore,  
15 whether you have made any recent effort  
16 to have Mr. Milgaard's blood tested to  
17 determine his secretor status. If you  
18 have, would you please advise us as to  
19 the results of such tests? If you have  
20 not, do you intend to do so?"

21 And, again, you will recall I read to you  
22 yesterday the Dr. Merry and Dr. Markesteyn  
23 reports, or their comments in June of 1990 --  
24 actually in their reports where they both said,  
25 you know, the secretor status or the secretor



1 test in '69 wasn't valid; you recall us looking  
2 at that?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And then if we can go to 336391. And I think up  
5 until this point -- I had asked you this question  
6 earlier, Mr. Asper, and you said it wasn't until  
7 around the reference that you thought about doing  
8 the secretor test; is that right?

9 A That was my recollection, yes.

10 Q And so here, if we can go to page 426, this is  
11 tape number 49, it's around, it says December  
12 '91/January '92, and there is a discussion here  
13 between you and Mrs. Milgaard. You say, 'And I  
14 think what I will do is I will set up a secretor  
15 test when he comes out on -- to our office',  
16 and I think you are talking about David Milgaard,  
17 'I don't want to go, do it through the  
18 institution, we'll hire a private doctor, I've  
19 got to figure out how we do it, like what lab,  
20 well I've got to because, you know, we need a  
21 doctor, I suppose, to receive the sample, provide  
22 it to the lab so that we have continuity'. And  
23 then Mrs. Milgaard, 'What about Markesteyn?' And  
24 you say, 'Yeah, maybe yeah. I wouldn't mind  
25 doing it, though, in a way that it's -- if he is



1 an A secretor, that we don't have to make it part  
2 of it.'

3 And let me pause there. When  
4 you say "make it part of it" are you talking  
5 about the reference case?

6 A I assume so, yes.

7 Q And why would you be saying that?

8 A I -- I don't know, Mr. Hodson. I don't recall  
9 this conversation at all.

10 Q Okay. And Mrs. Milgaard says, 'He's not going to  
11 be an A secretor', and you say, 'Well who knows',  
12 'Well he can't change from a non-secretor to a  
13 secretor', and you say, 'What if the test was  
14 wrong'. Mrs. Milgaard, 'What do you mean what if  
15 the test was wrong', and you say, 'What if the  
16 original test was wrong or what if the  
17 sophistication of today's testing shows him to be  
18 a secretor'.

19 And, again, do you have any  
20 recollection, then, of this issue coming up and  
21 getting him tested?

22 A No.

23 Q If we can go to 156833. This is your response to  
24 Mr. Brown confirming that David Milgaard has not  
25 had his blood tested. You say:



1 "This whole issue arose for us the very  
2 first time when we received from the  
3 Department of Justice in Ottawa the  
4 opinions it solicited with respect to  
5 this matter. The question of whether  
6 David Milgaard is in fact a non-secretor  
7 was never raised with us by the Federal  
8 Department of Justice, and it comes  
9 somewhat as a surprise given the fact  
10 that in his Affidavit submitted in  
11 support of the first application, David  
12 undertook to take any tests whatsoever  
13 in order to establish his innocence. It  
14 is puzzling to say the least that we  
15 were never apprised of any real doubt as  
16 to David's status, and we have always  
17 operated on the basis that the test  
18 performed by the RCMP at the time was  
19 accurate."

20 And you will recall yesterday, Mr. Asper, in  
21 going through the reports from Markesteyn and  
22 Merry in June of 1990 to you saying "the secretor  
23 status is in doubt", and I believe -- and I stand  
24 to be corrected -- but at the October 1990  
25 meeting with the Justice officials -- maybe I'll



1 ask you again. At that meeting do you recall  
2 Federal Justice officials saying to you "we  
3 question whether David is a secretor or not"?

4 A I don't recall that.

5 Q And so, again, what you say here, that -- I mean  
6 are you saying today that up until January 3,  
7 1992, Federal Justice had not raised with you  
8 their concerns that David Milgaard may not be a  
9 secretor?

10 A That seems to be the import of that paragraph.

11 Q And that's --

12 A I don't recall, I mean I just don't recall, Mr.  
13 Hodson.

14 Q Okay, no, no, that's fine, I --

15 A So that seems to be the import of the paragraph.

16 Q And then you say:

17 "If we are to have David tested, we  
18 would appreciate knowing in advance your  
19 position as to the two possible results.  
20 For example, if David is confirmed as a  
21 non-secretor, will it be your position  
22 that therefore he is excluded as the  
23 perpetrator? Conversely, if he is  
24 determined to be a secretor, will it be  
25 your view that this result would somehow



1 be inculpatory?"

2 And I take it that you were trying to get some  
3 idea from Mr. Brown how he was gonna use the  
4 result?

5 A Apparently, yes.

6 Q Any recollection of this?

7 A No.

8 Q Then the next page. It appears at this point, as  
9 well, that you have raised the -- you say:

10 "As you are aware, there are those who  
11 hypothesize that the alleged semen was  
12 in fact urine of some form. It should  
13 also be noted that human urine, male or  
14 female, can also carry spermatozoa.",  
15 and then goes on to talk about that. And so is  
16 it fair to say at this stage, January 3, 1992,  
17 the prospect of it being dog or human urine was  
18 still a live issue at least in your mind?

19 A Apparently, yes.

20 Q 177869. This is a letter January 6, 1992 to Ken  
21 Watson, counsel to Ron Wilson, and it appears it's  
22 from you sending him copies of police reports,  
23 preliminary inquiry transcript, trial transcript,  
24 transcript of interview with the Federal  
25 Department of Justice, and the transcript of the



1 interview with Professor Neil Boyd, all relating  
2 to Dale Wilson, and then trying to arrange a time  
3 to meet with him to interview him with a view to  
4 preparing him to testify. And I think Mr. Wilson  
5 testified, as well, that he had a meeting in  
6 advance of the hearing. What was your -- I mean  
7 obviously, with Mr. Wilson, it appears that you  
8 would have met him and prepared him to testify as  
9 you would a witness in a case; is that fair?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And were there some witnesses that you -- that you  
12 did that with and others you didn't? I mean, and  
13 let me --

14 A I don't recall.

15 Q You had said earlier one of the issues in the  
16 December meeting was whose witnesses are they, who  
17 calls them, who prepares them, and who puts them  
18 forward?

19 A Right.

20 Q Was that ever resolved?

21 A I don't recall.

22 Q Umm, and the reason I ask that, there seemed to be  
23 in the record the odd time where a witness would  
24 be called and counsel would, at least on the  
25 record, would stand up and they weren't really



1           sure who should lead the witness and in what  
2           order. Do you recall that coming up?

3           A       No, I don't.

4           Q       If we can go to 336439. This is a transcript,  
5           this relates to Launa Edwards, and you mentioned  
6           her earlier, Mr. Asper. I think in January of  
7           1992 you attended an interview with her; is that  
8           right?

9           A       Yes.

10          Q       And, just for the record, she was George Lapchuk's  
11          ex-wife and she had come forward with information  
12          saying that George Lapchuk had lied at trial. And  
13          there were some issues between her and  
14          Mr. Lapchuk, umm, that you were -- you were  
15          inquiring about, as to whether that might have  
16          been some motive for her to be coming forward; is  
17          that a fair --

18          A       Sounds like it.

19          Q       One thing that you were looking at?

20          A       Sounds like it.

21          Q       And she testified at the Supreme Court reference I  
22          believe?

23          A       Oh yes.

24          Q       And one of the issues there was her relationship  
25          with Mr. Lapchuk, which I think was described as



1           somewhat stormy?

2           A           Yes.

3           Q           And so here is a discussion, I'm -- I think this  
4                    is tape 49, I think it is early January 1992,  
5                    January 8th is the day of the statement Mr.  
6                    Henderson took. And the discussion with you and  
7                    Mrs. Milgaard, and 'Paul Henderson just called',  
8                    and Mrs. Milgaard says, 'She says that, you know,  
9                    he told her on more than one occasion that they  
10                   perjured themselves, that David never said that,  
11                   that they lied'. And this is Launa Edwards saying  
12                   that George Lapchuk said that, okay, that's the  
13                   context. I don't want to have to read the earlier  
14                   part. And you say, 'I'll phone him'. And then  
15                   the next page, and it's again a continuation of  
16                   the conversation. If we can then go actually  
17                   here, Joyce says, 'But she says that on more than  
18                   one occasion he had said that both he and Melnyk  
19                   had perjured themselves at the trial'. And then  
20                   the next page you say, 'What I am concerned about  
21                   is her motive, like why is she coming forward now,  
22                   because she wants to get back at him for being  
23                   abusive'. And then the next page you say, you are  
24                   talking about her statement and you say, 'I don't  
25                   want any of that in her statement, I don't want



1 any, I mean, you know, I don't want any of the  
2 statement-taking that's gone up, on up to this  
3 point that, you know, that I believe that David is  
4 innocent, blah, blah, blah, it's got to be  
5 straight and factual because if it shows bias on  
6 the part of the statement-taker'. Next page, and  
7 if we can just scroll down here, Mrs. Milgaard  
8 says, 'And the point is, is, is it because it's  
9 Centurion, is Centurion Ministries, one of their  
10 investigators is doing it, does it become tainted  
11 somehow'. And you say, 'Well the whole thing is  
12 tainted by Centurion, if Centurion is the taint  
13 then the whole thing is tainted.' Joyce, 'Or no,  
14 let, let's say the other information they take,  
15 but now we have more information, If it was  
16 another investigative person, like for instance if  
17 it was something, someone like Kim Rossmo working  
18 private duty'. And then on the next page you say,  
19 'But on the other hand why shouldn't that be  
20 Centurion', 'Well', and you say, 'You know, I mean  
21 I have -- you know, anybody, you know, the  
22 statements that have been taken up to this point  
23 have been, you know, horrendously biased'. And  
24 then, 'Which is fine, I'm prepared to have  
25 credentials with Paul and Jim challenged until the



1 cows come home'.

2 Can you elaborate on what you  
3 meant by saying 'the statements that have been  
4 taken up to this point have been horrendously  
5 biased'?

6 A I, well no, I -- well, like I don't recall the  
7 conversation, so I can reconstruct from a couple  
8 of pages back and surmise that I was concerned  
9 that the statements contained information, the  
10 statements that were being obtained by Centurion  
11 contained information that was extraneous to the  
12 statement -- to the information, the core  
13 information of the statement such as, as I've said  
14 there, claims of David's innocence.

15 Q Okay. Now I think at this point, I don't know  
16 that the statement had been taken yet from Launa  
17 Edwards, and so when you say 'the statements that  
18 have been taken up to this point' what statements  
19 were you referring to?

20 A I suppose I'm referring to Wilson, and I can't  
21 recall, whatever else Centurion had been involved  
22 with.

23 Q And what was your concern that they would be  
24 horrendously biased?

25 A Well just containing statements of belief in



1 David's innocence as opposed to, as I said,  
2 straight and factual statements about whatever  
3 they were being questioned about.

4 Q And would it be a concern that someone might look  
5 at the statement and say, because of the statement  
6 of belief of David's innocence, that somehow might  
7 detract from the factual matters?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And then 156836. It's a letter January 8, 1992 to  
10 Mr. Brown, and attached is the statement of Launa  
11 Edwards, and you say:

12 "I was in Vancouver on January 6 ... and  
13 had the opportunity to interview  
14 Ms. Edwards following her preparing the  
15 enclosed statement."

16 And the attached statement, which I don't need to  
17 go to, was taken by Paul Henderson, so it appears  
18 that -- is it right that you and he attended, he  
19 took the statement and you observed or  
20 interviewed, or can you shed any light on that?

21 A I don't recall.

22 Q Do you recall meeting with her out in Vancouver?

23 A Yes, I think I do.

24 Q And was Mr. Henderson there?

25 A I can't recall.



1 Q 115797. This is a letter from Chief Justice Lamer  
2 January 13, 1992 to Mr. Wolch saying that:

3 "Mr. Claude Alain, of our Court staff,  
4 informs me that Mr. Fainstein, of the  
5 Federal Department of Justice, is having  
6 difficulty obtaining from you the list  
7 of witnesses you would like the Court to  
8 hear. It is imperative that this  
9 information be conveyed to Mr. Fainstein  
10 so that subpoenas may be issued. It  
11 would be unfortunate if we were to lose  
12 this week of sittings.

13 While it the Court's, and only  
14 the Court's decision to call or not to  
15 call witnesses, it was agreed during our  
16 meeting in earlier December that we  
17 would let counsel of parties granted  
18 status under s. 53(6) of the *Supreme*  
19 *Court Act* indicate to the Court which  
20 witnesses they feel should be called."

21 Again, did you take any issue with what Chief  
22 Justice Lamer, as he then was, said in this  
23 letter about how witnesses would be called?

24 A No.

25 Q And again, I may have asked you this earlier, but



1 do you recall any witnesses that you put forward  
2 to the Court as witnesses you wished to call where  
3 the Court said "no, we don't want them called"?

4 A I don't recall.

5 Q 105664. Next page, please. Again, here's  
6 Mr. Frater asking for a copy of the tape recording  
7 of the Ron Wilson interview with Mr. Henderson,  
8 and you've already told us you have no  
9 recollection of where or how that tape -- where it  
10 went; is that --

11 A That's true.

12 Q If I can now move to the interview of Mr. Justice  
13 Tallis, we've heard evidence from Mr. Tallis that  
14 he interviewed with Eugene Williams in I believe  
15 March of 1990 or thereabouts, still part of the  
16 first application, and he gave Mr. Williams a  
17 summary of what David Milgaard had told him back  
18 in 1969-1970 and his reasons for not having David  
19 testify. And he then indicated that prior to the  
20 Supreme Court reference he would have had a  
21 meeting with, he said he had an early meeting with  
22 you and Mr. Wolch but it was fairly brief, and I  
23 think you've confirmed that, that it was a fairly  
24 general discussion; is that right?

25 A Yes.



1 Q With not much information passing?

2 A Right.

3 Q And then he talked about a second meeting around  
4 the time of the Supreme Court reference and I  
5 believe he said it may have only been with  
6 Mr. Wolch; do you remember?

7 A No, umm, Mr. Wolch had all the dealings with Mr.  
8 Tallis, full -- after the meeting that I attended  
9 Mr. Wolch had all the dealings with Mr. Tallis, I  
10 don't believe I had any other dealings with him.

11 Q And do you recall becoming aware in, well,  
12 whenever the meeting was, let's say January of  
13 1992, around the time of the reference,  
14 learning -- learning what it was that Mr. Tallis  
15 was gonna say that David Milgaard had told him  
16 back in 1969?

17 A Vaguely. I vaguely recall Mr. Wolch talking about  
18 it but I, I don't know, I can't place when or  
19 where.

20 Q And just a couple of items. What I think Mr.  
21 Tallis -- do you recall Mr. Tallis' evidence at  
22 the Supreme Court reference, and in particular  
23 what he attributed to David Milgaard as what David  
24 Milgaard telling him about certain facts was  
25 different than what David Milgaard's evidence at



1 the Supreme Court was on a couple of points; do  
2 you remember that being an issue?

3 A I -- Mr. Hodson, I do not recall the Supreme Court  
4 proceedings, and I have not had an opportunity to  
5 read the transcripts.

6 Q Okay, no, no, and let me give you an example. One  
7 of the, one of the items that Mr. Tallis said  
8 David Milgaard told him back in 1969 was that when  
9 they left Saskatoon that he in fact did throw the  
10 compact or a bag, cosmetic bag or a compact -- I  
11 can't recall which -- out the window after Nichol  
12 John had found it. And he said "yeah, I did, I  
13 don't know where it came from and I don't know why  
14 I threw it out", that was Mr. Tallis' evidence at  
15 the Supreme Court and here, and in Mr. Milgaard's  
16 affidavit of November 29, 1986 he stated that  
17 didn't happen, and when he testified in the  
18 Supreme Court he said it didn't happen. Do you  
19 remember that coming up around the time of the  
20 reference case, that Mr. Tallis was gonna  
21 contradict what Mr. Milgaard had said in his  
22 affidavit?

23 A I don't recall that, no.

24 Q And similarly about the motel room re-enactment,  
25 that, I think Mr. Tallis' evidence was that when



1           this information came to light he asked David  
2           about it and David said, "I was in the motel room,  
3           I was stoned, I don't recall what happened but  
4           what they say could have happened and, if it did,  
5           it would have been a joke" or "a crude joke", or  
6           something like that. Do you recall learning that  
7           that would be Mr. Tallis' evidence?

8           A           No, I don't.

9           Q           And again, I apologize if I've covered this area  
10           in whole or in part, but when it came down to the  
11           decision-making as the reference went on, and what  
12           witnesses to call, what not to call, was that your  
13           decision or Mr. Wolch's or a joint decision; can  
14           you tell us how that happened?

15          A           Umm, my recollection is, as I said, that once we  
16           got to the Supreme Court there was a -- a -- we  
17           had a very short time frame within which to brief  
18           Mr. Wolch as comprehensively as we could, and it  
19           was his, and he was -- he was managing the case at  
20           that point and he was making the decisions. We  
21           may have had some input, but as far as my  
22           recollection goes he was making the decisions, and  
23           making decisions as to witnesses and tactics and  
24           strategy.

25          Q           So which witnesses would be called, what would be



1 covered with each witness, things of that nature,  
2 those were Mr. Wolch's, he was looking after that?

3 A Yes. I mean he certainly consulted with us but he  
4 was -- he was -- he had to deal with the witnesses  
5 so that was his call to make.

6 Q And when you say "he had to consult with us", who  
7 is the "us", you and Mrs. Milgaard?

8 A Yes.

9 Q 002623. Again, this is a letter from Murray Brown  
10 to Mr. Fainstein on the issue of witnesses, and  
11 just talking about -- I think at this time  
12 Mr. Brown was saying lookit, I haven't got  
13 Mr. Wolch's list so I don't know what we're gonna  
14 do, but he says:

15 "We can understand that the Court ...",  
16 or he says:

17 "... assume for the sake of so  
18 indicating, that Mr. Wolch may wish to  
19 have the following witnesses called:",  
20 and there is a couple on here, Dr. Ferris and Dr.  
21 Markesteyn, and they were not called as witnesses  
22 at the reference; correct?

23 A I don't recall.

24 Q And do -- I think February 5, 1992 the secretor  
25 test of David Milgaard was completed that showed



1 he had -- that showed he was a secretor; do you  
2 recall learning about that?

3 A Right, I do.

4 Q And was that the reason that Dr. Ferris and Dr.  
5 Markesteyn were not called as witnesses?

6 A I don't recall.

7 Q 158496. And this is a lengthy letter from  
8 Mr. Wolch to Chief Justice Lamer about witnesses,  
9 and again, I don't propose to go through all of  
10 it. Are you able -- maybe we can go to the second  
11 page. Would you have had input into this, Mr.  
12 Asper, about -- and what he is talking about is  
13 the order of witnesses, the grouping of witnesses,  
14 things of that nature?

15 A I may have. I don't recall.

16 Q And he talks here, it looks at this point about:

17 "... time frames, as well as some views  
18 as to how this impacts on the calling of  
19 Drs. Ferris and Markesteyn and perhaps  
20 Dr. Emson before the Court."

21 And it would appear that, at least at this point,  
22 the plan was to call them; are you able to  
23 comment on that?

24 A Looks like it, but I don't recall.

25 Q Next page, Discussion with David Milgaard, and I



1 think there was some concern expressed about David  
2 Milgaard's -- umm, I'm not sure what the right  
3 term is -- but his, whether he was mentally or  
4 physically able to testify. Do you recall that  
5 being due to his condition there might be concerns  
6 about his ability to give evidence?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And what do you recall about that?

9 A Well in a general sense, and I can comment sort of  
10 over a period of time knowing him, and the  
11 psychiatric record I think bears it out, David was  
12 susceptible to losing his lucidity and he was  
13 susceptible to periods of being out of touch with  
14 reality, and there had been an enormous amount of  
15 stress in the weeks and months pre -- immediately  
16 preceding the Supreme Court hearing and he had  
17 been, let's say, up and down in the -- in the --  
18 in that period leading up to the hearing, and we  
19 were concerned about his ability to hold it  
20 together.

21 Q And do you recall if that had been conveyed to the  
22 Court?

23 A I believe it was, yes.

24 Q And I believe that -- and I'm not sure if this is  
25 anecdotal or direct evidence -- but that Chief



1 Justice Lamer had indicated at some point to  
2 counsel that the first witness he wanted to hear  
3 was David Milgaard; is that right?

4 A Yes, yes.

5 Q And did that catch you a bit off guard?

6 A Well, umm, I -- I can't say that. I don't recall  
7 that, that event, I -- I know it happened but I  
8 just don't recall having any -- I don't recall it  
9 occurring or having a reaction to it.

10 Q And so there would have been a concern around this  
11 time, though, whether David Milgaard would --  
12 would be -- would be able to handle testifying; is  
13 that fair?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And did you have concerns about his -- his, umm,  
16 as far as testifying, his ability to recollect  
17 facts?

18 A Oh, I'm not sure it was so much his ability to  
19 recollect facts as his ability to stay  
20 clear-headed and focused, --

21 Q And --

22 A -- irrespective of whether he had good or bad  
23 recollection.

24 Q And maybe let me put it this way; do you recall  
25 whether he had difficulties with distinguishing



1           between what he recalled happening, what he  
2           thought happened, and what he might have been told  
3           had happened, or what he thought must have  
4           happened, --

5           A           Well, --

6           Q           -- that type of thing? --

7           A           -- yeah, I think that's a fair assessment. And I  
8           do recall, I think it was in this period  
9           immediately before the hearing he was getting more  
10          absences from the day parole, from the prison, and  
11          I, I was doing a lot of the driving around. And  
12          you may be right, because we were discussing, and  
13          we were discussing all of the information of the  
14          case, it was coming at us like a blizzard. And I  
15          have to say, though, that he -- it -- I think the  
16          problem was, my recollection is the problem was  
17          less trying to recall on the basis of bits and  
18          pieces of information gathered over time than it  
19          was his reaction to having to recall in the first  
20          place.

21          Q           And so, just again on the point about what -- and  
22          in fact he ended up giving evidence, and I think,  
23          did you spend some time to prepare him for the  
24          giving of evidence?

25          A           We tried, yes.



1 Q And did you have a concern or did you observe that  
2 there may have been some challenges distinguishing  
3 between what he recalled and perhaps what he had  
4 read or been informed may have happened?

5 A That's probably accurate. I don't recall, but  
6 that's probably accurate.

7 Q Did you have concerns -- and again, not that, I'm  
8 not suggesting that he was intending to tell  
9 things that were not true -- but did you have  
10 concerns that the reliability of what he was  
11 saying may have been affected by what had gone on  
12 in his life for the last 20 years?

13 A Oh, certainly, certainly. Look, the best we could  
14 say to David -- and I do have a recollection of  
15 Hersh, and it may have been in Ottawa, trying to  
16 calm him down and just tell him to just tell it as  
17 best he could remember it, because David was  
18 extremely agitated through this whole period and  
19 the period leading up.

20 Q I saw a reference in one transcript or one  
21 document where -- and I think it was attributed to  
22 you -- that you may not have advised, in advance  
23 of David Milgaard testifying, that you didn't tell  
24 him what Mr. Justice Tallis was gonna say David  
25 had told him; do you remember that?



1 A Don't recall that.

2 Q And, I mean, is that possible? And I think the  
3 comment was that maybe for that reason, that you  
4 didn't want to get him agitated or confused, that  
5 you wouldn't tell him what you had heard Mr.  
6 Tallis was gonna say; do you recall that at all?

7 A I don't, but it's possible.

8 Q 157294, and this is January 29th, '92, your letter  
9 to Rob Frater, and again just -- there's a couple  
10 of statements here, and we've referred to these on  
11 a number of occasions, (V11) (V11) (V11)--, (V9)  
12 (V9)----, (V6)--- (V6)-, these were all statements  
13 on Mr. Caldwell's file that were assaults or  
14 attempted assaults in and around the time I think,  
15 or prior to Gail Miller's murder, and it would  
16 appear that you were asking that those go on the  
17 reference case record; is that correct? Do you  
18 recall --

19 A I don't recall this, but yes, that's what I was  
20 doing.

21 Q And I think when we take a look at the legal  
22 argument that was filed with the Court at the end  
23 of the case, I think these were referred to as  
24 saying lookit, there was non-disclosure, these  
25 statements the Crown had and they didn't give them



1 to us. Do you recall that being one of the  
2 grounds?

3 A No, I don't.

4 Q 009799, this is January -- go to the next page, a  
5 letter from Murray Brown to Fainstein with a copy  
6 to Hersh Wolch, and this talks about again witness  
7 lists and he says:

8 "We would expect that the Court would  
9 want to hear from Detectives Short,  
10 Mackie & Karst, Deborah Hall and Ute  
11 Frank."

12 And I think there's some later correspondence  
13 that indicates Detective Short was ill and Mr.  
14 Mackie was out of the country, so does this  
15 assist your memory at all as to who wanted these  
16 people called? It looks like Mr. Brown may have  
17 wanted them called, and you. Do you know?

18 A It doesn't ring a bell.

19 Q 165771, this is a letter to Kim Rossmo from you  
20 with the RCMP, a profile sheet prepared with  
21 respect to Larry Fisher, and I think what's being  
22 referred to there, Mr. Asper, is that in late  
23 1991, based on your second application, the RCMP  
24 did a profile sheet or a comparison of those rapes  
25 and it appears you are sending this to Mr. Rossmo



1 for his review?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And was Mr. Rossmo retained as an expert or an  
4 assistant to assist you in the preparation of the  
5 case?

6 A Yes.

7 Q 165773, this is a letter February 5, 1992 from Mr.  
8 Wolch to a Dr. Fred Shane sending materials about  
9 Nichol John and asking him -- let me just find  
10 where the -- it appears that you are seeking some  
11 expert advice from him with respect to Nichol  
12 John. Do you recall that?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And what -- who was Dr. Shane and what was he  
15 doing for you?

16 A He's a forensic psychiatrist in Winnipeg and he  
17 was obviously consulted on the Nichol John  
18 hypnotism. I think he did, he's familiar with  
19 hypnotism in his practice.

20 Q And do you recall what he advised you or what  
21 his --

22 A No, I don't.

23 Q Go to 165682, and this is just for the record,  
24 February 3, 1992, this is the lab report  
25 confirming that David Milgaard is an A secretor.



1           You recall learning of that information, is that  
2           correct, around that time?

3           A           At some point, yes.

4           Q           Did that surprise you?

5           A           I think it would be fair to say nothing was going  
6           to surprise me by this point.

7           Q           And why is that?

8           A           It had been a long haul.

9           Q           165774, this is another letter from, this one is  
10          from you to Dr. Shane and you are sending the  
11          transcript of Ron Wilson and Nichol John from the  
12          Supreme Court hearing as well as the investigation  
13          reports, and those investigation reports I think  
14          are the key ones that dealt with, where the police  
15          reported on their dealings with Nichol John and  
16          Ron Wilson, it says:

17                    "I hope this documentation will assist  
18                    you in preparing an opinion with respect  
19                    to the Nichol John evidence."

20          Does that assist you at all in telling us what  
21          the opinion was you were seeking from him and  
22          what it was?

23          A           No.

24          Q           165775, and Dr. Shane, I don't think there's  
25          anything put forward to the Court, the Supreme



1 Court from Dr. Shane?

2 A Well, I have a feeling that this was for a verbal  
3 consultation with Mr. Wolch and I in preparing  
4 closing argument, that's my recollection, to the  
5 extent it exists.

6 Q Now, Dr. Fleming testified. Is it possible that  
7 it might have been to assist with questioning him?

8 A It might have been for that too.

9 Q 165775 is a letter February 10th to Dr. Merry  
10 sending the lab report and you ask him:

11 "Is it possible that an individual can  
12 at one time be a secretor and at a later  
13 time be a non-secretor?"

14 And whether the ingestion of drug affects the  
15 testing for secretor status and what is the most  
16 reliable testing procedure available. Were you  
17 questioning or challenging the results of the  
18 secretor test or were you simply just verifying  
19 that they were right?

20 A I don't recall challenging. I mean, I don't  
21 recall writing this letter. It looks to me like  
22 we're trying to verify what they did.

23 Q 009854. I apologize, Mr. Asper, going through all  
24 the Supreme Court matters. I know you say you  
25 don't have a recollection of many of the events;



1           however, you are the witness that I have to ask  
2           about these things, so 009854 --

3           A       I don't know why. It's odd, it's the most recent  
4           part and it's gone, it's gone from my memory.

5           Q       Well, I'll go through some of these documents and  
6           we'll see if they might assist what happened.  
7           Sorry, 009853 is the doc ID, this is the second  
8           page, and this is actually a letter to your agent  
9           for filing, but it is -- if we can go to the next  
10          page, it's submissions of counsel on behalf of  
11          David Milgaard as to the test to be employed on  
12          the reference, and I can give you a bit of  
13          background. It's my understanding that at some  
14          point Chief Justice Lamer or the Court, the  
15          question was raised, okay, what is the test that  
16          the Court applies on this reference. Do you  
17          recall that being raised as an issue or coming up?

18          A       Yes, I recall it coming up.

19          Q       And I think what happened is the parties were  
20          asked to make written submissions, perhaps even  
21          oral submissions, but certainly written  
22          submissions as to what should the test be, and  
23          then the Court rendered a ruling on that which  
24          I'll show you in a moment. Do you remember that  
25          happening?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And this is a brief for submissions filed. Would  
3 you have played a part in preparing this do you  
4 know?

5 A My recollection is that we referred this to  
6 another lawyer in our firm who was familiar and  
7 had expertise in appellate matters and that she  
8 would have driven the composition of this  
9 document. We may have had input, but she would  
10 have authored it I think.

11 Q And if we can go to 009859, just a couple of --  
12 paragraph 14, and the submission that you and Mr.  
13 Wolch made on behalf of the Milgaards is, submits  
14 that:

15 "... the approach used in Truscott --"

16 Meaning the reference,

17 "-- should be used on this Reference.

18 That is, the Court should hear and  
19 consider all relevant new evidence,  
20 whether admissible under a test for new  
21 evidence or not; should weigh this  
22 evidence along with the evidence at  
23 trial; should determine what, if any  
24 evidence is credible; and should  
25 determine whether all of the credible



1 evidence causes the Court to doubt the  
2 correctness of the conviction."

3 And again, do you recall that being the position  
4 put forward at the time?

5 A Generally, yes.

6 Q And go to 009862, and the closing paragraph you  
7 say:

8 "The words "miscarriage of justice" do  
9 not lend themselves to easy definition.  
10 It is obviously a broad concept. It is  
11 submitted that examples of miscarriages  
12 would be situations where it is proved  
13 on balance that the convicted person is  
14 innocent; where it is proved on balance  
15 that the trial evidence was false or  
16 fabricated; or where it is proved on  
17 balance that another is responsible for  
18 the crime. If the Applicant can meet  
19 this test, it is submitted that the  
20 Reference question should be answered in  
21 the affirmative."

22 And I think that's similar to what we discussed  
23 earlier today about the various things that could  
24 make a miscarriage of justice; is that fair?

25 A Right.



1 Q 305225 -- actually, let me just back up. Sorry,  
2 before I call up that reference, if we could call  
3 up 208523, and this is the transcript for January  
4 16th, 1992, if we can just go to the next page, or  
5 actually the third page, and this is before the  
6 five member panel of the Court, and it has you  
7 listed as being in appearance, and I think this  
8 was the opening, I don't think there's any  
9 witnesses, but this is where remarks were made.  
10 Do you recall attending that session?

11 A I do.

12 Q And if we could go to 208528, and I'm just trying  
13 to identify, Mr. Asper, if we can, at which of the  
14 meetings it was that Chief Justice Lamer had put  
15 forward these limits you had talked about, and  
16 this is him speaking and he says:

17 "Pursuant to this --"

18 And I think it was the order,

19 "-- I had three meetings with the  
20 lawyers; two in my chambers and one in  
21 public. At the first meeting where all  
22 counsel were present except Mr. Fisher's  
23 counsel, a certain number of decisions  
24 were made which I would like to  
25 reiterate now so that they may be



1 endorsed by the Court. Some of those  
2 decisions that were made have to be made  
3 by the Court. It is not sufficient that  
4 they be made by a Judge of the Court.  
5 All lawyers here present -- and I need  
6 not repeat -- are representing parties  
7 that have been granted status under  
8 Section 53(6) of the Supreme Court Act,  
9 which I believe should be read for the  
10 benefit of all."

11 He goes on to read it. And then:

12 "Of course Mr. Fisher's counsel was not  
13 there because our second meeting was to  
14 determine whether he would be granted  
15 status, which he has. At that meeting  
16 it was decided that (1) the attorneys  
17 representing the Attorney General for  
18 Canada would adopt a neutral position in  
19 the Reference;"

20 And then some information about contacting the  
21 Court. There's an issue, if we can scroll down,  
22 about Mr. Iacobucci being on the Court, and then  
23 if we can go to the next page, he then talks  
24 about the second meeting that Mr. Fisher was  
25 given status, and then:



1 "The right to cross-examine witnesses  
2 will be determined on an ad hoc basis as  
3 matters develop. We will hear you on an  
4 ad hoc basis whenever you feel you  
5 should be allowed to put questions. In  
6 this regard, it is to be remembered --  
7 and I reiterate this -- that this is not  
8 a trial; this is not a rehearing of an  
9 appeal; nor is it a Royal Commission of  
10 Inquiry into certain matters. It is a  
11 Reference that is governed by very few  
12 sections in the Supreme Court Act and a  
13 couple of sections in our rules of  
14 Court."

15 And the next page, just scroll down:

16 "We have been asked by Cabinet to assist  
17 them in exercising their power of mercy,  
18 which is an administrative power. We  
19 are entitled in that regard to do most  
20 of what Cabinet itself could do. We  
21 intend to proceed judicially, though I  
22 think we could not necessarily go that  
23 route."

24 And then talks about the rules of evidence not  
25 being applicable.



1                   Is that -- are you able to tell  
2                   us, would those be the comments that you were  
3                   referring to or were there previous comments that  
4                   would have been --

5           A       Well, if my recollection is correct, my  
6                   recollection would be that there was a meeting in  
7                   which the chief was quite pointed, more pointed  
8                   than he is on the record. He is being on the  
9                   record in this proceeding.

10          Q       Okay. So that this -- it was not --

11          A       This is a fair, I think, reflection. I just have  
12                   a recollection at one of the meetings that he was  
13                   quite, as I say, pointed.

14          Q       And that was in his chambers do you think?

15          A       I believe so, yes.

16          Q       If we can go to 305225, and this is the February  
17                   28th, '92 order of the Supreme Court that set out  
18                   the test, and I'll just quickly go through -- if  
19                   we can go to page 3, parts of it, under (a), I  
20                   think they say that if the Court is satisfied  
21                   beyond a reasonable doubt that David Milgaard is  
22                   innocent, that that would be a miscarriage of  
23                   justice and there would be a certain remedy, I  
24                   think a pardon, free pardon; (b), they said that  
25                   if on the preponderance of evidence they found



1 David Milgaard is innocent of the murder of Gail  
2 Miller, that that would be a miscarriage of  
3 justice and that they would grant a remedy or be  
4 open to apply to re-open an application for leave  
5 to appeal. Next page, three, that:

6 "The continued conviction of David  
7 Milgaard would constitute a miscarriage  
8 of justice if there is new evidence put  
9 before this Court which is relevant to  
10 the issue of David Milgaard's guilt,  
11 which is reasonably capable of belief,  
12 and which, taken together with the  
13 evidence adduced at trial, could  
14 reasonably be expected to have affected  
15 the verdict."

16 And in which case they would consider ordering  
17 the conviction be quashed and to direct a new  
18 trial. So would this provision here being, okay,  
19 if you couldn't prove David Milgaard's innocence  
20 either on a balance of probabilities or beyond a  
21 reasonable doubt, if you could show or have  
22 evidence that could reasonably be expected to  
23 have affected the verdict, you would get -- there  
24 would be a miscarriage of justice and you could  
25 get a remedy. Is that a fair reading of that?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And I'll come back to that when we get into the  
3 decision.

4 216144, this is a letter  
5 February 13, 1992 from Tony Merchant to Mr. Wolch  
6 enclosing a copy of his file, and I believe Mr.  
7 Merchant's evidence was that this was the first  
8 time he had been, I think he said the first time  
9 he had been asked for his file by you or Mr. Wolch  
10 and, if not that, it was certainly when he first  
11 delivered it. Do you recall that being the case?

12 A I don't recall this at all.

13 Q And do you know why Mr. Wolch had asked for it or  
14 what was in the file?

15 A No, I don't recall.

16 MR. HODSON: This is probably an  
17 appropriate spot to break, Mr. Commissioner.

18 *(Adjourned at 2:53 p.m.)*

19 *(Reconvened at 3:21 p.m.)*

20 BY MR. HODSON:

21 Q I'll turn now, Mr. Asper, to what we've called the  
22 script document, which is the five page police  
23 document. You are familiar with what I'm talking  
24 about?

25 A Yes.



1 Q 116619, and this is a letter from Mr. Wolch to  
2 Murray Brown saying:

3 "I'm enclosing the first page of four  
4 pages which appears to be a summary  
5 which was found in the Miller file. I  
6 believe David Asper provided a copy of  
7 this to Eric sometime ago and asked if  
8 he could determine who prepared this  
9 particular summary."

10 And then actually if we can go to 006799, and  
11 this, we'll just quickly, the first four pages  
12 are police summaries. Then if you can go to the  
13 last page, 803, this is the summary document, and  
14 we've been through this document on a number of  
15 occasions, Mr. Asper, with a number of witnesses,  
16 so I don't propose to go through it. Can you  
17 tell me, what is your recollection of when and  
18 how this document was found and what  
19 significance, if any, you placed on it?

20 A My best recollection is that we came across it  
21 while we were in Ottawa at the Supreme Court  
22 hearings and we just came across it as we were  
23 reviewing documents. Assuming that to be the  
24 case, or irrespective of when we found it, when we  
25 did find it, it hit us like a ton of bricks.



1 Q And why is that?

2 A Because somebody had prepared the script of what  
3 the witnesses were going to say in order to  
4 implicate David and create a case against, on  
5 which a prosecution could be conducted.

6 Q And what caused you to conclude that?

7 A I'm not sure I understand your question.

8 Q Okay. I think you said that you found the  
9 document and you said that this was a script  
10 document that the police used to put together the  
11 case and to get the witnesses' evidence; is that  
12 right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And did you know at that time who prepared the  
15 document, when they prepared it and what the  
16 purpose was?

17 A No. We may have known when it was prepared -- the  
18 date on it, but I don't think we knew who prepared  
19 it.

20 Q Would it be fair to say that based on your reading  
21 of it or Mr. Wolch's reading of it, reading of the  
22 document itself without any other information, you  
23 concluded that it was a document that may have or  
24 was used by the police to influence or coerce or  
25 manipulate witnesses' testimony?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And did you ever find out any more than -- let me  
3 rephrase that. I think it was used at the Supreme  
4 Court, if I'm not mistaken, for that purpose, and  
5 certainly in the media there's some report that  
6 says this is a document, and I think the word  
7 "smoking gun" was used from time to time, look,  
8 this is a significant document that shows or  
9 proves what we've been saying all along, that the  
10 police coerced these witnesses, words to that  
11 effect. Is that a fair summary?

12 A Yes.

13 Q 155018, this is Fred Shane's report back and he's  
14 got two articles on post-traumatic stress disorder  
15 and some literature. Scroll down:

16 "Also - N.B! - did she have flashbacks  
17 before Milgaard situation. Often  
18 individuals with traumatic backgrounds  
19 such as hers may have psychological  
20 sequela --"

21 I'm not sure if I'm saying that right,

22 "-- in the form of flashbacks. Also -  
23 N.B. to ask Dr. Fleming when did  
24 Nichole's flashbacks begin?"

25 And then a bill, go to the next page, for \$3,200



1 for services rendered. I wasn't able to find any  
2 other report of Dr. Shane. Do you know if there  
3 was any other written report provided?

4 A I don't recall. I don't think so. I think he  
5 sent us material to read and then to use.

6 Q Do you remember his conclusions of what he said  
7 about Nichol John?

8 A No.

9 Q 155546, and this is February 18, 1992 from Dr.  
10 Merry back to you and just says David Milgaard  
11 always was and always will be a blood group A  
12 antigen secretor all the time and then goes on to  
13 talk about the testing procedure. Is it fair to  
14 say at this point that you would have accepted  
15 that David Milgaard was a type A secretor?

16 A Yes.

17 Q 228041, there's a couple of documents here, this  
18 is around February 18, 1982, "Police created  
19 game-plan for Milgaard: lawyers." And this is  
20 during the reference, but I think it's comments  
21 made by you outside the hearing room.

22 "Milgaard's other lawyer, David Asper,  
23 said the document appears to be an  
24 attempt to "connect the dots" between  
25 the murder and theory. Key witnesses



1 changed their stories to along the lines  
2 of the theory after they were brought  
3 back to Saskatoon, requestioned and  
4 driven around the murder scene, he said.

5 "I think it's more than  
6 coincidence that Wilson and John bought  
7 into the theory. Up until the little  
8 trip to Saskatoon they were adamant that  
9 nothing had happened, and then over a  
10 two-day period, magically, their stories  
11 mirror the document," Asper told  
12 reporters."

13 And so again that would be an accurate statement  
14 of what your view was at the time about where  
15 this document fit into what the police were  
16 doing?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And again 004258, and just again a similar  
19 comment, this is Mr. Roberts, that:

20 "I think the police theory is set out in  
21 this document and that [key witnesses]  
22 bought into the theory."

23 The police had two points, the death and they had  
24 their own theory:

25 "They had to connect the dots,"



1 Mr. Asper said, adding that someone put  
2 the theory to paper and the police were  
3 then instructed to round up the required  
4 witnesses."

5 And again, was that your view at the time, that  
6 last part, that someone put this on paper and  
7 instructed the police to round up the required  
8 witnesses?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And what was that based on?

11 A Somebody putting the theory on a piece of paper  
12 and going and getting Wilson and John and getting  
13 them to mimic what was on the piece of paper.

14 Q And the source of that, or the basis, was it based  
15 on your reading of the document and your belief  
16 that that's what happened or must have happened or  
17 was there some other piece of information in  
18 addition to the document that led you to the  
19 conclusion that --

20 A I believe the document itself has a list of  
21 suggestions, one of which is that they be  
22 re-questioned.

23 Q Right. No, and I'm sorry, I guess apart from the  
24 document, when you made this comment that someone  
25 put the theory to paper and the police were then



1 instructed to round up the required witnesses,  
2 were you relying solely on --

3 A I think I was just saying what I think must have  
4 happened.

5 Q Okay. Based on what you read in the document?

6 A And common sense and what actually happened.

7 Q Okay. So what you knew about the case, common  
8 sense, reading the document, but was there any  
9 other piece of information where you heard police  
10 officer X say this is what we did?

11 A No.

12 Q Okay. 169905, this is a memo February 24, 1992  
13 from Bob Harris. Do you remember Bob Harris  
14 calling you?

15 A No.

16 Q Bob Harris was the fifth motel room visitor and he  
17 gave evidence before this Commission of Inquiry in  
18 a manner similar to what's in your memorandum  
19 here, and I don't propose to go through it other  
20 than asking about your recollection, but you don't  
21 recall him?

22 A No I don't.

23 Q 157427. This is a March 2, 1992 letter from you  
24 to Mr. Frater and you are saying that:

25 "... I can advise that we will be



1 seeking to call Kim Rossmo, who is a  
2 Vancouver City Police Officer ...",

3 and you give particulars, and:

4 Also ... ask ... arrange for the  
5 presence of (V4)---- (V4)--- and (V10)  
6 (V10)- (V10)--. I believe that Mr.  
7 Williams of your office, or Sgt. Pearson  
8 ... will know where to reach ..."

9 them. And I'm wondering, I don't think  
10 Kim Rossmo testified at the Supreme Court and I'm  
11 wondering what happened between this letter and  
12 why he didn't testify?

13 A I don't know.

14 Q And I think Dr. Rossmo, or Kim Rossmo, prepared a  
15 video re-enactment; is that right?

16 A I can't recall.

17 Q 117085, I'm sorry, the doc. ID is 117084. And  
18 this is a letter of Mr. Wolch to Mr. Frater, again  
19 about witnesses, and:

20 "Detective Sergeant Mackie and  
21 Lieutenant Short - are these witnesses  
22 to be called and if so; When? I  
23 appreciate that Officer Short apparently  
24 is not healthy and may have to be  
25 excused. I do not know what the



1                   circumstances are regarding Mackie. I  
2                   think he played a fairly significant  
3                   role in the case. I am particularly  
4                   interested in pursuing the identity of  
5                   the author of the summary that was  
6                   prepared prior to the arrival of  
7                   Inspector Roberts. I do not know if  
8                   Mackie or Short can shed any light on  
9                   this subject but I think all efforts  
10                  should be made to find the author if at  
11                  all possible."

12                 Again, does this assist your memory at all about  
13                 Short and Mackie being witnesses?

14             A           No.

15             Q           The five-page document, I think you said that it  
16                   was used by the police and they were instructed to  
17                   go out and get witnesses to fit their evidence to  
18                   the script; would it be fair to say that that  
19                   would be the suggestion, that that would fit in,  
20                   be similar to what you were already saying about  
21                   Wilson, John, and Cadrain, that the police  
22                   wrongfully influenced their testimony, would the  
23                   script document be another component of that?

24             A           I think the script document is just, is just the  
25                   evidence of what we were saying.



1 Q Right. So that it's part of the same miscarriage  
2 of justice that you'd been talking about, it was a  
3 piece of evidence that you were relying upon to  
4 say "there's police misconduct, they wrongfully  
5 influenced witnesses, and that's a miscarriage of  
6 justice"?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q Next page. And, again, there is a reference here  
9 about doctor -- or Kim Rossmo, again from  
10 Mr. Wolch, and about the preparation of a video.  
11 And, again, do you have any recollection about  
12 anything further than what's in the document?

13 A No. I don't recall this.

14 Q Now there is also an affidavit of Joyce Milgaard  
15 that Mr. Wolch says, he expects to have it filed,  
16 and he says:

17 "As indicated ... Joyce is prepared to  
18 testify if required and the same would  
19 apply to Paul Henderson;"

20 Are you able to shed any light as to why Joyce  
21 Milgaard and Paul Henderson did not testify or  
22 were not called as witnesses?

23 A I can't recall.

24 Q 010127. This is an affidavit of Joyce Milgaard  
25 that was filed with the Supreme Court, it was



1 sworn on February 29th, 1992. If we can go to  
2 page 010130, in her affidavit she swears that:

3 "I am advised by Mr. Wolch that this  
4 Court is interested in determining what  
5 disclosure was made available to Justice  
6 Tallis at the time and given that the  
7 files apparently are either lost or  
8 destroyed I have reviewed the material  
9 ...",

10 etcetera, and it goes on to talk about some  
11 attachments. Does this accord with your  
12 understanding, Mr. Asper, about this issue being  
13 before the Court, namely that the Supreme Court  
14 was interested in determining what disclosure was  
15 made available to Justice Tallis at the time?

16 A I, Mr. Hodson, I accept that that was an issue. I  
17 do not recall it.

18 Q Okay. If we can go to 301002.

19 A I may have post-traumatic stress disorder from the  
20 Supreme Court hearing, that may be it.

21 Q 301002 is a March 11th, 1992 report of Sergeant  
22 Pearson to Mr. Eugene Williams, and the evidence  
23 we heard from Mr. Caldwell and Sergeant Pearson is  
24 that I think around late February/early March 1992  
25 that Sergeant Pearson was asked to go and



1 interview Mr. Caldwell, I believe with a view to  
2 having him testify at the reference hearing. And,  
3 in particular, Mr. Caldwell went to review his  
4 prosecution file to identify or to find out  
5 whether the five-page script or theory or whatever  
6 document -- I can't recall what name we've decided  
7 to call it but I think you've referred to it as  
8 the script document -- and whether that was on his  
9 file at the time or in 1991?

10 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Just on that  
11 subject, Mr. Hodson, I don't know whether it's  
12 been generally conceded that Detective Mackie was  
13 the author of that document or not, if that's the  
14 belief then we -- it could be referred to as the  
15 "Mackie summary".

16 MR. HODSON: Yeah. And in fairness I  
17 think, I know it's been called a number of  
18 different things, Mr. Mackie did testify, I  
19 believe, that he did prepare it.

20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: That he did?

21 MR. HODSON: That he did, yes, that he  
22 prepared it or he believed he did.

23 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, excuse me,  
24 it just seems that -- I remember an issue arising  
25 at one time about referring to it as a "smoking



1 gun", which I objected to on the basis that it  
2 was a loaded term, no pun intended. But now it's  
3 being called a script, which is probably also  
4 loaded although not in the same way, and I have  
5 some concern about that being repeated --

6 MR. HODSON: Yeah, sure. And in fairness  
7 to Mr. Asper I think I called it --

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: -- to the extent  
9 that it becomes a concession or is viewed as a  
10 concession. So if we could -- let's call it the  
11 "Mackie summary" for now, --

12 MR. HODSON: Sure.

13 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: -- and, if it  
14 develops that it is not his composition, then  
15 we'll have to say so.

16 MR. HODSON: Okay.

17 BY MR. HODSON:

18 Q And I apologize, I think, Mr. Asper. I put it to  
19 you initially as being the script document, but I  
20 think we're on the same page that it's the Mackie  
21 summary, if we can call it that.

22 In any event, I wanted to ask  
23 you about your recollection about these matters  
24 involving Mr. Caldwell as a witness, and I think  
25 Mr. Caldwell said that he was -- in fact I think



1 he even went to Ottawa and thought he'd be called  
2 and ended up not being called; do you recall those  
3 circumstances?

4 A No, I don't.

5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: That was Caldwell  
6 not being called?

7 MR. HODSON: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

9 BY MR. HODSON:

10 Q And would that be something that Mr. Wolch would  
11 have dealt with?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Go to 301105, which is I think part of this  
14 document. And this is a handwritten note that is  
15 attached to Mr. Caldwell's statement at the time,  
16 and we've heard evidence from Mr. Caldwell about  
17 this, that at the, I think it was the preliminary  
18 hearing, he's got Mrs. Miller, Mary Marcoux and  
19 Albert Cadrain -- I think it was actually Peggy  
20 Miller who also testified to this effect --  
21 hearing Nichol John in the witness room say:

22 "I don't know why he didn't kill me too  
23 - I was right there + saw it all, but  
24 I'm not going to say nothing".

25 And I think this was a note that was discovered,



1 I'm not sure, but it may have been discovered  
2 around February-March of '92 when Mr. Caldwell  
3 was reviewing his file. Do you have any  
4 recollection of becoming aware of this note that  
5 Mr. Caldwell found on his file?

6 A No.

7 Q If we can go to 159537. This is a letter dated  
8 March 21, 1992 from a fellow by the name of  
9 Michael Breckenridge, and just go to the next  
10 page, we'll see his name and signature, go back to  
11 the first page, it's addressed to Mr. Wolch. And  
12 March 21 would be, I think, right around -- I'm  
13 not sure if there were still witnesses left, but  
14 it would be around towards the end of the  
15 reference case. You're familiar with who Michael  
16 Breckenridge is, Mr. Asper?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And can you tell us, what is your recollection of  
19 when he contacted Mr. Wolch or you and what you  
20 people did with that information, or what you did  
21 or Mr. Wolch did, or what you know was done with  
22 it?

23 A I don't recall.

24 Q What do you remember about Mr. Breckenridge and  
25 what he had to say?



1           A           Umm, well he, umm, I think he was the one who  
2                       first alleged the coverup in the Attorney  
3                       General's office, that it involved Roy Romanow,  
4                       and that's about all I can recall.

5           Q           Okay. And I'll maybe go through some of the  
6                       documents and see if this might assist you. He --  
7                       this is the first letter, March 21, and let me  
8                       pause.

9                               I think at this time, back in  
10                       August of 1991, at least Mr. McCloskey and Paul  
11                       Henderson had publicly stated that David Milgaard  
12                       had been framed and that there was a coverup by  
13                       Justice officials in the handling of the -- in  
14                       concealing the Fisher matter from police,  
15                       Milgaards, public, etcetera; correct, that was  
16                       the --

17          A           Correct.

18          Q           And in fact it was Mr. Kujawa who handled both the  
19                       appeal of the David Milgaard matter and the Larry  
20                       Fisher matters; correct?

21          A           Right.

22          Q           That was known around that time as well?

23          A           Right, we knew that.

24          Q           And so the allegation was, correct me if I'm  
25                       wrong, that in particular Mr. Kujawa would have to



1           be part of the coverup because you were saying he  
2           had both files and covered up the Fisher file and  
3           kept it from Mr. Tallis and from the Milgaards;  
4           correct, that would be the basis?

5           A       Right. Right.

6           Q       So here's a letter March 21 saying he's been:

7                   "... watching with interest the David  
8                   Milgaard case since I worked in the  
9                   Attorney Generals Dept. in Sask. at the  
10                  time of those cases (Fisher and  
11                  Milgaard)."

12          He talks about:

13                   "My job was to process the criminal  
14                   files."

15          He talks about Kujawa and Romanow, then scroll  
16          down, he says:

17                   "I remember delivering both cases to  
18                   Serge at the same time. The general  
19                   feeling in the Dept. at the time was  
20                   that these were to high profile cases  
21                   that the N.D.P. could appear to get  
22                   great political mileage from ...",  
23                   etcetera. And then the next page.

24                   "Today, I would suggest that there is a  
25                   cover up by the present administration



1 to hide the sins of the Blakeney  
2 regime."

3 And would you have become aware of this letter  
4 when Mr. Wolch received it around -- or when he  
5 received it? Do you know when he received it,  
6 would it have been around March 21?

7 A You know, I, the only thing I can recall about  
8 this whole thing, frankly, was not believing that  
9 Mr. Romanow would be involved in anything such as  
10 was being alleged.

11 Q And why do you say that?

12 A Umm, because I knew Mr. Romanow, had a huge amount  
13 of respect for him, and I believe he was involved  
14 with the Victoria Conference constitutional  
15 preparations at the time. And I don't think  
16 Mr. Breckenridge says it in this letter, but when  
17 the time frame became obvious, I -- my  
18 recollection is that Mr. Romanow was trying to  
19 recreate the constitution with the Prime Minister.

20 Q And so did that cause you to doubt  
21 Mr. Breckenridge's story?

22 A It made me wonder whether Mr. Romanow could be  
23 involved, I just --

24 Q Okay.

25 A And that's my -- and as I say, it may not be off



1           this communication, but that's my only general  
2           recollection of this whole incident.

3           Q       And so the fact that Mr. Breckenridge says  
4           Mr. Romanow was involved in this coverup, and you  
5           say "well I know he wasn't there at the time, he  
6           was fixing the constitution", --

7           A       That was my thinking.

8           Q       -- right, your thinking --

9           A       Yes.

10          Q       -- that therefore he couldn't have been involved,  
11          that caused you to say "well, okay, he wasn't  
12          involved", but did it cause you to say "well maybe  
13          Mr. Breckenridge isn't right with anything he  
14          says"?

15          A       No, frankly it -- I, as I recall this, it made us  
16          wonder whether Mr. Kujawa might have been aware of  
17          the Fisher information at the time he was  
18          prosecuting Milgaard's appeal, and whether there  
19          may have been a disclosure issue.

20          Q       And so if -- can we assume that this letter, or do  
21          you know whether this letter would have been  
22          received around the time it's dated, March 21,  
23          '92?

24          A       You know, this is, the letter is a reminder, but I  
25          can't speak to the details of when it was received



1 or when I saw it.

2 Q And I guess the question is that here is a letter  
3 from someone who says he worked there at the time  
4 that brought both files, the Fisher and Milgaard  
5 files, to Mr. Kujawa at the time, and says there  
6 was a coverup, which is consistent with what  
7 Mr. McCloskey and Mr. Henderson had stated  
8 publicly in August of '91; correct?

9 A That's correct.

10 Q And I'm wondering why you wouldn't have put this  
11 information before the Supreme Court, for example,  
12 or taken steps to say "okay, here", because if  
13 what Mr. Breckenridge is saying is true that would  
14 be a miscarriage of justice; would you agree?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And so I'm wondering what happened and why this  
17 wasn't put forward to the Supreme Court when it  
18 was received; are you able to tell us?

19 A I don't know. I don't know. It's possible it's  
20 something that we looked at and decided wasn't  
21 worth pursuing at that point.

22 Q Okay. We'll come back to Mr. Breckenridge in a  
23 moment.

24 I now want to turn to 218223.

25 And this is a copy of the final submission or the



1 argument put in on behalf of David Milgaard before  
2 the Supreme Court, and is it fair to say that you  
3 would have been involved in some way in either the  
4 preparation of this or the input into what was put  
5 forward to the Court?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And if we can go to 218228, and this is page 4,  
8 and when I say "you" I'm referring to you and  
9 Mr. Wolch, if you're okay with that, or counsel.  
10 It says:

11 "It is submitted that the Milgaard case,  
12 like that involving Donald Marshall, is  
13 an example of a situation where lack of  
14 disclosure has been an important  
15 contributing factor in causing a  
16 miscarriage of justice."

17 And that would be an accurate statement; is that  
18 correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And:

21 "Counsel for Milgaard submits that the  
22 following relevant information was  
23 withheld:",

24 I'm not going to go through this all because it  
25 goes on for about five pages, but a couple of



1           them here. One talks about the Crown theory of  
2           where it took place, and listed in the  
3           argument -- if we can go to the next page -- are  
4           a number of names of witnesses, and I believe  
5           it's a summary of a bunch of information that you  
6           gleaned from police reports, is that fair, --

7           A           It --

8           Q           -- or witness statements?

9           A           -- looks like it, yes.

10          Q           And, again, the next page is again a number of --  
11          information, and go to the next page, it goes  
12          right up to x). So, again, one of the grounds of  
13          miscarriage of justice put forward to the Supreme  
14          Court was that there was a lack of proper  
15          disclosure by the Crown and here are all of the  
16          pieces of information that should have been  
17          disclosed that weren't that constituted a  
18          miscarriage of justice; is that a fair summary?

19          A           Yes.

20          Q           And here we talk about the (V4)--- information,  
21          and again, I think you told me yesterday you don't  
22          recall much of the (V4)--- details?

23          A           I don't.

24          Q           And if we can scroll down to number 4, raised is  
25          the fact of (V9) (V9)----, another nurse who was



1 attacked, and again this was an individual who  
2 made a complaint. I don't think this was ever  
3 connected to Larry Fisher but it was on the police  
4 files, so again, that would be one matter; is that  
5 correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And then number 5 is the rape of (V1)--- (V1)-,  
8 which is the, one of the Larry Fisher rapes, and  
9 then the next page the (V2)----- rape, which was  
10 the second Larry Fisher rape, and those two would  
11 be put forward as grounds to say "lookit, this is  
12 information that the Crown didn't disclose"?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And then number 7 is the bone-handled hunting  
15 knife and how it was held, or dealt with, again  
16 there was an allegation that that was part of the  
17 lack of disclosure; is that correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And I think if we can just go to the bottom, you  
20 say:

21 "It is submitted that the lack of  
22 disclosure allowed the Crown to advance  
23 a theory that could not be effectively  
24 challenged."

25 And then it goes on to give the details as to



1           that. If you can go to 218234, you then conclude  
2           by saying:

3                        "It is therefore submitted that lack of  
4                        disclosure caused a miscarriage of  
5                        justice."

6       A        Yes.

7       Q        And:

8                        "The Crown was allowed to advance a  
9                        theory that the Defence could not  
10                      effectively rebut."

11      A        Yes.

12      Q        And do you recall there being any restriction or  
13                limitation imposed by the Court as far as the  
14                evidence that you could put forward, either by  
15                documents or witnesses, on the issue of disclosure  
16                by the Crown?

17      A        Don't recall that, no.

18      Q        If we can go to 218235. Under The Present State  
19                of the Evidence, The Original Witnesses, you say:

20                      "It is the position of David Milgaard  
21                      that highly coercive and improper police  
22                      tactics led to the witnesses, Wilson,  
23                      John and Cadrain, eventually giving  
24                      statements that incriminated Milgaard."

25                And again, we touched on this before, but that



1 would be the position you argued to the Court,  
2 that the police had acted -- police misconduct in  
3 that they had coerced and used improper tactics  
4 to cause the evidence to give -- or the witnesses  
5 to give false evidence?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And, again, was there any restriction imposed by  
8 the Court as far as the documents or witnesses or  
9 scope of examination to advance that argument?

10 A I -- I don't recall. I don't think so but I don't  
11 recall.

12 Q And then to page 218237, talking about Nichol  
13 John:

14 "She provided an incriminating statement  
15 after coercive police questioning."

16 And was there any restriction by the Court as far  
17 as the documents, evidence, or witnesses you  
18 could put forward to establish that Nichol John  
19 had been coerced by the police?

20 A Not that I recall.

21 Q And the next page, please. And again, I think  
22 this summarizes what you are saying about what,  
23 what you were saying was a miscarriage of justice  
24 with respect to how the police treated Nichol  
25 John. You call it, here:



1                    "... the investigative summary ... which  
2                    'predicts' what she would say ...",  
3                    "The pressure imposed upon her by being  
4                    in custody and subjected to the highly  
5                    objectionable techniques of Inspector  
6                    Roberts",

7                    and then a:

8                    "... conversation with Wilson ..."

9                    So, again, that would summarize the miscarriage  
10                    of justice you are putting forward at least with  
11                    respect to Nichol John?

12                    A                    Yes.

13                    Q                    218240, actually go to 218242, and this is the  
14                    summary. It goes through the evidence of Hall and  
15                    Frank, etcetera, but it concludes:

16                    "It is therefore submitted that when the  
17                    motel room evidence is submitted in its  
18                    entirety, the most that can be said is  
19                    that David Milgaard, under the influence  
20                    of drugs, made a statement in a manner  
21                    and in circumstances consistent with  
22                    sarcasm and poor taste."

23                    And I'm wondering at this point, Mr. Asper,  
24                    whether -- and I hesitate to use the word "your  
25                    position" or "the position on behalf of David



1 Milgaard" -- was the position on behalf of David  
2 Milgaard with respect to the motel incident at  
3 the conclusion of the Supreme Court reference  
4 that something happened but it was said as a  
5 joke, or was it still that nothing happened, or  
6 are you able to --

7 A I don't -- I don't recall. It looks to be that  
8 something happened in poor taste.

9 Q Okay. But do you have any recollection that --

10 A I don't, but I don't have any recollection.

11 Q If we can go to 157482. This is a letter from you  
12 to, or actually from Mr. Wolch to Mr. Frater, and  
13 again I think it says after March 26th, '92, so  
14 this would be towards the tail end, and it's  
15 enclosing documents. And what, what's being  
16 enclosed are the documents that relate to Larry  
17 Fisher's, the correspondence and the documents  
18 relating to Larry Fisher's arrangements to plead  
19 guilty in Saskatchewan back in 1970-'71 in  
20 correspondence with, here we see with Kenneth  
21 McKay, Lawrence Greenberg, etcetera. And we've  
22 been through all these documents; do you know why  
23 these documents were being put in at this time?

24 A I don't.

25 Q Do you know if it was to support the contention



1           that there was some coverup or miscarriage of  
2           justice arising from how Larry Fisher was dealt  
3           with in Saskatchewan?

4           A           I don't know.

5           Q           008879. This is the Supreme Court decision of  
6           August -- or pardon me -- April 14, 1992, and I  
7           would say that the judgement obviously speaks for  
8           itself, but would it be fair to say that following  
9           this judgement, Mr. Asper, there was some ongoing  
10          commentary/debate with the Government of  
11          Saskatchewan officials and possibly even Federal  
12          Justice about just what this decision meant for  
13          David Milgaard?

14          A           Yes.

15          Q           And I think some disagreement as to what it said,  
16          what it didn't say, what it should have meant, or  
17          etcetera; is that fair?

18          A           I, yes, I -- yes, there was debate, yeah. I can't  
19          recall the details of it.

20          Q           And I think you expressed at a later occasion,  
21          although the effect of the decision was that your  
22          client, Mr. Milgaard, was released from prison,  
23          would it be fair to say that it did not resolve  
24          all of his outstanding concerns?

25          A           That's correct.



1 Q And can you elaborate on that? I mean I can take,  
2 I'll take you through parts of the judgement, but  
3 is there anything that specifically --

4 A My recollection was that the Minister took the  
5 judgement, referred the case back for a new trial  
6 in Saskatchewan, the Saskatchewan Department of  
7 Justice stayed proceedings, and the stay left the  
8 state of David's innocence in limbo, and that was  
9 coupled with comments made, as I recall, by  
10 various officials that supported the original  
11 conviction.

12 Q And --

13 A And so my recollection of the time was that this  
14 had not cleared David.

15 Q And in fairness I think, after this decision, you  
16 publicly, and with government officials as well as  
17 Mr. Wolch, debated what this meant as far as  
18 whether there had been misconduct, whether this  
19 cleared David Milgaard, whether this meant they  
20 should re-open the investigation, and is it fair  
21 to say that the judgement left some issues open --

22 A Very much so, yes.

23 Q -- that would have been relevant to Mr. Milgaard's  
24 quest, number 1, to clear his name, but 2, to --  
25 that affected the re-opening of the investigation



1 into the death of Gail Miller?

2 A Yes, I think that's correct.

3 Q And I want to go through parts of this decision  
4 with you. And I don't want to ask you to  
5 interpret it, Mr. Asper, I appreciate that it's a  
6 decision of the Supreme Court, but there are parts  
7 in here where I would like to get your comment  
8 about what it meant to your position and what  
9 steps you took after that. And so if we could  
10 just go through, go to page 008 -- just go to the  
11 next page and we'll quickly go through. The next  
12 page sets out the order-in-council materials which  
13 we have seen. If we can go to the next page, it  
14 then sets out the test, and I went through the  
15 test with you as to whether you could prove  
16 innocence on a -- beyond a reasonable doubt or  
17 balance of probabilities, and what would happen.  
18 And then if we can go to the next page, no, next  
19 page. And the Court stated:

20 "It is appropriate to begin by stating  
21 that in our view David Milgaard had the  
22 benefit of a fair trial in January of  
23 1970. We have not been presented with  
24 any probative evidence that the police  
25 acted improperly in the investigation of



1 the robbery, sexual assault and murder  
2 of Gail Miller or in their interviews  
3 with any of the witnesses. Nor has  
4 evidence been presented that there was  
5 inadequate disclosure in accordance with  
6 the practice prevailing at the time.  
7 Milgaard was represented by able and  
8 experienced counsel. No error in law or  
9 procedure has been established. At the  
10 conclusion of the first trial, there was  
11 ample evidence upon which the jury,  
12 which had been properly instructed,  
13 could return a verdict of guilty."

14 And if we can just go back to the previous page,  
15 this commentary in this decision I believe was  
16 used by certainly the Government of Saskatchewan,  
17 and indeed Saskatoon City Police, to say that  
18 "essentially we have been vindicated"; is that a  
19 fair summary?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And I am wondering if you could -- and was that  
22 disputed by you, and if so, on what basis?

23 A Well I don't recall the specific, the specifics of  
24 what happened after the decision in terms of what  
25 we said, but it -- it's a little incongruous that



1 the Court could find a miscarriage of justice and  
2 the Minister of Justice order a new trial and the  
3 Supreme Court have said that there was a fair  
4 trial in the first case, in the first instance.

5 Q I think, and I stand to be corrected, I think what  
6 the Court actually said is, not that there had  
7 been a miscarriage of justice, but if he didn't  
8 have an opportunity to present information before  
9 a jury, it would be a miscarriage of justice, I  
10 think that's what it says?

11 A I understand that, but to the extent that the  
12 evidence, or the material existed at his trial, at  
13 the time of his trial in 1970, how could the trial  
14 have been fair would be our view.

15 Q And so again is it fair to say, Mr. Asper, and  
16 certainly subsequent correspondence details it,  
17 that you and Mr. Wolch and the Milgaards, although  
18 the decision resulted in Mr. Milgaard getting out  
19 of jail, is it fair to say you took issue with the  
20 reasoning and the conclusions?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And this would be one, and again I'm reluctant to  
23 get into debating or interpreting the decision,  
24 but I just want to understand from you, it's fair  
25 to say that the decision did not resolve issues



1 and may have created as many issues as it  
2 resolved; is that fair?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And again the comment here:

5 "We have not been presented with any  
6 probative evidence that the police acted  
7 improperly ..."

8 Or in their interviews with any of the witnesses,  
9 and I believe at the Supreme Court reference  
10 Inspector Roberts testified, Detective Karst  
11 testified and Wilson, John and Cadrain testified  
12 about those interviews, and again, I believe that  
13 the authorities, or some authorities after this  
14 decision said your allegations that those three  
15 witnesses were interviewed improperly, or their  
16 evidence was coerced, has been decided by the  
17 Supreme Court against you; is that correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And did you take issue with that?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And on what basis?

22 A My recollection is that to the extent that, I  
23 believe the witnesses John and Wilson had shaped  
24 or conformed their story to the Mackie summary.  
25 In my view that was evidence of improper conduct.



1 Q No, I'm sorry, maybe I didn't --

2 A And I disagree with the judgment.

3 Q Okay, no, and I guess that's fine, and I think, if  
4 I can take a step back, this Commission of Inquiry  
5 is looking into the conduct of the investigation,  
6 the conduct of the trial and the re-opening and  
7 information that was available, and I think from a  
8 number of the documents and a number, from some of  
9 the witnesses, this decision dealt with all three  
10 of the prongs of our Terms of Reference, and do I  
11 take it, Mr. Asper, that you did not and do not  
12 accept the Supreme Court of Canada's finding as  
13 it's stated here, that the police did not act  
14 improperly in their interviews with any of the  
15 witnesses?

16 A That's correct, I do not accept that finding.

17 Q And is it because you just don't agree with it?

18 A I don't agree with it. I don't think the evidence  
19 supports that finding.

20 Q So just so that I'm clear, what you are saying is  
21 the evidence was there, they reached the wrong  
22 conclusion?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And similarly they talk about inadequate  
25 disclosure in accordance with the practice



1           prevailing at the time and I think they concluded  
2           that there was not, that there was proper  
3           disclosure at the time. Based on the principles  
4           at the time, is it fair to say that you disagree  
5           with the conclusion because you think it's wrong?

6           A        Yes.

7           Q        And go to the next page, the paragraph here where  
8           the Court says:

9                        "In our view, this evidence, together  
10                       with other evidence we have heard,  
11                       constitutes credible evidence that could  
12                       reasonably be expected to have affected  
13                       the verdict of the jury considering the  
14                       guilt or innocence of David Milgaard."

15           And what the Court is referring to there is the  
16           Ron Wilson recantation and the Larry Fisher  
17           information, and they say:

18                        "Our conclusion in this respect is not  
19                       to be taken as a finding of guilt  
20                       against Fisher, nor indeed that the  
21                       evidence would justify charging him with  
22                       the murder of Gail Miller."

23           And again, this was relied upon by I think the  
24           authorities subsequently with respect to whether  
25           the investigation into the death of Gail Miller



1           should have been re-opened and Mr. Fisher had  
2           been followed up; is that fair, and --

3           A           Yes.

4           Q           And do you take issue or do you disagree with this  
5           conclusion of the Court?

6           A           Which one?

7           Q           The latter part, and maybe that's not a fair  
8           question because they are saying their conclusion  
9           should not be taken -- they are not prepared to  
10          find the guilt of --

11          A           Sorry, I think that's reasonable in as much as --

12          Q           I'm not sure that's a fair question, Mr. Asper.

13                    COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: The paragraph  
14                    which preceded, the top one, referred to Ron  
15                    Wilson's evidence at the Supreme Court?

16                    MR. HODSON: Yeah. If we can just actually  
17                    scroll up a paragraph, I'm sorry, I should have  
18                    read that:

19                            "However, fresh evidence has been  
20                            presented to us. Ronald Wilson, a key  
21                            witness at the trial, has recanted part  
22                            of his testimony. Additional evidence  
23                            has been presented with respect to the  
24                            alleged motel room confession. More  
25                            importantly, there was evidence led as



1 to sexual assaults committed by Larry  
2 Fisher which came to light in October  
3 1970, when Fisher made a confession."

4 So actually, I'm sorry, it's actually all three.

5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, I'm asking you  
6 whether in our view this evidence, they are  
7 referring to the "More importantly, there was  
8 evidence led as to the sexual assaults," or are  
9 they referring as well to Ron Wilson. It's hard  
10 to believe that they are referring to Ron  
11 Wilson's evidence before the Supreme Court in as  
12 much as they asked that he be charged with  
13 perjury.

14 MR. HODSON: I think, and maybe I can  
15 assist here. I think what the Court is saying,  
16 and again we maybe all have our own  
17 interpretations of this, that's why I was  
18 reluctant to get into this, but that there's  
19 three grounds, one is the fresh evidence, being  
20 Ron Wilson has recanted part of his testimony,  
21 number 1; additional evidence has been presented  
22 with respect to the alleged motel room  
23 confession, and that would be Hall, Frank and  
24 Harris; and more importantly, there was evidence  
25 led as to sexual assaults committed by Larry



1 Fisher which came to light in October, 1970, and  
2 then I think the Court says this evidence, being  
3 that paragraph, together with other evidence we  
4 have heard, constitutes credible evidence that  
5 could reasonably be expected to have affected the  
6 verdict of the jury.

7 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, I don't know  
8 if I'm ever going to have to decide this, but you  
9 can see my concern. I really don't know how they  
10 can describe the first two items as credible  
11 evidence without making findings of credibility  
12 and I think elsewhere they said they weren't.

13 MR. HODSON: Yeah. I think,  
14 Mr. Commissioner, they had -- again, I think the  
15 decision itself, what they later on say is we're  
16 not prepared to make findings because this may go  
17 back before a Court and I think there was some  
18 reluctance to put -- I think that was their  
19 explanation, some reluctance to put in detailed  
20 findings because they didn't want to affect any  
21 subsequent proceedings.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Which, on the  
23 other hand, the evidence of the sexual assaults  
24 committed by Larry Fisher is a more objective  
25 matter to deal with.



1           A           Well, and I was going to say that the confusing  
2                       part to me, if you go back to the first part of  
3                       the decision, was that if you take the name of the  
4                       perpetrator out of the sexual assaults, that  
5                       information was available at the time of the trial  
6                       and the name of the perpetrator, or some of the  
7                       information, because he committed a crime after  
8                       the Gail Miller murder, and the name of the  
9                       perpetrator was certainly available at the appeal  
10                      hearing, and so if the Supreme Court said, and I  
11                      said take the name out, if the perpetrator could  
12                      have affected the verdict and the information was  
13                      available at the time of the trial, how could the  
14                      trial have been fair is my problem.

15           BY MR. HODSON:

16           Q           Yeah, and I think that's what I was getting to,  
17                       Mr. Asper, that -- and I think that's the position  
18                       you took after, and when I say you, I mean you and  
19                       Mr. Wolch and the Milgaards, that if there was a  
20                       fair trial and the Fisher information is what  
21                       gives Mr. Milgaard a right to have a new trial  
22                       because it would affect the verdict, how could it  
23                       have been a fair trial, and I think that's,  
24                       without getting into the debate, that was the  
25                       issue that I think you and the Attorney General of



1 Saskatchewan and perhaps even Federal Justice  
2 locked horns on a bit later; is that fair?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And then just down at the bottom, you will recall  
5 the test that I have set out, they say:

6 "As to the first, we are not satisfied  
7 beyond a reasonable doubt that David  
8 Milgaard is innocent of the murder of  
9 Gail Miller."

10 Secondly:

11 "As to the second, we are not satisfied,  
12 on the basis of the judicial record, the  
13 Reference Case and the further evidence  
14 heard on this Reference, on a  
15 preponderance of all the evidence, that  
16 David Milgaard is innocent of that  
17 murder."

18 And I think on that point, Mr. Asper, I believe  
19 after this decision, authorities took the  
20 position that Mr. Milgaard had not been believed  
21 by the Court or he had failed in establishing his  
22 innocence on a preponderance of evidence; is that  
23 correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And again, is this a conclusion that you took



1 issue with?

2 A Yes, but I don't recall the specifics on that one.

3 Q And then scroll down, and, Mr. Commissioner,  
4 here's the comment I had referred to earlier, they  
5 say they are going to quash the decision, they  
6 say:

7 "In light of this decision, it would be  
8 inappropriate to discuss the evidence in  
9 detail or to comment upon the  
10 credibility of the witnesses."

11 And so I think that is where they said here's our  
12 result, but because we're quashing it and there  
13 might be another trial of somebody, it would be  
14 inappropriate to comment on the evidence that  
15 might be presented, and I believe, Mr. Asper,  
16 that was an issue that caused some concern to you  
17 and others after; is that fair?

18 A Well, again, as the Commissioner noted, it was  
19 pretty obvious to everyone who the Court believed  
20 and who it didn't believe and the previous  
21 paragraph that we highlighted respecting Fisher I  
22 think made it pretty clear, notwithstanding that  
23 there was a disclaimer, you know, that the Court  
24 said, well, we're not convicting him here, but --

25 Q And here they say:



1 "Without being exhaustive it will  
2 suffice to observe that there is some  
3 evidence which if accepted by a jury  
4 could implicate Milgaard in the murder  
5 of Gail Miller."

6 And again, that was something that was relied  
7 upon by the authorities subsequent with respect  
8 to your interaction with them regarding the  
9 re-opening and dealing with the conduct of the  
10 investigation and trial; is that fair?

11 A Yes. I think my comment was to ask somebody to  
12 identify that evidence for me.

13 Q And again to page 889, this might assist,  
14 Mr. Commissioner, just on this point, they say:

15 "While there is some evidence which  
16 implicates Milgaard in the murder of  
17 Gail Miller, the fresh evidence  
18 presented to us, particularly as to the  
19 locations and the pattern of the sexual  
20 assaults committed by Fisher, could well  
21 affect a jury's assessment of the guilt  
22 or innocence of Milgaard. The continued  
23 conviction of Milgaard would amount to a  
24 miscarriage of justice if an opportunity  
25 was not provided for a jury to consider



1 the fresh evidence."

2 And then they go ahead to recommend that, and  
3 then as well to the next page, they go on to say  
4 set aside the conviction and order a new trial,  
5 but that it would be open to the Attorney General  
6 of Saskatchewan to enter a stay, and if the stay  
7 is not entered, a new trial proceeds and a  
8 verdict of guilty is returned as against Mr.  
9 Milgaard, then we would recommend that the  
10 Minister of Justice consider granting a  
11 conditional pardon to David Milgaard with respect  
12 to any sentence imposed, and I think the latter  
13 part of that judgment as well was relied upon by  
14 the Attorney General for Saskatchewan in their  
15 decision that they took shortly after the  
16 decision; is that correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And in fact I think what happened is, if we can go  
19 to 020392, and this is the Government of  
20 Saskatchewan position saying:

21 "Given the decision of the Supreme Court  
22 of Canada earlier this week, we have  
23 decided it is not in the best interest  
24 of the people of Saskatchewan or of the  
25 justice system to proceed further with



1                   this case.

2                                 The Supreme Court's ruling said  
3                   that, while it did not find David  
4                   Milgaard innocent of the rape and murder  
5                   of Gail Miller, it feels further  
6                   evidence which might or might not impact  
7                   a jury decision has been brought to  
8                   light and should be examined."

9                   And then they go on to talk about entering a  
10                   stay. And then the next page you'll see they  
11                   quote fairly extensively from the decision about  
12                   what was said. And I take it, Mr. Asper,  
13                   following this there were, and I think if I can  
14                   summarize here, was the position of David  
15                   Milgaard going back to the government in effect  
16                   lookit, I want to either -- I want to be  
17                   acquitted, I want a trial, I want to be found not  
18                   guilty?

19           A           Yes.

20           Q           And why was that?

21           A           Because a stay allowed the people who believed in  
22                   David's guilt to keep pointing to the stay and to  
23                   the Supreme Court decision and perpetuate this  
24                   idea that David had committed the murder and that  
25                   the trial and everything had been just perfect at



1 his original proceedings.

2 Q And so following the decision I think from the  
3 documents, it looks like, on behalf of David  
4 Milgaard two things happened, or two concerns were  
5 raised. Number one, Mr. Milgaard said I want to  
6 be found not guilty or found innocent, or to  
7 remove the limbo, the legal limbo I'm in about  
8 whether I've committed the crime or not, and  
9 secondly, I'm not satisfied that my allegations of  
10 misconduct and wrongdoing had been addressed; is  
11 that fair?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And so both of those continued notwithstanding  
14 what the Supreme Court of Canada said?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And the Government of Saskatchewan, I think their  
17 position, and we'll hear some witnesses on this,  
18 were that they disagreed with your views on both,  
19 number one, saying we're entering a stay and it  
20 means what it means, and secondly, on the  
21 misconduct, that the Supreme Court had dealt with  
22 those issues; is that fair?

23 A And that there's no need for an inquiry or any  
24 further questions to be asked.

25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Excuse me, Mr.



1 Hodson. Mr. Asper, let's just be clear that the  
2 result of a new trial would never be the finding  
3 of innocence or a declaration of innocence.

4 A It would be an acquittal.

5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: It would be a  
6 finding of guilty or not guilty.

7 A Right.

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right. And  
9 even the latter doesn't imply innocence.

10 A In law it does. Better than a stay, it's better  
11 than a stay.

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right, it's  
13 better than a stay, but we have been bedeviled  
14 throughout this Inquiry by the confusion in the  
15 press and elsewhere about the distinction to be  
16 made in criminal law between a verdict of not  
17 guilty or a finding of innocence, or a  
18 declaration of innocence. Innocence doesn't play  
19 a part in our criminal trial process, it's not a  
20 finding.

21 BY MR. HODSON:

22 Q I think, Mr. Asper, I recall some commentary that  
23 you made in the media, that, and in fact you maybe  
24 even said this at the start of your evidence, that  
25 you were simply looking for an acquittal for David



1 Milgaard?

2 A Oh, look, given what we've gone through, I was  
3 prepared to take what we got.

4 Q Meaning?

5 A Once we got David out of prison, we had the luxury  
6 of seeking more, but up until that point, the  
7 pressure I felt was to find some way to have the  
8 conviction set aside and get him out of prison.

9 Q Right. And then what followed with the stay in  
10 place is that he had not been found not guilty and  
11 that I think later on there was a comment by the  
12 Justice Minister of the day, Mr. Mitchell,  
13 suggesting that he was guilty. Do you recall  
14 that?

15 A Yes.

16 Q I think it was maybe a year or two later.

17 A Yeah.

18 Q And so that was the issue that Mr. Milgaard wanted  
19 some closure on that issue; is that fair?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And then as far as the wrongdoing and the Inquiry,  
22 we saw in some of the later documents that the  
23 Milgaards were requesting some further review.  
24 Now, you made the comment, and I think just a  
25 minute or so ago, that you thought you had enough,



1 or that getting him out of jail was enough. Could  
2 you elaborate on that?

3 A Look, I mean, I knew when we started the height of  
4 the mountain that we had to climb and the fact  
5 that we were able to get as close to the top of  
6 the mountain as we did without, you know, a grand  
7 sweeping declaration of innocence, but to have the  
8 conviction set aside and have David freed from  
9 prison on April 12th I believe, or 16th, 1992,  
10 that was a good day, I was happy that day. The  
11 day after and the week after in the calmness and  
12 coolness of time with David a free person, I guess  
13 we had the luxury to think about the larger  
14 meaning and larger objectives.

15 Q And what were they?

16 A Innocence, actual factual innocence, how to  
17 establish it, how to go about it, how to find out  
18 what happened, what really happened, what gave  
19 rise to the wrongful conviction, but you'll see by  
20 this point I was personally completely out of gas  
21 and I left the practice shortly after this.

22 Q And that would have been in June of --

23 A Late June, yes.

24 Q Now if we could call up 328294, and I'm not going  
25 to take you through all the media, there was a



1 fair bit of media following the Supreme Court  
2 decision and David Milgaard's release from prison?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And would it be fair to say that you would have  
5 talked extensively to various media over the  
6 course of the next couple of weeks?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Now, this is a transcript of a discussion  
9 involving you and Mr. Kujawa. Do you recall that  
10 shortly after Mr. Milgaard's release, and I think  
11 actually there's two transcripts, one was maybe  
12 television, one was radio, where you and Mr.  
13 Kujawa I think had a disagreement over what the  
14 Supreme Court of Canada decision meant. Do you  
15 recall that?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And we've gone through, we've actually watched the  
18 tape and we've heard Mr. Kujawa's evidence. What  
19 is your recollection, what was the significance of  
20 that, was there something in what Mr. Kujawa said  
21 that caused you concern?

22 A I have a recollection, and I'm not sure if it's, I  
23 think it must be this transcript, where Mr. Kujawa  
24 admitted that prior to the prosecution of the  
25 Milgaard appeal, he had an opportunity to review



1 both the Fisher and the Milgaard files and said  
2 that he did not connect the two.

3 Q And we went through those interviews and Mr.  
4 Kujawa, I think his evidence was that he did not  
5 connect, that he literally did not have the two  
6 files and consciously look at the Milgaard case  
7 and the Fisher case and say okay, they are not  
8 connected, he said he simply didn't connect them,  
9 and I take it that your perception or  
10 understanding of what he said in the debate with  
11 you was otherwise, you felt that what he was  
12 saying is that I had the two and I made a decision  
13 not to disclose Fisher to the Milgaards?

14 A I'm not sure if he said that he made the decision  
15 not to disclose it, I can't recall that part. My  
16 recollection is he said he just didn't connect the  
17 two.

18 Q Right, okay, and that's -- yeah, that was his  
19 evidence.

20 A Now, by implication he didn't disclose them,  
21 but --

22 Q Right. And so that your understanding, based on  
23 that exchange, is that he had never connected the  
24 two files or the two -- never connected Fisher as  
25 being involved in the Gail Miller murder?



1 A Right.

2 Q If we can go to 026936 -- sorry, 935. This is a  
3 very lengthy letter from Mr. Wolch to The  
4 Honourable Bob Mitchell, and I don't propose to go  
5 through it, it's a very lengthy statement of I  
6 guess his interpretation of the decision and what  
7 it meant and criticism of the government's action.  
8 At this time were you still -- was Mr. Wolch sort  
9 of carrying the lead on this front in the dealings  
10 with the Government of Saskatchewan or were you  
11 involved as well?

12 A I don't recall. My general recollection is that I  
13 started to recede and Mr. Wolch was carrying this.

14 Q If we can go to 219270, this is an article in *The*  
15 *Globe and Mail* May 2nd, 1992, so this would be a  
16 couple of weeks after the Supreme Court decision,  
17 and it says:

18 "For Milgaard supporters it is not a  
19 question of whether there was a cover-up  
20 in the Fisher investigation, but how  
21 far-reaching it was: The frightening  
22 question about this is, how many people  
23 were involved in it? Mr. Milgaard's  
24 lawyer, David Asper, asked that they  
25 find it implausible that the Crown could



1 simultaneously handle the Fisher and  
2 Milgaard cases without at least  
3 considering the similarities. Who was  
4 calling the shots and why?

5 "I can't understand why the  
6 Saskatoon police wouldn't want the glory  
7 of Larry Fisher's arrest," says Mr.  
8 Milgaard's senior counsel, Hersh Wolch."

9 And it goes on to give his, he says:

10 "My own theory was the authorities  
11 believed Milgaard was guilty. But if  
12 Fisher appeared in public in Court in  
13 Saskatoon it might stir up people into  
14 asking questions."

15 And at this time, Mr. Asper, were you of the view  
16 that there had been a cover-up?

17 A It appears so, yes.

18 Q And based on what you've already told us, was  
19 there anything in addition to what you've already  
20 told us that you were --

21 A No.

22 Q And what was the cover-up?

23 A The arrest, taking of guilty pleas and  
24 incarceration of Larry Fisher without disclosing  
25 those facts to the Milgaard, to Milgaard's counsel



1 or to his, or to Milgaard himself.

2 Q And who do you believe was covering up, which  
3 individuals or which offices were involved?

4 A Well, I'm guessing as to what was in my mind here,  
5 but it would have involved potentially  
6 co-operation between police and Crown.

7 Q So Mr. Kujawa, Mr. Caldwell and police officers?

8 A Yes.

9 MR. HODSON: This might be an appropriate  
10 spot to break, Mr. Commissioner. I can advise  
11 the parties, I've got one or two small areas left  
12 to go, hopefully no more than half an hour  
13 tomorrow morning.

14 As far as speaking to the order  
15 of counsel, I understand Mr. Frayer has asked  
16 whether we can speak to that in the morning, is  
17 that right, because Ms. Knox is not here and Mr.  
18 Kennedy doesn't have instructions. I am simply  
19 relaying the information, so I understand that  
20 there is not agreement on the order of counsel.

21 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right. Here's  
22 something to think about overnight, counsel. If  
23 I'm forced to decide, you know, if you can't  
24 agree on who should, on the order of examination,  
25 I'll bear in mind these factors; one, that the



1 Milgaard counsels, both Mrs. Milgaard and David  
2 Milgaard's counsel have had access to the rather  
3 extensive tapes which have only recently been  
4 produced to the other parties. I will, in  
5 general terms, allow parties whose interest is,  
6 require parties whose interest is not really  
7 adversely engaged by this witness' testimony to  
8 go first. I don't think there's any argument  
9 that the witness' counsel, in our practice so  
10 far, has been allowed to go last, so that would  
11 be Mr. Sorochan, and I will be guided as well by  
12 the stance taken by this witness at the start of  
13 the testimony; namely, that it was warfare  
14 against the authorities that he name certain bad  
15 guys and that there were no rules for the  
16 engagement, the gloves were off, so the subject  
17 of those allegations of course should in my view  
18 have the tactical advantage, if indeed there is  
19 one, of going towards the end of the list of  
20 cross-examiners. I don't have to have argument  
21 on this now, they want it to be addressed in the  
22 morning.

23 MR. WILSON: I was just going to advise the  
24 Commissioner that there is at least partial  
25 agreement and enough to keep things going



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

MR. HODSON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: But Mr. Frayer  
wants to address it in the morning you said.

*(Adjourned at 4:28 p.m.)*



1 OFFICIAL QUEEN'S BENCH COURT REPORTERS' CERTIFICATE:

2 We, Karen Hinz, CSR, and Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR,  
3 Official Queen's Bench Court Reporters for the Province of  
4 Saskatchewan, hereby certify that the foregoing pages  
5 contain a true and correct transcription of our shorthand  
6 notes taken herein to the best of my knowledge, skill, and  
7 ability.

8  
9  
10  
11  
12 \_\_\_\_\_, CSR

13 Karen Hinz, CSR

14 Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter

15  
16 \_\_\_\_\_, RPR, CSR

17 Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR

18 Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter



<p><b>\$</b></p>	<p>'or [1] - 27375:13 'paul [1] - 27374:7</p>	<p><b>1</b></p>	<p><b>156836</b> [1] - 27377:9 <b>157128</b> [1] - 27277:2</p>	<p><b>1986</b> [1] - 27381:16 <b>1990</b> [8] - 27219:16,</p>
<p><b>\$3,200</b> [1] - 27405:25</p>	<p>'predicts' [1] - 27428:2 'she' [1] - 27374:8 'that' [2] - 27222:5,</p>	<p><b>1</b> [10] - 27232:16, 27237:9, 27238:11,</p>	<p><b>157132</b> [1] - 27292:3 <b>157134</b> [2] - 27292:6, 27299:6</p>	<p>27219:25, 27365:6, 27365:19, 27366:23, 27369:22, 27369:24, 27379:15</p>
<p><b>'</b></p>	<p>27261:6</p>	<p>27309:24, 27356:23, 27365:6, 27365:18,</p>	<p><b>157138</b> [1] - 27302:1 <b>157141</b> [2] - 27304:19, 27304:21</p>	<p><b>1991</b> [41] - 27207:11, 27208:18, 27209:15,</p>
<p><b>'69</b> [2] - 27251:3, 27367:1 <b>'91</b> [14] - 27221:10, 27226:5, 27241:4, 27241:16, 27250:24, 27289:24, 27291:4, 27318:2, 27327:8, 27355:12, 27356:2, 27357:11, 27364:24, 27422:8 <b>'91/january</b> [1] - 27367:12 <b>'92</b> [7] - 27301:8, 27367:12, 27389:8, 27400:17, 27417:2, 27421:23, 27429:13 <b>'93</b> [2] - 27234:24, 27235:2 <b>'all</b> [1] - 27307:21 <b>'and</b> [4] - 27260:25, 27306:18, 27367:13, 27375:8 <b>'apparently</b> [1] - 27259:3 <b>'are</b> [1] - 27260:21 <b>'better</b> [1] - 27281:23 <b>'boyd</b> [1] - 27260:19 <b>'but</b> [4] - 27222:23, 27317:2, 27374:17, 27375:19 <b>'em</b> [1] - 27244:24 <b>'friends'</b> [1] - 27281:16 <b>'getting</b> [1] - 27280:24 <b>'got</b> [1] - 27259:3 <b>'his</b> [1] - 27316:21 <b>'holy</b> [1] - 27260:22 <b>'i'll</b> [1] - 27374:14 <b>'if</b> [1] - 27247:18 <b>'intriguing'</b> [2] - 27231:15, 27231:25 <b>'it</b> [1] - 27316:21 <b>'it'll</b> [1] - 27307:17 <b>'it's</b> [3] - 27221:23, 27222:10, 27222:21 <b>'legal</b> [1] - 27238:13 <b>'man</b> [1] - 27261:9 <b>'maybe</b> [1] - 27261:25 <b>'neil</b> [1] - 27259:2 <b>'no</b> [1] - 27248:2 <b>'not</b> [1] - 27262:1 <b>'oh</b> [1] - 27260:24 <b>'okay</b> [1] - 27247:19</p>	<p>27376:3, 27376:17 <b>'then</b> [1] - 27316:18 <b>'this</b> [1] - 27247:14 <b>'vetted</b> [1] - 27229:11 <b>'we've</b> [1] - 27261:5 <b>'well</b> [7] - 27260:19, 27307:8, 27316:14, 27316:17, 27368:11, 27368:12, 27375:11 <b>'well'</b> [1] - 27375:20 <b>'what</b> [5] - 27367:23, 27368:13, 27368:14, 27368:15, 27374:20 <b>'which</b> [1] - 27375:24 <b>'yeah</b> [2] - 27260:25, 27367:24 <b>'you</b> [1] - 27375:20</p>	<p>27439:21 <b>10</b> [2] - 27208:1, 27281:9 <b>105664</b> [1] - 27379:5 <b>10:29</b> [1] - 27276:24 <b>10:49</b> [1] - 27276:25 <b>10th</b> [5] - 27357:18, 27358:17, 27359:16, 27360:21, 27393:9 <b>11</b> [2] - 27248:10, 27248:13 <b>115417</b> [1] - 27356:1 <b>115419</b> [1] - 27356:21 <b>115617</b> [1] - 27362:7 <b>115797</b> [1] - 27378:1 <b>116619</b> [1] - 27403:1 <b>117084</b> [1] - 27410:17 <b>117085</b> [1] - 27410:17 <b>11:15</b> [1] - 27251:5 <b>11th</b> [12] - 27248:25, 27278:4, 27292:5, 27299:7, 27301:12, 27302:2, 27304:17, 27305:24, 27308:24, 27318:2, 27356:2, 27413:21 <b>12</b> [1] - 27357:11 <b>12:00</b> [1] - 27337:25 <b>12th</b> [3] - 27226:5, 27250:3, 27450:9 <b>13</b> [2] - 27378:2, 27402:5 <b>1308</b> [1] - 27282:10 <b>130837</b> [1] - 27278:23 <b>130853</b> [1] - 27279:12 <b>130858</b> [1] - 27280:12 <b>130861</b> [1] - 27283:15 <b>130866</b> [1] - 27283:24 <b>130870</b> [1] - 27284:9 <b>131986</b> [1] - 27248:9 <b>135</b> [1] - 27203:22 <b>14</b> [3] - 27220:22, 27395:12, 27430:6 <b>147145</b> [1] - 27311:12 <b>14th</b> [6] - 27213:7, 27220:14, 27221:9, 27231:23, 27303:23, 27304:22 <b>155018</b> [1] - 27405:13 <b>155546</b> [1] - 27406:9 <b>156827</b> [1] - 27363:13 <b>156833</b> [1] - 27368:23</p>	<p><b>157294</b> [1] - 27389:8 <b>157427</b> [1] - 27409:23 <b>157482</b> [1] - 27429:11 <b>157840</b> [1] - 27317:25 <b>157842</b> [1] - 27318:5 <b>158496</b> [1] - 27384:7 <b>159391</b> [1] - 27226:24 <b>159396</b> [1] - 27231:22 <b>159537</b> [1] - 27417:7 <b>16</b> [1] - 27209:10 <b>165384</b> [1] - 27361:9 <b>165469</b> [1] - 27225:14 <b>165470</b> [1] - 27225:14 <b>165682</b> [1] - 27391:23 <b>165713</b> [1] - 27291:3 <b>165771</b> [1] - 27390:19 <b>165773</b> [1] - 27391:7 <b>165774</b> [1] - 27392:9 <b>165775</b> [2] - 27392:24, 27393:9 <b>169905</b> [1] - 27409:12 <b>16th</b> [6] - 27207:11, 27209:15, 27213:7, 27360:15, 27397:4, 27450:9 <b>17</b> [1] - 27281:4 <b>177869</b> [1] - 27371:20 <b>17th</b> [2] - 27250:11, 27250:24 <b>18</b> [3] - 27361:17, 27406:9, 27406:18 <b>1968</b> [2] - 27219:6, 27219:10 <b>1969</b> [8] - 27273:12, 27280:23, 27281:12, 27293:5, 27304:7, 27366:6, 27380:16, 27381:8 <b>1969-1970</b> [1] - 27379:18 <b>1970</b> [5] - 27273:12, 27432:23, 27434:13, 27439:3, 27440:1 <b>1970-'71</b> [1] - 27429:19 <b>1971</b> [1] - 27273:12 <b>1980</b> [1] - 27273:22 <b>1981</b> [2] - 27329:16, 27364:14 <b>1982</b> [1] - 27406:18</p>	<p>27210:25, 27218:21, 27221:9, 27223:8, 27223:20, 27225:16, 27225:18, 27235:13, 27235:15, 27241:3, 27247:12, 27248:10, 27248:13, 27248:25, 27250:12, 27258:22, 27264:3, 27277:3, 27277:25, 27284:24, 27285:6, 27290:4, 27292:4, 27292:6, 27306:17, 27308:21, 27316:6, 27326:19, 27347:2, 27347:18, 27355:20, 27361:17, 27362:14, 27364:23, 27365:22, 27390:23, 27414:9, 27418:10 <b>1992</b> [26] - 27223:9, 27231:23, 27325:2, 27370:7, 27371:16, 27371:20, 27373:7, 27374:4, 27377:9, 27378:2, 27380:13, 27383:24, 27391:7, 27391:24, 27397:4, 27402:5, 27406:9, 27409:12, 27409:23, 27413:1, 27413:21, 27413:24, 27417:8, 27430:6, 27450:9, 27453:15 <b>19th</b> [3] - 27308:21, 27316:6, 27362:14 <b>1:34</b> [1] - 27338:1</p>
<p><b>0</b></p>	<p><b>002623</b> [1] - 27383:9 <b>002671</b> [1] - 27365:21 <b>004258</b> [1] - 27407:18 <b>004312</b> [1] - 27327:20 <b>004313</b> [2] - 27299:1, 27327:5 <b>004592</b> [2] - 27207:8, 27210:18 <b>006799</b> [1] - 27403:10 <b>008</b> [1] - 27432:10 <b>008879</b> [1] - 27430:5 <b>009799</b> [1] - 27390:4 <b>009853</b> [1] - 27394:7 <b>009854</b> [2] - 27393:23, 27394:2 <b>009859</b> [1] - 27395:11 <b>009862</b> [1] - 27396:6 <b>010127</b> [1] - 27412:24 <b>010130</b> [1] - 27413:2 <b>020392</b> [1] - 27445:19 <b>026936</b> [1] - 27453:2 <b>039314</b> [1] - 27216:13 <b>056743</b> [2] - 27225:8, 27226:6 <b>056816</b> [2] - 27223:19, 27225:11 <b>056826</b> [1] - 27226:5 <b>056845</b> [1] - 27231:4 <b>057205</b> [1] - 27229:4 <b>057275</b> [1] - 27228:15</p>	<p><b>11</b> [1] - 27251:5</p>	<p><b>169905</b> [1] - 27409:12 <b>16th</b> [6] - 27207:11, 27209:15, 27213:7, 27360:15, 27397:4, 27450:9 <b>17</b> [1] - 27281:4 <b>177869</b> [1] - 27371:20 <b>17th</b> [2] - 27250:11, 27250:24 <b>18</b> [3] - 27361:17, 27406:9, 27406:18 <b>1968</b> [2] - 27219:6, 27219:10 <b>1969</b> [8] - 27273:12, 27280:23, 27281:12, 27293:5, 27304:7, 27366:6, 27380:16, 27381:8 <b>1969-1970</b> [1] - 27379:18 <b>1970</b> [5] - 27273:12, 27432:23, 27434:13, 27439:3, 27440:1 <b>1970-'71</b> [1] - 27429:19 <b>1971</b> [1] - 27273:12 <b>1980</b> [1] - 27273:22 <b>1981</b> [2] - 27329:16, 27364:14 <b>1982</b> [1] - 27406:18</p>	<p><b>1992</b> [26] - 27223:9, 27231:23, 27325:2, 27370:7, 27371:16, 27371:20, 27373:7, 27374:4, 27377:9, 27378:2, 27380:13, 27383:24, 27391:7, 27391:24, 27397:4, 27402:5, 27406:9, 27409:12, 27409:23, 27413:1, 27413:21, 27413:24, 27417:8, 27430:6, 27450:9, 27453:15 <b>19th</b> [3] - 27308:21, 27316:6, 27362:14 <b>1:34</b> [1] - 27338:1</p>
<p><b>0</b></p>	<p><b>002623</b> [1] - 27383:9 <b>002671</b> [1] - 27365:21 <b>004258</b> [1] - 27407:18 <b>004312</b> [1] - 27327:20 <b>004313</b> [2] - 27299:1, 27327:5 <b>004592</b> [2] - 27207:8, 27210:18 <b>006799</b> [1] - 27403:10 <b>008</b> [1] - 27432:10 <b>008879</b> [1] - 27430:5 <b>009799</b> [1] - 27390:4 <b>009853</b> [1] - 27394:7 <b>009854</b> [2] - 27393:23, 27394:2 <b>009859</b> [1] - 27395:11 <b>009862</b> [1] - 27396:6 <b>010127</b> [1] - 27412:24 <b>010130</b> [1] - 27413:2 <b>020392</b> [1] - 27445:19 <b>026936</b> [1] - 27453:2 <b>039314</b> [1] - 27216:13 <b>056743</b> [2] - 27225:8, 27226:6 <b>056816</b> [2] - 27223:19, 27225:11 <b>056826</b> [1] - 27226:5 <b>056845</b> [1] - 27231:4 <b>057205</b> [1] - 27229:4 <b>057275</b> [1] - 27228:15</p>	<p><b>11</b> [1] - 27251:5</p>	<p><b>169905</b> [1] - 27409:12 <b>16th</b> [6] - 27207:11, 27209:15, 27213:7, 27360:15, 27397:4, 27450:9 <b>17</b> [1] - 27281:4 <b>177869</b> [1] - 27371:20 <b>17th</b> [2] - 27250:11, 27250:24 <b>18</b> [3] - 27361:17, 27406:9, 27406:18 <b>1968</b> [2] - 27219:6, 27219:10 <b>1969</b> [8] - 27273:12, 27280:23, 27281:12, 27293:5, 27304:7, 27366:6, 27380:16, 27381:8 <b>1969-1970</b> [1] - 27379:18 <b>1970</b> [5] - 27273:12, 27432:23, 27434:13, 27439:3, 27440:1 <b>1970-'71</b> [1] - 27429:19 <b>1971</b> [1] - 27273:12 <b>1980</b> [1] - 27273:22 <b>1981</b> [2] - 27329:16, 27364:14 <b>1982</b> [1] - 27406:18</p>	<p><b>1992</b> [26] - 27223:9, 27231:23, 27325:2, 27370:7, 27371:16, 27371:20, 27373:7, 27374:4, 27377:9, 27378:2, 27380:13, 27383:24, 27391:7, 27391:24, 27397:4, 27402:5, 27406:9, 27409:12, 27409:23, 27413:1, 27413:21, 27413:24, 27417:8, 27430:6, 27450:9, 27453:15 <b>19th</b> [3] - 27308:21, 27316:6, 27362:14 <b>1:34</b> [1] - 27338:1</p>
<p><b>0</b></p>	<p><b>002623</b> [1] - 27383:9 <b>002671</b> [1] - 27365:21 <b>004258</b> [1] - 27407:18 <b>004312</b> [1] - 27327:20 <b>004313</b> [2] - 27299:1, 27327:5 <b>004592</b> [2] - 27207:8, 27210:18 <b>006799</b> [1] - 27403:10 <b>008</b> [1] - 27432:10 <b>008879</b> [1] - 27430:5 <b>009799</b> [1] - 27390:4 <b>009853</b> [1] - 27394:7 <b>009854</b> [2] - 27393:23, 27394:2 <b>009859</b> [1] - 27395:11 <b>009862</b> [1] - 27396:6 <b>010127</b> [1] - 27412:24 <b>010130</b> [1] - 27413:2 <b>020392</b> [1] - 27445:19 <b>026936</b> [1] - 27453:2 <b>039314</b> [1] - 27216:13 <b>056743</b> [2] - 27225:8, 27226:6 <b>056816</b> [2] - 27223:19, 27225:11 <b>056826</b> [1] - 27226:5 <b>056845</b> [1] - 27231:4 <b>057205</b> [1] - 27229:4 <b>057275</b> [1] - 27228:15</p>	<p><b>11</b> [1] - 27251:5</p>	<p><b>169905</b> [1] - 27409:12 <b>16th</b> [6] - 27207:11, 27209:15, 27213:7, 27360:15, 27397:4, 27450:9 <b>17</b> [1] - 27281:4 <b>177869</b> [1] - 27371:20 <b>17th</b> [2] - 27250:11, 27250:24 <b>18</b> [3] - 27361:17, 27406:9, 27406:18 <b>1968</b> [2] - 27219:6, 27219:10 <b>1969</b> [8] - 27273:12, 27280:23, 27281:12, 27293:5, 27304:7, 27366:6, 27380:16, 27381:8 <b>1969-1970</b> [1] - 27379:18 <b>1970</b> [5] - 27273:12, 27432:23, 27434:13, 27439:3, 27440:1 <b>1970-'71</b> [1] - 27429:19 <b>1971</b> [1] - 27273:12 <b>1980</b> [1] - 27273:22 <b>1981</b> [2] - 27329:16, 27364:14 <b>1982</b> [1] - 27406:18</p>	<p><b>1992</b> [26] - 27223:9, 27231:23, 27325:2, 27370:7, 27371:16, 27371:20, 27373:7, 27374:4, 27377:9, 27378:2, 27380:13, 27383:24, 27391:7, 27391:24, 27397:4, 27402:5, 27406:9, 27409:12, 27409:23, 27413:1, 27413:21, 27413:24, 27417:8, 27430:6, 27450:9, 27453:15 <b>19th</b> [3] - 27308:21, 27316:6, 27362:14 <b>1:34</b> [1] - 27338:1</p>
<p><b>0</b></p>	<p><b>002623</b> [1] - 27383:9 <b>002671</b> [1] - 27365:21 <b>004258</b> [1] - 27407:18 <b>004312</b> [1] - 27327:20 <b>004313</b> [2] - 27299:1, 27327:5 <b>004592</b> [2] - 27207:8, 27210:18 <b>006799</b> [1] - 27403:10 <b>008</b> [1] - 27432:10 <b>008879</b> [1] - 27430:5 <b>009799</b> [1] - 27390:4 <b>009853</b> [1] - 27394:7 <b>009854</b> [2] - 27393:23, 27394:2 <b>009859</b> [1] - 27395:11 <b>009862</b> [1] - 27396:6 <b>010127</b> [1] - 27412:24 <b>010130</b> [1] - 27413:2 <b>020392</b> [1] - 27445:19 <b>026936</b> [1] - 27453:2 <b>039314</b> [1] - 27216:13 <b>056743</b> [2] - 27225:8, 27226:6 <b>056816</b> [2] - 27223:19, 27225:11 <b>056826</b> [1] - 27226:5 <b>056845</b> [1] - 27231:4 <b>057205</b> [1] - 27229:4 <b>057275</b> [1] - 27228:15</p>	<p><b>11</b> [1] - 27251:5</p>	<p><b>169905</b> [1] - 27409:12 <b>16th</b> [6] - 27207:11, 27209:15, 27213:7, 27360:15, 27397:4, 27450:9 <b>17</b> [1] - 27281:4 <b>177869</b> [1] - 27371:20 <b>17th</b> [2] - 27250:11, 27250:24 <b>18</b> [3] - 27361:17, 27406:9, 27406:18 <b>1968</b> [2] - 27219:6, 27219:10 <b>1969</b> [8] - 27273:12, 27280:23, 27281:12, 27293:5, 27304:7, 27366:6, 27380:16, 27381:8 <b>1969-1970</b> [1] - 27379:18 <b>1970</b> [5] - 27273:12, 27432:23, 27434:13, 27439:3, 27440:1 <b>1970-'71</b> [1] - 27429:19 <b>1971</b> [1] - 27273:12 <b>1980</b> [1] - 27273:22 <b>1981</b> [2] - 27329:16, 27364:14 <b>1982</b> [1] - 27406:18</p>	<p><b>1992</b> [26] - 27223:9, 27231:23, 27325:2, 27370:7, 273</p>

<p>27421:22  <b>213342</b> [1] - 27357:2  <b>216144</b> [1] - 27402:4  <b>218223</b> [1] - 27422:24  <b>218228</b> [1] - 27423:7  <b>218234</b> [1] - 27426:1  <b>218235</b> [1] - 27426:18  <b>218237</b> [1] - 27427:12  <b>218240</b> [1] - 27428:13  <b>218242</b> [1] - 27428:13  <b>219270</b> [1] - 27453:14  <b>22211</b> [1] - 27207:20  <b>22295</b> [1] - 27209:6  <b>228041</b> [1] - 27406:17  <b>229820</b> [2] - 27221:17, 27221:19  <b>229822</b> [1] - 27235:14  <b>229827</b> [1] - 27250:2  <b>23</b> [2] - 27364:23, 27364:24  <b>24</b> [5] - 27277:25, 27280:23, 27304:7, 27365:22, 27409:12  <b>24th</b> [3] - 27277:2, 27283:7, 27293:24  <b>26</b> [1] - 27356:24  <b>26th</b> [2] - 27223:20, 27429:13  <b>27207</b> [1] - 27206:4  <b>27th</b> [1] - 27209:15  <b>28th</b> [3] - 27241:4, 27318:1, 27400:17  <b>29</b> [1] - 27381:16  <b>29th</b> [5] - 27211:1, 27225:18, 27325:9, 27389:8, 27413:1  <b>2:53</b> [1] - 27402:18  <b>2nd</b> [1] - 27453:15</p>	<p><b>333676</b> [1] - 27264:2  <b>334170</b> [1] - 27325:5  <b>334244</b> [3] - 27298:25, 27299:3, 27326:17  <b>335020</b> [1] - 27250:10  <b>335029</b> [1] - 27250:21  <b>335034</b> [1] - 27257:25  <b>335410</b> [1] - 27361:16  <b>335463</b> [1] - 27307:25  <b>335968</b> [2] - 27247:8, 27258:21  <b>335988</b> [1] - 27259:25  <b>336045</b> [1] - 27247:11  <b>336197</b> [1] - 27284:22  <b>336230</b> [1] - 27284:25  <b>336312</b> [2] - 27306:11, 27316:5  <b>336352</b> [1] - 27306:15  <b>336358</b> [1] - 27307:7  <b>336361</b> [1] - 27316:7  <b>336391</b> [1] - 27367:4  <b>336439</b> [1] - 27373:4  <b>3:21</b> [1] - 27402:19  <b>3rd</b> [1] - 27225:16</p>	<p>27215:22, 27238:14, 27238:22, 27268:8, 27284:10, 27302:9, 27308:3, 27312:16, 27319:19, 27336:5, 27336:25  <b>690(a)</b> [1] - 27302:12  <b>690(b)</b> [1] - 27303:14  <b>690(c)</b> [2] - 27302:20, 27320:11  <b>6th</b> [1] - 27292:4</p>	<p>27386:12, 27400:1, 27406:1, 27412:20, 27422:18, 27429:6, 27433:7, 27450:5  <b>absences</b> [1] - 27387:10  <b>Absolutely</b> [1] - 27267:17  <b>absolutely</b> [4] - 27216:12, 27267:21, 27270:13, 27291:16  <b>abusive'</b> [1] - 27374:23  <b>accept</b> [8] - 27210:16, 27227:8, 27294:16, 27303:22, 27358:19, 27413:16, 27436:12, 27436:16  <b>accepted</b> [5] - 27272:22, 27282:8, 27296:24, 27406:14, 27444:3  <b>access</b> [1] - 27456:2  <b>Accompanying</b> [1] - 27365:1  <b>accord</b> [1] - 27413:11  <b>accordance</b> [2] - 27433:5, 27436:25  <b>According</b> [1] - 27280:21  <b>according</b> [5] - 27208:7, 27219:23, 27220:22, 27252:24, 27252:25  <b>accordingly</b> [1] - 27269:4  <b>Accordingly</b> [1] - 27312:9  <b>accords</b> [1] - 27219:3  <b>account</b> [1] - 27293:12  <b>accurate</b> [8] - 27217:4, 27358:16, 27358:20, 27369:19, 27388:5, 27388:6, 27407:13, 27423:17  <b>accused</b> [2] - 27248:17, 27249:17  <b>acid</b> [2] - 27316:11, 27317:10  <b>acknowledge</b> [1] - 27210:21  <b>acquaintances</b> [1] - 27281:17  <b>acquittal</b> [3] - 27348:15, 27448:4, 27448:25  <b>acquitted</b> [1] - 27446:17  <b>act</b> [5] - 27239:25, 27248:5, 27249:13, 27249:18, 27436:13  <b>Act</b> [7] - 27302:22,</p>	<p>27319:16, 27319:18, 27319:23, 27378:19, 27398:8, 27399:12  <b>acted</b> [3] - 27427:2, 27432:25, 27435:6  <b>action</b> [6] - 27216:1, 27266:1, 27268:11, 27313:24, 27318:22, 27453:7  <b>actions</b> [2] - 27253:21, 27292:16  <b>active</b> [1] - 27352:13  <b>activities</b> [2] - 27292:20, 27299:18  <b>activity</b> [2] - 27243:7, 27268:6  <b>actors</b> [2] - 27272:24, 27274:8  <b>acts</b> [1] - 27300:25  <b>actual</b> [4] - 27212:18, 27278:23, 27323:25, 27450:16  <b>ad</b> [2] - 27399:2, 27399:4  <b>adamant</b> [1] - 27407:8  <b>add</b> [2] - 27324:22, 27341:3  <b>added</b> [1] - 27252:19  <b>adding</b> [1] - 27408:1  <b>addition</b> [5] - 27215:23, 27346:12, 27348:11, 27408:18, 27454:19  <b>Additional</b> [1] - 27438:22  <b>additional</b> [3] - 27336:1, 27350:10, 27439:21  <b>address</b> [3] - 27338:13, 27338:20, 27457:5  <b>addressed</b> [3] - 27417:11, 27447:10, 27456:21  <b>adduced</b> [1] - 27401:13  <b>adjectives</b> [1] - 27243:19  <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 27276:24, 27337:25, 27402:18, 27457:6  <b>administered</b> [1] - 27270:20  <b>administration</b> [1] - 27419:25  <b>administrative</b> [1] - 27399:18  <b>admissibility</b> [2] - 27247:21, 27249:12  <b>admissible</b> [3] - 27248:19, 27249:9, 27395:20  <b>admitted</b> [2] -</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>4</b></p>	<p><b>7</b></p>	<p><b>7</b> [1] - 27425:14  <b>7th</b> [4] - 27235:15, 27264:3, 27292:7, 27325:11</p>	<p><b>active</b> [1] - 27352:13  <b>activities</b> [2] - 27292:20, 27299:18  <b>activity</b> [2] - 27243:7, 27268:6  <b>actors</b> [2] - 27272:24, 27274:8  <b>acts</b> [1] - 27300:25  <b>actual</b> [4] - 27212:18, 27278:23, 27323:25, 27450:16  <b>ad</b> [2] - 27399:2, 27399:4  <b>adamant</b> [1] - 27407:8  <b>add</b> [2] - 27324:22, 27341:3  <b>added</b> [1] - 27252:19  <b>adding</b> [1] - 27408:1  <b>addition</b> [5] - 27215:23, 27346:12, 27348:11, 27408:18, 27454:19  <b>Additional</b> [1] - 27438:22  <b>additional</b> [3] - 27336:1, 27350:10, 27439:21  <b>address</b> [3] - 27338:13, 27338:20, 27457:5  <b>addressed</b> [3] - 27417:11, 27447:10, 27456:21  <b>adduced</b> [1] - 27401:13  <b>adjectives</b> [1] - 27243:19  <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 27276:24, 27337:25, 27402:18, 27457:6  <b>administered</b> [1] - 27270:20  <b>administration</b> [1] - 27419:25  <b>administrative</b> [1] - 27399:18  <b>admissibility</b> [2] - 27247:21, 27249:12  <b>admissible</b> [3] - 27248:19, 27249:9, 27395:20  <b>admitted</b> [2] -</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>4</b> [2] - 27423:7, 27424:24  <b>426</b> [1] - 27367:10  <b>47</b> [1] - 27316:5  <b>470</b> [1] - 27225:15  <b>49</b> [2] - 27367:11, 27374:4  <b>4:25</b> [2] - 27338:19, 27338:22  <b>4:28</b> [1] - 27457:6  <b>4:30</b> [1] - 27338:19  <b>4th</b> [2] - 27218:21, 27291:4</p>	<p><b>7</b></p>	<p><b>8</b></p>	<p><b>ad</b> [2] - 27399:2, 27399:4  <b>adamant</b> [1] - 27407:8  <b>add</b> [2] - 27324:22, 27341:3  <b>added</b> [1] - 27252:19  <b>adding</b> [1] - 27408:1  <b>addition</b> [5] - 27215:23, 27346:12, 27348:11, 27408:18, 27454:19  <b>Additional</b> [1] - 27438:22  <b>additional</b> [3] - 27336:1, 27350:10, 27439:21  <b>address</b> [3] - 27338:13, 27338:20, 27457:5  <b>addressed</b> [3] - 27417:11, 27447:10, 27456:21  <b>adduced</b> [1] - 27401:13  <b>adjectives</b> [1] - 27243:19  <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 27276:24, 27337:25, 27402:18, 27457:6  <b>administered</b> [1] - 27270:20  <b>administration</b> [1] - 27419:25  <b>administrative</b> [1] - 27399:18  <b>admissibility</b> [2] - 27247:21, 27249:12  <b>admissible</b> [3] - 27248:19, 27249:9, 27395:20  <b>admitted</b> [2] -</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>4</b> [2] - 27423:7, 27424:24  <b>426</b> [1] - 27367:10  <b>47</b> [1] - 27316:5  <b>470</b> [1] - 27225:15  <b>49</b> [2] - 27367:11, 27374:4  <b>4:25</b> [2] - 27338:19, 27338:22  <b>4:28</b> [1] - 27457:6  <b>4:30</b> [1] - 27338:19  <b>4th</b> [2] - 27218:21, 27291:4</p>	<p><b>7</b> [1] - 27425:14  <b>7th</b> [4] - 27235:15, 27264:3, 27292:7, 27325:11</p>	<p><b>8</b></p>	<p><b>ad</b> [2] - 27399:2, 27399:4  <b>adamant</b> [1] - 27407:8  <b>add</b> [2] - 27324:22, 27341:3  <b>added</b> [1] - 27252:19  <b>adding</b> [1] - 27408:1  <b>addition</b> [5] - 27215:23, 27346:12, 27348:11, 27408:18, 27454:19  <b>Additional</b> [1] - 27438:22  <b>additional</b> [3] - 27336:1, 27350:10, 27439:21  <b>address</b> [3] - 27338:13, 27338:20, 27457:5  <b>addressed</b> [3] - 27417:11, 27447:10, 27456:21  <b>adduced</b> [1] - 27401:13  <b>adjectives</b> [1] - 27243:19  <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 27276:24, 27337:25, 27402:18, 27457:6  <b>administered</b> [1] - 27270:20  <b>administration</b> [1] - 27419:25  <b>administrative</b> [1] - 27399:18  <b>admissibility</b> [2] - 27247:21, 27249:12  <b>admissible</b> [3] - 27248:19, 27249:9, 27395:20  <b>admitted</b> [2] -</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>4</b> [2] - 27423:7, 27424:24  <b>426</b> [1] - 27367:10  <b>47</b> [1] - 27316:5  <b>470</b> [1] - 27225:15  <b>49</b> [2] - 27367:11, 27374:4  <b>4:25</b> [2] - 27338:19, 27338:22  <b>4:28</b> [1] - 27457:6  <b>4:30</b> [1] - 27338:19  <b>4th</b> [2] - 27218:21, 27291:4</p>	<p><b>7</b> [1] - 27425:14  <b>7th</b> [4] - 27235:15, 27264:3, 27292:7, 27325:11</p>	<p><b>8</b></p>	<p><b>ad</b> [2] - 27399:2, 27399:4  <b>adamant</b> [1] - 27407:8  <b>add</b> [2] - 27324:22, 27341:3  <b>added</b> [1] - 27252:19  <b>adding</b> [1] - 27408:1  <b>addition</b> [5] - 27215:23, 27346:12, 27348:11, 27408:18, 27454:19  <b>Additional</b> [1] - 27438:22  <b>additional</b> [3] - 27336:1, 27350:10, 27439:21  <b>address</b> [3] - 27338:13, 27338:20, 27457:5  <b>addressed</b> [3] - 27417:11, 27447:10, 27456:21  <b>adduced</b> [1] - 27401:13  <b>adjectives</b> [1] - 27243:19  <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 27276:24, 27337:25, 27402:18, 27457:6  <b>administered</b> [1] - 27270:20  <b>administration</b> [1] - 27419:25  <b>administrative</b> [1] - 27399:18  <b>admissibility</b> [2] - 27247:21, 27249:12  <b>admissible</b> [3] - 27248:19, 27249:9, 27395:20  <b>admitted</b> [2] -</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>4</b> [2] - 27423:7, 27424:24  <b>426</b> [1] - 27367:10  <b>47</b> [1] - 27316:5  <b>470</b> [1] - 27225:15  <b>49</b> [2] - 27367:11, 27374:4  <b>4:25</b> [2] - 27338:19, 27338:22  <b>4:28</b> [1] - 27457:6  <b>4:30</b> [1] - 27338:19  <b>4th</b> [2] - 27218:21, 27291:4</p>	<p><b>7</b> [1] - 27425:14  <b>7th</b> [4] - 27235:15, 27264:3, 27292:7, 27325:11</p>	<p><b>8</b></p>	<p><b>ad</b> [2] - 27399:2, 27399:4  <b>adamant</b> [1] - 27407:8  <b>add</b> [2] - 27324:22, 27341:3  <b>added</b> [1] - 27252:19  <b>adding</b> [1] - 27408:1  <b>addition</b> [5] - 27215:23, 27346:12, 27348:11, 27408:18, 27454:19  <b>Additional</b> [1] - 27438:22  <b>additional</b> [3] - 27336:1, 27350:10, 27439:21  <b>address</b> [3] - 27338:13, 27338:20, 27457:5  <b>addressed</b> [3] - 27417:11, 27447:10, 27456:21  <b>adduced</b> [1] - 27401:13  <b>adjectives</b> [1] - 27243:19  <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 27276:24, 27337:25, 27402:18, 27457:6  <b>administered</b> [1] - 27270:20  <b>administration</b> [1] - 27419:25  <b>administrative</b> [1] - 27399:18  <b>admissibility</b> [2] - 27247:21, 27249:12  <b>admissible</b> [3] - 27248:19, 27249:9, 27395:20  <b>admitted</b> [2] -</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>4</b> [2] - 27423:7, 27424:24  <b>426</b> [1] - 27367:10  <b>47</b> [1] - 27316:5  <b>470</b> [1] - 27225:15  <b>49</b> [2] - 27367:11, 27374:4  <b>4:25</b> [2] - 27338:19, 27338:22  <b>4:28</b> [1] - 27457:6  <b>4:30</b> [1] - 27338:19  <b>4th</b> [2] - 27218:21, 27291:4</p>	<p><b>7</b> [1] - 27425:14  <b>7th</b> [4] - 27235:15, 27264:3, 27292:7, 27325:11</p>	<p><b>8</b></p>	<p><b>ad</b> [2] - 27399:2, 27399:4  <b>adamant</b> [1] - 27407:8  <b>add</b> [2] - 27324:22, 27341:3  <b>added</b> [1] - 27252:19  <b>adding</b> [1] - 27408:1  <b>addition</b> [5] - 27215:23, 27346:12, 27348:11, 27408:18, 27454:19  <b>Additional</b> [1] - 27438:22  <b>additional</b> [3] - 27336:1, 27350:10, 27439:21  <b>address</b> [3] - 27338:13, 27338:20, 27457:5  <b>addressed</b> [3] - 27417:11, 27447:10, 27456:21  <b>adduced</b> [1] - 27401:13  <b>adjectives</b> [1] - 27243:19  <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 27276:24, 27337:25, 27402:18, 27457:6  <b>administered</b> [1] - 27270:20  <b>administration</b> [1] - 27419:25  <b>administrative</b> [1] - 27399:18  <b>admissibility</b> [2] - 27247:21, 27249:12  <b>admissible</b> [3] - 27248:19, 27249:9, 27395:20  <b>admitted</b> [2] -</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>4</b> [2] - 27423:7, 27424:24  <b>426</b> [1] - 27367:10  <b>47</b> [1] - 27316:5  <b>470</b> [1] - 27225:15  <b>49</b> [2] - 27367:11, 27374:4  <b>4:25</b> [2] - 27338:19, 27338:22  <b>4:28</b> [1] - 27457:6  <b>4:30</b> [1] - 27338:19  <b>4th</b> [2] - 27218:21, 27291:4</p>	<p><b>7</b> [1] - 27425:14  <b>7th</b> [4] - 27235:15, 27264:3, 27292:7, 27325:11</p>	<p><b>8</b></p>	<p><b>ad</b> [2] - 27399:2, 27399:4  <b>adamant</b> [1] - 27407:8  <b>add</b> [2] - 27324:22, 27341:3  <b>added</b> [1] - 27252:19  <b>adding</b> [1] - 27408:1  <b>addition</b> [5] - 27215:23, 27346:12, 27348:11, 27408:18, 27454:19  <b>Additional</b> [1] - 27438:22  <b>additional</b> [3] - 27336:1, 27350:10, 27439:21  <b>address</b> [3] - 27338:13, 27338:20, 27457:5  <b>addressed</b> [3] - 27417:11, 27447:10, 27456:21  <b>adduced</b> [1] - 27401:13  <b>adjectives</b> [1] - 27243:19  <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 27276:24, 27337:25, 27402:18, 27457:6  <b>administered</b> [1] - 27270:20  <b>administration</b> [1] - 27419:25  <b>administrative</b> [1] - 27399:18  <b>admissibility</b> [2] - 27247:21, 27249:12  <b>admissible</b> [3] - 27248:19, 27249:9, 27395:20  <b>admitted</b> [2] -</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>4</b> [2] - 27423:7, 27424:24  <b>426</b> [1] - 27367:10  <b>47</b> [1] - 27316:5  <b>470</b> [1] - 27225:15  <b>49</b> [2] - 27367:11, 27374:4  <b>4:25</b> [2] - 27338:19, 27338:22  <b>4:28</b> [1] - 27457:6  <b>4:30</b> [1] - 27338:19  <b>4th</b> [2] - 27218:21, 27291:4</p>	<p><b>7</b> [1] - 27425:14  <b>7th</b> [4] - 27235:15, 27264:3, 27292:7, 27325:11</p>	<p><b>8</b></p>	<p><b>ad</b> [2] - 27399:2, 27399:4  <b>adamant</b> [1] - 27407:8  <b>add</b> [2] - 27324:22, 27341:3  <b>added</b> [1] - 27252:19  <b>adding</b> [1] - 27408:1  <b>addition</b> [5] - 27215:23, 27346:12, 27348:11, 27408:18, 27454:19  <b>Additional</b> [1] - 27438:22  <b>additional</b> [3] - 27336:1, 27350:10, 27439:21  <b>address</b> [3] - 27338:13, 27338:20, 27457:5  <b>addressed</b> [3] - 27417:11, 27447:10, 27456:21  <b>adduced</b> [1] - 27401:13  <b>adjectives</b> [1] - 27243:19  <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 27276:24, 27337:25, 27402:18, 27457:6  <b>administered</b> [1] - 27270:20  <b>administration</b> [1] - 27419:25  <b>administrative</b> [1] - 27399:18  <b>admissibility</b> [2] - 27247:21, 27249:12  <b>admissible</b> [3] - 27248:19, 27249:9, 27395:20  <b>admitted</b> [2] -</p>

<p>27313:22, 27451:24  <b>adopt</b> [3] - 27293:6,  27293:25, 27398:18  <b>adopted</b> [3] - 27295:1,  27296:5, 27304:13  <b>advance</b> [7] - 27292:12,  27370:18, 27372:6,  27388:22, 27425:22,  27426:8, 27427:9  <b>advanced</b> [1] -  27347:17  <b>advancing</b> [1] -  27346:20  <b>advantage</b> [1] -  27456:18  <b>adversarial</b> [7] -  27275:21, 27313:10,  27353:24, 27354:5,  27354:8, 27354:13,  27358:7  <b>adverse</b> [1] - 27357:24  <b>adversely</b> [4] - 27228:4,  27268:21, 27268:24,  27456:7  <b>advice</b> [5] - 27236:13,  27298:7, 27302:15,  27340:14, 27391:11  <b>advisable</b> [1] -  27318:23  <b>advise</b> [8] - 27230:13,  27288:4, 27315:17,  27339:19, 27366:18,  27409:25, 27455:10,  27456:23  <b>advised</b> [5] - 27236:24,  27339:6, 27388:22,  27391:20, 27413:3  <b>advocate</b> [1] - 27295:20  <b>affect</b> [4] - 27228:4,  27440:20, 27441:22,  27444:21  <b>affected</b> [13] - 27222:6,  27268:21, 27268:24,  27349:5, 27349:24,  27350:9, 27388:11,  27401:14, 27401:23,  27431:25, 27437:12,  27440:5, 27441:12  <b>affects</b> [1] - 27393:14  <b>affidavit</b> [6] - 27255:12,  27381:16, 27381:22,  27412:14, 27412:24,  27413:2  <b>Affidavit</b> [1] - 27369:10  <b>afraid</b> [1] - 27218:19  <b>afternoon</b> [1] -  27359:17  <b>Ag</b> [1] - 27365:11  <b>agent</b> [3] - 27362:10,  27362:24, 27394:8</p>	<p><b>agent's</b> [1] - 27362:16  <b>agents</b> [1] - 27214:16  <b>agitated</b> [2] - 27388:18,  27389:4  <b>ago</b> [6] - 27208:1,  27208:2, 27208:10,  27220:1, 27403:7,  27449:25  <b>agree</b> [20] - 27209:18,  27209:20, 27215:5,  27216:18, 27233:7,  27267:3, 27267:16,  27270:11, 27270:13,  27276:9, 27278:15,  27282:5, 27298:21,  27326:10, 27326:13,  27328:15, 27422:14,  27436:17, 27436:18,  27455:24  <b>agreed</b> [12] - 27305:3,  27313:19, 27332:13,  27332:14, 27338:5,  27338:10, 27340:22,  27341:8, 27359:5,  27359:12, 27378:15  <b>agreement</b> [4] -  27328:14, 27338:8,  27455:20, 27456:25  <b>agrees</b> [1] - 27280:2  <b>ahead</b> [3] - 27263:15,  27307:6, 27445:2  <b>aimed</b> [1] - 27309:11  <b>airing</b> [2] - 27313:14,  27313:22  <b>Alain</b> [1] - 27378:3  <b>alarm</b> [1] - 27213:3  <b>Albert</b> [1] - 27416:19  <b>Alexander</b> [1] -  27205:13  <b>Allan</b> [2] - 27206:3,  27207:5  <b>allegation</b> [8] -  27211:9, 27214:9,  27214:18, 27214:20,  27215:4, 27346:9,  27418:24, 27425:16  <b>allegations</b> [3] -  27435:14, 27447:9,  27456:17  <b>alleged</b> [10] - 27220:9,  27251:1, 27344:10,  27344:11, 27351:5,  27371:11, 27418:2,  27420:10, 27438:24,  27439:22  <b>allegiances</b> [1] -  27281:20  <b>alleging</b> [1] - 27219:14  <b>allow</b> [4] - 27302:20,  27303:15, 27320:21,</p>	<p>27456:5  <b>allowed</b> [10] - 27321:23,  27333:18, 27351:8,  27354:22, 27354:23,  27399:5, 27425:22,  27426:8, 27446:21,  27456:10  <b>alone</b> [3] - 27298:1,  27317:13, 27343:17  <b>alternative</b> [1] -  27348:11  <b>amazed</b> [1] - 27229:15  <b>amended</b> [1] - 27238:22  <b>amount</b> [6] - 27324:4,  27325:15, 27325:20,  27385:14, 27420:12,  27444:23  <b>ample</b> [1] - 27433:11  <b>analysed</b> [1] - 27283:20  <b>analysis</b> [1] - 27254:18  <b>anecdotal</b> [1] -  27385:25  <b>announced</b> [1] -  27298:15  <b>announcements</b> [1] -  27298:14  <b>announcing</b> [1] -  27325:9  <b>answer</b> [7] - 27250:23,  27264:4, 27312:11,  27317:20, 27318:21,  27320:3, 27327:22  <b>answered</b> [1] -  27396:20  <b>anticipate</b> [1] -  27274:25  <b>antigen</b> [1] - 27406:12  <b>Antonio</b> [1] - 27359:20  <b>anxious</b> [1] - 27359:21  <b>apart</b> [5] - 27319:17,  27319:25, 27331:7,  27334:6, 27408:23  <b>Apollos</b> [1] - 27281:10  <b>apologize</b> [4] -  27362:15, 27382:9,  27393:23, 27415:18  <b>Appeal</b> [6] - 27302:13,  27302:15, 27303:7,  27319:10, 27341:20,  27348:16  <b>appeal</b> [20] - 27302:14,  27303:3, 27303:14,  27303:15, 27303:18,  27313:10, 27313:15,  27341:3, 27341:4,  27341:12, 27341:17,  27341:19, 27348:17,  27349:2, 27399:9,  27401:5, 27418:19,  27421:18, 27441:9,</p>	<p>27451:25  <b>appeals</b> [1] - 27341:6  <b>appear</b> [11] - 27212:20,  27241:18, 27319:11,  27324:10, 27358:10,  27364:12, 27364:13,  27366:2, 27384:21,  27389:16, 27419:21  <b>appearance</b> [1] -  27397:7  <b>Appearances</b> [1] -  27205:1  <b>appeared</b> [2] -  27208:21, 27454:12  <b>appearing</b> [1] -  27250:16  <b>appellate</b> [1] - 27395:7  <b>Appleby</b> [1] - 27262:4  <b>applicable</b> [1] -  27399:25  <b>Applicant</b> [1] - 27396:18  <b>applicant</b> [2] - 27267:2,  27325:20  <b>Application</b> [2] -  27223:25, 27224:5  <b>application</b> [46] -  27212:12, 27213:12,  27213:23, 27215:20,  27215:22, 27219:5,  27222:7, 27222:17,  27224:1, 27237:16,  27238:14, 27238:22,  27239:1, 27239:16,  27240:1, 27241:24,  27243:12, 27252:3,  27266:4, 27266:11,  27267:24, 27286:13,  27286:17, 27286:19,  27287:7, 27287:20,  27287:22, 27288:25,  27289:23, 27292:23,  27293:13, 27293:19,  27293:23, 27296:2,  27300:5, 27300:17,  27325:17, 27336:11,  27344:19, 27361:13,  27362:14, 27369:11,  27379:16, 27390:23,  27401:4  <b>applications</b> [5] -  27326:14, 27336:16,  27336:17, 27337:16,  27342:6  <b>applied</b> [2] - 27249:24,  27328:5  <b>applies</b> [2] - 27264:24,  27394:16  <b>apply</b> [2] - 27401:4,  27412:19  <b>appreciate</b> [8] -</p>	<p>27241:25, 27290:11,  27295:2, 27311:24,  27312:1, 27370:18,  27410:23, 27432:5  <b>apprised</b> [1] - 27369:15  <b>approach</b> [4] - 27222:6,  27312:19, 27324:7,  27395:15  <b>appropriate</b> [9] -  27224:12, 27264:21,  27276:22, 27311:25,  27313:24, 27337:24,  27402:17, 27432:20,  27455:9  <b>April</b> [4] - 27203:21,  27359:25, 27430:6,  27450:9  <b>area</b> [4] - 27309:13,  27334:24, 27350:15,  27382:9  <b>areas</b> [6] - 27284:16,  27351:16, 27352:22,  27353:6, 27353:10,  27455:11  <b>argue</b> [2] - 27297:12,  27345:19  <b>argued</b> [3] - 27341:12,  27341:19, 27427:1  <b>argument</b> [11] -  27228:11, 27283:9,  27347:21, 27358:1,  27389:22, 27393:4,  27423:1, 27424:3,  27427:9, 27456:8,  27456:20  <b>arguments</b> [2] -  27227:17, 27352:2  <b>arise</b> [1] - 27307:4  <b>arisen</b> [1] - 27336:20  <b>arising</b> [2] - 27414:24,  27430:2  <b>Arizona</b> [1] - 27333:22  <b>armed</b> [1] - 27257:10  <b>arose</b> [1] - 27369:1  <b>aroused</b> [1] - 27325:17  <b>arrange</b> [3] - 27274:25,  27372:2, 27410:4  <b>arranged</b> [1] - 27310:11  <b>arrangements</b> [2] -  27365:10, 27429:18  <b>arrest</b> [3] - 27215:15,  27454:7, 27454:23  <b>arrested</b> [2] - 27215:13,  27215:15  <b>arrival</b> [1] - 27411:6  <b>article</b> [6] - 27207:10,  27209:7, 27211:3,  27250:2, 27263:21,  27453:14  <b>articles</b> [9] - 27216:14,</p>
---	--	---	---	--



<p>27216:19, 27221:18, 27235:21, 27245:14, 27286:11, 27309:17, 27323:20, 27405:14 <b>articling</b> [1] - 27330:12 <b>ascribe</b> [1] - 27269:23 <b>aside</b> [11] - 27266:23, 27267:10, 27273:18, 27274:5, 27295:18, 27295:24, 27320:19, 27345:4, 27445:4, 27449:8, 27450:8 <b>aspect</b> [2] - 27293:3, 27316:25 <b>aspects</b> [3] - 27292:13, 27293:8, 27294:19 <b>Asper</b> [77] - 27205:15, 27206:3, 27207:5, 27207:7, 27208:25, 27209:7, 27209:14, 27210:2, 27210:6, 27211:11, 27216:16, 27217:2, 27218:23, 27219:20, 27222:14, 27226:11, 27226:16, 27226:18, 27228:2, 27229:23, 27231:14, 27232:15, 27240:14, 27241:14, 27247:10, 27248:13, 27250:14, 27252:1, 27286:8, 27291:6, 27308:1, 27310:8, 27314:8, 27318:24, 27323:17, 27326:10, 27333:2, 27336:6, 27338:5, 27339:1, 27343:22, 27356:22, 27360:12, 27361:24, 27364:5, 27365:14, 27367:6, 27369:20, 27373:6, 27384:12, 27390:22, 27393:23, 27397:13, 27402:21, 27403:6, 27403:15, 27406:22, 27407:11, 27408:1, 27413:12, 27415:7, 27415:18, 27417:16, 27428:23, 27430:9, 27432:5, 27434:15, 27436:11, 27438:12, 27441:17, 27442:18, 27443:15, 27446:12, 27448:1, 27448:22, 27453:24, 27454:15 <b>assault</b> [4] - 27218:6, 27218:9, 27219:6, 27433:1 <b>assaults</b> [12] - 27219:10, 27341:13,</p>	<p>27341:22, 27350:16, 27389:13, 27389:14, 27439:1, 27439:8, 27439:25, 27440:23, 27441:4, 27444:20 <b>assembling</b> [1] - 27356:8 <b>assertion</b> [1] - 27215:20 <b>assertions</b> [1] - 27351:14 <b>assessment</b> [2] - 27387:7, 27444:21 <b>assist</b> [18] - 27223:19, 27240:18, 27241:14, 27259:12, 27350:18, 27357:10, 27362:17, 27390:15, 27391:4, 27392:17, 27392:20, 27393:7, 27394:6, 27399:16, 27411:12, 27418:6, 27439:15, 27444:13 <b>assistance</b> [4] - 27212:6, 27232:8, 27237:11, 27309:21 <b>assistant</b> [1] - 27391:4 <b>Assistant</b> [2] - 27204:4, 27204:5 <b>assume</b> [16] - 27214:6, 27215:14, 27230:22, 27259:14, 27263:19, 27289:17, 27305:3, 27328:22, 27330:4, 27330:11, 27339:14, 27354:21, 27355:4, 27368:6, 27383:17, 27421:20 <b>assuming</b> [6] - 27230:8, 27231:3, 27285:18, 27289:14, 27298:19, 27308:24 <b>Assuming</b> [1] - 27403:23 <b>assumption</b> [3] - 27265:24, 27296:19, 27339:12 <b>assurance</b> [1] - 27363:15 <b>assure</b> [2] - 27230:16, 27338:20 <b>attach</b> [2] - 27211:1, 27327:1 <b>attached</b> [5] - 27256:6, 27334:12, 27377:10, 27377:16, 27416:15 <b>attachments</b> [1] - 27413:11 <b>attacked</b> [1] - 27425:1 <b>attacking</b> [1] - 27276:20</p>	<p><b>attempt</b> [3] - 27236:8, 27353:15, 27406:24 <b>attempted</b> [2] - 27271:17, 27389:14 <b>attempting</b> [1] - 27212:6 <b>attend</b> [1] - 27333:23 <b>attended</b> [7] - 27327:25, 27334:5, 27362:22, 27362:24, 27373:7, 27377:18, 27380:8 <b>attending</b> [3] - 27299:6, 27327:7, 27397:10 <b>attention</b> [7] - 27207:18, 27216:17, 27216:24, 27260:15, 27265:24, 27268:10, 27298:17 <b>attesting</b> [1] - 27256:16 <b>attitude</b> [1] - 27287:6 <b>Attorney</b> [11] - 27328:18, 27353:21, 27354:9, 27357:17, 27362:10, 27398:17, 27418:2, 27419:9, 27441:25, 27445:5, 27445:14 <b>attorneys</b> [1] - 27398:16 <b>attracted</b> [1] - 27216:17 <b>attributed</b> [4] - 27209:8, 27221:21, 27380:23, 27388:21 <b>Audio</b> [1] - 27204:12 <b>Aug</b> [1] - 27209:10 <b>August</b> [20] - 27207:11, 27209:14, 27209:15, 27210:25, 27211:1, 27213:7, 27220:13, 27220:21, 27220:22, 27221:9, 27221:10, 27347:2, 27347:18, 27355:20, 27418:10, 27422:8, 27430:6 <b>author</b> [3] - 27411:5, 27411:10, 27414:13 <b>authored</b> [1] - 27395:10 <b>authorities</b> [11] - 27248:15, 27276:2, 27341:21, 27347:11, 27435:13, 27437:24, 27442:19, 27444:7, 27454:10, 27456:14 <b>authority</b> [1] - 27248:4 <b>authors</b> [1] - 27277:7 <b>available</b> [9] - 27219:7, 27219:17, 27393:16, 27413:5, 27413:15, 27436:7, 27441:5,</p>	<p>27441:9, 27441:13 <b>avenue</b> [1] - 27238:17 <b>avenue'</b> [1] - 27238:13 <b>avoid</b> [1] - 27312:8 <b>avoided</b> [1] - 27272:21 <b>awaiting</b> [1] - 27309:20 <b>aware</b> [23] - 27216:15, 27219:21, 27219:23, 27222:17, 27231:10, 27232:15, 27233:8, 27234:1, 27234:6, 27234:16, 27246:1, 27252:4, 27259:15, 27273:14, 27280:7, 27301:9, 27325:15, 27329:19, 27371:10, 27380:11, 27417:4, 27420:3, 27421:16</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>B</b></p> <p><b>baby</b> [1] - 27290:6 <b>background</b> [4] - 27219:4, 27250:25, 27260:5, 27394:13 <b>backgrounds</b> [1] - 27405:18 <b>bad</b> [3] - 27239:8, 27386:22, 27456:14 <b>bag</b> [2] - 27381:10 <b>bail</b> [2] - 27357:4, 27357:6 <b>balance</b> [5] - 27396:13, 27396:14, 27396:17, 27401:20, 27432:17 <b>ball</b> [1] - 27323:8 <b>banner</b> [1] - 27236:19 <b>banners</b> [1] - 27236:19 <b>base</b> [1] - 27287:3 <b>Based</b> [4] - 27317:11, 27327:22, 27409:5, 27437:3 <b>based</b> [14] - 27272:19, 27287:17, 27325:20, 27325:24, 27334:11, 27335:4, 27352:6, 27355:20, 27390:23, 27404:20, 27408:10, 27408:14, 27452:22, 27454:18 <b>basic</b> [1] - 27358:2 <b>basis</b> [21] - 27212:15, 27215:8, 27215:9, 27242:25, 27265:13, 27269:20, 27276:12, 27292:17, 27299:20, 27317:14, 27340:5, 27369:17, 27387:17, 27399:2, 27399:4,</p>	<p>27408:14, 27415:1, 27419:4, 27433:22, 27435:21, 27442:12 <b>batch</b> [1] - 27258:6 <b>bear</b> [2] - 27303:18, 27455:25 <b>bearing</b> [2] - 27283:11, 27358:12 <b>bears</b> [1] - 27385:11 <b>became</b> [8] - 27242:24, 27246:1, 27266:12, 27269:12, 27273:14, 27350:10, 27361:2, 27420:17 <b>become</b> [4] - 27212:7, 27254:11, 27375:10, 27420:3 <b>becomes</b> [3] - 27275:20, 27275:21, 27415:9 <b>becoming</b> [3] - 27222:17, 27380:11, 27417:4 <b>bed</b> [1] - 27251:17 <b>bedeviled</b> [1] - 27448:13 <b>began</b> [1] - 27267:21 <b>begging</b> [1] - 27261:19 <b>begin</b> [4] - 27357:19, 27359:2, 27405:24, 27432:20 <b>beginning</b> [1] - 27359:25 <b>behalf</b> [12] - 27278:17, 27339:23, 27344:10, 27350:25, 27361:10, 27361:12, 27394:10, 27395:13, 27423:1, 27428:25, 27429:1, 27447:3 <b>behaving</b> [1] - 27354:5 <b>behind</b> [1] - 27294:24 <b>Beitel</b> [1] - 27204:8 <b>belief</b> [6] - 27257:22, 27376:25, 27377:6, 27401:11, 27408:15, 27414:14 <b>bell</b> [2] - 27213:3, 27390:18 <b>Bench</b> [4] - 27458:1, 27458:3, 27458:14, 27458:18 <b>benefit</b> [3] - 27227:14, 27398:10, 27432:22 <b>Beresh</b> [1] - 27361:10 <b>best</b> [10] - 27272:12, 27278:5, 27312:7, 27312:10, 27324:22, 27388:13, 27388:17, 27403:20, 27445:23,</p>
---	---	---	---	---



<p>27458:6  <b>Better</b> [1] - 27448:10  <b>better</b> [9] - 27243:18, 27270:20, 27270:21, 27304:12, 27338:15, 27344:1, 27448:10, 27448:13  <b>between</b> [25] - 27209:10, 27209:14, 27240:3, 27241:17, 27247:13, 27249:1, 27252:22, 27258:25, 27284:25, 27285:15, 27285:19, 27292:19, 27306:16, 27316:8, 27324:25, 27330:11, 27337:11, 27367:13, 27373:13, 27387:1, 27388:3, 27406:24, 27410:11, 27448:16, 27455:6  <b>beyond</b> [8] - 27247:2, 27296:22, 27336:17, 27400:21, 27401:20, 27432:16, 27442:7  <b>bias</b> [1] - 27375:5  <b>biased</b> [1] - 27376:24  <b>biased'</b> [2] - 27375:23, 27376:5  <b>big</b> [4] - 27236:18, 27258:6, 27270:19, 27324:25  <b>bill</b> [1] - 27405:25  <b>Bill</b> [1] - 27223:21  <b>bit</b> [21] - 27235:23, 27239:18, 27246:9, 27254:8, 27254:9, 27260:4, 27264:6, 27266:22, 27276:8, 27280:13, 27298:24, 27301:5, 27302:9, 27342:15, 27356:17, 27360:15, 27386:5, 27394:12, 27442:2, 27451:1  <b>bits</b> [1] - 27387:17  <b>blacked</b> [1] - 27235:5  <b>blacked-out</b> [1] - 27235:5  <b>blah</b> [3] - 27375:4  <b>Blakeney</b> [1] - 27420:1  <b>blame</b> [3] - 27273:5, 27273:25, 27274:15  <b>blatant</b> [1] - 27304:8  <b>blazing</b> [1] - 27263:15  <b>bleed</b> [1] - 27260:6  <b>blend</b> [2] - 27307:16, 27307:17  <b>blizzard</b> [1] - 27387:14  <b>blood</b> [4] - 27255:14,</p>	<p>27366:16, 27368:25, 27406:11  <b>bloody</b> [1] - 27260:20  <b>Bob</b> [5] - 27226:20, 27409:13, 27409:16, 27453:4  <b>Bobs</b> [1] - 27205:5  <b>bodily</b> [1] - 27251:8  <b>bone</b> [1] - 27425:14  <b>bone-handled</b> [1] - 27425:14  <b>books</b> [3] - 27247:23, 27355:14, 27356:8  <b>bothering</b> [1] - 27245:13  <b>bottle</b> [1] - 27275:20  <b>bottom</b> [6] - 27229:5, 27231:4, 27260:18, 27318:7, 27425:19, 27442:4  <b>bought</b> [2] - 27407:6, 27407:22  <b>bound</b> [1] - 27301:23  <b>box</b> [3] - 27327:16, 27327:18, 27327:23  <b>Boychuk</b> [1] - 27205:9  <b>Boyd</b> [42] - 27250:17, 27254:8, 27254:10, 27254:20, 27254:24, 27255:1, 27259:2, 27259:6, 27259:15, 27259:17, 27260:12, 27260:23, 27260:24, 27260:25, 27261:8, 27262:1, 27262:2, 27262:8, 27277:4, 27277:10, 27278:11, 27278:15, 27278:24, 27279:9, 27279:13, 27280:8, 27280:14, 27281:24, 27283:14, 27284:9, 27284:16, 27304:25, 27306:2, 27315:4, 27315:10, 27317:2, 27317:15, 27339:2, 27364:14, 27372:1  <b>boyd</b> [1] - 27277:5  <b>Boyd's</b> [5] - 27263:5, 27315:14, 27315:25, 27316:22, 27317:6  <b>break</b> [6] - 27276:23, 27317:21, 27337:24, 27352:21, 27402:17, 27455:10  <b>Breckenridge</b> [8] - 27417:9, 27417:16, 27417:24, 27420:16, 27421:3, 27421:13, 27422:13, 27422:22</p>	<p><b>Breckenridge's</b> [1] - 27420:21  <b>Brian</b> [1] - 27235:18  <b>bricks</b> [1] - 27403:25  <b>brief</b> [3] - 27379:22, 27382:17, 27395:2  <b>briefed</b> [1] - 27237:3  <b>bring</b> [7] - 27248:6, 27256:21, 27257:25, 27292:11, 27303:15, 27307:25, 27310:4  <b>bringing</b> [1] - 27268:5  <b>broad</b> [4] - 27299:15, 27306:20, 27327:3, 27396:10  <b>broader</b> [3] - 27240:23, 27308:19, 27336:10  <b>brought</b> [5] - 27256:11, 27362:14, 27407:2, 27422:4, 27446:7  <b>Brown</b> [17] - 27225:17, 27327:10, 27328:13, 27362:9, 27362:10, 27363:6, 27363:13, 27365:22, 27365:23, 27368:24, 27371:3, 27377:10, 27383:9, 27383:12, 27390:5, 27390:16, 27403:2  <b>Brown's</b> [1] - 27363:6  <b>Bruce</b> [3] - 27205:10, 27218:22, 27285:10  <b>brushed</b> [1] - 27266:8  <b>brushing</b> [1] - 27240:7  <b>bubbling</b> [1] - 27243:21  <b>bugging</b> [1] - 27251:12  <b>build</b> [1] - 27327:18  <b>built</b> [1] - 27243:6  <b>bullied</b> [1] - 27282:20  <b>bunch</b> [3] - 27297:15, 27350:7, 27424:5  <b>burden</b> [2] - 27313:7, 27314:14</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C</b></p> <p><b>Cabinet</b> [2] - 27399:16, 27399:20  <b>Cadrain</b> [6] - 27345:20, 27346:23, 27411:21, 27416:19, 27426:23, 27435:11  <b>cage</b> [1] - 27246:8  <b>cake</b> [3] - 27256:21, 27257:4, 27257:23  <b>Caldwell</b> [18] - 27205:5, 27259:4, 27269:14, 27273:23, 27274:2, 27274:8, 27275:10,</p>	<p>27354:19, 27413:23, 27414:1, 27414:3, 27415:24, 27415:25, 27416:5, 27416:16, 27417:2, 27417:5, 27455:7  <b>Caldwells</b> [2] - 27389:13, 27416:15  <b>called'</b> [1] - 27374:7  <b>calm</b> [1] - 27388:16  <b>calmness</b> [1] - 27450:11  <b>Calvin</b> [1] - 27205:13  <b>campaign</b> [7] - 27238:15, 27265:3, 27265:12, 27265:23, 27266:7, 27267:14, 27268:17  <b>Campbell</b> [6] - 27220:14, 27235:20, 27240:3, 27264:3, 27298:14  <b>Campbells</b> [2] - 27325:7, 27325:8  <b>camper</b> [1] - 27244:25  <b>Canada</b> [8] - 27205:12, 27239:10, 27359:19, 27365:4, 27398:18, 27445:22, 27447:14, 27451:14  <b>Canada's</b> [1] - 27436:12  <b>cancelled</b> [1] - 27291:21  <b>Candace</b> [1] - 27204:3  <b>candid</b> [1] - 27322:25  <b>candidate</b> [2] - 27279:1, 27279:9  <b>canvassed</b> [2] - 27313:11, 27338:10  <b>capable</b> [2] - 27270:15, 27401:11  <b>car</b> [1] - 27237:2  <b>careful</b> [2] - 27242:21, 27247:3  <b>caring</b> [1] - 27240:8  <b>Carlyle</b> [1] - 27329:24  <b>Carlyle-gordge's</b> [1] - 27329:24  <b>carries</b> [1] - 27307:23  <b>carry</b> [1] - 27371:14  <b>carrying</b> [2] - 27453:9, 27453:13  <b>Case</b> [3] - 27318:11, 27335:19, 27442:13  <b>case</b> [70] - 27211:7, 27212:3, 27212:22, 27213:5, 27222:1, 27227:4, 27230:1, 27237:4, 27239:11, 27240:19, 27242:25,</p>	<p>27243:1, 27249:25, 27254:19, 27255:2, 27257:4, 27257:24, 27264:22, 27265:25, 27266:23, 27268:15, 27273:9, 27273:22, 27275:20, 27277:10, 27292:13, 27293:3, 27303:22, 27312:2, 27313:8, 27314:11, 27314:21, 27316:2, 27324:1, 27325:22, 27326:2, 27339:4, 27339:7, 27340:8, 27356:8, 27356:18, 27358:25, 27359:21, 27360:4, 27360:8, 27361:21, 27364:20, 27368:5, 27372:9, 27381:20, 27382:19, 27387:14, 27389:17, 27389:23, 27391:5, 27401:16, 27402:11, 27403:24, 27404:4, 27404:11, 27409:7, 27411:3, 27417:15, 27419:8, 27423:11, 27431:5, 27434:4, 27446:1, 27452:6, 27452:7  <b>cases</b> [7] - 27264:22, 27274:17, 27312:4, 27419:10, 27419:17, 27419:20, 27454:2  <b>cataloguing</b> [1] - 27324:3  <b>catch</b> [1] - 27386:5  <b>Catherine</b> [1] - 27205:8  <b>caught</b> [2] - 27268:20, 27268:23  <b>caused</b> [7] - 27322:1, 27346:5, 27404:6, 27421:11, 27426:4, 27443:16, 27451:21  <b>causes</b> [1] - 27396:1  <b>causing</b> [1] - 27423:15  <b>cautious</b> [1] - 27243:2  <b>Centurion</b> [8] - 27305:8, 27306:8, 27375:9, 27375:12, 27376:10, 27376:21  <b>Centurion'</b> [1] - 27375:20  <b>certain</b> [7] - 27220:6, 27274:13, 27380:24, 27397:23, 27399:10, 27400:23, 27456:14  <b>certainly</b> [29] - 27210:5, 27214:12, 27215:4, 27236:6, 27237:4,</p>
---	---	--	--	--



<p>27243:5, 27245:9, 27263:17, 27263:18, 27263:21, 27266:10, 27269:12, 27281:25, 27283:9, 27312:12, 27322:11, 27330:17, 27346:4, 27346:8, 27355:15, 27383:3, 27388:13, 27394:21, 27402:10, 27405:5, 27433:16, 27434:16, 27441:9</p> <p><b>Certainly</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27209:1 <b>Certificate</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27458:21 <b>certify</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27458:4 <b>Chair</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27226:21 <b>chairman</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27226:15 <b>challenged</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27375:25, 27425:24 <b>challenges</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27388:2 <b>challenging</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27299:19, 27393:17, 27393:20 <b>chambers</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27352:9, 27397:20, 27400:14 <b>change</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27276:7, 27368:12 <b>changed</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 27242:14, 27260:2, 27260:13, 27407:1 <b>changes</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27267:6, 27276:8 <b>character</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27283:13 <b>characteristic</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27366:6 <b>characterize</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27345:16 <b>charade</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27307:14 <b>charge</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27216:10 <b>charged</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27439:12 <b>charges</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27257:12 <b>charging</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27437:21 <b>Charles</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27333:22 <b>chart</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27299:18 <b>chastising</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27354:4 <b>check</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 27317:20, 27317:21, 27339:5 <b>checked</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27226:11 <b>cheques</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27257:18 <b>chest</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27244:3 <b>chief</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27400:7 <b>Chief</b><sup>[31]</sup> - 27314:4, 27320:8, 27320:13, 27320:19, 27321:18, 27322:5, 27322:6, 27324:15, 27324:20,</p>	<p>27325:3, 27331:23, 27332:20, 27334:7, 27334:14, 27334:17, 27334:25, 27335:6, 27337:2, 27337:11, 27352:9, 27359:18, 27359:20, 27360:11, 27360:22, 27378:1, 27378:21, 27384:8, 27385:25, 27394:14, 27397:14</p> <p><b>child</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27241:6 <b>choice</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27243:25, 27271:25 <b>chose</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27344:23 <b>Chris</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27205:9 <b>chronology</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27225:13, 27242:11 <b>circle</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27247:7 <b>circumscribed</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27320:5, 27321:14, 27337:5 <b>circumstances</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 27210:17, 27264:24, 27411:1, 27416:3, 27428:21 <b>circus</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 27268:9, 27268:18, 27268:21, 27268:23 <b>city</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27218:1, 27222:3, 27225:16 <b>City</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 27214:12, 27224:4, 27329:2, 27410:2, 27433:17 <b>claimed</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27301:22 <b>claims</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27376:14 <b>clarifying</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27341:4, 27343:23 <b>class</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27333:9 <b>Claude</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27378:3 <b>clear</b><sup>[16]</sup> - 27242:24, 27245:10, 27263:2, 27266:5, 27266:8, 27267:21, 27287:1, 27295:5, 27325:18, 27334:18, 27361:3, 27386:20, 27431:24, 27436:20, 27443:22, 27448:1 <b>clear-headed</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27386:20 <b>cleared</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27431:14, 27431:19 <b>clearly</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 27237:3, 27266:5, 27266:6, 27323:23, 27355:5 <b>Clerk</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27204:8 <b>client</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 27255:21, 27271:21, 27357:3, 27430:22</p>	<p><b>clients</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27246:14 <b>climb</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27450:4 <b>clips</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27235:21 <b>close</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27244:3, 27338:16, 27450:5 <b>closer</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27268:25, 27288:23 <b>closing</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27393:4, 27396:6 <b>closure</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27449:19 <b>club</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27281:10 <b>co</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27324:11, 27455:6 <b>co-counsel</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27324:11 <b>co-operation</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27455:6 <b>Code</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27312:16, 27318:23 <b>coerce</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27404:24 <b>coerced</b><sup>[7]</sup> - 27282:20, 27345:24, 27346:15, 27405:10, 27427:3, 27427:19, 27435:16 <b>coercive</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 27283:8, 27283:9, 27426:21, 27427:15 <b>coincidence</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27407:6 <b>Colin</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27366:9, 27366:10 <b>combination</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27315:22 <b>comfort</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27238:25, 27249:22 <b>comfortable</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27287:17, 27305:18 <b>coming</b><sup>[14]</sup> - 27234:25, 27245:1, 27260:15, 27302:2, 27304:16, 27316:13, 27368:20, 27373:2, 27373:16, 27374:21, 27381:19, 27387:14, 27394:17, 27394:18 <b>comment</b><sup>[30]</sup> - 27217:1, 27218:24, 27221:21, 27225:4, 27229:6, 27235:25, 27249:11, 27258:2, 27262:6, 27262:13, 27263:7, 27264:7, 27265:15, 27269:14, 27278:7, 27280:20, 27284:10, 27384:23, 27385:9, 27389:3, 27407:19, 27408:24, 27432:7, 27435:4, 27443:4, 27443:9, 27443:14, 27444:11,</p>	<p>27449:11, 27449:24 <b>commentary</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 27250:6, 27284:13, 27433:15, 27448:22 <b>commentary/debate</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27430:10 <b>commented</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27245:22 <b>comments</b><sup>[12]</sup> - 27221:11, 27265:9, 27269:6, 27269:11, 27275:25, 27360:13, 27366:23, 27400:2, 27400:3, 27406:20, 27431:9 <b>Commission</b><sup>[23]</sup> - 27203:2, 27203:14, 27204:1, 27204:2, 27204:8, 27217:22, 27217:25, 27223:22, 27225:18, 27225:23, 27226:8, 27226:15, 27226:21, 27249:10, 27272:7, 27313:3, 27314:13, 27330:25, 27355:11, 27360:19, 27399:9, 27409:17, 27436:4 <b>commissioned</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27277:8 <b>Commissioner</b><sup>[39]</sup> - 27207:3, 27225:5, 27225:9, 27226:6, 27238:3, 27261:17, 27269:1, 27273:11, 27283:5, 27297:22, 27298:2, 27338:2, 27338:24, 27352:16, 27402:17, 27414:10, 27414:20, 27414:23, 27415:8, 27415:13, 27416:5, 27416:8, 27438:13, 27439:5, 27440:7, 27440:14, 27440:22, 27443:3, 27443:18, 27444:14, 27447:25, 27448:5, 27448:8, 27448:12, 27455:10, 27455:21, 27456:24, 27457:2, 27457:4 <b>commit</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27301:23 <b>committed</b><sup>[14]</sup> - 27212:16, 27214:22, 27276:6, 27294:14, 27295:13, 27345:7, 27348:1, 27439:1, 27439:25, 27440:24, 27441:7, 27444:20, 27446:24, 27447:8</p>	<p><b>committee</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27286:16 <b>committing</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27255:12 <b>common</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 27260:7, 27260:14, 27304:10, 27409:6, 27409:7 <b>communicate</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 27236:8, 27241:1, 27264:20, 27356:14 <b>communicated</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27219:2 <b>communication</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27421:1 <b>compact</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27381:10 <b>comparison</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27390:24 <b>compiled</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27356:19 <b>complaint</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27218:11, 27425:2 <b>complete</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27305:10, 27313:17, 27358:22 <b>completed</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27310:22, 27349:2, 27383:25 <b>completely</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27330:21, 27450:20 <b>component</b><sup>[7]</sup> - 27344:6, 27346:9, 27347:8, 27348:5, 27350:10, 27351:22, 27411:23 <b>components</b><sup>[6]</sup> - 27343:25, 27344:1, 27345:22, 27346:20, 27349:7, 27351:4 <b>Composition</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27330:14, 27331:18 <b>composition</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27395:8, 27415:14 <b>comprehensively</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27382:18 <b>concealing</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27418:14 <b>concede</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27272:25 <b>conceded</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27414:12 <b>concept</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27266:24, 27396:10 <b>concern</b><sup>[20]</sup> - 27217:18, 27237:10, 27244:10, 27244:14, 27245:25, 27281:21, 27282:15, 27300:21, 27325:16, 27326:3, 27326:9, 27376:23, 27377:4, 27385:1, 27386:10, 27388:1, 27415:5, 27440:9,</p>
--	--	---	--	--



<p>27443:16, 27451:21  <b>concerned</b> [10] -  27230:12, 27244:4,  27246:14, 27296:25,  27315:2, 27315:9,  27345:11, 27374:20,  27376:8, 27385:19  <b>concerning</b> [1] -  27326:23  <b>concerns</b> [13] -  27217:24, 27231:13,  27237:13, 27243:16,  27293:3, 27336:25,  27370:8, 27385:5,  27386:15, 27388:7,  27388:10, 27430:24,  27447:4  <b>concession</b> [2] -  27415:9, 27415:10  <b>conclude</b> [4] -  27228:23, 27322:2,  27404:6, 27426:1  <b>concluded</b> [5] -  27280:14, 27355:21,  27358:17, 27404:23,  27437:1  <b>concludes</b> [1] -  27428:15  <b>conclusion</b> [9] -  27408:19, 27429:3,  27433:10, 27436:22,  27437:5, 27437:18,  27438:5, 27438:8,  27442:25  <b>conclusions</b> [4] -  27282:2, 27283:21,  27406:6, 27434:20  <b>concrete</b> [1] - 27286:25  <b>condition</b> [1] - 27385:5  <b>conditional</b> [1] -  27445:11  <b>conduct</b> [14] -  27214:12, 27248:18,  27254:18, 27268:15,  27322:19, 27324:1,  27334:19, 27334:20,  27335:14, 27354:24,  27435:25, 27436:5,  27436:6, 27444:9  <b>conducted</b> [3] -  27242:16, 27364:3,  27404:5  <b>conducting</b> [1] -  27346:14  <b>conference</b> [2] -  27325:7, 27325:8  <b>Conference</b> [1] -  27420:14  <b>confess</b> [1] - 27246:21  <b>confessed</b> [2] -</p>	<p>27341:13, 27348:24  <b>confession</b> [3] -  27438:24, 27439:3,  27439:23  <b>confessions</b> [1] -  27349:15  <b>confident</b> [3] - 27220:4,  27221:14, 27359:3  <b>confidential</b> [4] -  27223:10, 27230:14,  27232:5, 27232:17  <b>confiding</b> [1] -  27285:25  <b>confined</b> [2] -  27312:15, 27313:15  <b>confirm</b> [2] - 27258:3,  27308:1  <b>confirmed</b> [3] -  27293:8, 27370:20,  27379:23  <b>confirming</b> [4] -  27292:4, 27326:20,  27368:24, 27391:25  <b>confirms</b> [3] - 27292:8,  27366:7  <b>conformed</b> [1] -  27435:24  <b>confront</b> [1] - 27310:6  <b>confronted</b> [1] -  27310:2  <b>confused</b> [1] - 27389:4  <b>confusing</b> [1] - 27441:1  <b>confusion</b> [1] -  27448:14  <b>congenial</b> [1] -  27287:14  <b>Congram</b> [1] - 27204:3  <b>conjoining</b> [1] -  27269:16  <b>connect</b> [6] - 27406:24,  27407:25, 27452:2,  27452:5, 27452:8,  27452:16  <b>connected</b> [4] -  27425:3, 27452:8,  27452:23, 27452:24  <b>consciously</b> [1] -  27452:6  <b>consequences</b> [1] -  27271:13  <b>consequently</b> [1] -  27315:15  <b>Consequently</b> [2] -  27305:19, 27358:7  <b>consider</b> [19] -  27213:18, 27257:1,  27279:16, 27279:21,  27292:22, 27296:1,  27296:12, 27296:16,  27296:21, 27297:15,</p>	<p>27298:8, 27307:2,  27318:15, 27340:10,  27395:19, 27401:16,  27444:25, 27445:10  <b>considerable</b> [1] -  27208:22  <b>considerably</b> [1] -  27304:4  <b>consideration</b> [5] -  27293:12, 27300:5,  27311:18, 27318:9,  27318:10  <b>considered</b> [3] -  27239:1, 27297:14,  27297:19  <b>considering</b> [6] -  27293:14, 27293:23,  27306:23, 27312:2,  27437:13, 27454:3  <b>consistent</b> [2] -  27422:6, 27428:21  <b>conspiracy</b> [1] -  27273:19  <b>conspiring</b> [1] -  27257:15  <b>constitute</b> [2] -  27318:19, 27401:7  <b>constituted</b> [1] -  27424:17  <b>constitutes</b> [2] -  27437:11, 27440:4  <b>constitution</b> [2] -  27420:19, 27421:6  <b>constitutional</b> [1] -  27420:14  <b>constraints</b> [1] -  27357:7  <b>construed</b> [1] -  27253:21  <b>consult</b> [1] - 27383:6  <b>consultation</b> [1] -  27393:3  <b>consulted</b> [2] -  27383:3, 27391:17  <b>consulting</b> [1] -  27366:1  <b>contact</b> [2] - 27285:10,  27288:16  <b>contacted</b> [7] -  27226:16, 27254:14,  27254:15, 27254:18,  27277:11, 27304:25,  27417:19  <b>contacting</b> [1] -  27398:20  <b>contain</b> [5] - 27227:3,  27227:25, 27229:3,  27234:9, 27458:5  <b>contained</b> [2] -  27376:9, 27376:11</p>	<p><b>containing</b> [1] -  27376:25  <b>contains</b> [1] - 27211:3  <b>contemplated</b> [1] -  27332:3  <b>content</b> [1] - 27266:20  <b>contention</b> [5] -  27210:6, 27210:8,  27211:13, 27252:13,  27429:25  <b>contents</b> [1] - 27234:8  <b>Contents</b> [1] - 27335:19  <b>context</b> [1] - 27374:13  <b>continuation</b> [1] -  27374:15  <b>Continued</b> [1] - 27206:3  <b>continued</b> [8] -  27207:5, 27246:5,  27253:13, 27318:15,  27401:6, 27444:22,  27447:13  <b>continuity</b> [1] -  27367:22  <b>contorting</b> [1] -  27299:22  <b>contradict</b> [2] -  27251:19, 27381:21  <b>contradicted</b> [1] -  27304:9  <b>contrary</b> [1] - 27221:12  <b>contributing</b> [1] -  27423:15  <b>control</b> [4] - 27244:7,  27244:9, 27244:10,  27244:12  <b>conversation</b> [19] -  27208:5, 27233:1,  27237:5, 27237:6,  27248:12, 27248:24,  27275:24, 27284:25,  27285:20, 27288:7,  27288:9, 27288:22,  27290:23, 27308:9,  27316:9, 27368:9,  27374:16, 27376:7,  27428:8  <b>conversations</b> [9] -  27234:19, 27242:13,  27242:14, 27242:16,  27243:4, 27244:22,  27287:18, 27305:12,  27352:9  <b>Conversely</b> [1] -  27370:23  <b>converting</b> [1] -  27286:7  <b>conveyed</b> [5] -  27208:24, 27209:2,  27219:24, 27378:9,  27385:21</p>	<p><b>convict</b> [3] - 27300:12,  27342:11, 27348:8  <b>convicted</b> [8] - 27257:8,  27257:15, 27267:12,  27275:14, 27292:18,  27348:3, 27359:8,  27396:13  <b>convicting</b> [1] -  27443:24  <b>Conviction</b> [1] -  27203:4  <b>conviction</b> [23] -  27306:25, 27312:24,  27313:18, 27314:20,  27318:16, 27322:20,  27334:23, 27340:21,  27341:12, 27347:14,  27353:22, 27354:9,  27354:11, 27358:9,  27396:2, 27401:6,  27401:17, 27431:11,  27444:23, 27445:4,  27449:8, 27450:8,  27450:19  <b>convictions</b> [3] -  27267:7, 27347:12  <b>coolness</b> [1] - 27450:12  <b>coordinate</b> [2] -  27330:9, 27335:8  <b>coordinating</b> [1] -  27331:3  <b>copies</b> [5] - 27329:19,  27350:3, 27363:17,  27363:24, 27371:22  <b>copy</b> [12] - 27211:1,  27211:5, 27224:17,  27225:19, 27229:21,  27230:5, 27315:11,  27379:6, 27390:5,  27402:6, 27403:6,  27422:25  <b>Corbett</b> [6] - 27286:5,  27286:9, 27287:19,  27288:16, 27288:20,  27289:4  <b>core</b> [1] - 27376:12  <b>Correct</b> [2] - 27264:14,  27418:17  <b>correct</b> [66] - 27211:16,  27229:23, 27230:21,  27231:3, 27234:22,  27246:13, 27249:7,  27249:19, 27253:2,  27255:4, 27258:15,  27262:7, 27262:17,  27265:16, 27271:23,  27275:4, 27283:19,  27284:6, 27294:4,  27298:11, 27302:16,  27310:14, 27314:15,</p>
--	---	--	---	---



<p>27314:22, 27319:12, 27320:17, 27321:2, 27328:21, 27331:11, 27331:17, 27331:25, 27334:1, 27335:15, 27336:6, 27340:8, 27341:14, 27343:15, 27343:18, 27347:5, 27347:19, 27348:4, 27352:25, 27353:7, 27359:13, 27361:14, 27383:22, 27389:17, 27392:2, 27400:5, 27412:7, 27418:15, 27418:20, 27418:24, 27419:4, 27422:8, 27422:9, 27423:18, 27425:5, 27425:17, 27430:25, 27432:2, 27435:17, 27436:16, 27442:23, 27445:16, 27458:5  <b>corrected</b> [2] - 27369:24, 27434:5  <b>correctly</b> [1] - 27212:11  <b>correctness</b> [1] - 27396:2  <b>correspondence</b> [14] - 27231:15, 27233:7, 27317:11, 27328:12, 27330:4, 27331:1, 27331:7, 27331:13, 27332:24, 27356:17, 27390:12, 27429:17, 27429:20, 27434:16  <b>cosmetic</b> [1] - 27381:10  <b>cost</b> [1] - 27335:13  <b>Costs</b>[1] - 27335:12  <b>council</b> [3] - 27339:17, 27339:18, 27432:12  <b>Counsel</b>[3] - 27204:2, 27207:4, 27423:21  <b>counsel</b> [39] - 27215:16, 27215:17, 27264:23, 27322:3, 27322:7, 27323:23, 27324:11, 27324:15, 27324:20, 27332:19, 27335:6, 27337:11, 27338:4, 27338:10, 27338:20, 27341:19, 27350:25, 27352:10, 27354:3, 27359:12, 27362:15, 27362:16, 27371:21, 27372:24, 27378:17, 27386:2, 27394:10, 27397:22, 27397:23, 27398:12, 27423:9, 27433:8, 27454:8, 27454:25,</p>	<p>27455:15, 27455:20, 27455:22, 27456:2, 27456:9  <b>counsels</b> [1] - 27456:1  <b>country</b> [3] - 27236:5, 27334:6, 27390:14  <b>couple</b> [27] - 27209:25, 27223:18, 27225:3, 27238:11, 27246:20, 27251:1, 27279:11, 27280:12, 27283:16, 27323:2, 27324:5, 27334:22, 27339:21, 27354:17, 27361:18, 27364:13, 27376:7, 27380:20, 27381:1, 27383:20, 27389:9, 27395:11, 27399:13, 27406:17, 27423:25, 27451:6, 27453:16  <b>coupled</b> [1] - 27431:9  <b>course</b> [5] - 27240:5, 27259:6, 27398:12, 27451:6, 27456:17  <b>court</b> [3] - 27310:24, 27349:1, 27360:24  <b>Court</b>[167] - 27204:9, 27278:6, 27279:25, 27297:8, 27297:23, 27297:24, 27298:7, 27302:4, 27302:13, 27302:15, 27302:19, 27302:21, 27302:22, 27303:7, 27308:5, 27309:7, 27313:12, 27317:18, 27318:8, 27318:12, 27318:14, 27318:19, 27319:10, 27319:16, 27319:18, 27319:19, 27319:21, 27319:23, 27321:13, 27322:10, 27322:13, 27322:23, 27322:25, 27323:4, 27324:10, 27326:7, 27327:17, 27330:14, 27331:14, 27331:18, 27332:9, 27333:5, 27333:15, 27334:8, 27334:14, 27336:24, 27337:6, 27339:16, 27340:7, 27340:12, 27341:5, 27341:20, 27342:16, 27348:16, 27348:17, 27350:21, 27351:9, 27351:11, 27351:16, 27352:3, 27353:5, 27353:6, 27353:18, 27357:21, 27359:19, 27360:4, 27360:16,</p>	<p>27362:13, 27363:23, 27365:4, 27365:16, 27373:21, 27378:3, 27378:7, 27378:19, 27379:2, 27379:3, 27379:20, 27380:4, 27380:22, 27381:1, 27381:3, 27381:15, 27381:18, 27382:16, 27383:15, 27384:20, 27385:16, 27385:22, 27389:22, 27390:8, 27392:12, 27392:25, 27393:1, 27393:24, 27394:14, 27394:16, 27394:23, 27395:18, 27396:1, 27397:6, 27398:1, 27398:3, 27398:4, 27398:8, 27398:21, 27398:22, 27399:12, 27399:14, 27400:17, 27400:20, 27401:9, 27403:21, 27405:4, 27410:10, 27412:25, 27413:4, 27413:13, 27413:20, 27422:11, 27422:17, 27423:2, 27423:5, 27424:14, 27426:13, 27427:1, 27427:8, 27427:16, 27429:3, 27430:5, 27432:6, 27432:19, 27434:1, 27434:3, 27434:6, 27435:9, 27435:17, 27436:12, 27437:8, 27437:15, 27438:5, 27438:15, 27439:11, 27439:15, 27440:2, 27440:17, 27441:10, 27442:21, 27443:19, 27443:23, 27445:21, 27446:23, 27447:14, 27447:21, 27451:1, 27451:14, 27453:16, 27454:12, 27458:1, 27458:3, 27458:14, 27458:18  <b>Courts</b> [5] - 27335:9, 27359:23, 27378:13, 27378:14, 27446:2  <b>courtroom</b> [1] - 27323:15  <b>courts</b> [1] - 27348:18  <b>cover</b> [15] - 27213:10, 27213:15, 27213:19, 27213:24, 27214:9, 27214:24, 27225:21, 27308:14, 27349:14, 27353:10, 27355:23, 27419:25, 27453:19,</p>	<p>27454:16, 27454:22  <b>cover-up</b> [10] - 27213:10, 27213:15, 27213:19, 27213:24, 27214:9, 27349:14, 27355:23, 27453:19, 27454:16, 27454:22  <b>coverage</b> [1] - 27240:16  <b>covered</b> [7] - 27239:19, 27335:13, 27342:10, 27347:12, 27382:9, 27383:1, 27419:2  <b>covering</b> [2] - 27215:25, 27455:2  <b>coverup</b> [8] - 27273:19, 27274:6, 27418:2, 27418:12, 27419:1, 27421:4, 27422:6, 27430:1  <b>cows</b> [1] - 27376:1  <b>Craig</b>[2] - 27210:19, 27257:9  <b>create</b> [4] - 27236:11, 27265:12, 27269:16, 27404:4  <b>created</b> [8] - 27240:2, 27240:21, 27240:22, 27243:20, 27244:5, 27275:5, 27406:18, 27435:1  <b>creates</b> [1] - 27274:16  <b>creating</b> [1] - 27265:2  <b>credentials</b> [1] - 27375:25  <b>credibility</b> [3] - 27252:19, 27440:11, 27443:10  <b>credible</b> [6] - 27283:2, 27395:24, 27395:25, 27437:11, 27440:4, 27440:10  <b>credit</b> [1] - 27267:5  <b>crime</b> [10] - 27212:16, 27251:24, 27257:13, 27281:7, 27294:14, 27348:1, 27366:1, 27396:18, 27441:7, 27447:8  <b>Crime</b>[1] - 27279:24  <b>crimes</b> [2] - 27283:19, 27300:11  <b>criminal</b> [7] - 27248:18, 27279:7, 27281:14, 27358:3, 27419:13, 27448:16, 27448:19  <b>Criminal</b>[2] - 27312:16, 27318:23  <b>criminologist</b> [1] - 27254:13  <b>Criminology</b>[2] -</p>	<p>27278:24, 27278:25  <b>criminology</b> [1] - 27254:16  <b>critical</b> [1] - 27245:18  <b>criticism</b> [1] - 27453:7  <b>criticize</b> [1] - 27222:22  <b>cross</b> [6] - 27323:16, 27338:14, 27338:18, 27338:19, 27399:1, 27456:20  <b>cross-examination</b> [3] - 27338:14, 27338:18, 27338:19  <b>cross-examine</b> [1] - 27399:1  <b>cross-examined</b> [1] - 27323:16  <b>cross-examiners</b> [1] - 27456:20  <b>Crown</b>[31] - 27214:16, 27214:21, 27214:25, 27249:18, 27258:5, 27259:19, 27307:21, 27308:14, 27321:4, 27341:21, 27343:5, 27343:7, 27343:11, 27344:12, 27344:23, 27345:7, 27346:21, 27347:11, 27349:12, 27349:14, 27389:25, 27424:1, 27424:15, 27425:12, 27425:22, 27426:8, 27426:16, 27453:25, 27455:6  <b>Crown's</b> [1] - 27257:23  <b>crude</b> [3] - 27252:23, 27253:14, 27382:5  <b>Csr</b>[8] - 27204:9, 27204:10, 27458:2, 27458:12, 27458:13, 27458:16, 27458:17  <b>culture</b> [1] - 27281:14  <b>cumulative</b> [3] - 27343:19, 27343:21, 27343:23  <b>current</b> [1] - 27277:19  <b>custody</b> [2] - 27213:6, 27428:4  <b>cut</b> [2] - 27227:19, 27243:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>D</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Dale</b>[2] - 27305:3, 27372:2  <b>damages</b> [1] - 27216:3  <b>database</b> [1] - 27362:12  <b>date</b> [6] - 27230:4, 27304:20, 27304:22,</p>
--	--	--	--	--



<p>27316:7, 27326:18, 27404:18</p> <p><b>dated</b> [3] - 27225:18, 27417:7, 27421:22</p> <p><b>dates</b> [2] - 27220:21, 27360:1</p> <p><b>Dave</b> [1] - 27208:5</p> <p><b>David</b> [133] - 27203:4, 27205:2, 27205:11, 27205:15, 27206:3, 27207:5, 27208:25, 27209:3, 27211:10, 27213:9, 27227:4, 27227:16, 27227:25, 27228:11, 27240:14, 27247:22, 27248:20, 27249:10, 27250:18, 27251:7, 27251:14, 27255:9, 27255:17, 27256:1, 27257:8, 27257:16, 27258:10, 27266:21, 27266:23, 27267:11, 27268:2, 27278:17, 27280:25, 27285:16, 27292:17, 27292:21, 27294:15, 27295:10, 27295:12, 27300:2, 27300:22, 27301:23, 27306:25, 27307:8, 27307:19, 27308:11, 27310:1, 27315:21, 27316:11, 27318:16, 27320:25, 27322:20, 27339:23, 27340:21, 27344:11, 27347:2, 27348:1, 27348:7, 27348:12, 27350:25, 27355:22, 27357:3, 27359:11, 27361:12, 27367:16, 27368:24, 27369:6, 27369:11, 27370:3, 27370:8, 27370:17, 27370:20, 27374:10, 27375:3, 27379:17, 27379:18, 27380:15, 27380:23, 27380:25, 27381:8, 27382:1, 27382:2, 27383:25, 27384:25, 27385:1, 27385:11, 27386:3, 27386:11, 27388:14, 27388:17, 27388:23, 27388:24, 27391:25, 27394:11, 27400:21, 27401:1, 27401:6, 27401:10, 27401:19, 27403:6, 27404:4, 27406:10, 27406:15, 27406:22, 27418:11, 27418:19, 27419:7,</p>	<p>27423:1, 27426:20, 27428:19, 27428:25, 27429:1, 27430:13, 27431:14, 27431:19, 27432:21, 27437:14, 27442:7, 27442:16, 27445:11, 27446:3, 27446:14, 27446:24, 27447:3, 27448:25, 27449:5, 27450:8, 27450:12, 27451:2, 27453:24, 27456:1</p> <p><b>David's</b> [8] - 27237:11, 27340:3, 27369:16, 27376:14, 27377:1, 27377:6, 27431:8, 27446:22</p> <p><b>days</b> [10] - 27217:6, 27218:16, 27257:8, 27261:15, 27281:18, 27285:23, 27339:21, 27350:23, 27357:11, 27359:2</p> <p><b>de</b> [1] - 27334:19</p> <p><b>deal</b> [15] - 27220:2, 27245:12, 27256:5, 27264:22, 27276:3, 27276:4, 27307:11, 27312:25, 27314:23, 27327:13, 27335:10, 27338:12, 27338:17, 27383:4, 27440:25</p> <p><b>dealing</b> [6] - 27229:9, 27248:15, 27290:8, 27290:25, 27356:8, 27444:9</p> <p><b>dealings</b> [6] - 27269:24, 27380:7, 27380:9, 27380:10, 27392:15, 27453:9</p> <p><b>deals</b> [3] - 27249:16, 27261:3, 27264:5</p> <p><b>dealt</b> [9] - 27219:1, 27237:16, 27326:15, 27392:14, 27416:11, 27425:15, 27430:2, 27436:9, 27447:21</p> <p><b>death</b> [3] - 27407:23, 27432:1, 27437:25</p> <p><b>debate</b> [5] - 27276:21, 27283:6, 27430:18, 27441:24, 27452:10</p> <p><b>debated</b> [1] - 27431:17</p> <p><b>debating</b> [2] - 27300:24, 27434:23</p> <p><b>Deborah</b> [12] - 27250:22, 27251:20, 27252:4, 27252:6, 27252:12, 27252:24, 27253:1, 27253:11,</p>	<p>27255:23, 27340:1, 27343:12, 27390:10</p> <p><b>December</b> [22] - 27225:16, 27226:5, 27226:24, 27299:5, 27326:18, 27326:19, 27326:21, 27327:8, 27356:2, 27357:11, 27357:18, 27358:17, 27359:16, 27360:21, 27361:17, 27362:14, 27364:23, 27364:24, 27365:21, 27367:11, 27372:16, 27378:16</p> <p><b>decide</b> [4] - 27262:15, 27311:22, 27440:8, 27455:23</p> <p><b>decided</b> [12] - 27264:11, 27271:3, 27271:6, 27287:24, 27319:5, 27326:4, 27353:21, 27398:16, 27414:6, 27422:20, 27435:16, 27445:23</p> <p><b>deciding</b> [2] - 27286:17</p> <p><b>decision</b> [52] - 27212:2, 27241:12, 27262:14, 27262:15, 27262:25, 27264:10, 27265:4, 27268:1, 27271:5, 27286:4, 27286:6, 27309:20, 27310:19, 27313:5, 27323:13, 27323:21, 27378:14, 27382:11, 27382:13, 27402:3, 27430:5, 27430:12, 27430:21, 27431:15, 27432:3, 27432:6, 27433:15, 27433:24, 27434:18, 27434:23, 27434:25, 27435:14, 27436:9, 27440:15, 27441:3, 27442:19, 27443:5, 27443:7, 27445:15, 27445:16, 27445:21, 27446:7, 27446:11, 27446:23, 27447:2, 27451:2, 27451:14, 27452:12, 27452:14, 27453:6, 27453:16</p> <p><b>decision-makers</b> [2] - 27271:5, 27286:4</p> <p><b>decision-making</b> [1] - 27382:11</p> <p><b>Decisions</b> [1] - 27325:24</p> <p><b>decisions</b> [7] - 27247:5, 27265:14, 27382:20, 27382:22, 27382:23,</p>	<p>27397:23, 27398:2</p> <p><b>declaration</b> [3] - 27448:3, 27448:18, 27450:7</p> <p><b>declined</b> [1] - 27266:3</p> <p><b>deep</b> [3] - 27247:18, 27247:19</p> <p><b>defence</b> [2] - 27249:15, 27304:5</p> <p><b>Defence</b> [1] - 27426:9</p> <p><b>defend</b> [2] - 27353:22, 27354:10</p> <p><b>defending</b> [1] - 27276:20</p> <p><b>defensive</b> [1] - 27276:19</p> <p><b>defining</b> [1] - 27357:20</p> <p><b>definitely</b> [1] - 27345:11</p> <p><b>definition</b> [1] - 27396:9</p> <p><b>degree</b> [2] - 27240:9, 27299:21</p> <p><b>delay</b> [3] - 27277:22, 27278:10, 27278:11</p> <p><b>deliberate</b> [1] - 27215:25</p> <p><b>deliberately</b> [3] - 27275:14, 27276:5, 27276:6</p> <p><b>delinquent</b> [1] - 27281:5</p> <p><b>delivered</b> [1] - 27402:11</p> <p><b>delivering</b> [1] - 27419:17</p> <p><b>Demeanour</b> [1] - 27287:4</p> <p><b>demonstrably</b> [1] - 27294:20</p> <p><b>denied</b> [1] - 27348:12</p> <p><b>denies</b> [3] - 27255:12, 27255:13, 27255:14</p> <p><b>denying</b> [1] - 27222:7</p> <p><b>Department</b> [28] - 27211:19, 27213:3, 27221:24, 27222:25, 27229:14, 27230:20, 27255:11, 27265:20, 27267:5, 27272:22, 27289:15, 27295:5, 27314:3, 27319:4, 27319:5, 27327:6, 27332:14, 27332:17, 27352:12, 27357:16, 27357:23, 27358:11, 27366:8, 27369:3, 27369:8, 27371:25, 27378:5, 27431:6</p> <p><b>department</b> [5] - 27222:2, 27245:18, 27254:17, 27264:20, 27332:16</p>	<p><b>departments</b> [1] - 27329:18</p> <p><b>depiction</b> [1] - 27236:18</p> <p><b>Dept</b> [2] - 27419:9, 27419:19</p> <p><b>depth</b> [1] - 27315:20</p> <p><b>describe</b> [4] - 27231:25, 27253:14, 27324:2, 27440:10</p> <p><b>described</b> [8] - 27252:15, 27252:23, 27255:23, 27273:2, 27349:10, 27351:8, 27360:22, 27373:25</p> <p><b>describing</b> [1] - 27343:16</p> <p><b>Description</b> [1] - 27206:2</p> <p><b>description</b> [3] - 27252:20, 27253:13</p> <p><b>deserves</b> [1] - 27326:4</p> <p><b>desire</b> [2] - 27308:18, 27309:10</p> <p><b>desires</b> [1] - 27308:5</p> <p><b>despite</b> [1] - 27315:23</p> <p><b>Despite</b> [1] - 27219:14</p> <p><b>destroyed</b> [5] - 27208:1, 27219:8, 27219:12, 27219:25, 27413:8</p> <p><b>destruction</b> [1] - 27219:9</p> <p><b>detail</b> [3] - 27339:22, 27342:10, 27443:9</p> <p><b>detailed</b> [2] - 27317:1, 27440:19</p> <p><b>details</b> [6] - 27235:24, 27421:25, 27424:22, 27425:25, 27430:19, 27434:16</p> <p><b>Detection</b> [1] - 27279:24</p> <p><b>Detective</b> [4] - 27390:13, 27410:20, 27414:12, 27435:10</p> <p><b>detective</b> [1] - 27279:2</p> <p><b>Detectives</b> [1] - 27390:9</p> <p><b>determination</b> [1] - 27208:3</p> <p><b>determine</b> [8] - 27312:7, 27365:12, 27366:5, 27366:17, 27395:23, 27395:25, 27398:14, 27403:8</p> <p><b>determined</b> [3] - 27301:24, 27370:24, 27399:2</p> <p><b>determining</b> [3] - 27314:5, 27413:4,</p>
--	--	---	---	--



<p>27413:14  <b>detract</b> [1] - 27377:7  <b>detrimental</b> [2] - 27227:16, 27227:25  <b>develop</b> [1] - 27399:3  <b>development</b> [1] - 27291:24  <b>develops</b> [1] - 27415:14  <b>devil's</b> [1] - 27295:20  <b>differences</b> [1] - 27252:22  <b>different</b> [10] - 27240:15, 27252:21, 27252:24, 27253:5, 27253:6, 27271:4, 27336:12, 27336:20, 27380:25, 27414:18  <b>differently</b> [1] - 27261:7  <b>difficult</b> [5] - 27243:8, 27275:22, 27307:3, 27312:1, 27326:2  <b>difficulties</b> [1] - 27386:25  <b>difficulty</b> [1] - 27378:6  <b>dilemma</b> [1] - 27311:24  <b>direct</b> [3] - 27302:11, 27385:25, 27401:17  <b>direction</b> [4] - 27309:12, 27322:6, 27352:10, 27353:6  <b>directive</b> [1] - 27352:7  <b>directly</b> [5] - 27210:25, 27214:3, 27289:18, 27290:19, 27355:13  <b>Director</b> [1] - 27204:3  <b>disagree</b> [7] - 27266:13, 27282:6, 27283:3, 27295:22, 27436:2, 27437:4, 27438:4  <b>disagreed</b> [1] - 27447:18  <b>disagreement</b> [2] - 27430:15, 27451:13  <b>disappear</b> [1] - 27281:19  <b>disappeared</b> [1] - 27209:17  <b>disclaimer</b> [1] - 27443:23  <b>disclose</b> [8] - 27223:10, 27230:15, 27317:13, 27341:25, 27425:12, 27452:13, 27452:15, 27452:20  <b>disclosed</b> [10] - 27343:8, 27348:21, 27348:24, 27348:25, 27349:4, 27349:22, 27350:8, 27350:9, 27365:2, 27424:17</p>	<p><b>disclosing</b> [4] - 27235:8, 27345:2, 27365:8, 27454:24  <b>disclosure</b> [34] - 27230:1, 27265:6, 27269:16, 27305:24, 27307:21, 27328:9, 27328:15, 27340:25, 27341:7, 27349:11, 27349:20, 27349:25, 27350:6, 27355:1, 27355:3, 27358:23, 27359:6, 27359:10, 27359:12, 27361:19, 27363:16, 27389:24, 27413:5, 27413:14, 27421:19, 27423:14, 27424:15, 27425:17, 27425:22, 27426:4, 27426:15, 27433:5, 27436:25, 27437:3  <b>disconcerting</b> [2] - 27217:12, 27221:23  <b>discover</b> [1] - 27336:1  <b>discovered</b> [7] - 27214:21, 27218:17, 27222:15, 27347:11, 27351:5, 27416:25, 27417:1  <b>discovering</b> [1] - 27351:5  <b>discovery</b> [1] - 27347:13  <b>discretion</b> [4] - 27267:1, 27318:14, 27320:13, 27325:19  <b>discuss</b> [3] - 27326:22, 27327:2, 27443:8  <b>discussed</b> [15] - 27277:14, 27294:17, 27299:13, 27299:16, 27300:9, 27328:10, 27332:6, 27353:20, 27358:16, 27358:22, 27360:11, 27362:13, 27363:7, 27363:11, 27396:22  <b>discussing</b> [4] - 27296:19, 27308:10, 27387:12, 27387:13  <b>discussion</b> [40] - 27227:22, 27247:13, 27258:1, 27258:24, 27258:25, 27261:16, 27262:21, 27262:23, 27262:25, 27263:11, 27274:21, 27274:22, 27274:23, 27283:10, 27285:12, 27285:13, 27285:24, 27288:24,</p>	<p>27291:11, 27294:2, 27301:13, 27302:6, 27303:8, 27303:11, 27306:15, 27307:7, 27312:14, 27315:12, 27316:8, 27321:24, 27332:11, 27332:19, 27346:11, 27357:5, 27363:8, 27367:12, 27374:3, 27374:6, 27379:24, 27451:8  <b>Discussion</b> [1] - 27384:25  <b>discussions</b> [29] - 27223:7, 27223:16, 27225:1, 27237:7, 27241:15, 27241:18, 27242:24, 27246:2, 27246:6, 27246:11, 27249:1, 27249:21, 27249:23, 27250:1, 27278:1, 27285:1, 27285:4, 27290:10, 27292:11, 27302:3, 27303:1, 27320:7, 27322:3, 27324:20, 27328:6, 27328:11, 27337:7, 27337:10, 27360:17  <b>disenfranchised</b> [1] - 27281:12  <b>dishonesty</b> [1] - 27257:14  <b>disorder</b> [2] - 27405:14, 27413:19  <b>disposing</b> [1] - 27292:23  <b>disproving</b> [1] - 27248:21  <b>disputed</b> [1] - 27433:22  <b>distinction</b> [2] - 27319:25, 27448:15  <b>distinguishing</b> [2] - 27386:25, 27388:2  <b>disturbing</b> [1] - 27211:4  <b>divide</b> [1] - 27238:12  <b>divulge</b> [1] - 27227:20  <b>doc</b> [9] - 27225:6, 27225:7, 27225:15, 27238:4, 27238:7, 27261:17, 27299:1, 27394:7, 27410:17  <b>Doctor</b> [1] - 27254:25  <b>doctor</b> [6] - 27283:17, 27283:18, 27297:7, 27367:18, 27367:21, 27412:9  <b>document</b> [44] - 27218:24, 27235:5, 27241:13, 27248:9,</p>	<p>27298:24, 27301:5, 27302:1, 27331:15, 27350:3, 27350:17, 27362:11, 27388:21, 27395:9, 27402:22, 27402:23, 27403:13, 27403:14, 27403:18, 27404:9, 27404:10, 27404:15, 27404:22, 27404:23, 27405:6, 27405:8, 27406:23, 27407:11, 27407:15, 27407:21, 27408:15, 27408:18, 27408:20, 27408:24, 27409:5, 27409:8, 27411:15, 27411:23, 27411:24, 27412:12, 27414:6, 27414:8, 27414:13, 27415:19, 27416:14  <b>Document</b> [2] - 27204:4, 27204:5  <b>documentary</b> [3] - 27352:20, 27352:23, 27356:9  <b>documentation</b> [1] - 27392:17  <b>documents</b> [44] - 27223:18, 27237:18, 27242:6, 27278:4, 27291:3, 27291:5, 27303:16, 27303:21, 27310:9, 27328:24, 27329:1, 27330:24, 27331:1, 27332:23, 27333:21, 27334:3, 27335:24, 27336:1, 27336:2, 27337:8, 27351:2, 27352:21, 27352:25, 27356:16, 27356:18, 27361:23, 27365:5, 27365:12, 27365:17, 27394:5, 27403:23, 27406:17, 27418:6, 27426:15, 27427:8, 27427:17, 27429:15, 27429:16, 27429:17, 27429:22, 27429:23, 27436:8, 27447:3, 27449:22  <b>dog</b> [4] - 27279:19, 27279:20, 27280:9, 27371:17  <b>domain</b> [5] - 27240:18, 27243:20, 27244:17, 27270:4, 27270:6  <b>Don</b> [1] - 27204:10  <b>Donald</b> [4] - 27205:15, 27423:12, 27458:2, 27458:17</p>	<p><b>done</b> [15] - 27224:23, 27231:21, 27239:8, 27239:13, 27245:12, 27249:12, 27264:21, 27272:23, 27310:17, 27323:7, 27338:16, 27338:17, 27348:3, 27356:24, 27417:21  <b>door</b> [2] - 27282:22, 27347:23  <b>dots</b> [2] - 27406:24, 27407:25  <b>doubt</b> [11] - 27220:10, 27248:22, 27266:10, 27369:15, 27369:23, 27396:1, 27400:21, 27401:21, 27420:20, 27432:16, 27442:7  <b>Doug</b> [4] - 27247:15, 27247:16, 27249:2  <b>Douglas</b> [1] - 27204:2  <b>down</b> [25] - 27219:13, 27222:9, 27245:7, 27245:22, 27257:10, 27260:24, 27266:11, 27274:20, 27279:5, 27292:10, 27307:17, 27316:13, 27326:25, 27352:21, 27375:7, 27382:10, 27385:17, 27388:16, 27398:21, 27399:15, 27405:15, 27419:16, 27424:24, 27442:4, 27443:3  <b>Dr</b> [45] - 27254:20, 27254:24, 27255:1, 27258:1, 27259:13, 27259:15, 27259:16, 27260:2, 27260:12, 27260:13, 27262:9, 27262:10, 27279:4, 27279:14, 27279:16, 27279:21, 27280:1, 27281:24, 27284:7, 27297:8, 27301:6, 27301:7, 27310:12, 27310:13, 27342:9, 27366:13, 27366:22, 27383:20, 27384:4, 27384:20, 27391:8, 27391:14, 27392:10, 27392:24, 27393:1, 27393:6, 27393:9, 27405:23, 27406:2, 27406:9, 27410:14  <b>draw</b> [1] - 27207:18  <b>driven</b> [2] - 27395:8, 27407:4  <b>driving</b> [1] - 27387:11  <b>Drs</b> [1] - 27384:19</p>
---	--	--	---	--



<p><b>drug</b> [1] - 27393:14  <b>drugs</b> [3] - 27281:7, 27281:16, 27428:20  <b>due</b> [2] - 27291:23, 27385:5  <b>duplicity</b> [1] - 27312:8  <b>during</b> [13] - 27209:17, 27251:3, 27297:1, 27305:11, 27305:14, 27323:2, 27324:11, 27324:18, 27333:3, 27352:8, 27363:8, 27378:15, 27406:20  <b>Dust</b>[1] - 27225:16  <b>duty</b>' [1] - 27375:18</p>	<p>27413:7, 27423:3, 27426:14, 27446:16  <b>elaborate</b> [5] - 27245:3, 27321:16, 27376:2, 27431:1, 27450:2  <b>element</b> [2] - 27343:16, 27343:17  <b>elicit</b> [1] - 27301:24  <b>Ellen</b> [2] - 27220:13, 27327:6  <b>elsewhere</b> [2] - 27440:12, 27448:15  <b>emboldened</b> [1] - 27240:12  <b>emerge</b> [1] - 27358:5  <b>emotional</b> [3] - 27246:25, 27269:6, 27291:23  <b>emphasize</b> [2] - 27211:6, 27212:21  <b>employ</b> [1] - 27257:22  <b>employed</b> [1] - 27394:11  <b>Emson</b> [9] - 27260:2, 27260:13, 27261:1, 27261:6, 27261:7, 27262:9, 27262:10, 27263:22, 27384:20  <b>enactment</b> [2] - 27381:24, 27410:15  <b>enclose</b> [2] - 27211:5, 27309:17  <b>enclosed</b> [2] - 27377:15, 27429:16  <b>enclosing</b> [5] - 27229:8, 27277:4, 27402:6, 27403:3, 27429:15  <b>encounter</b> [4] - 27235:17, 27235:20, 27238:18, 27238:21  <b>encouraged</b> [1] - 27291:24  <b>encouragement</b> [1] - 27240:10  <b>end</b> [7] - 27338:12, 27338:15, 27342:3, 27389:22, 27417:14, 27429:14, 27456:19  <b>ended</b> [6] - 27234:25, 27297:18, 27302:19, 27314:11, 27387:22, 27416:2  <b>endorsed</b> [1] - 27398:1  <b>engaged</b> [3] - 27212:8, 27237:5, 27456:7  <b>engagement</b> [1] - 27456:16  <b>engaging</b> [1] - 27237:6  <b>enormous</b> [1] -</p>	<p>27385:14  <b>Enquiry</b> [4] - 27313:3, 27314:10, 27314:13, 27314:17  <b>ensure</b> [2] - 27266:1, 27270:9  <b>entailed</b> [1] - 27214:10  <b>enter</b> [1] - 27445:6  <b>entered</b> [1] - 27445:7  <b>entering</b> [2] - 27446:9, 27447:19  <b>entire</b> [6] - 27229:9, 27252:20, 27264:1, 27273:9, 27297:1, 27333:19  <b>entirely</b> [2] - 27269:7, 27282:7  <b>entirety</b> [1] - 27428:18  <b>entitled</b> [2] - 27293:11, 27399:19  <b>enumerate</b> [2] - 27306:21, 27307:2  <b>environment</b> [4] - 27274:16, 27275:6, 27276:13  <b>episode</b> [1] - 27218:20  <b>Eric</b> [1] - 27403:7  <b>erroneous</b> [1] - 27267:23  <b>error</b> [1] - 27433:8  <b>escape</b> [1] - 27323:1  <b>especially</b> [3] - 27229:17, 27273:10, 27273:22  <b>Esq</b> [3] - 27205:8, 27205:9, 27205:10  <b>essential</b> [1] - 27313:14  <b>essentially</b> [4] - 27237:19, 27320:1, 27358:12, 27433:18  <b>Esson</b> [1] - 27204:11  <b>establish</b> [4] - 27266:17, 27369:13, 27427:18, 27450:17  <b>established</b> [2] - 27366:4, 27433:9  <b>establishing</b> [1] - 27442:21  <b>etc</b> [1] - 27251:9  <b>etcetera</b> [13] - 27228:8, 27235:21, 27270:5, 27270:6, 27270:11, 27279:7, 27303:19, 27413:10, 27418:15, 27419:23, 27428:15, 27429:21, 27430:17  <b>Eugene</b> [5] - 27218:22, 27252:13, 27361:20, 27379:14, 27413:22  <b>evaluation</b> [1] - 27282:9</p>	<p><b>event</b> [10] - 27222:20, 27229:20, 27240:20, 27250:25, 27255:18, 27255:19, 27309:25, 27344:4, 27386:7, 27415:22  <b>events</b> [3] - 27251:22, 27253:4, 27393:25  <b>eventually</b> [2] - 27235:6, 27426:23  <b>evidence</b> [150] - 27207:16, 27207:20, 27212:16, 27215:25, 27218:12, 27227:25, 27233:25, 27234:25, 27235:2, 27247:21, 27248:5, 27248:16, 27249:13, 27249:19, 27251:19, 27254:19, 27258:18, 27260:10, 27264:10, 27268:19, 27272:1, 27273:2, 27279:22, 27280:5, 27282:3, 27292:15, 27292:22, 27293:9, 27294:7, 27294:18, 27294:24, 27296:23, 27297:5, 27297:16, 27300:16, 27301:16, 27301:20, 27301:21, 27307:12, 27307:19, 27313:21, 27318:13, 27322:10, 27322:11, 27322:24, 27324:7, 27324:12, 27324:18, 27325:25, 27340:19, 27342:9, 27342:18, 27342:24, 27343:12, 27344:5, 27344:13, 27344:15, 27345:8, 27346:6, 27346:17, 27346:22, 27347:5, 27347:23, 27351:1, 27352:3, 27352:4, 27352:20, 27352:23, 27355:16, 27361:21, 27379:13, 27380:21, 27380:25, 27381:14, 27381:25, 27382:7, 27385:6, 27385:25, 27387:22, 27387:24, 27392:19, 27395:19, 27395:21, 27395:22, 27395:24, 27396:1, 27396:15, 27399:24, 27400:25, 27401:8, 27401:13, 27401:22, 27402:7, 27404:11, 27409:17, 27411:17, 27411:25, 27412:3, 27413:22, 27416:16,</p>	<p>27426:14, 27427:4, 27427:5, 27427:17, 27428:14, 27428:17, 27432:24, 27433:4, 27433:11, 27434:12, 27435:6, 27435:16, 27435:25, 27436:18, 27436:21, 27437:9, 27437:10, 27437:11, 27437:21, 27438:15, 27438:19, 27438:22, 27438:25, 27439:6, 27439:8, 27439:11, 27439:19, 27439:21, 27439:24, 27440:2, 27440:3, 27440:4, 27440:11, 27440:23, 27442:13, 27442:15, 27442:22, 27443:8, 27443:14, 27444:3, 27444:12, 27444:15, 27444:17, 27445:1, 27446:6, 27448:24, 27451:18, 27452:4, 27452:19  <b>Evidence</b> [1] - 27426:19  <b>evidentiary</b> [2] - 27307:16, 27307:18  <b>evil</b> [1] - 27274:6  <b>evolution</b> [1] - 27333:19  <b>evolved</b> [1] - 27290:23  <b>ex</b> [1] - 27373:11  <b>ex-wife</b> [1] - 27373:11  <b>exact</b> [2] - 27242:17, 27242:22  <b>exactly</b> [4] - 27210:13, 27261:8, 27265:17, 27272:2  <b>examination</b> [8] - 27313:17, 27326:5, 27338:5, 27338:14, 27338:18, 27338:19, 27427:9, 27455:24  <b>examine</b> [1] - 27399:1  <b>examined</b> [2] - 27323:16, 27446:8  <b>examiners</b> [1] - 27456:20  <b>example</b> [12] - 27208:1, 27228:6, 27238:21, 27248:8, 27296:7, 27339:25, 27351:15, 27356:2, 27370:20, 27381:6, 27422:11, 27423:13  <b>examples</b> [1] - 27396:11  <b>except</b> [3] - 27315:19, 27320:3, 27397:22</p>
<b>E</b>				
<p><b>eagerness</b> [1] - 27360:4  <b>early</b> [9] - 27223:9, 27241:16, 27274:17, 27281:8, 27290:4, 27301:8, 27353:21, 27374:4, 27379:21  <b>easiest</b> [1] - 27281:1  <b>easy</b> [3] - 27222:10, 27222:21, 27396:9  <b>Eddie</b> [1] - 27205:9  <b>edge</b> [1] - 27281:14  <b>edition</b> [1] - 27211:1  <b>Edward</b> [1] - 27203:7  <b>Edwards</b> [7] - 27324:23, 27373:5, 27374:11, 27376:17, 27377:11, 27377:14  <b>effect</b> [9] - 27237:17, 27260:9, 27265:10, 27326:8, 27366:12, 27405:11, 27416:20, 27430:21, 27446:15  <b>effectively</b> [3] - 27313:15, 27425:23, 27426:10  <b>effort</b> [3] - 27268:1, 27312:8, 27366:15  <b>efforts</b> [5] - 27236:1, 27239:23, 27240:19, 27264:8, 27411:9  <b>Eighties</b> [1] - 27281:8  <b>either</b> [23] - 27224:17, 27227:19, 27252:19, 27253:8, 27272:6, 27286:11, 27290:18, 27296:6, 27300:16, 27327:9, 27333:5, 27333:15, 27338:16, 27342:12, 27342:18, 27354:3, 27361:25, 27364:18, 27401:20,</p>				



<p><b>exchange</b> [2] - 27247:9, 27452:23</p> <p><b>excluded</b> [2] - 27296:25, 27370:22</p> <p><b>excludes</b> [1] - 27258:12</p> <p><b>Excuse</b> [1] - 27447:25</p> <p><b>excuse</b> [1] - 27414:23</p> <p><b>excused</b> [1] - 27410:25</p> <p><b>executive</b> [1] - 27313:24</p> <p><b>Executive</b> [1] - 27204:3</p> <p><b>exercise</b> [2] - 27266:25, 27325:19</p> <p><b>exercising</b> [1] - 27399:17</p> <p><b>exhaustive</b> [1] - 27444:1</p> <p><b>exhibits</b> [1] - 27303:10</p> <p><b>exist</b> [4] - 27207:11, 27221:6, 27221:8, 27292:25</p> <p><b>existed</b> [4] - 27209:16, 27212:7, 27273:11, 27434:12</p> <p><b>existence</b> [1] - 27229:19</p> <p><b>existent</b> [1] - 27281:21</p> <p><b>existing</b> [1] - 27250:4</p> <p><b>exists</b> [2] - 27224:5, 27393:5</p> <p><b>exonerated</b> [1] - 27342:12</p> <p><b>expanded</b> [1] - 27350:5</p> <p><b>expansion</b> [1] - 27253:12</p> <p><b>expect</b> [2] - 27359:1, 27390:8</p> <p><b>expected</b> [4] - 27401:14, 27401:22, 27437:12, 27440:5</p> <p><b>expects</b> [2] - 27272:18, 27412:15</p> <p><b>expense</b> [1] - 27335:13</p> <p><b>experience</b> [1] - 27312:21</p> <p><b>experienced</b> [3] - 27323:14, 27323:23, 27433:8</p> <p><b>expert</b> [2] - 27391:3, 27391:11</p> <p><b>expertise</b> [1] - 27395:7</p> <p><b>explain</b> [1] - 27315:20</p> <p><b>explained</b> [1] - 27252:11</p> <p><b>explanation</b> [1] - 27440:19</p> <p><b>explicit</b> [1] - 27230:24</p> <p><b>explore</b> [2] - 27266:22, 27333:19</p> <p><b>exposing</b> [1] - 27235:11</p>	<p><b>exposure</b> [2] - 27262:17, 27325:21</p> <p><b>express</b> [1] - 27228:16</p> <p><b>expressed</b> [4] - 27227:14, 27237:10, 27385:1, 27430:20</p> <p><b>expressing</b> [1] - 27300:21</p> <p><b>extensive</b> [1] - 27456:3</p> <p><b>extensively</b> [2] - 27446:11, 27451:5</p> <p><b>extent</b> [10] - 27292:14, 27293:1, 27293:10, 27293:22, 27299:25, 27330:25, 27393:5, 27415:8, 27434:11, 27435:22</p> <p><b>extraneous</b> [1] - 27376:11</p> <p><b>extraordinarily</b> [1] - 27330:18</p> <p><b>extraordinary</b> [1] - 27268:5</p> <p><b>extreme</b> [1] - 27242:23</p> <p><b>extremely</b> [2] - 27248:1, 27388:18</p>	<p>27445:18, 27448:23, 27450:4</p> <p><b>facto</b> [1] - 27334:19</p> <p><b>factor</b> [1] - 27423:15</p> <p><b>factors</b> [1] - 27455:25</p> <p><b>facts</b> [6] - 27269:1, 27313:16, 27380:24, 27386:17, 27386:19, 27454:25</p> <p><b>factual</b> [4] - 27375:5, 27377:2, 27377:7, 27450:16</p> <p><b>failed</b> [2] - 27272:4, 27442:21</p> <p><b>failure</b> [2] - 27341:25, 27345:16</p> <p><b>Fainstein</b>[4] - 27378:4, 27378:9, 27383:10, 27390:5</p> <p><b>fair</b> [107] - 27207:15, 27208:16, 27210:22, 27211:8, 27212:10, 27213:10, 27214:11, 27214:14, 27214:18, 27215:1, 27225:21, 27232:14, 27235:20, 27235:23, 27241:20, 27243:22, 27244:24, 27249:4, 27259:11, 27264:13, 27265:1, 27265:19, 27269:7, 27271:7, 27271:9, 27271:22, 27271:24, 27275:16, 27277:16, 27278:1, 27278:13, 27285:3, 27294:8, 27297:19, 27300:8, 27302:8, 27308:15, 27311:4, 27311:10, 27311:14, 27314:7, 27321:8, 27326:12, 27328:23, 27331:12, 27331:16, 27332:3, 27335:10, 27335:20, 27339:11, 27340:5, 27340:24, 27341:5, 27341:7, 27342:2, 27342:13, 27344:14, 27345:25, 27346:9, 27346:17, 27346:19, 27350:5, 27350:24, 27356:17, 27371:16, 27372:9, 27373:17, 27386:13, 27387:7, 27392:5, 27396:24, 27400:11, 27401:25, 27404:20, 27405:11, 27406:13, 27411:18, 27423:2, 27424:6, 27424:18, 27430:8,</p>	<p>27430:17, 27430:23, 27431:20, 27432:22, 27433:19, 27434:3, 27434:14, 27434:15, 27434:19, 27434:24, 27435:2, 27437:4, 27438:2, 27438:7, 27438:12, 27441:14, 27441:20, 27441:23, 27442:2, 27443:17, 27444:10, 27447:11, 27447:22, 27449:19, 27451:1, 27451:4</p> <p><b>fairly</b> [7] - 27327:3, 27350:22, 27353:20, 27379:22, 27379:23, 27411:2, 27446:11</p> <p><b>fairness</b> [6] - 27224:10, 27228:20, 27270:5, 27414:16, 27415:6, 27431:15</p> <p><b>faith</b> [1] - 27268:1</p> <p><b>false</b> [5] - 27294:13, 27309:16, 27347:5, 27396:15, 27427:5</p> <p><b>familiar</b> [4] - 27391:18, 27395:6, 27402:23, 27417:15</p> <p><b>family</b> [1] - 27215:17</p> <p><b>far</b> [20] - 27238:13, 27238:16, 27274:16, 27287:14, 27320:15, 27321:9, 27330:2, 27352:20, 27353:19, 27355:10, 27382:21, 27386:16, 27426:13, 27427:8, 27427:16, 27431:17, 27449:21, 27453:21, 27455:14, 27456:10</p> <p><b>far-reaching</b> [1] - 27453:21</p> <p><b>fashion</b> [3] - 27237:16, 27350:22, 27354:14</p> <p><b>favour</b> [2] - 27287:12, 27325:19</p> <p><b>favourable</b> [9] - 27228:25, 27233:21, 27238:9, 27243:11, 27245:19, 27249:3, 27287:9, 27287:20, 27345:2</p> <p><b>favourably</b> [3] - 27239:2, 27239:25, 27241:25</p> <p><b>fear</b> [1] - 27315:22</p> <p><b>feasibility</b> [1] - 27303:8</p> <p><b>February</b>[11] - 27383:24, 27391:7, 27391:24, 27393:9,</p>	<p>27400:16, 27402:5, 27406:9, 27406:18, 27409:12, 27413:1, 27417:2</p> <p><b>Februarymarch</b> [1] - 27417:2</p> <p><b>Februaryearly</b> [1] - 27413:24</p> <p><b>federal</b> [6] - 27222:25, 27329:18, 27332:16, 27352:16, 27354:3, 27366:8</p> <p><b>Federal</b>[29] - 27222:16, 27249:21, 27265:19, 27310:10, 27311:15, 27315:24, 27317:8, 27317:17, 27328:18, 27329:11, 27332:17, 27335:7, 27335:12, 27336:2, 27339:4, 27340:8, 27352:18, 27356:6, 27357:15, 27363:20, 27364:19, 27365:17, 27369:7, 27370:2, 27370:7, 27371:24, 27378:5, 27430:11, 27442:1</p> <p><b>Feds</b>[2] - 27358:23, 27360:6</p> <p><b>feds</b> [1] - 27222:22</p> <p><b>fellow</b> [2] - 27223:21, 27417:8</p> <p><b>felt</b> [8] - 27214:6, 27223:12, 27233:8, 27271:25, 27313:13, 27321:13, 27449:7, 27452:11</p> <p><b>female</b> [1] - 27371:14</p> <p><b>Ferris</b>[7] - 27258:1, 27258:18, 27339:25, 27342:9, 27383:20, 27384:4, 27384:19</p> <p><b>few</b> [6] - 27218:16, 27244:5, 27261:15, 27281:18, 27359:2, 27399:11</p> <p><b>fibre</b> [1] - 27279:22</p> <p><b>field</b> [1] - 27352:14</p> <p><b>fifth</b> [2] - 27218:6, 27409:16</p> <p><b>figure</b> [1] - 27367:19</p> <p><b>file</b> [44] - 27211:8, 27211:10, 27212:24, 27216:15, 27218:6, 27218:10, 27218:12, 27218:13, 27218:15, 27219:9, 27220:17, 27222:15, 27223:4, 27223:5, 27224:17, 27226:12, 27229:6,</p>
<b>F</b>				
	<p><b>fabricate</b> [1] - 27346:6</p> <p><b>fabricated</b> [3] - 27344:5, 27346:17, 27396:16</p> <p><b>face</b> [1] - 27301:21</p> <p><b>fact</b> [52] - 27211:16, 27212:15, 27213:19, 27215:13, 27215:14, 27215:15, 27215:24, 27217:15, 27220:15, 27222:23, 27223:14, 27224:8, 27227:10, 27232:1, 27232:5, 27248:16, 27249:11, 27255:20, 27255:24, 27262:18, 27269:3, 27280:5, 27280:18, 27283:24, 27293:25, 27296:16, 27296:20, 27298:13, 27298:15, 27300:25, 27306:17, 27319:9, 27319:17, 27341:10, 27345:1, 27345:4, 27345:15, 27348:22, 27353:5, 27355:14, 27369:6, 27369:9, 27371:12, 27381:9, 27387:22, 27415:25, 27418:18, 27421:3, 27424:25,</p>			



<p>27229:18, 27229:21, 27229:24, 27231:6, 27232:13, 27232:23, 27233:3, 27233:5, 27266:2, 27322:19, 27329:3, 27329:6, 27330:25, 27331:16, 27350:4, 27365:2, 27365:8, 27389:13, 27402:6, 27402:9, 27402:14, 27403:5, 27414:4, 27414:9, 27417:3, 27417:5, 27419:2</p> <p><b>filed</b> [9] - 27212:12, 27213:12, 27267:24, 27318:12, 27365:25, 27389:22, 27395:2, 27412:15, 27412:25</p> <p><b>files</b> [71] - 27207:8, 27207:11, 27208:4, 27208:6, 27208:10, 27208:19, 27209:11, 27209:16, 27209:23, 27209:25, 27211:4, 27211:17, 27212:7, 27212:19, 27213:11, 27213:19, 27216:16, 27217:17, 27219:1, 27219:7, 27219:8, 27219:15, 27219:17, 27219:25, 27220:16, 27220:24, 27221:5, 27221:19, 27223:14, 27223:15, 27224:6, 27224:7, 27224:8, 27224:18, 27225:24, 27227:3, 27227:13, 27227:15, 27227:20, 27228:3, 27228:5, 27228:7, 27228:22, 27231:16, 27232:1, 27232:3, 27232:7, 27232:18, 27232:21, 27233:12, 27233:18, 27234:2, 27234:6, 27234:8, 27234:14, 27273:15, 27329:9, 27329:24, 27350:4, 27355:10, 27413:7, 27419:2, 27419:14, 27422:4, 27422:5, 27425:4, 27452:1, 27452:6, 27452:24</p> <p><b>filing</b> [1] - 27394:9</p> <p><b>final</b> [2] - 27314:5, 27422:25</p> <p><b>finally</b> [1] - 27284:9</p> <p><b>Finally</b>[1] - 27315:1</p> <p><b>findings</b> [5] - 27277:15,</p>	<p>27283:6, 27440:11, 27440:16, 27440:20</p> <p><b>fine</b> [4] - 27242:19, 27370:14, 27375:24, 27436:3</p> <p><b>firm</b> [3] - 27331:2, 27362:9, 27395:6</p> <p><b>First</b>[1] - 27246:21</p> <p><b>first</b> [56] - 27219:5, 27222:17, 27241:22, 27249:25, 27252:3, 27265:25, 27272:5, 27281:6, 27286:13, 27286:19, 27287:7, 27287:22, 27287:25, 27288:2, 27288:10, 27288:24, 27289:22, 27292:14, 27293:17, 27294:12, 27295:2, 27295:7, 27295:15, 27306:2, 27314:19, 27318:21, 27332:21, 27332:22, 27336:16, 27336:17, 27337:16, 27344:19, 27352:22, 27359:9, 27361:5, 27369:2, 27369:11, 27379:16, 27386:2, 27387:19, 27397:21, 27402:7, 27402:8, 27402:10, 27403:3, 27403:11, 27417:11, 27418:2, 27418:7, 27433:10, 27434:4, 27440:10, 27441:2, 27442:6, 27456:8</p> <p><b>firstly</b> [2] - 27262:15, 27310:3</p> <p><b>Fisher</b>[84] - 27208:19, 27209:16, 27212:16, 27212:19, 27213:11, 27213:19, 27214:21, 27215:13, 27215:18, 27215:20, 27219:10, 27224:6, 27224:7, 27224:18, 27227:3, 27227:13, 27227:18, 27228:5, 27232:1, 27232:3, 27232:12, 27233:18, 27247:21, 27248:19, 27249:9, 27283:19, 27284:5, 27290:25, 27292:16, 27292:20, 27293:18, 27294:14, 27295:12, 27295:18, 27299:18, 27299:20, 27300:1, 27300:11, 27305:9, 27324:6, 27328:5, 27341:13, 27341:22,</p>	<p>27347:11, 27348:5, 27348:14, 27348:24, 27349:15, 27349:19, 27359:1, 27361:10, 27362:15, 27362:19, 27363:8, 27390:21, 27398:24, 27418:14, 27418:20, 27419:2, 27419:10, 27421:17, 27422:4, 27425:3, 27425:8, 27425:10, 27430:2, 27437:16, 27437:20, 27438:1, 27439:2, 27439:3, 27440:1, 27440:24, 27441:20, 27443:21, 27444:20, 27452:1, 27452:7, 27452:13, 27452:24, 27453:20, 27454:1, 27454:12, 27454:24</p> <p><b>Fishers</b> [6] - 27299:18, 27397:22, 27398:12, 27429:17, 27429:18, 27454:7</p> <p><b>fit</b> [6] - 27235:25, 27277:21, 27345:21, 27407:15, 27411:17, 27411:19</p> <p><b>five</b> [5] - 27397:6, 27402:22, 27411:15, 27414:5, 27423:25</p> <p><b>five-page</b> [2] - 27411:15, 27414:5</p> <p><b>fix</b> [2] - 27273:6, 27276:14</p> <p><b>fixated</b> [2] - 27295:6, 27301:20</p> <p><b>fixed</b> [1] - 27276:17</p> <p><b>fixing</b> [1] - 27421:6</p> <p><b>flashbacks</b> [3] - 27405:16, 27405:22, 27405:24</p> <p><b>flaw</b> [2] - 27269:20, 27270:17</p> <p><b>flawed</b> [2] - 27266:20, 27323:3</p> <p><b>fledgling</b> [1] - 27281:13</p> <p><b>Fleming</b>[4] - 27297:8, 27310:12, 27393:6, 27405:23</p> <p><b>floor</b> [1] - 27251:18</p> <p><b>fluids</b> [1] - 27251:9</p> <p><b>focal</b> [1] - 27266:12</p> <p><b>focus</b> [1] - 27292:11</p> <p><b>focused</b> [2] - 27321:19, 27386:20</p> <p><b>foggy</b> [1] - 27241:5</p> <p><b>follow</b> [2] - 27298:4, 27313:25</p>	<p><b>followed</b> [3] - 27216:14, 27438:2, 27449:9</p> <p><b>following</b> [15] - 27306:23, 27308:25, 27309:23, 27313:2, 27318:9, 27334:22, 27336:13, 27363:17, 27377:14, 27383:19, 27423:22, 27430:8, 27446:13, 27447:2, 27451:1</p> <p><b>follows</b> [1] - 27306:22</p> <p><b>forced</b> [2] - 27280:24, 27455:23</p> <p><b>foregoing</b> [1] - 27458:4</p> <p><b>forensic</b> [2] - 27342:9, 27391:16</p> <p><b>forgery</b> [1] - 27257:12</p> <p><b>form</b> [3] - 27314:5, 27371:12, 27405:22</p> <p><b>formal</b> [2] - 27300:7, 27362:19</p> <p><b>formed</b> [1] - 27287:16</p> <p><b>forth</b> [3] - 27303:25, 27331:13, 27356:17</p> <p><b>forward</b> [46] - 27211:12, 27212:20, 27212:25, 27228:12, 27232:22, 27240:12, 27270:10, 27273:14, 27278:18, 27282:19, 27305:5, 27314:8, 27320:1, 27321:9, 27321:25, 27336:15, 27339:22, 27341:17, 27342:5, 27342:25, 27343:24, 27346:5, 27346:21, 27346:24, 27347:20, 27350:19, 27351:1, 27351:24, 27352:4, 27353:3, 27355:17, 27372:18, 27373:11, 27373:16, 27374:21, 27379:1, 27392:25, 27396:4, 27397:15, 27422:17, 27423:5, 27424:13, 27425:11, 27426:14, 27427:18, 27428:10</p> <p><b>four</b> [5] - 27268:16, 27334:22, 27345:10, 27403:3, 27403:11</p> <p><b>fourth</b> [3] - 27302:10, 27302:18, 27347:20</p> <p><b>frail</b> [1] - 27272:19</p> <p><b>frame</b> [10] - 27209:17, 27213:14, 27213:18, 27213:24, 27214:9, 27241:11, 27258:23, 27289:24, 27382:17,</p>	<p>27420:17</p> <p><b>framed</b> [6] - 27213:9, 27300:7, 27306:22, 27347:2, 27355:22, 27418:12</p> <p><b>frames</b> [1] - 27384:17</p> <p><b>frameworks</b> [1] - 27271:18</p> <p><b>framing</b> [4] - 27290:23, 27295:18, 27319:1</p> <p><b>Frank</b>[4] - 27343:13, 27390:11, 27428:15, 27439:23</p> <p><b>frankly</b> [6] - 27215:22, 27216:2, 27229:15, 27282:14, 27420:8, 27421:15</p> <p><b>Fraser</b>[1] - 27254:13</p> <p><b>Frater</b>[10] - 27331:2, 27332:24, 27335:25, 27356:3, 27356:4, 27379:6, 27389:9, 27409:24, 27410:18, 27429:12</p> <p><b>fraud</b> [1] - 27257:13</p> <p><b>Fraye</b>[3] - 27205:11, 27455:15, 27457:4</p> <p><b>Fred</b>[2] - 27391:8, 27405:13</p> <p><b>free</b> [7] - 27236:10, 27264:19, 27280:22, 27351:1, 27353:4, 27400:24, 27450:12</p> <p><b>freed</b> [1] - 27450:8</p> <p><b>frequently</b> [1] - 27256:19</p> <p><b>fresh</b> [5] - 27313:21, 27438:19, 27439:19, 27444:17, 27445:1</p> <p><b>friends</b> [1] - 27251:10</p> <p><b>frightening</b> [1] - 27453:21</p> <p><b>fringes</b> [1] - 27281:13</p> <p><b>front</b> [5] - 27235:15, 27247:23, 27262:5, 27263:12, 27453:9</p> <p><b>front-page</b> [1] - 27235:15</p> <p><b>frozen</b> [3] - 27258:18, 27342:8, 27342:18</p> <p><b>fruitful</b> [1] - 27309:22</p> <p><b>frustration</b> [1] - 27299:21</p> <p><b>full</b> [13] - 27221:25, 27264:25, 27265:5, 27268:16, 27313:13, 27313:22, 27325:25, 27328:14, 27358:22, 27359:5, 27359:12, 27363:15, 27380:8</p>
--	---	---	--	---



<p><b>fully</b> [2] - 27237:3, 27277:9</p> <p><b>fulsome</b> [1] - 27267:19</p> <p><b>fundamentally</b> [1] - 27254:6</p> <p><b>fuss</b> [1] - 27236:11</p>	<p><b>gentleman</b> [1] - 27359:19</p> <p><b>Geographic</b> [1] - 27284:1</p> <p><b>George</b> [3] - 27373:10, 27373:12, 27374:12</p> <p><b>gesture</b> [1] - 27251:15</p> <p><b>Gibson</b> [1] - 27205:10</p> <p><b>Given</b> [2] - 27313:19, 27445:21</p> <p><b>given</b> [22] - 27220:6, 27221:15, 27222:16, 27230:6, 27255:10, 27255:15, 27277:14, 27297:16, 27303:8, 27312:25, 27324:7, 27324:18, 27326:2, 27330:9, 27351:7, 27353:5, 27365:18, 27366:8, 27369:9, 27398:25, 27413:6, 27449:2</p> <p><b>gleaned</b> [1] - 27424:6</p> <p><b>Globe</b> [6] - 27211:2, 27218:4, 27235:16, 27262:4, 27262:24, 27453:15</p> <p><b>glory</b> [1] - 27454:6</p> <p><b>gloves</b> [1] - 27456:16</p> <p><b>gonna</b> [12] - 27236:22, 27242:1, 27280:12, 27282:19, 27284:23, 27291:18, 27371:3, 27380:15, 27381:20, 27383:13, 27388:24, 27389:6</p> <p><b>gordge's</b> [1] - 27329:24</p> <p><b>gotta</b> [2] - 27276:17, 27282:22</p> <p><b>Gotta</b> [1] - 27282:22</p> <p><b>governed</b> [1] - 27399:11</p> <p><b>Government</b> [7] - 27205:4, 27363:20, 27430:10, 27433:16, 27445:19, 27447:16, 27453:10</p> <p><b>government</b> [5] - 27214:22, 27354:3, 27354:4, 27431:16, 27446:15</p> <p><b>government's</b> [1] - 27453:7</p> <p><b>Governments</b> [1] - 27313:13</p> <p><b>Gowling</b> [1] - 27362:9</p> <p><b>grabbed</b> [1] - 27216:24</p> <p><b>Graham</b> [1] - 27223:21</p> <p><b>grand</b> [2] - 27251:15, 27450:6</p>	<p><b>grant</b> [1] - 27401:3</p> <p><b>granted</b> [7] - 27320:21, 27321:7, 27328:5, 27361:14, 27378:17, 27398:7, 27398:14</p> <p><b>granting</b> [3] - 27287:12, 27310:24, 27445:10</p> <p><b>great</b> [6] - 27256:5, 27261:6, 27267:2, 27312:25, 27342:10, 27419:22</p> <p><b>greater</b> [1] - 27315:20</p> <p><b>Greenberg</b> [1] - 27429:21</p> <p><b>ground</b> [6] - 27211:12, 27211:23, 27248:4, 27344:17, 27346:4, 27350:19</p> <p><b>grounds</b> [10] - 27214:7, 27321:9, 27340:10, 27342:1, 27342:5, 27349:20, 27390:2, 27424:12, 27425:11, 27439:19</p> <p><b>group</b> [14] - 27211:11, 27236:4, 27236:13, 27236:16, 27240:14, 27278:17, 27289:3, 27290:15, 27290:17, 27299:17, 27330:8, 27333:4, 27333:18, 27406:11</p> <p><b>grouping</b> [1] - 27384:13</p> <p><b>growing</b> [4] - 27243:21, 27326:3, 27326:8, 27356:25</p> <p><b>grown</b> [1] - 27236:4</p> <p><b>guard</b> [1] - 27386:5</p> <p><b>guess</b> [15] - 27243:25, 27253:15, 27264:5, 27285:22, 27286:23, 27306:19, 27320:3, 27320:15, 27324:2, 27350:10, 27408:23, 27422:2, 27436:3, 27450:12, 27453:6</p> <p><b>guessing</b> [1] - 27455:4</p> <p><b>guided</b> [1] - 27456:11</p> <p><b>guilt</b> [8] - 27248:23, 27292:20, 27401:10, 27437:14, 27437:19, 27438:10, 27444:21, 27446:22</p> <p><b>guilty</b> [14] - 27219:11, 27276:7, 27429:19, 27433:13, 27445:8, 27446:18, 27447:6, 27448:6, 27448:17, 27449:10, 27449:13, 27454:11, 27454:23</p>	<p><b>gun</b> [2] - 27405:7, 27415:1</p> <p><b>Gunn</b> [3] - 27220:13, 27327:6, 27327:9</p> <p><b>Gus</b> [3] - 27208:8, 27209:22</p> <p><b>guys</b> [1] - 27456:15</p>	<p>27333:16, 27336:24, 27337:1, 27378:8, 27386:2, 27390:9, 27395:18, 27399:3, 27447:17</p> <p><b>heard</b> [23] - 27208:17, 27209:21, 27217:5, 27234:24, 27241:23, 27249:11, 27251:19, 27257:7, 27258:12, 27268:19, 27275:25, 27288:2, 27361:6, 27361:22, 27379:13, 27389:5, 27409:9, 27413:23, 27416:16, 27437:10, 27440:4, 27442:14, 27451:18</p> <p><b>hearing</b> [27] - 27265:1, 27268:2, 27268:4, 27307:11, 27313:16, 27318:7, 27318:8, 27320:4, 27331:22, 27335:14, 27337:3, 27340:13, 27353:24, 27361:4, 27362:19, 27363:8, 27372:6, 27385:16, 27385:18, 27387:9, 27392:12, 27406:21, 27413:20, 27414:2, 27416:18, 27416:21, 27441:10</p> <p><b>hearings</b> [2] - 27323:25, 27403:22</p> <p><b>height</b> [1] - 27450:3</p> <p><b>held</b> [3] - 27215:16, 27232:21, 27425:15</p> <p><b>help</b> [1] - 27229:3</p> <p><b>helpful</b> [2] - 27263:10, 27287:15</p> <p><b>helping</b> [1] - 27324:3</p> <p><b>Henderson</b> [18] - 27230:6, 27250:17, 27256:3, 27282:17, 27346:3, 27355:19, 27355:24, 27364:4, 27364:6, 27374:6, 27374:7, 27377:17, 27377:24, 27379:7, 27412:19, 27412:21, 27418:11, 27422:7</p> <p><b>Henderson's</b> [1] - 27257:19</p> <p><b>Henry</b> [1] - 27362:9</p> <p><b>hereby</b> [1] - 27458:4</p> <p><b>herein</b> [1] - 27458:6</p> <p><b>heroin</b> [1] - 27281:8</p> <p><b>Hersh</b> [10] - 27205:2, 27219:18, 27247:15, 27290:18, 27290:19, 27330:11, 27357:14,</p>
<b>G</b>			<b>H</b>	
<p><b>Gail</b> [20] - 27214:23, 27218:12, 27218:13, 27251:6, 27251:16, 27292:18, 27318:18, 27329:3, 27389:15, 27401:1, 27432:1, 27433:2, 27437:22, 27437:25, 27441:8, 27442:9, 27444:5, 27444:17, 27446:5, 27452:25</p> <p><b>galvanized</b> [1] - 27271:4</p> <p><b>galvanizing</b> [1] - 27239:24</p> <p><b>game</b> [2] - 27297:19, 27406:19</p> <p><b>game-plan</b> [1] - 27406:19</p> <p><b>gaps</b> [1] - 27324:25</p> <p><b>Garrett</b> [1] - 27205:7</p> <p><b>gas</b> [1] - 27450:20</p> <p><b>gathered</b> [2] - 27345:8, 27387:18</p> <p><b>gathering</b> [2] - 27259:9, 27344:12</p> <p><b>geared</b> [1] - 27239:23</p> <p><b>General</b> [9] - 27328:19, 27353:21, 27354:9, 27357:17, 27362:10, 27398:17, 27441:25, 27445:5, 27445:14</p> <p><b>general</b> [19] - 27242:7, 27266:24, 27270:11, 27270:13, 27288:24, 27301:18, 27302:6, 27312:4, 27328:14, 27340:16, 27349:20, 27351:10, 27362:4, 27379:24, 27385:9, 27419:18, 27421:1, 27453:12, 27456:5</p> <p><b>Generafs</b> [1] - 27418:3</p> <p><b>Generally</b> [2] - 27301:10, 27396:5</p> <p><b>generally</b> [4] - 27242:16, 27350:18, 27353:17, 27414:12</p> <p><b>Generals</b> [1] - 27419:9</p> <p><b>genie</b> [1] - 27275:19</p>			<p><b>hair</b> [2] - 27269:5, 27279:22</p> <p><b>hair-trigger</b> [1] - 27269:5</p> <p><b>hairs</b> [2] - 27280:1, 27280:6</p> <p><b>half</b> [2] - 27260:18, 27455:12</p> <p><b>Hall</b> [15] - 27250:23, 27251:20, 27252:6, 27252:12, 27252:24, 27253:1, 27253:3, 27253:11, 27254:3, 27255:23, 27340:1, 27343:13, 27390:10, 27428:14, 27439:23</p> <p><b>Half's</b> [1] - 27252:4</p> <p><b>hampered</b> [1] - 27312:6</p> <p><b>hand</b> [4] - 27227:21, 27283:12, 27375:19, 27440:23</p> <p><b>handed</b> [2] - 27257:10, 27323:8</p> <p><b>handle</b> [2] - 27386:12, 27454:1</p> <p><b>handled</b> [2] - 27418:18, 27425:14</p> <p><b>handling</b> [1] - 27418:13</p> <p><b>hands</b> [1] - 27244:6</p> <p><b>handwritten</b> [1] - 27416:14</p> <p><b>handy</b> [1] - 27211:5</p> <p><b>happy</b> [2] - 27244:25, 27450:10</p> <p><b>hard</b> [1] - 27439:9</p> <p><b>harmful</b> [1] - 27304:5</p> <p><b>Harris</b> [4] - 27409:13, 27409:16, 27439:24</p> <p><b>haul</b> [1] - 27392:8</p> <p><b>head</b> [1] - 27355:9</p> <p><b>headed</b> [1] - 27386:20</p> <p><b>heading</b> [1] - 27336:22</p> <p><b>health</b> [3] - 27237:11, 27237:13, 27291:23</p> <p><b>healthy</b> [1] - 27410:24</p> <p><b>hear</b> [16] - 27254:21, 27283:14, 27283:25, 27289:18, 27295:19, 27297:9, 27298:24,</p>	



27388:15, 27390:6, 27454:8 <b>hesitate</b> [2] - 27269:23, 27428:24 <b>hide</b> [1] - 27420:1 <b>high</b> [2] - 27275:7, 27419:20 <b>higher</b> [1] - 27314:14 <b>highlighted</b> [1] - 27443:21 <b>highly</b> [3] - 27244:1, 27426:21, 27428:4 <b>Hill</b> [1] - 27291:15 <b>him</b> [1] - 27374:14 <b>himself</b> [4] - 27281:2, 27281:25, 27286:4, 27455:1 <b>Hinz</b> [3] - 27204:9, 27458:2, 27458:13 <b>hippie</b> [1] - 27281:13 <b>hire</b> [1] - 27367:18 <b>hit</b> [1] - 27403:25 <b>hoc</b> [2] - 27399:2, 27399:4 <b>Hodson</b> [41] - 27204:2, 27206:4, 27207:6, 27225:5, 27225:7, 27225:10, 27225:12, 27267:3, 27272:15, 27277:1, 27282:11, 27298:3, 27338:2, 27338:25, 27344:25, 27351:6, 27352:19, 27353:17, 27368:8, 27370:13, 27381:3, 27402:16, 27402:20, 27413:16, 27414:11, 27414:16, 27414:21, 27415:6, 27415:12, 27415:16, 27415:17, 27416:7, 27416:9, 27438:16, 27439:14, 27440:13, 27441:15, 27448:1, 27448:21, 27455:9, 27457:3 <b>hold</b> [2] - 27309:19, 27385:19 <b>home</b> [1] - 27280:23 <b>home</b> [1] - 27376:1 <b>Hon</b> [1] - 27205:12 <b>Honourable</b> [2] - 27203:6, 27453:4 <b>hope</b> [6] - 27212:5, 27215:12, 27246:23, 27246:24, 27247:1, 27392:17 <b>hoped</b> [2] - 27272:16, 27272:20 <b>hopeful</b> [1] - 27338:15 <b>hopefully</b> [1] -	27455:12 <b>horns</b> [1] - 27442:2 <b>horrendously</b> [3] - 27375:23, 27376:4, 27376:24 <b>hose</b> [1] - 27283:8 <b>Hotel</b> [1] - 27203:16 <b>hotel</b> [1] - 27236:17 <b>hour</b> [2] - 27339:6, 27455:12 <b>huge</b> [3] - 27243:6, 27328:25, 27420:12 <b>Hugh</b> [1] - 27204:11 <b>human</b> [6] - 27272:19, 27276:4, 27280:3, 27280:6, 27371:13, 27371:17 <b>human-based</b> [1] - 27272:19 <b>humans</b> [1] - 27270:16 <b>hunting</b> [1] - 27425:14 <b>hurt</b> [1] - 27227:14 <b>hypnosis</b> [7] - 27298:20, 27301:3, 27301:9, 27309:21, 27310:3, 27310:10, 27310:14 <b>hypnotism</b> [2] - 27391:18, 27391:19 <b>hypnotized</b> [2] - 27297:2, 27301:6 <b>hypothesize</b> [1] - 27371:11 <b>hypothetically</b> [1] - 27215:14	<b>I</b>	<b>identity</b> [3] - 27224:3, 27230:16, 27411:4 <b>ignored</b> [1] - 27266:19 <b>ill</b> [3] - 27291:23, 27333:22, 27390:13 <b>illegal</b> [1] - 27281:16 <b>illness</b> [1] - 27334:5 <b>images</b> [1] - 27240:6 <b>immediately</b> [2] - 27385:15, 27387:9 <b>impact</b> [3] - 27252:12, 27252:16, 27446:6 <b>impacts</b> [1] - 27384:18 <b>impede</b> [2] - 27244:12, 27246:3 <b>imperative</b> [1] - 27378:8 <b>implausible</b> [1] - 27453:25 <b>implicate</b> [5] - 27280:25, 27295:10, 27295:11, 27404:4, 27444:4 <b>implicates</b> [2] - 27295:9, 27444:16 <b>implicating</b> [1] - 27281:1 <b>implication</b> [2] - 27233:21, 27452:20 <b>implicit</b> [1] - 27230:24 <b>implored</b> [1] - 27236:9 <b>imply</b> [1] - 27448:9 <b>import</b> [2] - 27370:10, 27370:15 <b>importance</b> [1] - 27324:8 <b>important</b> [5] - 27215:21, 27247:15, 27256:5, 27327:3, 27423:14 <b>importantly</b> [3] - 27438:25, 27439:7, 27439:24 <b>imposed</b> [4] - 27426:13, 27427:7, 27428:3, 27445:12 <b>impossibility</b> [1] - 27347:21 <b>impression</b> [1] - 27258:9 <b>improper</b> [5] - 27325:23, 27349:11, 27426:21, 27427:3, 27435:25 <b>improperly</b> [4] - 27432:25, 27435:7, 27435:15, 27436:14 <b>inadequate</b> [2] - 27433:5, 27436:24 <b>inappropriate</b> [2] -	27443:8, 27443:14 <b>incarceration</b> [1] - 27454:24 <b>inch</b> [2] - 27299:17 <b>incident</b> [4] - 27240:15, 27313:16, 27421:2, 27429:2 <b>incidents</b> [1] - 27220:3 <b>include</b> [3] - 27307:12, 27318:25, 27337:16 <b>included</b> [4] - 27231:8, 27317:18, 27346:13, 27347:5 <b>including</b> [3] - 27273:7, 27279:14, 27349:2 <b>incomplete</b> [1] - 27342:21 <b>incongruous</b> [1] - 27433:25 <b>inconsistent</b> [2] - 27253:7, 27253:8 <b>incriminated</b> [1] - 27426:24 <b>incriminating</b> [1] - 27427:14 <b>inculpatory</b> [1] - 27371:1 <b>indeed</b> [3] - 27433:17, 27437:20, 27456:18 <b>Indeed</b> [2] - 27315:16, 27325:22 <b>independent</b> [2] - 27277:9, 27284:19 <b>independent-minded</b> [1] - 27284:19 <b>Index</b> [1] - 27206:1 <b>indicate</b> [2] - 27303:25, 27378:19 <b>indicated</b> [9] - 27236:20, 27237:21, 27305:13, 27338:4, 27338:7, 27353:5, 27379:19, 27386:1, 27412:17 <b>indicates</b> [1] - 27390:13 <b>indicating</b> [1] - 27383:18 <b>indication</b> [3] - 27242:2, 27243:10, 27338:16 <b>indirectly</b> [1] - 27236:8 <b>individual</b> [2] - 27393:11, 27425:1 <b>individuals</b> [6] - 27232:4, 27232:20, 27233:19, 27305:17, 27405:18, 27455:3 <b>induced</b> [1] - 27344:21 <b>influence</b> [8] - 27239:25, 27264:9,	27265:4, 27265:5, 27271:5, 27346:13, 27404:24, 27428:19 <b>influenced</b> [4] - 27314:3, 27345:25, 27411:22, 27412:5 <b>influencing</b> [1] - 27346:17 <b>info</b> [1] - 27226:17 <b>inform</b> [1] - 27290:14 <b>informant</b> [7] - 27230:14, 27230:17, 27230:25, 27232:2, 27232:6, 27233:17, 27256:22 <b>informants</b> [1] - 27256:22 <b>information</b> [122] - 27208:12, 27208:25, 27209:2, 27209:13, 27210:10, 27212:1, 27212:18, 27212:24, 27212:25, 27213:13, 27215:22, 27219:18, 27219:24, 27220:6, 27220:7, 27220:8, 27221:4, 27221:12, 27221:16, 27222:3, 27222:13, 27222:24, 27223:13, 27224:13, 27227:3, 27227:6, 27227:20, 27229:3, 27230:10, 27230:13, 27234:22, 27235:1, 27239:20, 27242:23, 27248:7, 27252:5, 27259:1, 27259:9, 27259:12, 27259:22, 27261:4, 27262:9, 27263:3, 27263:4, 27263:10, 27263:14, 27263:22, 27263:24, 27273:4, 27273:13, 27277:14, 27278:16, 27278:19, 27284:7, 27286:20, 27286:25, 27287:8, 27287:21, 27288:6, 27288:13, 27289:7, 27289:10, 27289:12, 27289:22, 27290:14, 27290:16, 27290:17, 27290:18, 27291:17, 27293:18, 27297:13, 27298:20, 27299:23, 27300:1, 27300:4, 27300:10, 27306:7, 27331:4, 27337:9, 27339:25, 27340:1, 27341:21, 27342:9, 27349:21,
--	---	----------	---	---	--



<p>27350:7, 27352:7, 27355:16, 27366:8, 27373:11, 27375:14, 27375:15, 27376:9, 27376:11, 27376:12, 27376:13, 27378:9, 27380:1, 27382:1, 27387:13, 27387:18, 27392:1, 27398:20, 27404:22, 27408:17, 27409:9, 27417:20, 27421:17, 27422:11, 27423:22, 27424:5, 27424:11, 27424:16, 27424:20, 27425:12, 27434:8, 27436:7, 27437:17, 27441:5, 27441:7, 27441:12, 27441:20, 27455:19</p> <p><b>informative</b> [1] - 27287:14</p> <p><b>informed</b> [5] - 27219:22, 27290:3, 27338:3, 27366:4, 27388:4</p> <p><b>informing</b> [2] - 27239:24, 27246:14</p> <p><b>informs</b> [1] - 27378:4</p> <p><b>ingestion</b> [1] - 27393:14</p> <p><b>Inland</b> [1] - 27204:12</p> <p><b>innocence</b> [19] - 27313:9, 27340:3, 27369:13, 27376:14, 27377:1, 27377:6, 27401:19, 27431:8, 27432:16, 27437:14, 27442:22, 27444:22, 27448:3, 27448:9, 27448:17, 27448:18, 27450:7, 27450:16</p> <p><b>Innocence</b> [2] - 27448:18, 27450:16</p> <p><b>innocent</b> [12] - 27268:3, 27275:14, 27320:25, 27340:21, 27375:4, 27396:14, 27400:22, 27401:1, 27442:8, 27442:16, 27446:4, 27447:6</p> <p><b>input</b> [7] - 27284:15, 27311:19, 27319:1, 27382:21, 27384:11, 27395:9, 27423:4</p> <p><b>inquire</b> [1] - 27309:7</p> <p><b>inquiring</b> [1] - 27373:15</p> <p><b>inquiry</b> [10] - 27207:19, 27312:5, 27312:11, 27320:22, 27321:21, 27334:19, 27334:24,</p>	<p>27336:11, 27371:23, 27447:23</p> <p><b>Inquiry</b> [8] - 27203:2, 27203:23, 27360:19, 27399:10, 27409:17, 27436:4, 27448:14, 27449:21</p> <p><b>Insofar</b> [3] - 27230:10, 27305:8, 27315:8</p> <p><b>insofar</b> [1] - 27315:1</p> <p><b>Inspector</b> [6] - 27322:11, 27346:12, 27346:14, 27411:7, 27428:5, 27435:10</p> <p><b>instance</b> [2] - 27375:16, 27434:4</p> <p><b>instead</b> [1] - 27319:10</p> <p><b>institution</b> [2] - 27237:12, 27367:18</p> <p><b>instructed</b> [6] - 27291:15, 27408:3, 27408:7, 27409:1, 27411:16, 27433:12</p> <p><b>instructions</b> [1] - 27455:18</p> <p><b>insure</b> [1] - 27265:5</p> <p><b>intend</b> [3] - 27324:19, 27366:20, 27399:21</p> <p><b>intended</b> [2] - 27269:18, 27415:2</p> <p><b>intending</b> [1] - 27388:8</p> <p><b>interaction</b> [2] - 27287:18, 27444:8</p> <p><b>interest</b> [11] - 27211:20, 27239:11, 27266:1, 27325:16, 27326:3, 27326:8, 27419:7, 27445:23, 27456:5, 27456:6</p> <p><b>interested</b> [6] - 27259:21, 27280:22, 27333:11, 27411:4, 27413:4, 27413:14</p> <p><b>interesting</b> [1] - 27232:11</p> <p><b>interests</b> [2] - 27224:10, 27281:6</p> <p><b>interpret</b> [1] - 27432:5</p> <p><b>interpretation</b> [3] - 27234:7, 27253:11, 27453:6</p> <p><b>interpretations</b> [1] - 27439:17</p> <p><b>interpreted</b> [2] - 27239:9, 27342:19</p> <p><b>interpreting</b> [1] - 27434:23</p> <p><b>interrogation</b> [1] - 27309:14</p> <p><b>interrupting</b> [1] -</p>	<p>27354:2</p> <p><b>intervention</b> [1] - 27266:16</p> <p><b>Interventions</b> [1] - 27328:4</p> <p><b>interventions</b> [1] - 27328:7</p> <p><b>interview</b> [22] - 27250:9, 27305:2, 27315:5, 27315:25, 27317:7, 27317:17, 27329:21, 27339:2, 27346:15, 27361:19, 27362:1, 27364:7, 27364:15, 27364:16, 27371:24, 27372:1, 27372:3, 27373:7, 27377:13, 27379:7, 27379:12, 27414:1</p> <p><b>interviewed</b> [9] - 27208:8, 27251:7, 27260:12, 27279:13, 27306:2, 27325:1, 27377:20, 27379:14, 27435:15</p> <p><b>interviewing</b> [1] - 27259:17</p> <p><b>interviews</b> [12] - 27305:15, 27329:16, 27329:25, 27330:2, 27363:25, 27364:1, 27364:3, 27433:2, 27435:8, 27435:12, 27436:14, 27452:3</p> <p><b>intimately</b> [1] - 27330:20</p> <p><b>intriguing</b> [1] - 27232:4</p> <p><b>investigated</b> [1] - 27223:21</p> <p><b>investigating</b> [3] - 27217:13, 27217:20, 27333:10</p> <p><b>investigation</b> [20] - 27211:15, 27217:24, 27224:11, 27272:24, 27277:16, 27278:20, 27329:3, 27333:20, 27334:20, 27334:21, 27355:21, 27392:12, 27392:13, 27431:20, 27431:25, 27432:25, 27436:5, 27437:25, 27444:10, 27453:20</p> <p><b>investigative</b> [2] - 27375:16, 27428:1</p> <p><b>investigators</b> [2] - 27226:9, 27375:10</p> <p><b>invited</b> [2] - 27311:15, 27319:3</p> <p><b>invites</b> [1] - 27267:19</p>	<p><b>involve</b> [1] - 27214:24</p> <p><b>involved</b> [27] - 27211:20, 27213:4, 27214:14, 27214:18, 27215:1, 27215:24, 27254:12, 27259:18, 27281:7, 27281:15, 27330:13, 27330:20, 27358:22, 27376:21, 27418:3, 27420:9, 27420:13, 27420:23, 27421:4, 27421:10, 27421:12, 27423:3, 27452:25, 27453:11, 27453:23, 27455:3, 27455:5</p> <p><b>involvement</b> [2] - 27254:22, 27255:2</p> <p><b>involves</b> [1] - 27292:14</p> <p><b>involving</b> [4] - 27257:14, 27415:24, 27423:12, 27451:9</p> <p><b>Irene</b> [1] - 27204:8</p> <p><b>irrelevant</b> [2] - 27286:7, 27306:13</p> <p><b>irrespective</b> [2] - 27386:22, 27403:24</p> <p><b>Isabelle</b> [1] - 27204:4</p> <p><b>isolated</b> [1] - 27240:11</p> <p><b>issue</b> [73] - 27207:7, 27211:10, 27213:21, 27213:22, 27216:15, 27216:16, 27218:3, 27221:1, 27221:3, 27221:19, 27223:3, 27226:1, 27234:2, 27237:20, 27260:5, 27264:6, 27270:2, 27270:3, 27270:7, 27271:11, 27279:18, 27288:20, 27293:17, 27294:1, 27294:8, 27294:23, 27295:18, 27295:19, 27298:6, 27299:25, 27300:9, 27307:8, 27311:6, 27322:16, 27331:18, 27332:7, 27334:11, 27334:12, 27334:15, 27336:14, 27337:15, 27338:12, 27338:13, 27339:8, 27339:16, 27345:4, 27345:13, 27355:15, 27362:4, 27368:20, 27369:1, 27371:18, 27378:21, 27381:2, 27383:10, 27394:17, 27398:21, 27401:10, 27413:12, 27413:16, 27414:24,</p>	<p>27421:19, 27426:15, 27434:19, 27435:19, 27438:4, 27441:25, 27443:1, 27443:16, 27449:18, 27449:19</p> <p><b>issue-based</b> [1] - 27334:11</p> <p><b>issued</b> [2] - 27355:11, 27378:10</p> <p><b>issues</b> [45] - 27249:7, 27287:24, 27290:24, 27293:14, 27299:16, 27307:18, 27312:3, 27312:7, 27312:23, 27313:11, 27313:23, 27314:1, 27314:23, 27320:6, 27320:12, 27321:20, 27321:21, 27321:22, 27326:23, 27327:1, 27327:13, 27327:16, 27327:17, 27327:21, 27327:23, 27334:13, 27336:5, 27337:5, 27337:22, 27340:14, 27351:13, 27353:16, 27355:5, 27356:9, 27356:10, 27358:21, 27361:24, 27372:15, 27373:13, 27373:24, 27431:21, 27434:25, 27435:1, 27447:22</p> <p><b>It'll</b> [1] - 27307:14</p> <p><b>items</b> [5] - 27245:13, 27305:25, 27380:20, 27381:7, 27440:10</p> <p><b>itself</b> [11] - 27215:8, 27215:17, 27270:17, 27290:24, 27350:21, 27361:21, 27399:20, 27404:22, 27408:20, 27430:8, 27440:15</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>J</b></p> <p><b>jail</b> [3] - 27271:21, 27434:19, 27450:1</p> <p><b>jailhouse</b> [2] - 27256:21, 27256:22</p> <p><b>January</b>[18] - 27231:22, 27310:14, 27325:1, 27360:15, 27370:6, 27371:16, 27371:20, 27373:6, 27374:4, 27374:5, 27377:9, 27377:12, 27378:2, 27380:12, 27389:8, 27390:4, 27397:3, 27432:22</p>
---	---	---	---	---



<p><b>january</b> [1] - 27331:25  <b>Jason</b>[1] - 27204:12  <b>Jim</b>[2] - 27355:19, 27375:25  <b>Joanne</b>[1] - 27205:3  <b>job</b> [2] - 27323:8, 27419:13  <b>Jodie</b>[1] - 27204:5  <b>John</b>[38] - 27293:5, 27293:6, 27295:6, 27296:3, 27296:14, 27297:1, 27297:7, 27298:20, 27301:16, 27304:1, 27304:3, 27309:4, 27309:8, 27310:11, 27310:22, 27329:21, 27345:20, 27346:22, 27364:16, 27381:12, 27391:9, 27391:12, 27391:17, 27392:11, 27392:15, 27392:19, 27406:7, 27407:6, 27408:12, 27411:21, 27416:21, 27426:23, 27427:13, 27427:18, 27427:25, 27428:11, 27435:11, 27435:23  <b>Johrs</b> [6] - 27293:24, 27294:13, 27294:16, 27295:8, 27301:2, 27301:20  <b>joint</b> [1] - 27382:13  <b>joke</b> [7] - 27252:23, 27253:14, 27253:18, 27253:23, 27382:5, 27429:5  <b>journalist</b> [1] - 27210:14  <b>journalists</b> [1] - 27245:5  <b>Joyce</b>[17] - 27205:3, 27236:3, 27236:9, 27238:24, 27247:18, 27247:19, 27250:17, 27260:23, 27261:18, 27290:19, 27330:11, 27374:17, 27375:13, 27412:14, 27412:17, 27412:20, 27412:24  <b>Judge</b>[1] - 27398:4  <b>judged</b> [1] - 27269:3  <b>judgement</b> [5] - 27430:7, 27430:9, 27431:2, 27431:5, 27431:21  <b>judgment</b> [2] - 27436:2, 27445:13  <b>judicial</b> [8] - 27270:2, 27270:3, 27270:8,</p>	<p>27271:12, 27271:18, 27318:11, 27326:4, 27442:12  <b>judicially</b> [1] - 27399:21  <b>June</b>[4] - 27366:23, 27369:22, 27450:22, 27450:23  <b>jury</b> [12] - 27251:18, 27257:7, 27258:9, 27258:12, 27348:14, 27433:11, 27434:9, 27437:13, 27440:6, 27444:3, 27444:25, 27446:7  <b>jury's</b> [1] - 27444:21  <b>Justice</b>[150] - 27203:6, 27205:11, 27205:13, 27211:12, 27211:19, 27212:5, 27213:3, 27216:11, 27221:24, 27222:16, 27222:25, 27229:14, 27229:25, 27230:20, 27237:21, 27239:2, 27240:7, 27240:11, 27241:17, 27241:23, 27242:2, 27243:10, 27244:13, 27245:18, 27246:4, 27249:22, 27255:11, 27261:5, 27263:8, 27263:15, 27263:22, 27263:24, 27265:19, 27265:20, 27265:21, 27266:24, 27267:5, 27272:22, 27274:25, 27275:1, 27278:2, 27285:5, 27286:21, 27287:9, 27287:22, 27287:24, 27289:14, 27289:15, 27290:3, 27290:15, 27290:21, 27293:10, 27294:4, 27295:5, 27295:10, 27295:21, 27296:9, 27297:23, 27298:1, 27298:5, 27299:19, 27300:19, 27300:20, 27301:14, 27303:6, 27305:24, 27309:5, 27310:10, 27310:15, 27311:15, 27314:3, 27314:4, 27315:24, 27317:8, 27317:17, 27319:4, 27319:5, 27320:8, 27320:14, 27320:20, 27321:19, 27322:5, 27322:6, 27324:15, 27324:21, 27325:3, 27327:7, 27328:18, 27329:12,</p>	<p>27329:17, 27331:24, 27332:15, 27332:17, 27332:20, 27334:7, 27334:14, 27334:17, 27335:1, 27335:6, 27335:8, 27335:12, 27336:3, 27337:2, 27337:11, 27339:4, 27339:11, 27352:13, 27354:2, 27356:6, 27357:16, 27357:23, 27358:11, 27359:18, 27359:20, 27359:24, 27360:11, 27360:23, 27361:18, 27361:22, 27364:19, 27365:17, 27365:25, 27366:9, 27369:3, 27369:8, 27369:25, 27370:2, 27370:7, 27371:25, 27378:1, 27378:5, 27378:22, 27379:12, 27384:8, 27386:1, 27388:24, 27394:14, 27397:14, 27413:5, 27413:15, 27418:13, 27430:12, 27431:7, 27434:2, 27442:1, 27445:10, 27449:12  <b>justice</b> [74] - 27211:14, 27211:24, 27215:6, 27216:5, 27216:6, 27216:10, 27272:19, 27306:21, 27307:1, 27312:10, 27318:20, 27320:16, 27320:23, 27321:2, 27321:5, 27321:10, 27334:25, 27336:15, 27339:20, 27339:24, 27340:4, 27340:11, 27340:16, 27340:20, 27340:22, 27341:2, 27341:8, 27342:2, 27342:6, 27342:17, 27342:25, 27343:2, 27343:5, 27343:14, 27343:18, 27343:25, 27344:7, 27345:22, 27346:21, 27347:7, 27347:16, 27347:24, 27348:2, 27348:8, 27349:8, 27349:25, 27350:6, 27350:11, 27351:3, 27351:4, 27351:23, 27352:3, 27358:3, 27396:8, 27396:24, 27400:23, 27401:3, 27401:8, 27401:24, 27412:2, 27412:6, 27422:14, 27423:16,</p>	<p>27424:13, 27424:18, 27426:5, 27427:23, 27428:10, 27430:2, 27434:1, 27434:7, 27434:9, 27444:24, 27445:25  <b>Justicés</b> [2] - 27265:24, 27352:9  <b>justify</b> [1] - 27437:21  <b>juxtaposition</b> [1] - 27240:2</p>	<p><b>knife</b> [1] - 27425:15  <b>knowing</b> [3] - 27273:10, 27370:18, 27385:10  <b>knowingly</b> [1] - 27347:12  <b>knowledge</b> [6] - 27220:23, 27221:2, 27286:8, 27286:21, 27287:21, 27458:6  <b>known</b> [5] - 27236:11, 27249:14, 27291:14, 27404:17, 27418:22  <b>knows</b> [1] - 27264:25  <b>knows'</b> [1] - 27368:11  <b>Knox</b>[2] - 27205:5, 27455:17  <b>Krogan</b>[1] - 27205:4  <b>Kujawa</b>[16] - 27205:7, 27214:17, 27269:24, 27269:25, 27355:6, 27418:18, 27418:25, 27419:15, 27421:16, 27422:5, 27451:9, 27451:13, 27451:20, 27451:23, 27452:4, 27455:7  <b>Kujawa's</b> [1] - 27451:18</p>
<b>K</b>				<b>L</b>
<p><b>Kara</b>[1] - 27204:4  <b>Karen</b> [3] - 27204:9, 27458:2, 27458:13  <b>Karst</b>[9] - 27205:9, 27259:2, 27273:19, 27274:2, 27274:7, 27275:10, 27334:1, 27390:10, 27435:10  <b>keen</b> [1] - 27352:14  <b>keenly</b> [1] - 27330:19  <b>keep</b> [7] - 27235:10, 27244:2, 27247:7, 27256:7, 27309:4, 27446:22, 27456:25  <b>Ken</b>[1] - 27371:20  <b>Kendry</b>[1] - 27204:5  <b>Kennedy</b>[2] - 27205:6, 27455:18  <b>Kenneth</b>[1] - 27429:20  <b>kept</b> [4] - 27215:15, 27266:20, 27356:25, 27419:3  <b>key</b> [6] - 27259:18, 27260:23, 27282:11, 27392:14, 27407:21, 27438:20  <b>Key</b>[1] - 27406:25  <b>kill</b> [1] - 27416:22  <b>killed</b> [1] - 27218:7  <b>killer</b> [9] - 27214:7, 27216:8, 27273:21, 27273:24, 27274:3, 27274:12, 27275:11, 27275:15, 27348:7  <b>Kim</b>[12] - 27220:14, 27235:20, 27254:16, 27264:3, 27278:25, 27325:7, 27375:17, 27390:19, 27410:1, 27410:10, 27410:14, 27412:9  <b>kind</b> [5] - 27215:18, 27220:10, 27247:4, 27250:1, 27351:7  <b>kinds</b> [1] - 27337:17</p>	<p><b>lab</b> [5] - 27366:2, 27367:19, 27367:22, 27391:24, 27393:10  <b>Laboratory</b>[1] - 27279:24  <b>lack</b> [8] - 27252:19, 27269:16, 27355:3, 27423:13, 27424:14, 27425:17, 27425:21, 27426:3  <b>lady</b> [1] - 27251:20  <b>Laing</b>[2] - 27226:16, 27226:20  <b>Lamer</b>[13] - 27320:7, 27320:8, 27324:15, 27331:24, 27359:20, 27360:11, 27360:23, 27378:1, 27378:22, 27384:8, 27386:1, 27394:14, 27397:14  <b>Lana</b>[1] - 27205:4  <b>Lapchuk</b>[23] - 27252:8, 27252:15, 27252:22, 27252:25, 27253:5, 27253:6, 27253:7, 27253:25, 27254:5, 27256:15, 27257:11, 27257:20, 27343:1, 27344:16, 27344:20,</p>			



27345:5, 27345:9, 27345:14, 27347:4, 27373:12, 27373:14, 27373:25, 27374:12 <b>Lapchuks</b> [1] - 27373:10 <b>large</b> [6] - 27273:21, 27273:24, 27274:3, 27291:13, 27291:14, 27328:23 <b>larger</b> [2] - 27450:13, 27450:14 <b>Larry</b> [43] - 27204:13, 27208:19, 27209:15, 27212:16, 27212:19, 27213:11, 27224:18, 27227:18, 27228:5, 27232:12, 27248:19, 27249:9, 27284:5, 27292:16, 27294:14, 27295:12, 27295:18, 27300:1, 27300:11, 27324:6, 27341:13, 27341:22, 27347:11, 27348:5, 27348:13, 27349:19, 27359:1, 27361:10, 27362:15, 27390:21, 27418:19, 27425:3, 27425:8, 27425:10, 27429:16, 27429:18, 27430:2, 27437:16, 27439:1, 27439:25, 27440:24, 27454:7, 27454:24 <b>last</b> [16] - 27256:9, 27256:19, 27260:19, 27277:21, 27278:9, 27314:19, 27315:3, 27338:9, 27339:21, 27347:1, 27349:17, 27388:12, 27403:13, 27408:6, 27456:10 <b>lastly</b> [1] - 27348:5 <b>Late</b> [1] - 27450:23 <b>late</b> [3] - 27245:5, 27390:22, 27413:24 <b>laterally</b> [1] - 27237:4 <b>latest</b> [1] - 27359:24 <b>latitude</b> [1] - 27312:20 <b>latter</b> [4] - 27223:8, 27438:7, 27445:12, 27448:9 <b>Launa</b> [6] - 27324:23, 27373:5, 27374:11, 27376:16, 27377:10 <b>law</b> [7] - 27247:24, 27249:24, 27290:24, 27433:8, 27448:10, 27448:16 <b>Lawrence</b> [1] - 27429:21	<b>lawyer</b> [4] - 27356:7, 27395:6, 27406:22, 27453:24 <b>lawyers</b> [4] - 27352:13, 27397:20, 27398:5, 27406:19 <b>lead</b> [4] - 27217:2, 27323:22, 27373:1, 27453:9 <b>leading</b> [4] - 27230:1, 27241:12, 27385:18, 27388:19 <b>leak</b> [1] - 27247:4 <b>leaked</b> [1] - 27226:12 <b>learn</b> [2] - 27261:24, 27289:25 <b>learned</b> [4] - 27219:6, 27260:2, 27262:8, 27349:15 <b>learning</b> [6] - 27259:8, 27380:14, 27382:6, 27384:2, 27392:1 <b>leash</b> [1] - 27330:16 <b>least</b> [27] - 27209:24, 27210:3, 27219:23, 27220:22, 27220:23, 27235:16, 27244:21, 27248:8, 27320:20, 27321:7, 27322:9, 27342:13, 27344:18, 27347:17, 27358:17, 27362:25, 27363:5, 27364:13, 27365:12, 27369:14, 27371:18, 27372:24, 27384:21, 27418:10, 27428:10, 27454:2, 27456:24 <b>leave</b> [3] - 27258:8, 27277:20, 27401:4 <b>leaving</b> [1] - 27231:19 <b>led</b> [7] - 27293:9, 27348:15, 27408:18, 27426:22, 27438:25, 27439:8, 27439:25 <b>left</b> [11] - 27207:7, 27276:6, 27307:19, 27313:6, 27316:13, 27381:9, 27417:13, 27431:7, 27431:21, 27450:21, 27455:11 <b>legal</b> [8] - 27238:13, 27238:16, 27249:23, 27271:19, 27335:13, 27357:7, 27389:21, 27447:7 <b>legitimacy</b> [1] - 27272:23 <b>lend</b> [1] - 27396:9 <b>length</b> [1] - 27208:22 <b>lengthy</b> [4] - 27225:10,	27384:7, 27453:3, 27453:5 <b>less</b> [1] - 27387:17 <b>letter</b> [72] - 27210:25, 27212:23, 27220:13, 27220:14, 27220:23, 27225:15, 27226:25, 27229:4, 27231:6, 27231:23, 27233:24, 27248:6, 27248:10, 27248:25, 27249:6, 27249:16, 27277:3, 27292:4, 27292:7, 27299:3, 27303:23, 27304:20, 27308:22, 27309:5, 27315:3, 27316:7, 27317:25, 27318:2, 27319:7, 27326:17, 27327:6, 27356:3, 27361:9, 27361:16, 27362:8, 27363:4, 27363:13, 27364:23, 27364:24, 27365:1, 27365:14, 27365:21, 27371:20, 27377:9, 27378:1, 27378:23, 27383:9, 27384:7, 27389:8, 27390:5, 27390:19, 27391:7, 27392:9, 27393:9, 27393:21, 27394:8, 27402:4, 27403:1, 27409:23, 27410:11, 27410:18, 27417:7, 27418:7, 27419:6, 27420:3, 27420:16, 27421:20, 27421:21, 27421:24, 27422:2, 27429:11, 27453:3 <b>letters</b> [4] - 27220:15, 27221:5, 27225:4, 27356:12 <b>level</b> [1] - 27268:10 <b>liars</b> [2] - 27252:8, 27254:1 <b>liberty</b> [2] - 27230:15, 27315:15 <b>lie</b> [2] - 27280:15, 27304:8 <b>lied</b> [8] - 27252:15, 27280:19, 27282:21, 27315:20, 27343:1, 27344:20, 27345:23, 27373:12 <b>lied'</b> [1] - 27374:11 <b>Lieutenant</b> [1] - 27410:21 <b>life</b> [2] - 27268:15, 27388:12	<b>lifestyle</b> [2] - 27256:2, 27281:15 <b>light</b> [15] - 27249:3, 27269:24, 27291:7, 27293:24, 27296:5, 27296:20, 27315:19, 27377:20, 27382:1, 27411:8, 27412:20, 27439:2, 27440:1, 27443:7, 27446:8 <b>lightest</b> [1] - 27257:9 <b>lights</b> [1] - 27263:15 <b>likely</b> [6] - 27207:15, 27214:23, 27221:4, 27243:11, 27266:15, 27358:5 <b>limbo</b> [3] - 27431:8, 27447:7 <b>limit</b> [1] - 27313:11 <b>limitation</b> [2] - 27353:11, 27426:13 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 27337:14 <b>limited</b> [1] - 27336:14 <b>limits</b> [4] - 27337:12, 27360:14, 27360:23, 27397:15 <b>line</b> [1] - 27229:5 <b>lines</b> [1] - 27407:1 <b>link</b> [1] - 27228:8 <b>lion</b> [1] - 27246:8 <b>list</b> [11] - 27306:23, 27327:1, 27327:21, 27332:10, 27332:18, 27332:25, 27354:16, 27378:6, 27383:13, 27408:20, 27456:19 <b>listed</b> [3] - 27317:22, 27397:7, 27424:2 <b>listen</b> [1] - 27340:10 <b>listing</b> [1] - 27330:7 <b>lists</b> [3] - 27332:15, 27335:8, 27390:7 <b>literally</b> [1] - 27452:5 <b>literature</b> [1] - 27405:15 <b>live</b> [1] - 27371:18 <b>loaded</b> [2] - 27415:2, 27415:4 <b>loaded'</b> [1] - 27280:24 <b>lobby</b> [2] - 27265:11, 27291:9 <b>lobbying</b> [1] - 27265:2 <b>local</b> [1] - 27251:4 <b>located</b> [3] - 27220:16, 27220:25, 27251:20 <b>locations</b> [1] - 27444:19 <b>locked</b> [1] - 27442:2 <b>logistical</b> [1] - 27327:13 <b>Look</b> [2] - 27388:13, 27450:3	<b>look</b> [24] - 27218:14, 27225:20, 27227:18, 27237:20, 27242:10, 27252:18, 27281:22, 27283:5, 27284:17, 27293:23, 27294:6, 27294:24, 27296:2, 27296:10, 27311:9, 27314:18, 27339:19, 27347:25, 27354:23, 27377:4, 27389:21, 27405:7, 27449:2, 27452:6 <b>looked</b> [4] - 27241:24, 27283:20, 27297:6, 27422:20 <b>looking</b> [16] - 27208:9, 27208:18, 27217:16, 27217:19, 27217:25, 27224:21, 27295:11, 27306:7, 27311:8, 27333:17, 27367:1, 27373:19, 27383:2, 27436:5, 27448:25 <b>lookit</b> [27] - 27230:25, 27234:2, 27242:3, 27244:10, 27249:8, 27260:13, 27261:19, 27262:11, 27263:2, 27263:9, 27265:10, 27266:25, 27267:10, 27268:20, 27270:23, 27276:3, 27291:11, 27294:5, 27309:5, 27340:2, 27347:22, 27352:24, 27360:18, 27383:12, 27389:24, 27425:11, 27446:16 <b>Looks</b> [1] - 27384:24 <b>looks</b> [11] - 27245:11, 27258:24, 27260:1, 27304:18, 27317:12, 27384:16, 27390:16, 27393:21, 27424:9, 27429:7, 27447:3 <b>Loran</b> [1] - 27205:8 <b>lose</b> [3] - 27244:7, 27270:4, 27378:11 <b>losing</b> [1] - 27385:12 <b>lost</b> [2] - 27219:15, 27413:7 <b>lower</b> [1] - 27249:17 <b>Loyalties</b> [1] - 27281:20 <b>Lsd</b> [1] - 27281:9 <b>lucidity</b> [1] - 27385:12 <b>lunch</b> [1] - 27339:6 <b>luxury</b> [2] - 27449:5, 27450:13 <b>lyers</b> [1] - 27251:24 <b>lying</b> [2] - 27253:2,
---	---	---	--	---



27254:2	<b>managed</b> [1] - 27246:22 <b>managing</b> [1] - 27382:19 <b>mandate</b> [1] - 27312:11 <b>manipulate</b> [1] - 27404:25 <b>manipulated</b> [3] - 27282:20, 27345:24, 27346:16 <b>Manitoba</b> [1] - 27366:11 <b>manner</b> [6] - 27304:3, 27311:25, 27345:8, 27354:5, 27409:18, 27428:20 <b>March</b> [11] - 27379:15, 27409:23, 27413:21, 27413:24, 27417:8, 27417:12, 27418:7, 27419:6, 27420:6, 27421:22, 27429:13 <b>march</b> [1] - 27417:2 <b>Marcoux</b> [1] - 27416:18 <b>Markesteyn</b> [12] - 27261:1, 27279:14, 27279:16, 27279:21, 27280:2, 27366:13, 27366:22, 27367:23, 27369:21, 27383:21, 27384:5, 27384:19 <b>Marshall</b> [7] - 27312:20, 27313:4, 27313:6, 27314:10, 27314:14, 27314:17, 27423:12 <b>Mary</b> [1] - 27416:18 <b>material</b> [9] - 27223:25, 27226:12, 27229:12, 27229:17, 27305:9, 27305:20, 27406:5, 27413:8, 27434:12 <b>materials</b> [10] - 27232:14, 27245:4, 27317:22, 27339:7, 27363:17, 27365:1, 27365:7, 27365:8, 27391:8, 27432:12 <b>matter</b> [30] - 27211:10, 27211:19, 27226:19, 27237:22, 27242:4, 27254:12, 27259:18, 27267:1, 27269:3, 27277:20, 27277:23, 27278:6, 27278:10, 27287:23, 27297:6, 27311:2, 27311:23, 27312:1, 27312:25, 27313:4, 27313:5, 27320:22, 27322:13, 27323:22, 27364:8, 27369:5, 27418:14,	27418:19, 27425:4, 27440:25 <b>matters</b> [15] - 27260:3, 27291:1, 27298:16, 27310:15, 27316:16, 27316:18, 27339:19, 27357:4, 27377:7, 27393:24, 27395:7, 27399:3, 27399:10, 27415:23, 27418:20 <b>Mccloskey</b> [5] - 27213:8, 27355:19, 27355:24, 27418:10, 27422:7 <b>Mckay</b> [1] - 27429:21 <b>Mclean</b> [2] - 27205:3, 27338:8 <b>me</b> [1] - 27281:23 <b>mean</b> [29] - 27239:10, 27245:17, 27260:22, 27263:1, 27263:21, 27268:5, 27272:4, 27281:24, 27282:11, 27283:5, 27311:16, 27316:25, 27317:1, 27323:5, 27343:16, 27343:22, 27368:14, 27370:5, 27370:12, 27372:6, 27372:12, 27375:1, 27375:20, 27383:3, 27389:2, 27393:20, 27431:1, 27441:18, 27450:3 <b>meaning</b> [2] - 27357:25, 27450:14 <b>Meaning</b> [2] - 27395:16, 27449:4 <b>meaningless</b> [2] - 27215:18, 27295:9 <b>means</b> [5] - 27268:5, 27271:19, 27306:12, 27447:20 <b>meant</b> [8] - 27376:3, 27430:12, 27430:16, 27431:17, 27431:19, 27432:8, 27451:14, 27453:7 <b>media</b> [22] - 27216:18, 27220:5, 27239:20, 27240:5, 27240:24, 27244:7, 27244:17, 27246:1, 27262:16, 27263:12, 27267:13, 27268:6, 27270:8, 27276:18, 27291:18, 27325:21, 27326:1, 27405:5, 27448:23, 27450:25, 27451:1, 27451:5 <b>meet</b> [4] - 27300:14,	27310:11, 27372:3, 27396:18 <b>meeting</b> [49] - 27242:12, 27264:16, 27277:24, 27278:4, 27291:12, 27292:5, 27292:8, 27299:6, 27299:14, 27301:1, 27301:12, 27301:13, 27302:2, 27304:17, 27304:24, 27305:14, 27305:22, 27305:23, 27308:23, 27308:25, 27318:2, 27326:21, 27327:8, 27327:25, 27337:21, 27357:12, 27358:18, 27359:18, 27360:13, 27360:21, 27360:22, 27360:24, 27361:1, 27369:25, 27370:1, 27372:5, 27372:16, 27377:22, 27378:16, 27379:21, 27380:3, 27380:8, 27380:12, 27397:21, 27398:13, 27398:15, 27398:24, 27400:6 <b>meetings</b> [9] - 27291:25, 27324:1, 27324:14, 27327:12, 27335:4, 27357:19, 27397:14, 27397:19, 27400:12 <b>Melnyk</b> [20] - 27252:8, 27252:14, 27252:22, 27252:25, 27253:5, 27253:6, 27253:25, 27254:5, 27256:15, 27257:9, 27257:19, 27342:25, 27344:16, 27344:19, 27345:5, 27345:9, 27345:13, 27347:4, 27374:18 <b>member</b> [2] - 27281:9, 27397:6 <b>memo</b> [4] - 27218:21, 27357:2, 27360:22, 27409:12 <b>memorandum</b> [4] - 27220:9, 27247:24, 27362:1, 27409:18 <b>memory</b> [11] - 27223:19, 27241:5, 27241:14, 27309:10, 27309:12, 27311:8, 27311:9, 27362:18, 27390:15, 27394:4, 27411:12 <b>mentally</b> [1] - 27385:3 <b>mention</b> [2] - 27232:11,	27309:3 <b>mentioned</b> [4] - 27228:8, 27235:18, 27360:9, 27373:5 <b>Merchant</b> [3] - 27329:21, 27364:16, 27402:5 <b>Merchant's</b> [1] - 27402:7 <b>mercy</b> [6] - 27292:24, 27293:13, 27296:2, 27300:6, 27300:17, 27399:17 <b>Merry</b> [5] - 27366:10, 27366:22, 27369:22, 27393:9, 27406:10 <b>message</b> [1] - 27241:1 <b>Messrs</b> [1] - 27287:18 <b>met</b> [6] - 27226:8, 27266:17, 27357:14, 27361:6, 27365:19, 27372:8 <b>Meyer</b> [3] - 27204:10, 27458:2, 27458:17 <b>Michael</b> [2] - 27417:9, 27417:15 <b>microfilmed</b> [1] - 27219:11 <b>mid</b> [2] - 27306:17, 27331:25 <b>mid-january</b> [1] - 27331:25 <b>might</b> [59] - 27224:22, 27224:24, 27227:24, 27228:6, 27229:4, 27232:18, 27232:23, 27234:2, 27234:4, 27234:7, 27234:8, 27236:7, 27241:19, 27243:1, 27244:12, 27244:17, 27246:3, 27247:1, 27263:10, 27269:17, 27270:17, 27272:23, 27273:1, 27274:7, 27279:20, 27280:9, 27294:7, 27301:16, 27303:12, 27307:2, 27307:3, 27308:25, 27310:16, 27327:9, 27327:23, 27336:13, 27349:22, 27357:9, 27360:10, 27362:17, 27365:13, 27373:15, 27377:4, 27377:6, 27385:5, 27387:2, 27393:7, 27393:8, 27394:6, 27418:6, 27421:16, 27443:13, 27443:15, 27444:13, 27446:6,
---------	---	---	---	---



<p>27454:13, 27455:9  <b>mildest</b> [1] - 27236:12  <b>mildly</b> [1] - 27236:12  <b>mileage</b> [1] - 27419:22  <b>Milgaard</b> [175] -  27203:4, 27205:2,  27205:3, 27211:11,  27213:9, 27215:16,  27215:17, 27221:25,  27227:25, 27228:11,  27235:17, 27236:16,  27236:20, 27236:25,  27237:6, 27237:10,  27238:5, 27238:24,  27239:6, 27240:4,  27240:5, 27240:7,  27240:9, 27240:14,  27247:14, 27248:20,  27249:10, 27250:17,  27250:18, 27251:24,  27257:8, 27258:10,  27258:25, 27260:2,  27261:18, 27262:1,  27264:17, 27266:2,  27266:9, 27266:17,  27267:11, 27273:9,  27278:17, 27280:25,  27281:1, 27285:1,  27285:13, 27290:9,  27290:15, 27291:8,  27291:15, 27291:20,  27291:22, 27292:17,  27292:21, 27294:15,  27295:12, 27300:22,  27304:12, 27306:16,  27306:25, 27308:2,  27308:10, 27308:11,  27310:2, 27316:8,  27316:14, 27316:16,  27316:18, 27316:22,  27316:23, 27317:2,  27317:9, 27318:16,  27320:25, 27322:20,  27326:23, 27340:21,  27341:18, 27342:12,  27344:11, 27347:3,  27348:1, 27348:7,  27348:12, 27349:1,  27351:1, 27355:22,  27357:3, 27357:13,  27359:11, 27360:10,  27361:12, 27367:13,  27367:16, 27367:23,  27368:10, 27368:14,  27368:24, 27369:6,  27370:8, 27374:7,  27374:8, 27375:7,  27379:17, 27380:15,  27380:23, 27380:24,  27381:8, 27381:21,  27383:7, 27383:25,</p>	<p>27384:25, 27386:3,  27386:11, 27388:23,  27391:25, 27394:11,  27400:21, 27401:1,  27401:7, 27405:17,  27406:10, 27406:15,  27406:19, 27412:14,  27412:21, 27412:24,  27418:11, 27418:19,  27419:8, 27419:11,  27422:4, 27423:1,  27423:11, 27423:21,  27426:20, 27426:24,  27428:19, 27429:1,  27429:2, 27430:13,  27430:22, 27431:19,  27432:21, 27433:7,  27434:18, 27437:14,  27441:21, 27442:8,  27442:16, 27442:20,  27444:4, 27444:16,  27444:22, 27444:23,  27445:9, 27445:11,  27446:4, 27446:15,  27447:4, 27447:5,  27449:1, 27449:18,  27451:25, 27452:1,  27452:6, 27453:18,  27454:2, 27454:11,  27454:25, 27455:1,  27456:1  <b>Milgaard's</b> [28] -  27222:7, 27227:4,  27227:16, 27248:22,  27257:16, 27266:23,  27300:3, 27304:5,  27315:21, 27323:1,  27325:17, 27339:23,  27366:3, 27366:16,  27380:25, 27381:15,  27385:2, 27401:10,  27401:19, 27406:22,  27421:18, 27431:23,  27451:2, 27451:10,  27453:23, 27454:8,  27454:25, 27456:2  <b>Milgaard/fisher</b> [1] -  27224:11  <b>Milgaards</b> [12] -  27243:5, 27246:12,  27272:17, 27284:15,  27311:16, 27395:13,  27418:15, 27419:3,  27434:17, 27441:19,  27449:23, 27452:13  <b>Miller</b> [22] - 27214:23,  27218:7, 27218:12,  27218:13, 27251:6,  27251:16, 27318:18,  27329:3, 27401:2,  27403:5, 27416:18,</p>	<p>27416:20, 27432:1,  27433:2, 27437:22,  27437:25, 27441:8,  27442:9, 27444:5,  27444:17, 27446:5,  27452:25  <b>Miller's</b> [2] - 27292:18,  27389:15  <b>mimic</b> [1] - 27408:13  <b>mind</b> [12] - 27256:8,  27264:6, 27265:14,  27273:7, 27283:11,  27309:4, 27324:24,  27358:12, 27367:24,  27371:18, 27455:4,  27455:25  <b>minded</b> [1] - 27284:19  <b>mindset</b> [1] - 27324:7  <b>minister</b> [20] -  27210:25, 27212:17,  27212:25, 27214:3,  27222:6, 27264:9,  27265:10, 27266:3,  27266:9, 27266:13,  27266:17, 27266:18,  27267:15, 27296:21,  27297:15, 27302:16,  27302:20, 27309:6,  27319:11, 27319:15  <b>Minister</b> [52] -  27205:11, 27211:12,  27212:5, 27224:2,  27235:18, 27236:2,  27236:9, 27236:25,  27237:2, 27237:22,  27238:9, 27238:18,  27239:2, 27239:5,  27239:10, 27239:25,  27240:4, 27240:6,  27240:8, 27240:11,  27240:17, 27241:4,  27242:12, 27263:9,  27265:2, 27265:21,  27265:23, 27266:24,  27278:18, 27282:25,  27286:18, 27292:22,  27293:10, 27293:22,  27296:1, 27296:10,  27296:12, 27298:1,  27298:5, 27298:14,  27307:4, 27311:22,  27312:9, 27325:8,  27340:8, 27359:23,  27365:25, 27420:19,  27431:4, 27434:2,  27445:10, 27449:12  <b>minister's</b> [3] - 27212:2,  27300:5, 27317:25  <b>Minister's</b> [3] -  27237:18, 27241:12,</p>	<p>27326:13  <b>ministerial</b> [1] -  27267:1  <b>ministers</b> [1] -  27330:10  <b>Ministries</b> [3] -  27305:8, 27306:8,  27375:9  <b>minute</b> [5] - 27256:9,  27256:20, 27270:1,  27321:18, 27449:25  <b>mirror</b> [1] - 27407:11  <b>miscarriage</b> [68] -  27211:14, 27211:24,  27215:5, 27216:5,  27216:10, 27306:21,  27306:25, 27318:19,  27320:16, 27320:23,  27321:2, 27321:4,  27321:10, 27334:25,  27339:20, 27339:24,  27340:4, 27340:11,  27340:15, 27340:20,  27340:22, 27341:1,  27341:8, 27342:1,  27342:6, 27342:17,  27342:25, 27343:2,  27343:5, 27343:13,  27343:17, 27343:24,  27344:6, 27345:22,  27346:21, 27347:7,  27347:16, 27347:24,  27348:2, 27348:8,  27349:8, 27349:11,  27349:25, 27350:6,  27350:11, 27351:22,  27352:3, 27396:8,  27396:24, 27400:22,  27401:2, 27401:7,  27401:24, 27412:1,  27412:5, 27422:14,  27423:16, 27424:13,  27424:18, 27426:4,  27427:23, 27428:9,  27430:1, 27434:1,  27434:7, 27434:9,  27444:24  <b>miscarriages</b> [4] -  27336:15, 27351:3,  27351:4, 27396:11  <b>misconduct</b> [15] -  27269:18, 27276:6,  27307:13, 27307:21,  27308:14, 27321:1,  27321:4, 27344:11,  27346:8, 27412:4,  27427:2, 27431:18,  27447:10, 27447:21  <b>misdescribe</b> [1] -  27271:2</p>	<p><b>miss</b> [1] - 27263:1  <b>missed</b> [1] - 27274:13  <b>missing</b> [39] -  27207:24, 27208:4,  27208:7, 27208:11,  27208:20, 27208:22,  27209:11, 27210:1,  27210:4, 27210:5,  27211:4, 27211:8,  27211:9, 27211:17,  27212:20, 27212:21,  27212:24, 27213:11,  27213:12, 27213:20,  27216:15, 27216:16,  27217:17, 27217:19,  27220:24, 27221:18,  27223:14, 27223:15,  27225:23, 27227:2,  27227:5, 27227:13,  27227:15, 27227:19,  27231:16, 27232:2,  27232:7, 27232:18,  27355:10  <b>Missing</b> [1] - 27221:19  <b>misspoke</b> [1] - 27338:4  <b>misstating</b> [1] -  27354:7  <b>mistake</b> [9] - 27272:25,  27273:6, 27273:8,  27274:1, 27274:9,  27274:15, 27276:13,  27276:14, 27338:6  <b>mistaken</b> [2] - 27210:7,  27405:4  <b>mistakes</b> [3] -  27272:20, 27276:4  <b>Mitchell</b> [2] - 27449:12,  27453:4  <b>mode</b> [1] - 27276:19  <b>Molchanko's</b> [1] -  27280:5  <b>moment</b> [12] -  27238:20, 27248:7,  27263:23, 27266:23,  27267:11, 27269:6,  27276:5, 27295:20,  27320:19, 27325:4,  27394:24, 27422:23  <b>moments</b> [3] - 27323:2,  27324:23, 27324:24  <b>Monday</b> [2] - 27292:5,  27326:21  <b>months</b> [4] - 27281:3,  27281:19, 27350:16,  27385:15  <b>morning</b> [9] - 27207:3,  27207:4, 27245:1,  27245:14, 27339:1,  27455:13, 27455:16,  27456:22, 27457:5</p>
--	---	--	---	---



<p><b>most</b> [8] - 27211:4, 27287:14, 27311:25, 27323:7, 27393:15, 27394:3, 27399:19, 27428:18</p> <p><b>mostly</b> [1] - 27276:1</p> <p><b>motel</b> [17] - 27251:3, 27251:21, 27255:7, 27256:7, 27256:17, 27257:1, 27342:23, 27344:7, 27344:13, 27344:15, 27381:24, 27382:2, 27409:16, 27428:17, 27429:2, 27438:24, 27439:22</p> <p><b>motive</b> [3] - 27274:7, 27373:16, 27374:21</p> <p><b>motorcade</b> [1] - 27237:1</p> <p><b>motorcycle</b> [1] - 27281:10</p> <p><b>mount</b> [1] - 27267:2</p> <p><b>mountain</b> [2] - 27450:4, 27450:6</p> <p><b>move</b> [3] - 27211:19, 27284:23, 27379:12</p> <p><b>moving</b> [4] - 27237:12, 27249:3, 27288:23, 27322:22</p> <p><b>Mulroney</b> [3] - 27235:18, 27238:5, 27238:24</p> <p><b>mundane</b> [1] - 27327:4</p> <p><b>murder</b> [25] - 27214:23, 27228:8, 27251:6, 27251:16, 27255:13, 27255:14, 27292:18, 27295:12, 27301:23, 27318:17, 27348:23, 27389:15, 27401:1, 27406:25, 27407:4, 27433:1, 27437:22, 27441:8, 27442:8, 27442:17, 27444:4, 27444:16, 27446:4, 27446:24, 27452:25</p> <p><b>Murray</b> [8] - 27225:17, 27327:10, 27328:13, 27362:10, 27365:22, 27383:9, 27390:5, 27403:2</p> <p><b>must</b> [7] - 27227:9, 27325:24, 27330:4, 27387:3, 27408:16, 27409:3, 27451:23</p> <p><b>Mutual</b> [1] - 27328:9</p> <p><b>mutual</b> [1] - 27328:14</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>N</b></p> <p><b>naive</b> [1] - 27267:21</p> <p><b>name</b> [11] - 27235:6, 27359:20, 27414:6, 27417:8, 27417:10, 27431:24, 27441:3, 27441:6, 27441:8, 27441:11, 27456:14</p> <p><b>named</b> [2] - 27251:20, 27254:16</p> <p><b>namely</b> [3] - 27343:12, 27413:13, 27456:13</p> <p><b>names</b> [1] - 27424:4</p> <p><b>narrow</b> [3] - 27327:4, 27337:2, 27361:4</p> <p><b>narrowing</b> [2] - 27352:13, 27352:14</p> <p><b>nature</b> [9] - 27237:7, 27246:16, 27292:25, 27298:21, 27313:10, 27325:24, 27357:25, 27383:1, 27384:14</p> <p><b>Nb</b> [3] - 27254:7, 27405:16, 27405:23</p> <p><b>Ndp</b> [1] - 27419:21</p> <p><b>necessarily</b> [4] - 27287:16, 27310:4, 27312:22, 27399:22</p> <p><b>necessary</b> [2] - 27313:23, 27360:8</p> <p><b>need</b> [14] - 27211:6, 27212:21, 27225:14, 27265:5, 27284:11, 27294:5, 27316:24, 27330:17, 27331:4, 27357:4, 27367:20, 27377:16, 27398:5, 27447:23</p> <p><b>needed</b> [4] - 27268:11, 27269:22, 27330:19, 27330:21</p> <p><b>needing</b> [1] - 27246:23</p> <p><b>negative</b> [2] - 27310:1, 27316:20</p> <p><b>Neil</b> [15] - 27250:17, 27254:7, 27254:9, 27254:10, 27254:13, 27259:2, 27259:6, 27260:25, 27278:24, 27279:12, 27304:25, 27306:2, 27339:1, 27364:14, 27372:1</p> <p><b>Nepoose</b> [1] - 27312:4</p> <p><b>neutral</b> [3] - 27342:13, 27358:12, 27398:18</p> <p><b>never</b> [17] - 27210:5, 27232:8, 27235:3, 27251:18, 27252:14,</p>	<p>27255:9, 27255:18, 27255:19, 27257:7, 27258:12, 27268:7, 27369:7, 27369:15, 27374:10, 27448:2, 27452:23, 27452:24</p> <p><b>new</b> [20] - 27222:8, 27263:14, 27268:2, 27268:3, 27300:23, 27302:11, 27303:2, 27307:4, 27315:19, 27347:23, 27395:19, 27395:20, 27401:8, 27401:17, 27431:5, 27434:2, 27441:21, 27445:4, 27445:7, 27448:2</p> <p><b>news</b> [6] - 27251:4, 27251:11, 27269:9, 27291:4, 27291:20, 27292:1</p> <p><b>newspaper</b> [1] - 27216:19</p> <p><b>next</b> [51] - 27209:5, 27225:20, 27231:9, 27250:3, 27254:7, 27254:21, 27255:25, 27260:25, 27264:5, 27278:22, 27279:7, 27281:25, 27284:2, 27285:2, 27285:24, 27306:18, 27307:25, 27314:25, 27325:13, 27357:9, 27359:2, 27359:15, 27362:8, 27365:21, 27371:8, 27374:15, 27374:20, 27374:23, 27375:18, 27390:4, 27394:9, 27397:4, 27398:23, 27399:15, 27405:25, 27417:9, 27419:23, 27424:3, 27424:10, 27424:11, 27425:9, 27427:21, 27432:11, 27432:13, 27432:18, 27437:7, 27445:3, 27446:10, 27451:6</p> <p><b>Next</b> [5] - 27375:6, 27379:5, 27384:25, 27401:5, 27412:8</p> <p><b>nexus</b> [3] - 27292:19, 27292:24, 27293:1</p> <p><b>Nichol</b> [36] - 27293:5, 27293:23, 27294:13, 27294:16, 27295:6, 27295:7, 27295:8, 27296:3, 27296:14, 27297:1, 27297:7, 27298:20, 27301:2,</p>	<p>27301:15, 27301:20, 27304:1, 27309:3, 27309:7, 27310:11, 27310:22, 27329:21, 27346:22, 27364:15, 27381:11, 27391:9, 27391:11, 27391:17, 27392:11, 27392:15, 27392:19, 27406:7, 27416:21, 27427:12, 27427:18, 27427:24, 27428:11</p> <p><b>Nichole's</b> [1] - 27405:24</p> <p><b>night</b> [1] - 27260:19</p> <p><b>non</b> [8] - 27281:21, 27307:21, 27366:3, 27368:12, 27369:6, 27370:21, 27389:24, 27393:13</p> <p><b>non-disclosure</b> [2] - 27307:21, 27389:24</p> <p><b>non-existent</b> [1] - 27281:21</p> <p><b>non-secretor</b> [4] - 27368:12, 27369:6, 27370:21, 27393:13</p> <p><b>none</b> [1] - 27273:8</p> <p><b>None</b> [2] - 27268:14, 27273:9</p> <p><b>noon</b> [1] - 27337:25</p> <p><b>normal</b> [1] - 27219:9</p> <p><b>note</b> [6] - 27223:20, 27225:11, 27231:5, 27416:14, 27416:25, 27417:4</p> <p><b>noted</b> [2] - 27371:13, 27443:18</p> <p><b>notes</b> [4] - 27231:11, 27305:11, 27329:25, 27458:6</p> <p><b>nothing</b> [12] - 27208:16, 27208:17, 27228:7, 27238:21, 27246:10, 27268:17, 27316:10, 27360:9, 27392:5, 27407:9, 27416:24, 27429:5</p> <p><b>notice</b> [1] - 27360:25</p> <p><b>notion</b> [1] - 27273:18</p> <p><b>notoriously</b> [1] - 27256:23</p> <p><b>notwithstanding</b> [3] - 27253:12, 27443:22, 27447:13</p> <p><b>november</b> [1] - 27284:24</p> <p><b>November</b> [29] - 27223:20, 27225:18, 27241:4, 27241:17, 27278:4, 27284:23,</p>	<p>27285:6, 27289:24, 27290:4, 27291:4, 27292:3, 27292:5, 27292:7, 27299:7, 27301:12, 27302:2, 27303:23, 27304:17, 27304:21, 27305:24, 27306:17, 27308:21, 27308:24, 27316:6, 27318:1, 27318:2, 27325:8, 27355:12, 27381:16</p> <p><b>nowhere</b> [2] - 27259:2, 27259:3</p> <p><b>Number</b> [1] - 27447:5</p> <p><b>number</b> [43] - 27219:7, 27228:9, 27232:16, 27232:19, 27233:16, 27235:21, 27236:19, 27237:9, 27238:11, 27248:15, 27259:17, 27279:13, 27309:17, 27312:3, 27327:11, 27337:5, 27340:20, 27343:25, 27348:6, 27348:20, 27348:21, 27350:14, 27350:23, 27356:12, 27357:3, 27364:8, 27367:11, 27389:11, 27397:23, 27403:14, 27403:15, 27414:17, 27424:4, 27424:10, 27424:24, 27425:7, 27425:14, 27431:24, 27436:8, 27439:21, 27447:19</p> <p><b>nurse</b> [1] - 27424:25</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O</b></p> <p><b>objected</b> [2] - 27333:6, 27415:1</p> <p><b>objection</b> [1] - 27312:13</p> <p><b>objectionable</b> [1] - 27428:5</p> <p><b>objective</b> [1] - 27440:24</p> <p><b>objectives</b> [1] - 27450:14</p> <p><b>observe</b> [3] - 27350:15, 27388:1, 27444:2</p> <p><b>observed</b> [2] - 27350:14, 27377:19</p> <p><b>obstacle</b> [1] - 27299:24</p> <p><b>obtain</b> [2] - 27309:16, 27310:5</p> <p><b>obtained</b> [2] - 27297:13, 27376:10</p> <p><b>obtaining</b> [2] -</p>
---	--	--	---	--



<p>27361:25, 27378:6  <b>obvious</b> [3] - 27299:23, 27420:17, 27443:19  <b>obviously</b> [6] - 27285:16, 27340:20, 27372:7, 27391:17, 27396:10, 27430:7  <b>occasion</b> [4] - 27224:7, 27374:9, 27374:18, 27430:20  <b>occasions</b> [4] - 27244:6, 27364:9, 27389:11, 27403:15  <b>occur</b> [3] - 27272:20, 27273:6, 27303:12  <b>occurred</b> [9] - 27250:8, 27253:12, 27273:1, 27273:8, 27274:1, 27274:9, 27313:18, 27314:20, 27352:8  <b>occurrence</b> [1] - 27260:7  <b>occurring</b> [2] - 27255:24, 27386:9  <b>occurs</b> [1] - 27216:6  <b>October</b> [11] - 27264:3, 27277:2, 27277:25, 27284:22, 27284:24, 27325:11, 27365:6, 27365:18, 27369:24, 27439:2, 27440:1  <b>October-november</b> [1] - 27284:24  <b>odd</b> [2] - 27372:23, 27394:3  <b>offences</b> [4] - 27348:13, 27348:20, 27348:22, 27348:25  <b>Offenders</b> [1] - 27284:1  <b>offered</b> [2] - 27254:17, 27254:18  <b>offering</b> [1] - 27240:9  <b>office</b> [3] - 27245:1, 27410:7, 27418:3  <b>office'</b> [1] - 27367:15  <b>officer</b> [2] - 27224:4, 27409:10  <b>Officer</b> [3] - 27204:11, 27410:2, 27410:23  <b>officers</b> [4] - 27214:13, 27273:20, 27333:10, 27455:7  <b>offices</b> [1] - 27455:3  <b>official</b> [3] - 27236:21, 27236:24, 27331:15  <b>Official</b> [5] - 27204:9, 27458:1, 27458:3, 27458:14, 27458:18  <b>officials</b> [26] - 27214:25, 27241:18,</p>	<p>27241:23, 27242:2, 27243:10, 27244:13, 27244:18, 27246:4, 27278:2, 27287:9, 27287:23, 27287:24, 27290:3, 27290:15, 27297:23, 27299:19, 27301:14, 27314:2, 27339:11, 27357:15, 27369:25, 27370:2, 27418:13, 27430:11, 27431:10, 27431:16  <b>often</b> [2] - 27244:25, 27256:25  <b>Often</b> [1] - 27405:17  <b>old</b> [1] - 27281:5  <b>older</b> [2] - 27219:8, 27323:14  <b>once</b> [9] - 27214:20, 27244:6, 27263:4, 27269:4, 27275:19, 27280:10, 27319:4, 27350:3, 27382:15  <b>Once</b> [2] - 27305:4, 27449:5  <b>one</b> [95] - 27218:16, 27220:16, 27220:25, 27224:7, 27224:17, 27227:10, 27228:6, 27228:10, 27228:16, 27229:18, 27232:19, 27234:4, 27238:14, 27240:6, 27247:8, 27249:6, 27249:7, 27253:10, 27256:4, 27260:3, 27265:1, 27269:5, 27269:25, 27275:25, 27283:10, 27286:2, 27286:3, 27286:12, 27287:8, 27293:21, 27298:8, 27300:2, 27301:6, 27302:11, 27302:18, 27303:24, 27306:2, 27310:10, 27310:14, 27321:1, 27327:23, 27338:22, 27339:5, 27340:20, 27341:17, 27341:25, 27342:7, 27344:4, 27346:20, 27347:20, 27348:6, 27348:20, 27348:21, 27349:7, 27349:17, 27349:20, 27351:15, 27352:22, 27354:2, 27355:5, 27356:13, 27358:2, 27361:19, 27372:15, 27373:24, 27374:9, 27374:18, 27375:9, 27381:7,</p>	<p>27388:20, 27390:1, 27392:9, 27393:12, 27397:20, 27400:12, 27408:21, 27414:25, 27418:1, 27424:12, 27425:4, 27425:8, 27434:22, 27438:6, 27438:14, 27439:19, 27443:2, 27447:5, 27447:19, 27451:11, 27451:12, 27455:11, 27455:25, 27456:19  <b>One</b> [5] - 27243:17, 27251:16, 27373:19, 27381:6, 27424:1  <b>ones</b> [1] - 27392:14  <b>ongoing</b> [1] - 27430:9  <b>onus</b> [1] - 27303:18  <b>open</b> [10] - 27212:3, 27215:10, 27240:19, 27274:17, 27360:24, 27401:4, 27431:20, 27431:21, 27445:5  <b>opened</b> [5] - 27211:15, 27218:10, 27243:1, 27249:25, 27438:1  <b>opening</b> [13] - 27212:22, 27236:1, 27239:23, 27242:25, 27262:10, 27278:19, 27288:23, 27311:23, 27311:25, 27397:8, 27431:25, 27436:6, 27444:9  <b>operated</b> [1] - 27369:17  <b>operates</b> [1] - 27267:6  <b>operation</b> [1] - 27455:6  <b>opinion</b> [9] - 27231:17, 27300:11, 27302:15, 27306:24, 27318:18, 27358:14, 27359:23, 27392:18, 27392:21  <b>opinions</b> [1] - 27369:4  <b>opportunities</b> [3] - 27240:21, 27240:23  <b>opportunity</b> [8] - 27248:14, 27310:6, 27348:12, 27377:13, 27381:4, 27434:8, 27444:24, 27451:25  <b>opposed</b> [4] - 27230:5, 27290:24, 27361:13, 27377:1  <b>optimistic</b> [2] - 27285:20, 27285:21  <b>options</b> [1] - 27309:22  <b>oral</b> [1] - 27394:21  <b>order</b> [26] - 27241:25, 27243:3, 27266:25, 27268:9, 27268:10,</p>	<p>27309:16, 27318:5, 27319:21, 27320:21, 27321:7, 27338:4, 27338:13, 27338:18, 27339:18, 27369:13, 27373:2, 27384:13, 27397:18, 27400:17, 27404:3, 27432:12, 27434:2, 27445:4, 27455:14, 27455:20, 27455:24  <b>order-in-council</b> [1] - 27432:12  <b>ordered</b> [2] - 27319:12, 27319:15  <b>ordering</b> [1] - 27401:16  <b>organizing</b> [1] - 27324:3  <b>Original</b> [1] - 27426:19  <b>original</b> [11] - 27267:24, 27272:24, 27274:8, 27293:4, 27300:3, 27333:10, 27334:19, 27334:21, 27368:16, 27431:10, 27447:1  <b>Orne</b> [1] - 27301:7  <b>otherwise</b> [1] - 27452:11  <b>Ottawa</b> [11] - 27291:8, 27291:21, 27299:7, 27304:24, 27323:1, 27357:12, 27357:14, 27369:3, 27388:15, 27403:21, 27416:1  <b>ought</b> [2] - 27242:4, 27346:23  <b>ourselves</b> [1] - 27299:22  <b>outlet</b> [1] - 27262:16  <b>outset</b> [3] - 27267:4, 27267:20, 27361:3  <b>outside</b> [2] - 27236:17, 27406:21  <b>outstanding</b> [1] - 27430:24  <b>overall</b> [2] - 27322:18  <b>overnight</b> [1] - 27455:22  <b>overriding</b> [1] - 27335:9  <b>overstate</b> [1] - 27234:12  <b>own</b> [8] - 27231:17, 27281:6, 27286:9, 27313:8, 27343:21, 27407:24, 27439:16, 27454:10</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>P</b></p> <p><b>page</b> [82] - 27207:20, 27209:5, 27209:6, 27225:11, 27225:20, 27225:21, 27230:11, 27231:9, 27235:15, 27250:21, 27254:7, 27255:25, 27258:21, 27258:23, 27260:25, 27262:5, 27263:12, 27264:5, 27278:22, 27284:2, 27284:25, 27285:3, 27285:24, 27306:18, 27307:7, 27307:25, 27311:12, 27314:25, 27325:14, 27357:9, 27359:15, 27362:8, 27365:21, 27367:10, 27371:8, 27374:15, 27374:20, 27374:23, 27375:6, 27375:18, 27379:5, 27384:11, 27384:25, 27390:4, 27394:8, 27394:10, 27397:4, 27397:5, 27398:23, 27399:15, 27400:19, 27401:5, 27402:22, 27403:3, 27403:13, 27405:25, 27411:15, 27412:8, 27413:2, 27414:5, 27415:20, 27417:10, 27417:11, 27419:23, 27423:7, 27424:3, 27424:10, 27424:11, 27425:9, 27427:12, 27427:21, 27432:10, 27432:11, 27432:12, 27432:13, 27432:18, 27432:19, 27433:14, 27437:7, 27444:13, 27445:3, 27446:10  <b>Page</b> [1] - 27206:2  <b>pages</b> [5] - 27376:8, 27403:4, 27403:11, 27423:25, 27458:4  <b>paid</b> [5] - 27343:4, 27344:20, 27345:1, 27345:4  <b>Paid</b> [1] - 27343:8  <b>panel</b> [1] - 27397:6  <b>paper</b> [8] - 27249:12, 27331:10, 27335:21, 27408:2, 27408:6, 27408:11, 27408:13, 27408:25  <b>papers</b> [1] - 27245:2</p>
---	--	---	--	---



<p><b>paragraph</b> [10] - 27314:19, 27370:10, 27370:15, 27395:12, 27396:6, 27437:7, 27438:13, 27438:17, 27440:3, 27443:21</p> <p><b>paragraphs</b> [1] - 27280:13</p> <p><b>parameters</b> [1] - 27312:15</p> <p><b>paraphrasing</b> [3] - 27280:13, 27280:17, 27311:7</p> <p><b>Paraphrasing</b> [1] - 27314:16</p> <p><b>Pardon</b> [1] - 27228:18</p> <p><b>pardon</b> [5] - 27253:16, 27400:24, 27430:6, 27445:11</p> <p><b>park</b> [1] - 27281:18</p> <p><b>Parliament</b> [2] - 27291:9, 27291:15</p> <p><b>parole</b> [1] - 27387:10</p> <p><b>part</b> [56] - 27212:24, 27218:11, 27219:8, 27223:8, 27223:9, 27226:6, 27229:25, 27233:6, 27235:16, 27238:15, 27242:15, 27242:20, 27259:25, 27263:2, 27265:21, 27266:6, 27272:5, 27272:23, 27280:19, 27283:22, 27284:4, 27290:9, 27290:11, 27294:25, 27308:14, 27311:13, 27319:6, 27336:10, 27344:9, 27345:19, 27349:19, 27354:25, 27368:1, 27368:4, 27374:14, 27375:6, 27379:15, 27382:10, 27394:4, 27395:3, 27408:6, 27412:1, 27416:13, 27419:1, 27425:16, 27438:7, 27438:21, 27439:20, 27441:2, 27445:13, 27448:19, 27452:15</p> <p><b>partial</b> [3] - 27223:5, 27361:14, 27456:24</p> <p><b>participating</b> [1] - 27251:21</p> <p><b>particular</b> [10] - 27207:9, 27283:17, 27323:5, 27325:22, 27329:15, 27364:1, 27380:22, 27403:9, 27414:3, 27418:25</p>	<p><b>particularly</b> [2] - 27411:3, 27444:18</p> <p><b>particulars</b> [1] - 27410:3</p> <p><b>parties</b> [14] - 27313:19, 27328:15, 27333:6, 27335:17, 27356:14, 27359:5, 27359:10, 27378:17, 27394:19, 27398:6, 27455:11, 27456:4, 27456:5, 27456:6</p> <p><b>parts</b> [10] - 27250:14, 27250:15, 27260:17, 27279:11, 27293:25, 27306:12, 27400:19, 27431:2, 27432:3, 27432:6</p> <p><b>party</b> [2] - 27251:3, 27362:17</p> <p><b>pass</b> [1] - 27257:17</p> <p><b>passage</b> [2] - 27303:9, 27313:2</p> <p><b>passing</b> [2] - 27281:17, 27380:1</p> <p><b>passive</b> [2] - 27236:10, 27236:23</p> <p><b>past</b> [2] - 27209:10, 27266:9</p> <p><b>Pat</b> [1] - 27205:8</p> <p><b>path</b> [1] - 27274:20</p> <p><b>pattern</b> [1] - 27444:19</p> <p><b>Paul</b> [10] - 27230:6, 27250:17, 27256:3, 27355:19, 27364:4, 27375:25, 27377:17, 27412:19, 27412:21, 27418:10</p> <p><b>pause</b> [4] - 27252:1, 27358:15, 27368:3, 27418:8</p> <p><b>Pearson</b> [22] - 27223:8, 27223:12, 27223:22, 27224:14, 27225:10, 27226:6, 27226:25, 27227:7, 27227:10, 27228:21, 27231:5, 27231:10, 27232:16, 27232:21, 27233:8, 27234:1, 27235:9, 27273:1, 27410:7, 27413:22, 27413:23, 27413:25</p> <p><b>Pearson's</b> [3] - 27223:20, 27233:24, 27235:2</p> <p><b>peg</b> [1] - 27266:18</p> <p><b>Peggy</b> [1] - 27416:19</p> <p><b>pending</b> [1] - 27257:11</p> <p><b>people</b> [33] - 27218:14,</p>	<p>27240:12, 27251:14, 27251:23, 27252:23, 27256:11, 27259:18, 27259:23, 27268:24, 27269:2, 27269:3, 27270:10, 27270:20, 27271:8, 27271:14, 27274:10, 27274:11, 27274:14, 27276:18, 27276:19, 27279:14, 27286:21, 27287:1, 27332:9, 27338:14, 27344:10, 27350:14, 27390:16, 27417:20, 27445:24, 27446:21, 27453:22, 27454:13</p> <p><b>per</b> [1] - 27290:17</p> <p><b>perception</b> [2] - 27287:8, 27452:9</p> <p><b>perfect</b> [3] - 27267:12, 27326:14, 27446:25</p> <p><b>perfection</b> [1] - 27272:18</p> <p><b>perfectly</b> [2] - 27266:15, 27282:9</p> <p><b>performed</b> [1] - 27369:18</p> <p><b>perhaps</b> [14] - 27211:20, 27215:23, 27249:2, 27308:19, 27315:19, 27328:13, 27335:17, 27338:12, 27354:7, 27356:9, 27384:19, 27388:3, 27394:20, 27442:1</p> <p><b>period</b> [9] - 27243:8, 27245:21, 27246:25, 27385:10, 27385:18, 27387:8, 27388:18, 27388:19, 27407:10</p> <p><b>periods</b> [1] - 27385:13</p> <p><b>perjured</b> [2] - 27374:10, 27374:19</p> <p><b>perjury</b> [1] - 27439:13</p> <p><b>permit</b> [1] - 27292:21</p> <p><b>perpetrator</b> [8] - 27215:19, 27215:21, 27249:14, 27370:23, 27441:4, 27441:6, 27441:9, 27441:11</p> <p><b>perpetuate</b> [1] - 27446:23</p> <p><b>Perry</b> [2] - 27310:12, 27310:13</p> <p><b>persistently</b> [1] - 27281:2</p> <p><b>person</b> [14] - 27223:14, 27224:9, 27224:23, 27230:16, 27232:6, 27264:23, 27267:12,</p>	<p>27275:14, 27276:7, 27348:9, 27356:7, 27375:16, 27396:13, 27450:12</p> <p><b>personal</b> [2] - 27239:11, 27306:13</p> <p><b>personally</b> [2] - 27270:18, 27450:20</p> <p><b>personnel</b> [1] - 27366:2</p> <p><b>perspective</b> [1] - 27228:22</p> <p><b>persuaded</b> [1] - 27313:1</p> <p><b>persuasion</b> [1] - 27267:14</p> <p><b>Peter</b> [1] - 27329:24</p> <p><b>Ph.d</b> [2] - 27279:1, 27279:8</p> <p><b>Philadelphia</b> [1] - 27301:8</p> <p><b>phone</b> [1] - 27374:14</p> <p><b>photocopies</b> [1] - 27229:8</p> <p><b>photograph</b> [1] - 27236:18</p> <p><b>phrase</b> [1] - 27238:23</p> <p><b>physically</b> [2] - 27294:20, 27385:4</p> <p><b>picture</b> [2] - 27221:25, 27281:11</p> <p><b>piece</b> [10] - 27212:1, 27278:16, 27299:23, 27331:10, 27335:21, 27408:11, 27408:13, 27408:17, 27409:9, 27412:3</p> <p><b>pieces</b> [2] - 27387:18, 27424:16</p> <p><b>place</b> [11] - 27217:23, 27238:17, 27255:18, 27255:19, 27281:6, 27321:25, 27359:9, 27380:18, 27387:20, 27424:2, 27449:10</p> <p><b>placed</b> [2] - 27314:14, 27403:19</p> <p><b>plain</b> [1] - 27299:23</p> <p><b>plan</b> [4] - 27236:20, 27291:13, 27384:22, 27406:19</p> <p><b>planning</b> [1] - 27291:8</p> <p><b>plans</b> [1] - 27291:21</p> <p><b>play</b> [2] - 27323:24, 27448:18</p> <p><b>played</b> [4] - 27239:22, 27283:12, 27395:3, 27411:2</p> <p><b>players</b> [1] - 27272:6</p> <p><b>plays</b> [1] - 27293:18</p> <p><b>plead</b> [1] - 27429:18</p>	<p><b>pleaded</b> [1] - 27219:11</p> <p><b>pleas</b> [1] - 27454:23</p> <p><b>ploy</b> [3] - 27256:24, 27257:3, 27257:20</p> <p><b>Pm</b> [4] - 27338:1, 27402:18, 27402:19, 27457:6</p> <p><b>point</b> [60] - 27224:24, 27224:25, 27232:14, 27232:24, 27241:6, 27242:21, 27242:22, 27245:9, 27246:23, 27251:7, 27251:13, 27256:4, 27263:13, 27266:12, 27270:22, 27271:24, 27276:10, 27282:11, 27284:7, 27290:6, 27295:3, 27295:19, 27297:25, 27298:25, 27323:8, 27323:17, 27324:2, 27325:6, 27330:13, 27336:19, 27338:11, 27341:10, 27347:1, 27351:19, 27354:2, 27356:13, 27361:22, 27367:5, 27371:8, 27375:3, 27375:8, 27375:22, 27376:4, 27376:15, 27382:20, 27384:16, 27384:21, 27386:1, 27387:21, 27392:3, 27392:6, 27394:14, 27406:14, 27422:21, 27428:23, 27442:18, 27444:14, 27449:6, 27450:20</p> <p><b>point'</b> [1] - 27376:18</p> <p><b>pointed</b> [5] - 27320:22, 27340:2, 27400:7, 27400:13</p> <p><b>pointing</b> [1] - 27446:22</p> <p><b>points</b> [4] - 27232:12, 27283:16, 27381:1, 27407:23</p> <p><b>Police</b> [15] - 27205:8, 27214:13, 27217:22, 27217:25, 27223:22, 27224:4, 27225:17, 27225:23, 27226:8, 27226:21, 27329:2, 27355:11, 27406:18, 27410:2, 27433:17</p> <p><b>police</b> [93] - 27207:7, 27214:21, 27214:25, 27217:13, 27217:16, 27217:19, 27218:1, 27218:5, 27219:15, 27219:16, 27222:1, 27222:4, 27222:13,</p>
---	---	--	---	--



<p>27223:5, 27224:8, 27224:17, 27224:19, 27227:21, 27228:3, 27228:24, 27229:3, 27229:9, 27251:9, 27259:18, 27273:15, 27273:20, 27279:3, 27279:5, 27280:18, 27280:22, 27281:4, 27282:20, 27293:5, 27304:9, 27307:12, 27307:20, 27308:14, 27309:14, 27321:1, 27321:23, 27322:8, 27322:12, 27322:16, 27322:18, 27322:19, 27333:19, 27334:20, 27344:12, 27344:22, 27345:7, 27345:25, 27346:5, 27346:8, 27346:13, 27347:2, 27347:10, 27348:23, 27349:12, 27349:14, 27350:4, 27355:10, 27371:22, 27392:14, 27402:22, 27403:12, 27404:10, 27404:24, 27405:10, 27407:15, 27407:20, 27407:23, 27408:2, 27408:7, 27408:25, 27409:9, 27411:16, 27411:21, 27412:4, 27418:14, 27424:6, 27425:3, 27426:21, 27427:2, 27427:15, 27427:19, 27427:24, 27432:24, 27435:6, 27436:13, 27454:6, 27455:6, 27455:7</p> <p><b>political</b> [9] - 27238:20, 27239:7, 27264:10, 27267:14, 27268:10, 27268:17, 27270:25, 27271:5, 27419:22</p> <p><b>politically</b> [1] - 27240:11</p> <p><b>polygraph</b> [1] - 27346:14</p> <p><b>poor</b> [2] - 27428:22, 27429:8</p> <p><b>poorly</b> [1] - 27228:2</p> <p><b>portions</b> [2] - 27293:6, 27297:10</p> <p><b>portions'</b> [1] - 27229:11</p> <p><b>posed</b> [1] - 27311:24</p> <p><b>position</b> [49] - 27210:20, 27227:16, 27228:4, 27232:22, 27233:22, 27234:3,</p>	<p>27253:1, 27253:3, 27254:6, 27258:3, 27265:16, 27269:23, 27274:24, 27276:16, 27287:10, 27294:10, 27294:12, 27294:16, 27295:8, 27295:15, 27297:18, 27297:20, 27300:19, 27303:13, 27304:1, 27310:23, 27311:1, 27311:17, 27314:8, 27314:22, 27343:14, 27346:4, 27354:8, 27354:10, 27370:19, 27370:21, 27396:3, 27398:18, 27426:20, 27427:1, 27428:25, 27429:1, 27432:8, 27441:17, 27442:20, 27445:20, 27446:14, 27447:17</p> <p><b>positions</b> [5] - 27269:25, 27276:17, 27294:12, 27341:17, 27353:20</p> <p><b>positive</b> [3] - 27244:22, 27246:24, 27316:24</p> <p><b>possession</b> [2] - 27229:22, 27230:19</p> <p><b>possibility</b> [5] - 27224:5, 27228:10, 27234:13, 27272:25, 27273:7</p> <p><b>possible</b> [17] - 27232:2, 27233:17, 27274:11, 27282:8, 27291:14, 27294:21, 27305:18, 27330:22, 27362:22, 27363:1, 27370:19, 27389:2, 27389:7, 27393:6, 27393:11, 27411:11, 27422:19</p> <p><b>possibly</b> [4] - 27231:17, 27266:16, 27364:15, 27430:11</p> <p><b>post</b> [2] - 27405:14, 27413:19</p> <p><b>post-traumatic</b> [2] - 27405:14, 27413:19</p> <p><b>potential</b> [4] - 27249:4, 27302:10, 27334:25, 27363:19</p> <p><b>potentially</b> [1] - 27455:5</p> <p><b>Potentially</b> [2] - 27308:16, 27308:17</p> <p><b>power</b> [2] - 27399:17, 27399:18</p> <p><b>practical</b> [1] - 27313:5</p> <p><b>practice</b> [5] - 27391:19,</p>	<p>27433:6, 27436:25, 27450:21, 27456:9</p> <p><b>pre</b> [1] - 27385:15</p> <p><b>preamble</b> [1] - 27318:6</p> <p><b>preceded</b> [1] - 27438:14</p> <p><b>preceding</b> [1] - 27385:16</p> <p><b>precise</b> [2] - 27321:17, 27321:19</p> <p><b>precluded</b> [2] - 27313:17, 27351:23</p> <p><b>preferable</b> [2] - 27312:19, 27313:21</p> <p><b>preferred</b> [3] - 27245:8, 27245:10, 27320:6</p> <p><b>Prehodchenko</b> [1] - 27204:13</p> <p><b>prejudice</b> [1] - 27244:21</p> <p><b>preliminary</b> [3] - 27327:12, 27371:23, 27416:17</p> <p><b>prep</b> [1] - 27330:24</p> <p><b>preparation</b> [5] - 27315:13, 27365:15, 27391:4, 27412:10, 27423:4</p> <p><b>preparations</b> [2] - 27323:25, 27420:15</p> <p><b>preparatory</b> [1] - 27324:21</p> <p><b>prepare</b> [5] - 27324:4, 27332:10, 27335:14, 27387:23, 27414:19</p> <p><b>prepared</b> [21] - 27327:22, 27330:18, 27330:22, 27336:24, 27360:8, 27372:8, 27375:24, 27390:20, 27403:8, 27404:2, 27404:14, 27404:15, 27404:17, 27404:18, 27410:14, 27411:6, 27412:17, 27414:22, 27438:9, 27440:16, 27449:3</p> <p><b>prepares</b> [1] - 27372:17</p> <p><b>preparing</b> [8] - 27247:24, 27313:7, 27324:6, 27372:4, 27377:14, 27392:18, 27393:3, 27395:3</p> <p><b>preponderance</b> [3] - 27400:25, 27442:15, 27442:22</p> <p><b>presence</b> [6] - 27236:11, 27291:14, 27303:6, 27322:5, 27410:5</p>	<p><b>present</b> [11] - 27250:18, 27292:9, 27324:11, 27324:14, 27351:8, 27362:18, 27362:25, 27397:22, 27398:5, 27419:25, 27434:8</p> <p><b>Present</b> [1] - 27426:18</p> <p><b>presentation</b> [2] - 27344:13, 27363:22</p> <p><b>presented</b> [10] - 27345:9, 27345:12, 27432:23, 27433:4, 27435:5, 27438:20, 27438:23, 27439:21, 27443:15, 27444:18</p> <p><b>presenting</b> [1] - 27313:8</p> <p><b>press</b> [5] - 27219:14, 27238:6, 27325:7, 27325:8, 27448:15</p> <p><b>pressed</b> [1] - 27256:2</p> <p><b>pressure</b> [5] - 27264:9, 27266:15, 27266:19, 27428:3, 27449:7</p> <p><b>Presumably</b> [1] - 27312:14</p> <p><b>presumably</b> [8] - 27225:19, 27254:23, 27310:1, 27327:8, 27335:9, 27337:6, 27337:10, 27347:3</p> <p><b>pretty</b> [11] - 27266:8, 27284:19, 27284:20, 27287:1, 27317:1, 27352:13, 27352:24, 27353:4, 27361:3, 27443:19, 27443:22</p> <p><b>Pretty</b> [1] - 27335:23</p> <p><b>prevailing</b> [2] - 27433:6, 27437:1</p> <p><b>prevent</b> [1] - 27347:13</p> <p><b>previous</b> [3] - 27400:3, 27433:14, 27443:20</p> <p><b>previously</b> [1] - 27257:15</p> <p><b>primary</b> [2] - 27281:21, 27285:9</p> <p><b>Prime</b> [15] - 27235:17, 27236:2, 27236:9, 27236:25, 27237:2, 27237:17, 27238:9, 27238:18, 27239:5, 27239:10, 27240:4, 27240:8, 27240:16, 27242:12, 27420:19</p> <p><b>prime</b> [1] - 27266:16</p> <p><b>principal</b> [2] - 27290:22, 27290:23</p> <p><b>principals</b> [1] - 27358:3</p> <p><b>principles</b> [1] - 27437:3</p>	<p><b>Pringle</b> [1] - 27205:13</p> <p><b>print</b> [1] - 27260:21</p> <p><b>prison</b> [8] - 27237:20, 27266:21, 27387:10, 27430:22, 27449:5, 27449:8, 27450:9, 27451:2</p> <p><b>private</b> [2] - 27367:18, 27375:18</p> <p><b>privy</b> [2] - 27339:17, 27339:18</p> <p><b>probabilities</b> [2] - 27401:20, 27432:17</p> <p><b>probable</b> [1] - 27280:3</p> <p><b>probative</b> [2] - 27352:24, 27435:6</p> <p><b>problem</b> [10] - 27266:6, 27266:10, 27270:14, 27282:3, 27303:5, 27307:1, 27310:3, 27387:16, 27441:14</p> <p><b>problems</b> [1] - 27250:4</p> <p><b>procedural</b> [3] - 27294:23, 27326:22, 27327:24</p> <p><b>procedure</b> [3] - 27393:16, 27406:13, 27433:9</p> <p><b>proceed</b> [2] - 27399:21, 27445:25</p> <p><b>proceeding</b> [3] - 27353:18, 27357:24, 27400:9</p> <p><b>Proceedings</b> [4] - 27203:12, 27203:23, 27206:1, 27207:1</p> <p><b>proceedings</b> [9] - 27248:20, 27323:2, 27341:5, 27349:1, 27360:16, 27381:4, 27431:7, 27440:21, 27447:1</p> <p><b>proceeds</b> [1] - 27445:7</p> <p><b>process</b> [14] - 27266:20, 27268:8, 27269:4, 27275:21, 27284:10, 27297:1, 27335:25, 27336:5, 27336:25, 27357:19, 27358:7, 27359:1, 27419:13, 27448:19</p> <p><b>produced</b> [1] - 27456:4</p> <p><b>Professor</b> [11] - 27262:8, 27278:24, 27278:25, 27279:9, 27283:14, 27304:25, 27315:10, 27315:14, 27315:24, 27317:6, 27372:1</p> <p><b>profile</b> [3] - 27390:20,</p>
--	---	---	---	---



27390:24, 27419:20 <b>profiler</b> [1] - 27279:7 <b>profiling</b> [1] - 27283:18 <b>Profiling</b> [1] - 27284:1 <b>progress</b> [2] - 27246:4, 27256:10 <b>progressing</b> [3] - 27278:2, 27278:7, 27291:12 <b>promise</b> [1] - 27317:14 <b>prongs</b> [1] - 27436:10 <b>proof</b> [1] - 27303:19 <b>proper</b> [5] - 27264:12, 27266:1, 27341:7, 27424:14, 27437:2 <b>properly</b> [1] - 27433:12 <b>propose</b> [5] - 27235:23, 27384:9, 27403:16, 27409:19, 27453:4 <b>prosecute</b> [2] - 27299:20, 27300:11 <b>prosecuted</b> [1] - 27216:7 <b>prosecuting</b> [1] - 27421:18 <b>prosecution</b> [13] - 27247:22, 27256:20, 27256:24, 27257:3, 27257:20, 27257:21, 27272:25, 27334:21, 27354:24, 27404:5, 27414:4, 27451:24 <b>prosecutor</b> [1] - 27214:17 <b>prosecutor's</b> [2] - 27329:6, 27350:4 <b>prosecutorial</b> [2] - 27269:17, 27354:23 <b>prospect</b> [4] - 27227:24, 27247:1, 27280:9, 27371:17 <b>protest</b> [1] - 27291:10 <b>protocol</b> [1] - 27224:20 <b>prove</b> [5] - 27313:8, 27340:2, 27340:4, 27401:19, 27432:15 <b>proved</b> [3] - 27396:12, 27396:14, 27396:16 <b>proven</b> [3] - 27215:4, 27256:23, 27366:7 <b>proves</b> [1] - 27405:9 <b>provide</b> [14] - 27212:6, 27227:5, 27230:18, 27234:21, 27248:7, 27278:5, 27305:1, 27305:20, 27311:17, 27315:16, 27318:4, 27331:3, 27359:22, 27367:21 <b>provided</b> [29] - 27210:9,	27226:17, 27229:12, 27229:13, 27229:16, 27230:12, 27230:14, 27232:3, 27233:18, 27251:8, 27284:12, 27293:4, 27315:10, 27315:25, 27317:7, 27317:17, 27329:17, 27329:19, 27331:14, 27339:3, 27339:11, 27339:12, 27360:23, 27364:18, 27365:5, 27403:6, 27406:3, 27427:14, 27444:25 <b>providing</b> [4] - 27229:20, 27323:11, 27352:10, 27360:14 <b>Province</b> [2] - 27358:23, 27458:3 <b>Provincial</b> [1] - 27336:3 <b>provincial</b> [2] - 27352:17, 27354:4 <b>proving</b> [1] - 27320:25 <b>provision</b> [3] - 27235:1, 27308:3, 27401:18 <b>psychiatric</b> [1] - 27385:11 <b>psychiatrist</b> [1] - 27391:16 <b>psychiatrists</b> [1] - 27324:5 <b>Psychological</b> [1] - 27283:25 <b>psychological</b> [1] - 27405:19 <b>pubic</b> [2] - 27279:25, 27280:6 <b>public</b> [40] - 27238:15, 27239:24, 27240:18, 27243:5, 27243:6, 27243:17, 27243:20, 27244:16, 27246:1, 27262:16, 27264:8, 27265:3, 27265:6, 27265:12, 27265:22, 27266:15, 27266:18, 27266:19, 27267:14, 27270:4, 27270:6, 27270:7, 27270:24, 27271:4, 27271:12, 27272:11, 27275:8, 27275:21, 27298:17, 27313:13, 27313:15, 27325:16, 27325:20, 27326:3, 27326:5, 27326:8, 27347:17, 27397:21, 27418:15, 27454:12 <b>publicity</b> [2] - 27235:23, 27266:7	<b>publicly</b> [5] - 27213:8, 27214:1, 27418:11, 27422:8, 27431:16 <b>published</b> [1] - 27217:3 <b>pulled</b> [1] - 27237:2 <b>Pulos</b> [1] - 27301:6 <b>pun</b> [1] - 27415:2 <b>punishment</b> [1] - 27284:3 <b>purely</b> [1] - 27238:20 <b>purported</b> [1] - 27295:10 <b>purpose</b> [8] - 27213:2, 27248:21, 27295:17, 27302:23, 27315:13, 27357:18, 27404:16, 27405:4 <b>purposes</b> [1] - 27365:3 <b>Pursuant</b> [1] - 27397:17 <b>pursuing</b> [2] - 27411:4, 27422:21 <b>purview</b> [1] - 27296:22 <b>Put</b> [2] - 27292:18, 27345:4 <b>put</b> [80] - 27208:15, 27209:7, 27211:11, 27212:20, 27212:25, 27217:23, 27228:12, 27232:22, 27244:6, 27246:8, 27249:14, 27256:20, 27257:3, 27263:12, 27264:8, 27270:1, 27270:9, 27273:18, 27274:5, 27275:6, 27282:19, 27282:24, 27290:12, 27291:6, 27292:1, 27295:18, 27295:24, 27298:18, 27306:14, 27314:8, 27320:1, 27321:8, 27321:25, 27327:19, 27335:21, 27335:22, 27336:12, 27336:15, 27337:12, 27337:14, 27339:4, 27339:13, 27339:22, 27341:17, 27342:5, 27342:24, 27343:24, 27346:5, 27346:21, 27346:24, 27347:20, 27350:19, 27351:1, 27352:4, 27352:24, 27353:3, 27355:17, 27379:1, 27386:24, 27392:25, 27396:4, 27397:14, 27399:5, 27401:8, 27404:10, 27408:1, 27408:6, 27408:25, 27415:18, 27422:10, 27422:17,	27423:1, 27423:4, 27424:13, 27425:11, 27426:14, 27427:18, 27429:23, 27440:18, 27440:19 <b>puts</b> [1] - 27372:17 <b>putting</b> [13] - 27249:18, 27266:23, 27267:10, 27269:15, 27278:18, 27316:19, 27320:19, 27344:1, 27345:13, 27351:23, 27362:15, 27408:11, 27428:10 <b>puzzling</b> [1] - 27369:14	27256:24, 27263:2, 27295:5, 27319:13, 27325:23, 27334:18, 27353:14, 27400:7, 27400:13 <b>quote</b> [4] - 27207:9, 27209:8, 27266:4, 27446:11
				<b>R</b>
				<b>radio</b> [1] - 27451:12 <b>raise</b> [5] - 27245:23, 27321:22, 27337:15, 27348:13, 27349:17 <b>raised</b> [16] - 27213:22, 27214:1, 27223:3, 27249:8, 27310:15, 27311:6, 27327:24, 27336:16, 27342:7, 27369:7, 27370:7, 27371:9, 27394:15, 27394:17, 27424:24, 27447:5 <b>raising</b> [3] - 27234:2, 27248:21, 27336:20 <b>Ramada</b> [1] - 27203:16 <b>ran</b> [1] - 27281:17 <b>range</b> [4] - 27296:21, 27299:16, 27327:2, 27327:12 <b>rape</b> [6] - 27212:18, 27213:19, 27425:7, 27425:9, 27425:10, 27446:4 <b>rapes</b> [3] - 27249:9, 27390:24, 27425:8 <b>rapist</b> [1] - 27284:3 <b>rather</b> [8] - 27218:1, 27243:7, 27311:7, 27338:11, 27338:17, 27340:9, 27456:2 <b>Ray</b> [1] - 27333:21 <b>Rcmp</b> [7] - 27205:10, 27235:1, 27279:23, 27366:1, 27369:18, 27390:20, 27390:23 <b>re</b> [24] - 27211:15, 27212:3, 27212:22, 27215:10, 27236:1, 27239:23, 27240:19, 27242:25, 27243:1, 27249:25, 27262:10, 27278:19, 27288:23, 27311:23, 27311:25, 27381:24, 27401:4, 27408:22, 27410:15, 27431:20, 27431:25, 27436:6, 27438:1,
				<b>Q</b>
			<b>Qb</b> [1] - 27204:9 <b>Qc</b> [5] - 27205:2, 27205:7, 27205:11, 27205:13, 27205:15 <b>qualification</b> [1] - 27326:11 <b>quality</b> [1] - 27222:24 <b>quash</b> [1] - 27443:5 <b>quashed</b> [1] - 27401:17 <b>quashing</b> [1] - 27443:12 <b>Queen's</b> [4] - 27458:1, 27458:3, 27458:14, 27458:18 <b>query</b> [1] - 27248:18 <b>quest</b> [1] - 27431:24 <b>questionable</b> [1] - 27253:10 <b>questioned</b> [3] - 27297:2, 27377:3, 27408:22 <b>questioning</b> [6] - 27280:23, 27281:4, 27362:5, 27393:7, 27393:17, 27427:15 <b>questions</b> [12] - 27307:17, 27307:20, 27312:12, 27318:9, 27319:2, 27324:17, 27327:3, 27327:24, 27362:3, 27399:5, 27447:24, 27454:14 <b>quicker</b> [1] - 27332:2 <b>quickly</b> [7] - 27276:15, 27328:3, 27330:18, 27342:4, 27400:18, 27403:11, 27432:11 <b>quiet</b> [1] - 27215:16 <b>Quite</b> [1] - 27229:15 <b>quite</b> [14] - 27236:4, 27236:14, 27236:15, 27252:23, 27256:19,	



<p>27444:9</p> <p><b>re-enactment</b> [2] - 27381:24, 27410:15</p> <p><b>re-open</b> [5] - 27212:3, 27215:10, 27240:19, 27401:4, 27431:20</p> <p><b>re-opened</b> [4] - 27211:15, 27243:1, 27249:25, 27438:1</p> <p><b>re-opening</b> [12] - 27212:22, 27236:1, 27239:23, 27242:25, 27262:10, 27278:19, 27288:23, 27311:23, 27311:25, 27431:25, 27436:6, 27444:9</p> <p><b>re-questioned</b> [1] - 27408:22</p> <p><b>reach</b> [1] - 27410:8</p> <p><b>reached</b> [2] - 27283:21, 27436:21</p> <p><b>reaching</b> [1] - 27453:21</p> <p><b>reacted</b> [2] - 27252:23, 27252:25</p> <p><b>reaction</b> [3] - 27276:8, 27386:9, 27387:19</p> <p><b>reactions</b> [1] - 27269:7</p> <p><b>read</b> [19] - 27209:8, 27235:5, 27259:25, 27277:25, 27280:19, 27322:24, 27325:12, 27336:13, 27336:18, 27345:5, 27366:21, 27374:13, 27381:5, 27388:4, 27398:9, 27398:11, 27406:5, 27409:5, 27438:18</p> <p><b>reading</b> [11] - 27243:13, 27243:14, 27282:1, 27322:9, 27365:24, 27401:25, 27404:20, 27404:21, 27408:15, 27409:8</p> <p><b>real</b> [5] - 27274:3, 27275:11, 27275:15, 27316:19, 27369:15</p> <p><b>reality</b> [1] - 27385:14</p> <p><b>realize</b> [1] - 27323:16</p> <p><b>realized</b> [1] - 27267:24</p> <p><b>really</b> [20] - 27244:2, 27244:12, 27245:7, 27250:1, 27255:18, 27261:20, 27266:8, 27272:15, 27272:16, 27272:20, 27302:9, 27315:18, 27316:10, 27316:16, 27321:17, 27351:9, 27372:25, 27440:9, 27450:18, 27456:6</p>	<p><b>reason</b> [15] - 27271:16, 27272:10, 27276:14, 27282:18, 27283:2, 27290:12, 27312:23, 27315:21, 27317:6, 27319:7, 27323:5, 27340:25, 27372:22, 27384:4, 27389:3</p> <p><b>reasonable</b> [6] - 27248:22, 27400:21, 27401:21, 27432:16, 27438:11, 27442:7</p> <p><b>reasonably</b> [5] - 27401:11, 27401:14, 27401:22, 27437:12, 27440:5</p> <p><b>reasoned</b> [1] - 27285:22</p> <p><b>reasoning</b> [2] - 27283:4, 27434:20</p> <p><b>reasons</b> [4] - 27235:8, 27246:20, 27334:4, 27379:18</p> <p><b>rebut</b> [1] - 27426:10</p> <p><b>recalled</b> [2] - 27387:1, 27388:3</p> <p><b>recantation</b> [2] - 27280:16, 27437:16</p> <p><b>recanted</b> [2] - 27438:21, 27439:20</p> <p><b>recede</b> [1] - 27453:13</p> <p><b>receive</b> [4] - 27318:15, 27325:22, 27359:3, 27367:21</p> <p><b>received</b> [26] - 27219:19, 27221:4, 27221:25, 27225:22, 27229:25, 27231:5, 27235:22, 27240:16, 27243:2, 27243:10, 27305:5, 27328:23, 27329:2, 27336:2, 27345:2, 27350:3, 27365:13, 27365:17, 27369:2, 27420:4, 27420:5, 27421:22, 27421:25, 27422:18</p> <p><b>receiving</b> [1] - 27329:6</p> <p><b>recent</b> [4] - 27247:9, 27308:23, 27366:15, 27394:3</p> <p><b>recently</b> [3] - 27219:16, 27291:22, 27456:3</p> <p><b>recollect</b> [2] - 27386:16, 27386:19</p> <p><b>recollection</b> [72] - 27210:22, 27213:2, 27218:20, 27218:25, 27219:3, 27223:3, 27235:4, 27236:23,</p>	<p>27237:25, 27240:22, 27242:7, 27242:10, 27263:25, 27277:11, 27284:18, 27284:20, 27300:24, 27301:18, 27316:3, 27320:5, 27321:17, 27323:3, 27324:19, 27329:23, 27331:8, 27334:17, 27335:3, 27351:6, 27351:10, 27351:11, 27352:1, 27352:8, 27353:14, 27361:2, 27361:8, 27361:24, 27362:6, 27363:2, 27363:10, 27367:9, 27368:20, 27371:6, 27379:9, 27382:15, 27382:22, 27386:23, 27387:16, 27388:14, 27393:4, 27393:25, 27395:5, 27400:5, 27400:6, 27400:12, 27403:17, 27403:20, 27409:20, 27412:11, 27415:23, 27417:4, 27417:18, 27420:18, 27421:2, 27429:9, 27429:10, 27431:4, 27431:13, 27435:22, 27451:19, 27451:22, 27452:16, 27453:12</p> <p><b>recommend</b> [2] - 27445:2, 27445:9</p> <p><b>recommendation</b> [1] - 27286:18</p> <p><b>reconstruct</b> [2] - 27353:15, 27376:7</p> <p><b>Reconvened</b> [4] - 27207:2, 27276:25, 27338:1, 27402:19</p> <p><b>record</b> [21] - 27216:19, 27218:9, 27298:17, 27306:12, 27307:24, 27318:11, 27324:10, 27331:15, 27335:22, 27341:11, 27356:22, 27372:23, 27372:25, 27373:10, 27385:11, 27389:17, 27391:23, 27400:8, 27400:9, 27442:12</p> <p><b>recorded</b> [2] - 27363:24, 27364:3</p> <p><b>recording</b> [1] - 27379:6</p> <p><b>recordings</b> [1] - 27364:2</p> <p><b>records</b> [2] - 27363:1, 27364:17</p> <p><b>recreate</b> [1] - 27420:19</p>	<p><b>redacted</b> [1] - 27306:12</p> <p><b>reenacted</b> [2] - 27251:15, 27251:24</p> <p><b>reenacting</b> [1] - 27255:13</p> <p><b>reenactment</b> [12] - 27251:25, 27252:7, 27252:14, 27253:17, 27253:18, 27253:22, 27255:7, 27256:16, 27257:2, 27342:23, 27344:7</p> <p><b>refer</b> [3] - 27250:14, 27250:15, 27313:6</p> <p><b>reference</b> [96] - 27211:5, 27229:10, 27230:1, 27231:14, 27241:19, 27259:1, 27284:6, 27290:24, 27297:5, 27297:9, 27298:15, 27298:18, 27301:1, 27302:14, 27303:3, 27303:11, 27303:18, 27306:20, 27307:15, 27308:4, 27308:12, 27308:13, 27308:18, 27310:16, 27311:14, 27311:18, 27312:22, 27313:20, 27314:12, 27314:18, 27314:23, 27317:8, 27317:18, 27317:22, 27319:2, 27319:8, 27319:15, 27320:2, 27321:21, 27322:4, 27323:19, 27324:1, 27324:18, 27325:9, 27326:6, 27326:7, 27330:21, 27331:15, 27331:16, 27331:23, 27333:3, 27333:5, 27336:14, 27339:4, 27339:7, 27339:13, 27339:17, 27340:7, 27340:13, 27342:7, 27350:21, 27352:21, 27354:20, 27354:25, 27355:14, 27356:8, 27356:18, 27356:25, 27361:11, 27361:21, 27363:9, 27365:3, 27365:16, 27367:7, 27368:5, 27373:21, 27379:20, 27380:4, 27380:13, 27380:22, 27381:20, 27382:11, 27383:22, 27388:20, 27389:17, 27394:12, 27394:16, 27395:16, 27397:2, 27406:20,</p>	<p>27412:8, 27414:2, 27417:15, 27429:3, 27435:9</p> <p><b>Reference</b> [13] - 27314:6, 27318:11, 27326:24, 27328:4, 27335:19, 27336:6, 27395:17, 27396:20, 27398:19, 27399:11, 27436:10, 27442:13, 27442:14</p> <p><b>references</b> [1] - 27307:4</p> <p><b>referred</b> [11] - 27275:9, 27277:5, 27389:10, 27389:23, 27390:22, 27395:5, 27414:7, 27414:14, 27431:5, 27438:14, 27443:4</p> <p><b>referring</b> [18] - 27217:7, 27218:8, 27239:15, 27268:22, 27273:16, 27298:19, 27308:3, 27331:10, 27333:23, 27376:19, 27376:20, 27400:3, 27414:25, 27423:8, 27437:15, 27439:7, 27439:9, 27439:10</p> <p><b>refers</b> [1] - 27220:15</p> <p><b>reflect</b> [2] - 27331:13, 27334:3</p> <p><b>reflection</b> [1] - 27400:11</p> <p><b>reform</b> [1] - 27284:11</p> <p><b>refresh</b> [1] - 27309:10</p> <p><b>refreshed</b> [1] - 27309:13</p> <p><b>refute</b> [1] - 27345:17</p> <p><b>refuted</b> [2] - 27343:12, 27344:22</p> <p><b>regard</b> [2] - 27399:6, 27399:19</p> <p><b>regarding</b> [5] - 27211:3, 27233:3, 27357:3, 27411:1, 27444:8</p> <p><b>regime</b> [1] - 27420:2</p> <p><b>Regina</b> [3] - 27251:4, 27257:10, 27281:10</p> <p><b>regret</b> [1] - 27268:13</p> <p><b>regret</b> [5] - 27268:8, 27268:12, 27268:13, 27268:18, 27268:25</p> <p><b>regrettable</b> [1] - 27314:2</p> <p><b>rehearing</b> [1] - 27399:8</p> <p><b>rein</b> [1] - 27353:4</p> <p><b>reinforced</b> [1] - 27313:9</p> <p><b>reiterate</b> [2] - 27397:25, 27399:7</p>
---	---	--	--	--



<p><b>rejected</b> [1] - 27286:20  <b>relate</b> [3] - 27221:18, 27336:8, 27429:16  <b>related</b> [7] - 27213:5, 27213:15, 27258:10, 27348:13, 27348:23, 27351:21, 27361:25  <b>relates</b> [8] - 27212:19, 27217:15, 27232:13, 27260:5, 27311:13, 27317:5, 27361:17, 27373:5  <b>relating</b> [10] - 27218:25, 27232:12, 27261:4, 27292:15, 27305:9, 27339:20, 27353:16, 27358:25, 27372:1, 27429:18  <b>relation</b> [1] - 27296:3  <b>relationship</b> [2] - 27285:9, 27373:24  <b>relayed</b> [2] - 27208:12, 27219:18  <b>relaying</b> [1] - 27455:19  <b>release</b> [6] - 27243:4, 27291:4, 27291:20, 27292:1, 27451:2, 27451:10  <b>released</b> [3] - 27222:3, 27222:14, 27430:22  <b>relevance</b> [1] - 27292:16  <b>Relevance</b>[1] - 27336:5  <b>relevancy</b> [1] - 27270:5  <b>relevant</b> [17] - 27212:1, 27213:13, 27213:17, 27213:20, 27227:4, 27262:9, 27270:10, 27278:19, 27293:19, 27294:8, 27295:16, 27300:4, 27300:22, 27395:19, 27401:9, 27423:22, 27431:23  <b>reliability</b> [1] - 27388:10  <b>reliable</b> [1] - 27393:16  <b>relied</b> [5] - 27221:16, 27302:21, 27437:23, 27444:6, 27445:13  <b>relief</b> [1] - 27269:22  <b>reluctance</b> [2] - 27440:18, 27440:19  <b>reluctant</b> [3] - 27243:3, 27434:22, 27439:18  <b>rely</b> [3] - 27331:12, 27363:21  <b>relying</b> [4] - 27255:20, 27255:24, 27409:2, 27412:3  <b>remain</b> [1] - 27229:19  <b>remark</b> [1] - 27325:10</p>	<p><b>remarkable</b> [2] - 27236:15, 27236:16  <b>remarking</b> [1] - 27322:23  <b>remarks</b> [1] - 27397:9  <b>remedial</b> [1] - 27318:22  <b>remedies</b> [2] - 27302:10, 27302:11  <b>remedy</b> [19] - 27212:13, 27212:15, 27215:10, 27241:20, 27249:4, 27265:11, 27267:15, 27278:5, 27278:12, 27287:12, 27302:3, 27303:14, 27310:17, 27310:24, 27318:4, 27321:10, 27400:23, 27401:3, 27401:25  <b>Remember</b>[1] - 27220:19  <b>remember</b> [31] - 27207:13, 27207:14, 27223:7, 27254:12, 27260:10, 27260:14, 27261:21, 27261:22, 27279:19, 27294:1, 27296:8, 27301:3, 27302:5, 27303:3, 27307:15, 27309:1, 27316:12, 27325:3, 27332:12, 27335:19, 27380:6, 27381:2, 27381:19, 27388:17, 27388:25, 27394:24, 27406:6, 27409:13, 27414:24, 27417:24, 27419:17  <b>remembered</b> [1] - 27399:6  <b>remind</b> [1] - 27277:7  <b>reminder</b> [1] - 27421:24  <b>removal</b> [1] - 27233:12  <b>remove</b> [2] - 27281:2, 27447:7  <b>removed</b> [3] - 27224:6, 27224:9, 27306:14  <b>removing</b> [1] - 27224:23  <b>rendered</b> [2] - 27394:23, 27406:1  <b>reopening</b> [1] - 27211:7  <b>repeat</b> [1] - 27398:6  <b>repeated</b> [1] - 27415:5  <b>rephrase</b> [1] - 27405:3  <b>report</b> [49] - 27220:5, 27225:18, 27225:22, 27229:9, 27229:11, 27230:11, 27230:24, 27238:6, 27254:8, 27263:5, 27263:23,</p>	<p>27264:1, 27277:4, 27277:5, 27277:8, 27277:21, 27278:11, 27278:15, 27278:23, 27279:12, 27279:15, 27279:19, 27280:8, 27280:15, 27282:1, 27283:16, 27313:2, 27315:14, 27316:20, 27316:21, 27316:22, 27316:23, 27317:3, 27355:12, 27358:16, 27358:20, 27360:10, 27362:16, 27363:6, 27366:13, 27391:24, 27393:10, 27405:5, 27405:13, 27406:2, 27406:3, 27413:21  <b>reported</b> [5] - 27218:4, 27250:7, 27255:9, 27350:16, 27392:15  <b>reporter</b> [1] - 27261:12  <b>Reporter</b>[2] - 27458:14, 27458:18  <b>Reporters</b>[2] - 27204:9, 27458:3  <b>reporters</b> [1] - 27407:12  <b>Reporters</b>[1] - 27458:1  <b>reporting</b> [4] - 27245:6, 27269:9, 27269:13, 27359:16  <b>reports</b> [12] - 27217:3, 27219:14, 27279:11, 27326:1, 27365:24, 27366:23, 27366:24, 27369:21, 27371:22, 27392:13, 27424:6  <b>represented</b> [1] - 27433:7  <b>representing</b> [3] - 27339:23, 27398:6, 27398:17  <b>request</b> [7] - 27211:15, 27227:6, 27315:23, 27363:16, 27364:5, 27364:10, 27365:7  <b>requested</b> [2] - 27305:1, 27364:8  <b>requesting</b> [1] - 27449:23  <b>requested</b> [1] - 27407:3  <b>require</b> [2] - 27307:3, 27456:6  <b>required</b> [4] - 27408:3, 27408:7, 27409:1, 27412:18  <b>requires</b> [1] - 27311:23  <b>research</b> [2] - 27249:12, 27249:16</p>	<p><b>residual</b> [1] - 27245:4  <b>resolution</b> [2] - 27270:2, 27270:3  <b>resolve</b> [3] - 27312:23, 27430:23, 27434:25  <b>resolved</b> [4] - 27242:4, 27332:12, 27372:20, 27435:2  <b>resolving</b> [1] - 27274:17  <b>resort</b> [1] - 27268:4  <b>respect</b> [24] - 27227:17, 27228:4, 27237:24, 27239:15, 27301:15, 27304:1, 27305:21, 27309:7, 27313:25, 27351:2, 27369:4, 27390:21, 27391:11, 27392:18, 27420:13, 27427:24, 27428:11, 27429:2, 27437:18, 27437:24, 27438:23, 27439:22, 27444:7, 27445:11  <b>respecting</b> [1] - 27443:21  <b>respects</b> [1] - 27300:2  <b>respond</b> [1] - 27228:9  <b>responded</b> [3] - 27220:5, 27220:10, 27221:14  <b>responding</b> [1] - 27270:15  <b>response</b> [13] - 27207:22, 27222:2, 27237:18, 27238:9, 27243:11, 27248:17, 27264:13, 27267:20, 27270:5, 27270:10, 27304:16, 27334:10, 27368:23  <b>Responsibility</b>[1] - 27332:5  <b>responsible</b> [8] - 27224:22, 27232:7, 27232:18, 27233:10, 27280:18, 27330:8, 27356:7, 27396:17  <b>responsive</b> [1] - 27284:21  <b>restriction</b> [3] - 27426:12, 27427:7, 27427:16  <b>rests</b> [1] - 27226:19  <b>result</b> [12] - 27238:24, 27239:8, 27246:24, 27271:21, 27274:20, 27349:24, 27358:5, 27370:25, 27371:4, 27443:12, 27448:2</p>	<p><b>resulted</b> [1] - 27434:18  <b>results</b> [4] - 27270:21, 27366:19, 27370:19, 27393:17  <b>retained</b> [1] - 27391:3  <b>Retired</b>[1] - 27205:14  <b>return</b> [1] - 27433:13  <b>returned</b> [1] - 27445:8  <b>review</b> [10] - 27221:20, 27225:14, 27248:14, 27310:13, 27318:10, 27355:21, 27391:1, 27414:3, 27449:23, 27451:25  <b>reviewed</b> [2] - 27365:7, 27413:8  <b>reviewing</b> [5] - 27237:22, 27255:2, 27283:1, 27403:23, 27417:3  <b>ribbing</b> [1] - 27251:12  <b>ring</b> [1] - 27390:18  <b>rise</b> [3] - 27334:24, 27351:13, 27450:19  <b>risk</b> [3] - 27247:4, 27269:19, 27270:19  <b>Rob</b>[1] - 27389:9  <b>robbery</b> [2] - 27257:11, 27433:1  <b>Robert</b>[2] - 27205:6, 27356:3  <b>Roberts</b>[12] - 27208:5, 27208:7, 27208:9, 27208:12, 27209:3, 27209:21, 27346:12, 27346:14, 27407:19, 27411:7, 27428:6, 27435:10  <b>Roberts</b>[1] - 27322:11  <b>role</b> [12] - 27239:22, 27321:23, 27322:8, 27322:12, 27322:15, 27322:18, 27323:11, 27323:24, 27324:3, 27324:9, 27358:10, 27411:3  <b>rolling</b> [1] - 27359:22  <b>Romanow</b>[7] - 27418:3, 27419:15, 27420:9, 27420:12, 27420:18, 27420:22, 27421:4  <b>Ron</b>[23] - 27280:21, 27281:11, 27282:3, 27306:3, 27315:5, 27315:25, 27317:7, 27317:16, 27322:9, 27329:16, 27339:2, 27346:22, 27364:7, 27364:15, 27371:21, 27379:7, 27392:11,</p>
---	--	---	---	---



<p>27392:16, 27437:16, 27438:14, 27439:9, 27439:10, 27439:20  <b>Ronald</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27305:2, 27438:20  <b>room</b><sup>[19]</sup> - 27251:3, 27251:14, 27251:21, 27254:4, 27256:17, 27273:4, 27274:1, 27342:23, 27344:7, 27344:13, 27344:15, 27381:24, 27382:2, 27406:21, 27409:16, 27416:21, 27428:17, 27438:24, 27439:22  <b>Rossmo</b><sup>[23]</sup> - 27254:16, 27254:20, 27259:13, 27259:16, 27259:17, 27277:5, 27277:10, 27278:25, 27279:4, 27279:13, 27280:14, 27283:18, 27284:9, 27284:16, 27375:17, 27390:19, 27390:25, 27391:3, 27410:1, 27410:10, 27410:14, 27412:9  <b>Rossmds</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27284:7  <b>Rossmoboyd</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27277:5  <b>round</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27408:3, 27408:7, 27409:1  <b>route</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 27271:1, 27271:16, 27272:10, 27326:12, 27399:23  <b>Roy</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27418:3  <b>Royal</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27360:19, 27399:9  <b>Rpn</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 27204:10, 27458:2, 27458:16, 27458:17  <b>rubber</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27283:8  <b>rules</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 27270:4, 27312:6, 27399:13, 27399:24, 27456:15  <b>ruling</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27394:23, 27446:2  <b>rumours</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27217:5, 27217:8  <b>run</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27323:9, 27330:20  <b>run-up</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27330:20  <b>running</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27268:17  <b>rush</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27360:6  <b>Rutherford</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 27247:16, 27249:2, 27288:20, 27292:8, 27299:10</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>S</b></p> <p><b>safe</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27248:4, 27276:12, 27358:9  <b>safeguards</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27270:8  <b>sake</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27383:17  <b>sample</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27367:21  <b>samples</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27251:8  <b>sanitize</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27246:7  <b>sarcasm</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27428:22  <b>sarcastic</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27253:14  <b>Sask</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27419:9  <b>Saskatchewan</b><sup>[37]</sup> - 27203:17, 27205:4, 27217:22, 27223:22, 27225:23, 27229:14, 27229:25, 27230:20, 27303:5, 27318:17, 27328:19, 27329:12, 27329:18, 27341:19, 27353:22, 27354:10, 27357:17, 27358:8, 27358:24, 27360:6, 27362:11, 27364:18, 27365:11, 27429:19, 27430:3, 27430:11, 27431:6, 27433:16, 27442:1, 27445:6, 27445:14, 27445:20, 27445:24, 27447:16, 27453:10, 27458:4  <b>Saskatoon</b><sup>[19]</sup> - 27203:17, 27205:8, 27213:4, 27214:12, 27216:17, 27217:13, 27218:5, 27219:15, 27222:1, 27223:1, 27317:10, 27318:17, 27329:2, 27381:9, 27407:3, 27407:8, 27433:17, 27454:6, 27454:13  <b>satisfied</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 27231:18, 27400:20, 27442:6, 27442:11, 27447:9  <b>saw</b><sup>[11]</sup> - 27208:17, 27254:2, 27254:4, 27269:13, 27282:2, 27323:19, 27388:20, 27416:23, 27422:1, 27449:22  <b>scene</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27256:7, 27407:4  <b>scientific</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27365:24  <b>scope</b><sup>[15]</sup> - 27307:10, 27308:11, 27309:6, 27311:14, 27311:17, 27320:1, 27320:11,</p>	<p>27320:15, 27339:16, 27351:7, 27353:10, 27353:16, 27362:2, 27363:9, 27427:9  <b>script</b><sup>[10]</sup> - 27402:22, 27404:2, 27404:9, 27411:18, 27411:23, 27411:24, 27414:5, 27414:8, 27415:3, 27415:19  <b>scroll</b><sup>[12]</sup> - 27219:13, 27229:7, 27292:10, 27307:17, 27326:25, 27375:7, 27398:21, 27399:15, 27419:15, 27424:24, 27438:17, 27443:3  <b>Scroll</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27405:15  <b>scrum</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27264:3, 27325:11  <b>se</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27290:17  <b>search</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27217:10  <b>searched</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27209:23, 27222:15  <b>searching</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27218:5  <b>second</b><sup>[23]</sup> - 27224:1, 27230:11, 27237:15, 27238:14, 27238:25, 27286:13, 27287:22, 27289:23, 27292:23, 27293:3, 27293:13, 27293:19, 27293:21, 27338:9, 27342:23, 27380:3, 27384:10, 27390:23, 27394:7, 27398:13, 27398:24, 27425:10, 27442:11  <b>secondary</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27282:15  <b>secondly</b><sup>[9]</sup> - 27237:15, 27238:15, 27247:2, 27294:15, 27310:5, 27314:16, 27343:4, 27447:9, 27447:20  <b>Secondly</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27442:10  <b>secretor</b><sup>[23]</sup> - 27366:3, 27366:17, 27366:25, 27367:8, 27367:14, 27368:1, 27368:12, 27369:6, 27369:22, 27370:3, 27370:9, 27370:21, 27370:24, 27383:24, 27384:1, 27391:25, 27393:12, 27393:13, 27393:15, 27393:18, 27406:12, 27406:15  <b>secretor'</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27368:11, 27368:13,</p>	<p>27368:18  <b>Section</b><sup>[10]</sup> - 27212:12, 27215:10, 27302:9, 27308:3, 27312:15, 27313:6, 27313:14, 27313:20, 27336:5, 27398:8  <b>section</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27312:17, 27319:9  <b>sections</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27399:12, 27399:13  <b>security</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27236:21, 27236:24  <b>Security</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27204:11  <b>see</b><sup>[26]</sup> - 27236:17, 27245:20, 27254:1, 27256:18, 27267:4, 27277:21, 27282:17, 27284:2, 27286:10, 27291:6, 27300:20, 27301:23, 27306:9, 27307:9, 27317:21, 27331:23, 27332:23, 27356:12, 27394:6, 27417:10, 27418:6, 27429:20, 27440:9, 27446:10, 27450:19  <b>seeing</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 27234:14, 27245:1, 27280:8, 27301:11  <b>seeking</b><sup>[6]</sup> - 27237:11, 27311:19, 27391:10, 27392:21, 27410:1, 27449:6  <b>seem</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 27332:7, 27339:10, 27352:12, 27360:25  <b>segment</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27251:4  <b>selfishness</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27315:22  <b>selling</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27281:8  <b>semen</b><sup>[7]</sup> - 27258:19, 27260:6, 27280:1, 27280:3, 27342:8, 27342:18, 27371:11  <b>send</b><sup>[11]</sup> - 27263:8, 27278:6, 27298:6, 27302:13, 27302:14, 27302:21, 27302:22, 27303:15, 27319:21, 27340:12, 27356:22  <b>sending</b><sup>[13]</sup> - 27212:17, 27229:13, 27229:24, 27231:1, 27302:3, 27310:24, 27326:7, 27335:25, 27371:22, 27390:25, 27391:8, 27392:10, 27393:10  <b>senior</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27454:8</p>	<p><b>sensational</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27216:22  <b>sense</b><sup>[12]</sup> - 27227:17, 27247:25, 27258:7, 27285:21, 27286:15, 27295:9, 27304:10, 27312:5, 27362:4, 27385:9, 27409:6, 27409:8  <b>sensitive</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27246:16  <b>sensitivity</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27242:23  <b>sent</b><sup>[8]</sup> - 27225:19, 27263:23, 27331:4, 27331:5, 27331:9, 27363:20, 27365:13, 27406:5  <b>sentence</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 27257:9, 27314:19, 27445:12  <b>sentencing</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27345:3  <b>separate</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27218:10  <b>September</b><sup>[13]</sup> - 27218:21, 27235:13, 27235:15, 27241:3, 27241:16, 27247:12, 27248:10, 27248:13, 27248:25, 27250:3, 27250:11, 27250:24, 27258:22  <b>sequalae</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27405:20  <b>sequester</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27212:7, 27213:4  <b>Serge</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27205:7, 27419:18  <b>Sergeant</b><sup>[22]</sup> - 27223:8, 27223:12, 27223:20, 27224:14, 27226:25, 27227:7, 27227:10, 27228:20, 27231:10, 27232:16, 27232:20, 27233:8, 27233:24, 27234:1, 27235:2, 27235:8, 27273:1, 27410:20, 27413:21, 27413:23, 27413:25  <b>serious</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27248:1  <b>seriously</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27239:1  <b>served</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27312:10, 27313:11  <b>Service</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27205:8  <b>services</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 27406:1  <b>session</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 27305:16, 27397:10  <b>set</b><sup>[11]</sup> - 27236:16, 27303:25, 27310:10, 27337:8, 27367:14, 27400:17, 27407:20, 27442:5, 27445:4, 27449:8, 27450:8</p>
--	---	--	--	---



<p><b>sets</b> [4] - 27240:6, 27269:5, 27432:12, 27432:14</p> <p><b>setting</b> [2] - 27270:3, 27271:13</p> <p><b>seven</b> [1] - 27279:25</p> <p><b>Sex</b> [1] - 27284:1</p> <p><b>sexual</b> [11] - 27218:6, 27219:6, 27341:22, 27348:13, 27433:1, 27439:1, 27439:8, 27439:25, 27440:23, 27441:4, 27444:19</p> <p><b>Sgt</b> [1] - 27410:7</p> <p><b>shake</b> [1] - 27265:19</p> <p><b>Shane</b> [6] - 27391:8, 27391:14, 27392:10, 27392:24, 27393:1, 27406:2</p> <p><b>Shane's</b> [1] - 27405:13</p> <p><b>shaped</b> [1] - 27435:23</p> <p><b>share</b> [1] - 27257:19</p> <p><b>shared</b> [1] - 27220:7</p> <p><b>shed</b> [6] - 27291:7, 27296:5, 27315:18, 27377:20, 27411:8, 27412:20</p> <p><b>sheet</b> [2] - 27390:20, 27390:24</p> <p><b>Shirley</b> [5] - 27250:11, 27250:13, 27250:22, 27254:11, 27255:3</p> <p><b>short</b> [2] - 27330:16, 27382:17</p> <p><b>Short</b> [8] - 27333:22, 27334:4, 27390:9, 27390:13, 27410:21, 27410:23, 27411:8, 27411:13</p> <p><b>shorthand</b> [1] - 27458:5</p> <p><b>shortly</b> [4] - 27219:19, 27445:15, 27450:21, 27451:10</p> <p><b>shots</b> [1] - 27454:4</p> <p><b>Show</b> [4] - 27250:11, 27250:13, 27254:11, 27255:3</p> <p><b>show</b> [15] - 27250:16, 27250:18, 27263:22, 27265:9, 27278:3, 27298:23, 27303:21, 27335:24, 27350:2, 27350:17, 27352:11, 27360:15, 27363:1, 27394:24, 27401:21</p> <p><b>showed</b> [7] - 27250:13, 27266:4, 27301:2, 27309:4, 27315:3, 27383:25, 27384:1</p> <p><b>showing</b> [2] - 27288:1,</p>	<p>27288:9</p> <p><b>shown</b> [2] - 27231:1, 27261:16</p> <p><b>shows</b> [5] - 27218:9, 27341:11, 27368:17, 27375:5, 27405:8</p> <p><b>shut</b> [1] - 27245:22</p> <p><b>sic</b> [1] - 27232:10</p> <p><b>side</b> [4] - 27286:1, 27286:6, 27286:12, 27323:18</p> <p><b>sides</b> [2] - 27276:16, 27358:1</p> <p><b>signature</b> [1] - 27417:10</p> <p><b>significance</b> [5] - 27238:17, 27256:6, 27342:8, 27403:19, 27451:19</p> <p><b>significant</b> [3] - 27324:4, 27405:8, 27411:2</p> <p><b>significantly</b> [1] - 27236:5</p> <p><b>silence</b> [1] - 27245:21</p> <p><b>similar</b> [16] - 27212:15, 27248:5, 27248:16, 27249:13, 27249:18, 27258:14, 27300:25, 27325:10, 27325:13, 27326:9, 27327:6, 27346:2, 27396:22, 27407:18, 27409:18, 27411:20</p> <p><b>similarities</b> [1] - 27454:3</p> <p><b>similarly</b> [2] - 27381:24, 27436:24</p> <p><b>Simon</b> [1] - 27254:13</p> <p><b>simply</b> [12] - 27237:21, 27257:25, 27263:8, 27280:21, 27306:20, 27338:11, 27340:4, 27340:10, 27393:18, 27448:25, 27452:8, 27455:18</p> <p><b>simultaneously</b> [1] - 27454:1</p> <p><b>sin</b> [1] - 27344:25</p> <p><b>sincerely</b> [1] - 27268:8</p> <p><b>sins</b> [1] - 27420:1</p> <p><b>sitting</b> [1] - 27203:15</p> <p><b>sittings</b> [1] - 27378:12</p> <p><b>situation</b> [3] - 27281:3, 27405:17, 27423:13</p> <p><b>situations</b> [1] - 27396:12</p> <p><b>six</b> [2] - 27257:7, 27268:14</p> <p><b>size</b> [1] - 27236:4</p>	<p><b>sketches</b> [1] - 27281:11</p> <p><b>skewed</b> [1] - 27221:20</p> <p><b>skill</b> [1] - 27458:6</p> <p><b>skip</b> [1] - 27261:2</p> <p><b>slightest</b> [1] - 27247:1</p> <p><b>slow</b> [1] - 27245:7</p> <p><b>smart</b> [1] - 27455:11</p> <p><b>smart</b> [1] - 27239:7</p> <p><b>smokes</b> [1] - 27260:22</p> <p><b>smoking</b> [2] - 27405:7, 27414:25</p> <p><b>snippet</b> [1] - 27290:20</p> <p><b>society</b> [1] - 27272:18</p> <p><b>solely</b> [1] - 27409:2</p> <p><b>solicited</b> [1] - 27369:4</p> <p><b>solicitor</b> [1] - 27225:17</p> <p><b>solved</b> [1] - 27251:6</p> <p><b>somehow</b> [1] - 27375:11</p> <p><b>someone</b> [14] - 27207:14, 27213:17, 27220:6, 27224:20, 27224:22, 27232:17, 27276:5, 27289:14, 27375:17, 27377:4, 27408:1, 27408:6, 27408:24, 27422:3</p> <p><b>sometime</b> [2] - 27221:4, 27403:7</p> <p><b>Sometime</b> [1] - 27209:10</p> <p><b>Sometimes</b> [1] - 27241:10</p> <p><b>somewhat</b> [5] - 27229:15, 27327:4, 27360:3, 27369:9, 27374:1</p> <p><b>somewhere</b> [1] - 27246:3</p> <p><b>sophistication</b> [1] - 27368:17</p> <p><b>Sopinka</b> [1] - 27354:2</p> <p><b>Sorochan</b> [2] - 27205:15, 27456:11</p> <p><b>Sorry</b> [10] - 27233:6, 27238:23, 27278:22, 27286:19, 27299:5, 27324:22, 27343:20, 27394:7, 27397:1, 27438:11</p> <p><b>sorry</b> [30] - 27209:6, 27211:25, 27212:10, 27225:14, 27228:2, 27232:13, 27252:11, 27262:20, 27263:1, 27263:20, 27266:12, 27278:23, 27289:12, 27299:1, 27299:2, 27299:4, 27304:21, 27314:21, 27325:7,</p>	<p>27333:13, 27341:4, 27345:15, 27348:17, 27352:6, 27408:23, 27410:17, 27436:1, 27438:17, 27439:4, 27453:2</p> <p><b>sort</b> [12] - 27243:25, 27249:13, 27252:13, 27255:9, 27262:13, 27269:20, 27285:13, 27306:22, 27333:9, 27340:13, 27385:9, 27453:8</p> <p><b>sought</b> [4] - 27264:16, 27358:14, 27361:11, 27362:19</p> <p><b>sound</b> [2] - 27218:18, 27346:1</p> <p><b>Sounds</b> [2] - 27373:18, 27373:20</p> <p><b>source</b> [12] - 27223:10, 27223:24, 27224:16, 27226:12, 27231:18, 27232:17, 27233:10, 27234:3, 27234:5, 27234:19, 27235:10, 27408:14</p> <p><b>sources</b> [1] - 27217:2</p> <p><b>speaking</b> [3] - 27240:8, 27397:16, 27455:14</p> <p><b>speaks</b> [4] - 27216:2, 27216:3, 27216:4, 27430:7</p> <p><b>special</b> [1] - 27325:4</p> <p><b>specialist</b> [1] - 27279:23</p> <p><b>specific</b> [16] - 27218:19, 27220:3, 27240:20, 27242:10, 27284:16, 27288:22, 27312:12, 27321:20, 27328:11, 27333:8, 27334:10, 27344:17, 27351:10, 27361:1, 27362:6, 27433:23</p> <p><b>specifically</b> [9] - 27209:6, 27227:8, 27261:22, 27286:15, 27296:19, 27302:20, 27353:13, 27364:6, 27431:3</p> <p><b>specifics</b> [2] - 27433:23, 27443:2</p> <p><b>specified</b> [2] - 27320:11, 27320:12</p> <p><b>speech</b> [1] - 27250:9</p> <p><b>speed</b> [1] - 27331:21</p> <p><b>spend</b> [3] - 27268:14, 27272:8, 27387:23</p> <p><b>spent</b> [5] - 27264:7,</p>	<p>27272:8, 27281:18, 27324:4, 27350:23</p> <p><b>spermatozoa</b> [1] - 27371:14</p> <p><b>split</b> [1] - 27286:6</p> <p><b>spoken</b> [1] - 27253:21</p> <p><b>spot</b> [4] - 27276:22, 27337:24, 27402:17, 27455:10</p> <p><b>spur</b> [1] - 27269:6</p> <p><b>stack</b> [1] - 27247:23</p> <p><b>Staff</b> [2] - 27204:1, 27204:7</p> <p><b>staff</b> [2] - 27339:5, 27378:3</p> <p><b>stage</b> [1] - 27371:16</p> <p><b>stain</b> [2] - 27280:1, 27280:4</p> <p><b>stakes</b> [2] - 27275:7, 27276:7</p> <p><b>stance</b> [1] - 27456:12</p> <p><b>stand</b> [6] - 27293:7, 27343:21, 27353:10, 27369:23, 27372:25, 27434:5</p> <p><b>standard</b> [1] - 27249:17</p> <p><b>standing</b> [6] - 27328:5, 27361:11, 27361:13, 27361:14, 27362:19, 27363:8</p> <p><b>Starphoenix</b> [2] - 27210:19, 27261:11</p> <p><b>start</b> [7] - 27272:4, 27274:22, 27331:24, 27338:3, 27354:18, 27448:24, 27456:12</p> <p><b>started</b> [5] - 27251:11, 27276:12, 27276:15, 27450:3, 27453:13</p> <p><b>starting</b> [3] - 27224:24, 27255:1, 27306:9</p> <p><b>State</b> [2] - 27279:6, 27426:18</p> <p><b>state</b> [4] - 27214:22, 27215:24, 27341:20, 27431:8</p> <p><b>statement</b> [53] - 27209:19, 27230:21, 27260:20, 27260:21, 27262:19, 27263:1, 27269:15, 27270:12, 27270:14, 27280:15, 27293:4, 27293:7, 27293:8, 27293:11, 27293:24, 27293:25, 27294:13, 27294:17, 27294:19, 27294:25, 27295:8, 27296:4, 27296:14, 27297:11, 27301:22, 27304:7,</p>
---	---	---	--	---



<p>27304:8, 27304:13, 27305:16, 27309:16, 27322:12, 27366:12, 27374:5, 27374:24, 27374:25, 27375:2, 27375:6, 27376:12, 27376:13, 27376:16, 27377:5, 27377:10, 27377:15, 27377:16, 27377:19, 27407:13, 27416:15, 27423:17, 27427:14, 27428:20, 27453:5</p> <p><b>statement-taker'</b> [1] - 27375:6</p> <p><b>statement-taking</b> [2] - 27305:16, 27375:2</p> <p><b>statements</b> [14] - 27363:18, 27375:22, 27376:3, 27376:9, 27376:10, 27376:17, 27376:18, 27376:25, 27377:2, 27389:10, 27389:12, 27389:25, 27424:8, 27426:24</p> <p><b>States</b> [2] - 27256:17, 27256:25</p> <p><b>stating</b> [2] - 27340:16, 27432:20</p> <p><b>station</b> [1] - 27224:17</p> <p><b>status</b> [11] - 27277:19, 27366:3, 27366:17, 27366:25, 27369:16, 27369:23, 27378:18, 27393:15, 27398:7, 27398:15, 27398:25</p> <p><b>stay</b> [13] - 27236:22, 27386:19, 27431:7, 27445:6, 27446:10, 27446:21, 27446:22, 27447:19, 27448:10, 27448:11, 27448:13, 27449:9</p> <p><b>stayed</b> [1] - 27431:7</p> <p><b>step</b> [4] - 27272:3, 27294:22, 27359:7, 27436:4</p> <p><b>stepping</b> [1] - 27237:25</p> <p><b>steps</b> [5] - 27214:24, 27216:11, 27240:18, 27422:12, 27432:9</p> <p><b>stick</b> [2] - 27258:8, 27324:24</p> <p><b>still</b> [15] - 27243:21, 27250:5, 27252:2, 27252:6, 27253:5, 27263:13, 27299:21, 27335:1, 27338:21, 27359:15, 27371:18, 27379:15, 27417:13,</p>	<p>27429:5, 27453:8</p> <p><b>stir</b> [1] - 27454:13</p> <p><b>stoned</b> [1] - 27382:3</p> <p><b>stood</b> [3] - 27286:22, 27287:2, 27288:21</p> <p><b>stop</b> [6] - 27245:20, 27261:9, 27291:16, 27292:2, 27292:3</p> <p><b>stories</b> [2] - 27407:1, 27407:10</p> <p><b>stormy</b> [1] - 27374:1</p> <p><b>story</b> [7] - 27235:15, 27245:5, 27251:5, 27251:11, 27269:17, 27420:21, 27435:24</p> <p><b>straight</b> [2] - 27375:5, 27377:2</p> <p><b>strategy</b> [1] - 27382:24</p> <p><b>street</b> [2] - 27274:12, 27281:12</p> <p><b>stress</b> [4] - 27291:23, 27385:15, 27405:14, 27413:19</p> <p><b>stressful</b> [1] - 27281:3</p> <p><b>stretch</b> [1] - 27272:13</p> <p><b>strict</b> [1] - 27312:6</p> <p><b>structure</b> [1] - 27305:15</p> <p><b>student</b> [2] - 27254:15, 27330:12</p> <p><b>stuff</b> [2] - 27258:6, 27307:22</p> <p><b>subject</b> [7] - 27247:24, 27269:9, 27269:12, 27335:9, 27411:9, 27414:11, 27456:16</p> <p><b>subjected</b> [1] - 27428:4</p> <p><b>submission</b> [5] - 27263:15, 27349:23, 27350:6, 27395:12, 27422:25</p> <p><b>submissions</b> [7] - 27318:3, 27351:2, 27394:10, 27394:20, 27394:21, 27394:22, 27395:2</p> <p><b>submit</b> [1] - 27318:8</p> <p><b>submits</b> [2] - 27395:13, 27423:21</p> <p><b>submitted</b> [9] - 27215:19, 27369:10, 27396:11, 27396:19, 27423:11, 27425:21, 27426:3, 27428:16, 27428:17</p> <p><b>subpoenas</b> [1] - 27378:10</p> <p><b>subsection</b> [2] - 27312:18, 27312:21</p> <p><b>subsequent</b> [7] - 27221:5, 27307:3,</p>	<p>27322:19, 27341:6, 27434:16, 27440:21, 27444:7</p> <p><b>subsequently</b> [2] - 27366:6, 27437:24</p> <p><b>substantial</b> [2] - 27267:6, 27325:15</p> <p><b>substantive</b> [2] - 27249:23, 27327:15</p> <p><b>sudden</b> [2] - 27276:19, 27310:6</p> <p><b>suffice</b> [1] - 27444:2</p> <p><b>sufficient</b> [3] - 27214:6, 27292:21, 27398:3</p> <p><b>suggest</b> [8] - 27207:10, 27209:14, 27291:5, 27303:17, 27333:21, 27343:22, 27364:17, 27419:24</p> <p><b>suggested</b> [2] - 27208:19, 27227:2</p> <p><b>suggesting</b> [6] - 27211:18, 27211:23, 27234:5, 27274:2, 27388:8, 27449:13</p> <p><b>suggestion</b> [2] - 27274:4, 27411:19</p> <p><b>suggestions</b> [3] - 27228:9, 27309:15, 27408:21</p> <p><b>suggests</b> [2] - 27212:18, 27297:6</p> <p><b>summaries</b> [1] - 27403:12</p> <p><b>summarize</b> [4] - 27222:13, 27237:8, 27428:9, 27446:14</p> <p><b>summarizes</b> [1] - 27427:22</p> <p><b>summary</b> [19] - 27207:16, 27241:20, 27271:22, 27350:22, 27379:17, 27403:4, 27403:9, 27403:13, 27405:11, 27411:5, 27414:15, 27415:11, 27415:21, 27424:5, 27424:18, 27428:1, 27428:14, 27433:19, 27435:24</p> <p><b>support</b> [7] - 27211:14, 27236:4, 27323:11, 27324:3, 27324:8, 27369:11, 27429:25</p> <p><b>Support</b> [1] - 27204:7</p> <p><b>supported</b> [1] - 27431:10</p> <p><b>supporters</b> [1] - 27453:18</p> <p><b>supporting</b> [1] -</p>	<p>27211:13</p> <p><b>supports</b> [1] - 27436:19</p> <p><b>suppose</b> [3] - 27353:9, 27367:21, 27376:20</p> <p><b>supposed</b> [3] - 27314:11, 27315:17, 27317:12</p> <p><b>Supreme</b> [81] - 27297:8, 27297:22, 27297:23, 27298:7, 27302:19, 27302:21, 27302:22, 27317:18, 27318:8, 27319:10, 27319:15, 27319:18, 27319:19, 27319:21, 27319:23, 27322:10, 27322:23, 27322:24, 27323:4, 27327:17, 27331:14, 27336:24, 27339:16, 27340:7, 27340:12, 27342:16, 27350:21, 27351:9, 27353:18, 27357:21, 27359:19, 27362:13, 27363:22, 27365:3, 27365:16, 27373:21, 27378:18, 27379:20, 27380:4, 27380:22, 27381:1, 27381:3, 27381:15, 27381:18, 27382:16, 27385:16, 27392:12, 27392:25, 27393:24, 27398:8, 27399:12, 27400:17, 27403:21, 27405:3, 27410:10, 27412:25, 27413:13, 27413:20, 27422:11, 27422:17, 27423:2, 27424:13, 27429:3, 27430:5, 27432:6, 27434:3, 27435:9, 27435:17, 27436:12, 27438:15, 27439:11, 27441:10, 27445:21, 27446:2, 27446:23, 27447:14, 27447:21, 27451:1, 27451:14, 27453:16</p> <p><b>surmise</b> [1] - 27376:8</p> <p><b>surprise</b> [3] - 27369:9, 27392:4, 27392:6</p> <p><b>surprised</b> [1] - 27360:3</p> <p><b>surprising</b> [1] - 27358:2</p> <p><b>survival</b> [1] - 27281:23</p> <p><b>susceptible</b> [2] - 27385:12, 27385:13</p> <p><b>suspect</b> [4] - 27212:4, 27218:23, 27224:21, 27336:9</p> <p><b>suspicious</b> [1] -</p>	<p>27245:23</p> <p><b>suspicious</b> [1] - 27244:1</p> <p><b>swears</b> [1] - 27413:2</p> <p><b>sweeping</b> [1] - 27450:7</p> <p><b>sworn</b> [6] - 27255:10, 27255:15, 27293:24, 27294:24, 27296:3, 27413:1</p> <p><b>sympathize</b> [1] - 27309:9</p> <p><b>system</b> [15] - 27250:4, 27269:21, 27270:8, 27270:15, 27270:16, 27270:20, 27271:18, 27272:4, 27272:6, 27272:18, 27272:19, 27274:9, 27276:13, 27358:4, 27445:25</p> <p><b>systemic</b> [1] - 27264:6</p>
<b>T</b>				
<p><b>tab</b> [1] - 27356:23</p> <p><b>tack</b> [1] - 27270:24</p> <p><b>tact</b> [4] - 27264:11, 27271:4, 27271:7, 27284:4</p> <p><b>tactical</b> [1] - 27456:18</p> <p><b>tactics</b> [5] - 27283:10, 27283:12, 27382:23, 27426:22, 27427:3</p> <p><b>tail</b> [1] - 27429:14</p> <p><b>taint</b> [1] - 27375:12</p> <p><b>tainted</b> [3] - 27375:10, 27375:12, 27375:13</p> <p><b>taker'</b> [1] - 27375:6</p> <p><b>talks</b> [17] - 27226:25, 27250:3, 27250:4, 27277:24, 27284:1, 27318:1, 27362:12, 27363:5, 27363:14, 27365:10, 27384:16, 27390:6, 27398:23, 27399:24, 27419:12, 27419:15, 27424:1</p> <p><b>Tallis</b> [21] - 27205:13, 27269:14, 27341:23, 27361:18, 27361:22, 27362:1, 27362:5, 27379:13, 27380:8, 27380:9, 27380:14, 27380:21, 27381:7, 27381:20, 27388:24, 27389:6, 27413:6, 27413:15, 27419:3</p> <p><b>Tallis</b> [5] - 27303:6, 27380:21, 27381:14, 27381:25, 27382:7</p>				



<p><b>tame</b> [1] - 27246:8  <b>tape</b> [13] - 27261:14, 27284:24, 27301:2, 27306:11, 27316:5, 27363:24, 27364:2, 27364:3, 27367:11, 27374:4, 27379:6, 27379:9, 27451:18  <b>taped</b> [3] - 27248:24, 27329:16, 27330:2  <b>tapes</b> [3] - 27301:11, 27329:19, 27456:3  <b>taste</b> [2] - 27428:22, 27429:8  <b>taught</b> [1] - 27312:21  <b>Tdr</b>[1] - 27205:5  <b>teaching</b> [1] - 27279:6  <b>Technician</b>[1] - 27204:12  <b>techniques</b> [1] - 27428:5  <b>telephone</b> [4] - 27234:18, 27242:14, 27248:12, 27250:19  <b>television</b> [1] - 27451:12  <b>tempting</b> [2] - 27222:11, 27222:22  <b>ten</b> [1] - 27285:23  <b>tenor</b> [1] - 27243:4  <b>term</b> [4] - 27238:12, 27284:3, 27385:3, 27415:2  <b>terms</b> [4] - 27300:7, 27312:3, 27433:24, 27456:5  <b>Terms</b>[1] - 27436:10  <b>Terry</b>[2] - 27210:19, 27366:9  <b>test</b> [21] - 27294:25, 27300:14, 27366:5, 27367:1, 27367:8, 27367:15, 27368:13, 27368:15, 27368:16, 27369:17, 27383:25, 27393:18, 27394:11, 27394:15, 27394:22, 27395:20, 27396:19, 27400:18, 27432:14, 27432:15, 27442:5  <b>tested</b> [5] - 27283:1, 27366:16, 27368:21, 27368:25, 27370:17  <b>testified</b> [17] - 27224:15, 27231:11, 27251:14, 27260:3, 27284:5, 27297:8, 27304:4, 27304:6, 27372:5, 27373:21, 27381:17, 27393:6,</p>	<p>27410:10, 27416:20, 27435:10, 27435:11  <b>testify</b> [15] - 27261:7, 27297:7, 27333:15, 27334:1, 27345:14, 27362:2, 27372:4, 27372:8, 27379:19, 27385:4, 27410:12, 27412:18, 27412:21, 27414:2, 27414:18  <b>testifying</b> [4] - 27254:21, 27386:12, 27386:16, 27388:23  <b>Testimony</b>[1] - 27203:14  <b>testimony</b> [6] - 27404:25, 27411:22, 27438:22, 27439:20, 27456:7, 27456:13  <b>testing</b> [5] - 27358:6, 27368:17, 27393:15, 27393:16, 27406:13  <b>tests</b> [2] - 27366:19, 27369:12  <b>Texas</b>[1] - 27279:6  <b>Thafl</b> [1] - 27262:5  <b>themselves</b> [7] - 27217:14, 27217:20, 27237:19, 27348:21, 27374:10, 27374:19, 27396:9  <b>theory</b> [15] - 27273:18, 27406:25, 27407:2, 27407:7, 27407:20, 27407:22, 27407:24, 27408:2, 27408:11, 27408:25, 27414:5, 27424:1, 27425:23, 27426:9, 27454:10  <b>thereabouts</b> [2] - 27247:13, 27379:15  <b>therefore</b> [14] - 27244:20, 27272:10, 27283:2, 27300:14, 27334:15, 27344:5, 27348:2, 27348:7, 27349:24, 27366:14, 27370:22, 27421:10, 27426:3, 27428:16  <b>Theresa</b>[1] - 27225:16  <b>they've</b> [1] - 27306:14  <b>thinking</b> [7] - 27212:4, 27263:17, 27263:19, 27282:18, 27307:16, 27421:7, 27421:8  <b>third</b> [5] - 27232:24, 27338:9, 27342:23, 27353:9, 27397:5  <b>thorough</b> [2] - 27211:6, 27212:22</p>	<p><b>thoughts</b> [2] - 27227:11, 27311:17  <b>threatened</b> [2] - 27345:24, 27346:16  <b>three</b> [17] - 27253:8, 27268:16, 27286:16, 27289:3, 27302:10, 27302:11, 27334:22, 27347:3, 27348:24, 27352:22, 27357:11, 27397:19, 27401:5, 27435:14, 27436:9, 27439:4, 27439:19  <b>threw</b> [2] - 27258:7, 27381:14  <b>throughout</b> [3] - 27335:25, 27356:25, 27448:14  <b>throw</b> [1] - 27381:9  <b>Thursday</b>[2] - 27203:21, 27222:3  <b>tick</b> [1] - 27244:24  <b>tied</b> [1] - 27348:22  <b>tight</b> [1] - 27247:7  <b>timeline</b> [1] - 27242:18  <b>timely</b> [1] - 27237:16  <b>Timing</b>[1] - 27331:22  <b>today</b> [6] - 27294:8, 27338:13, 27338:15, 27338:23, 27370:6, 27396:23  <b>Today</b>[1] - 27419:24  <b>today's</b> [1] - 27368:17  <b>Toews</b>[1] - 27205:12  <b>together</b> [5] - 27385:20, 27401:12, 27404:10, 27437:9, 27440:3  <b>Tom</b>[3] - 27207:15, 27210:2, 27233:9  <b>tomorrow</b> [2] - 27455:13, 27457:1  <b>ton</b> [1] - 27403:25  <b>tone</b> [2] - 27242:13, 27244:22  <b>Tony</b>[3] - 27329:21, 27364:16, 27402:5  <b>took</b> [25] - 27214:23, 27222:6, 27223:15, 27234:4, 27240:20, 27255:18, 27255:19, 27258:5, 27269:25, 27270:24, 27284:5, 27294:10, 27294:11, 27294:12, 27294:15, 27374:6, 27377:19, 27424:2, 27431:4, 27432:9, 27434:19, 27441:18, 27442:19, 27442:25, 27445:15  <b>top</b> [3] - 27355:8,</p>	<p>27438:14, 27450:5  <b>totally</b> [4] - 27267:3, 27268:11, 27268:12, 27309:9  <b>touch</b> [2] - 27259:7, 27385:13  <b>touched</b> [5] - 27214:8, 27215:3, 27275:3, 27363:9, 27426:25  <b>touches</b> [1] - 27247:10  <b>toward</b> [1] - 27288:23  <b>towards</b> [6] - 27239:24, 27245:17, 27249:4, 27417:14, 27429:14, 27456:19  <b>track</b> [1] - 27245:11  <b>train</b> [1] - 27245:11  <b>transcribed</b> [2] - 27305:11, 27360:17  <b>transcript</b> [31] - 27238:5, 27250:11, 27250:15, 27261:14, 27264:2, 27288:1, 27305:2, 27306:5, 27306:13, 27315:2, 27315:4, 27315:8, 27315:24, 27316:9, 27317:5, 27317:6, 27317:16, 27339:2, 27339:7, 27360:16, 27360:18, 27371:23, 27371:24, 27371:25, 27373:4, 27388:20, 27392:11, 27397:3, 27451:8, 27451:23  <b>Transcript</b>[2] - 27203:12, 27207:1  <b>transcription</b> [1] - 27458:5  <b>transcripts</b> [6] - 27247:9, 27363:25, 27364:2, 27364:14, 27381:5, 27451:11  <b>traumatic</b> [3] - 27405:14, 27405:18, 27413:19  <b>travel</b> [1] - 27291:21  <b>treated</b> [4] - 27240:4, 27240:5, 27248:1, 27427:24  <b>treatment</b> [1] - 27345:3  <b>trial</b> [69] - 27222:8, 27249:9, 27251:2, 27256:9, 27258:19, 27260:4, 27260:8, 27262:11, 27279:22, 27293:7, 27293:9, 27294:1, 27294:7, 27294:24, 27295:1, 27296:5, 27296:9,</p>	<p>27296:17, 27296:23, 27297:14, 27300:3, 27300:16, 27300:22, 27300:23, 27301:22, 27302:12, 27303:2, 27303:8, 27304:4, 27304:13, 27315:21, 27333:11, 27333:20, 27340:24, 27341:6, 27343:1, 27344:20, 27345:23, 27371:23, 27373:12, 27395:23, 27396:15, 27399:8, 27401:13, 27401:18, 27431:5, 27432:22, 27433:10, 27434:2, 27434:4, 27434:12, 27434:13, 27436:6, 27438:21, 27441:5, 27441:13, 27441:14, 27441:20, 27441:21, 27441:23, 27443:13, 27444:10, 27445:4, 27445:7, 27446:17, 27446:25, 27448:2, 27448:19  <b>trial</b>' [1] - 27374:19  <b>tried</b> [3] - 27207:12, 27270:23, 27387:25  <b>trier</b> [1] - 27296:16  <b>trigger</b> [1] - 27269:5  <b>trip</b> [4] - 27316:12, 27317:10, 27407:8  <b>trouble</b> [4] - 27220:2, 27236:22, 27247:19, 27250:12  <b>true</b> [15] - 27214:7, 27235:4, 27236:20, 27273:21, 27273:24, 27274:12, 27294:20, 27304:6, 27316:17, 27343:1, 27350:12, 27379:11, 27388:9, 27422:13, 27458:5  <b>Truscott</b>[1] - 27395:15  <b>truth</b> [6] - 27282:13, 27282:14, 27301:25, 27310:4, 27323:18, 27358:4  <b>try</b> [6] - 27244:2, 27258:7, 27268:2, 27270:7, 27295:14, 27295:17  <b>Try</b>[1] - 27246:8  <b>trying</b> [17] - 27212:8, 27246:7, 27246:10, 27271:11, 27271:12, 27288:3, 27288:4, 27288:12, 27324:6, 27359:7, 27371:2,</p>
--	--	--	--	---



27372:2, 27387:17, 27388:15, 27393:22, 27397:12, 27420:18 <b>Tuesday</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27209:11 <b>turn</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 27305:5, 27321:20, 27323:12, 27329:11, 27402:21, 27422:24 <b>turned</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27266:11, 27328:19 <b>turning</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27330:7 <b>twice</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27301:6 <b>Two</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27340:24, 27348:11 <b>two</b> <sup>[50]</sup> - 27208:2, 27208:10, 27210:5, 27212:11, 27229:18, 27240:6, 27251:13, 27252:18, 27256:15, 27281:3, 27286:2, 27286:3, 27289:3, 27289:4, 27292:13, 27294:12, 27300:1, 27300:3, 27330:12, 27336:16, 27336:17, 27337:16, 27341:13, 27343:11, 27344:21, 27345:9, 27345:17, 27348:22, 27349:14, 27353:2, 27357:25, 27361:20, 27370:19, 27397:20, 27405:14, 27407:10, 27407:23, 27425:10, 27440:10, 27447:4, 27449:16, 27451:11, 27452:2, 27452:5, 27452:12, 27452:17, 27452:24, 27455:11 <b>two-day</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27407:10 <b>twofold</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27237:8, 27243:17 <b>type</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 27241:19, 27256:18, 27387:6, 27406:15 <b>typically</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27239:6	27285:25, 27287:16, 27294:5, 27330:18, 27332:8, 27364:21, 27373:14, 27380:7, 27385:2, 27386:6, 27386:15, 27418:1 <b>Umm</b> <sup>[15]</sup> - 27235:10, 27238:19, 27239:3, 27242:14, 27284:18, 27284:19, 27287:11, 27294:12, 27294:15, 27324:2, 27332:7, 27372:22, 27382:15, 27418:1, 27420:12 <b>unadopted</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27297:10 <b>unavailability</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27303:9 <b>unbelievable</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27262:5 <b>uncommon</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27260:9 <b>Under</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27426:18 <b>under</b> <sup>[22]</sup> - 27212:12, 27215:10, 27238:14, 27264:24, 27306:20, 27307:15, 27308:13, 27308:18, 27313:6, 27314:22, 27318:22, 27319:9, 27319:15, 27319:17, 27319:19, 27319:22, 27336:20, 27378:18, 27395:20, 27398:7, 27400:19, 27428:19 <b>understood</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27246:17, 27345:6 <b>undertook</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27369:12 <b>undo</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27216:11 <b>unfair</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27269:13 <b>unfavourable</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 27227:20, 27228:24, 27232:22, 27233:23, 27234:3, 27245:17 <b>unfold</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27251:22 <b>unfortunate</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27378:11 <b>unfortunately</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27275:5, 27338:21 <b>United</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27256:17, 27256:25 <b>University</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 27254:14, 27279:6, 27366:11 <b>unknown</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27249:13 <b>unleashed</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27268:9 <b>unless</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 27215:18, 27220:5, 27221:15,	27239:14 <b>unlike</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27312:2 <b>unreliable</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 27256:24, 27353:14, 27366:7 <b>unsafe</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27354:9 <b>unsolved</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27218:6 <b>unwilling</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27351:12 <b>Up</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27407:7 <b>up</b> <sup>[85]</sup> - 27207:8, 27213:10, 27213:15, 27213:19, 27213:24, 27214:9, 27214:24, 27215:25, 27216:14, 27229:7, 27230:1, 27233:6, 27234:25, 27235:14, 27236:17, 27237:2, 27241:12, 27243:6, 27247:8, 27248:6, 27248:9, 27258:1, 27268:21, 27268:23, 27269:5, 27276:16, 27276:20, 27277:2, 27290:12, 27297:19, 27298:4, 27299:2, 27299:4, 27302:2, 27302:19, 27306:14, 27307:9, 27307:25, 27310:10, 27314:11, 27316:5, 27316:14, 27330:20, 27338:21, 27347:13, 27349:14, 27355:23, 27356:1, 27367:4, 27367:14, 27368:20, 27370:6, 27372:25, 27373:2, 27375:2, 27375:22, 27376:4, 27376:18, 27381:19, 27385:17, 27385:18, 27387:22, 27388:19, 27394:17, 27394:18, 27397:1, 27397:2, 27397:3, 27408:3, 27408:7, 27409:1, 27416:2, 27419:2, 27419:25, 27424:12, 27438:2, 27438:17, 27449:6, 27450:24, 27453:19, 27454:13, 27454:16, 27454:22, 27455:2 <b>updates</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27245:6 <b>updating</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27285:13 <b>urine</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 27279:19, 27279:20, 27280:9, 27371:12, 27371:13, 27371:17 <b>Ute</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27343:13, 27390:10	<b>uttering</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27257:13  <b>V</b>  <b>V1</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27425:7 <b>V10</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 27273:22, 27410:5, 27410:6 <b>V11</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 27389:11 <b>V2</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27425:9 <b>V3</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27229:19 <b>V4</b> <sup>[12]</sup> - 27218:8, 27218:11, 27218:14, 27349:18, 27349:21, 27410:5, 27424:20, 27424:22 <b>V5</b> <sup>[7]</sup> - 27229:6, 27229:9, 27229:10, 27229:18, 27229:23, 27230:24, 27231:6 <b>V6</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27389:12 <b>V9</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 27389:11, 27389:12, 27424:25 <b>Vaguely</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 27222:19, 27223:17, 27299:8, 27380:17 <b>vaguely</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27380:17 <b>valid</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 27280:10, 27282:9, 27367:1 <b>validity</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27253:10 <b>value</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27228:5, 27301:21 <b>Vancouver</b> <sup>[7]</sup> - 27279:3, 27279:5, 27301:7, 27325:2, 27377:12, 27377:22, 27410:2 <b>Vanin</b> <sup>[13]</sup> - 27207:15, 27207:19, 27208:15, 27209:7, 27209:12, 27210:3, 27210:9, 27223:10, 27223:11, 27223:12, 27230:5, 27233:9, 27235:8 <b>vanished</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27208:20 <b>various</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 27251:8, 27305:12, 27396:23, 27431:10, 27451:5 <b>vast</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27294:19 <b>venue</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27303:6 <b>verbal</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27393:2 <b>verdict</b> <sup>[11]</sup> - 27349:5, 27350:9, 27401:15, 27401:23, 27433:13, 27437:13, 27440:6, 27441:12, 27441:22, 27445:8, 27448:16 <b>verified</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27263:3 <b>verify</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27393:22 <b>verifying</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27393:18	<b>version</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 27236:12, 27253:2, 27253:3, 27253:4, 27253:10, 27305:11 <b>versions</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27253:9 <b>versus</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27271:12 <b>vetted</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27224:4, 27230:11 <b>Vic</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27205:12 <b>victims</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27305:13, 27305:16 <b>Victor</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27279:23 <b>Victoria</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27420:14 <b>video</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 27250:13, 27410:15, 27412:10 <b>view</b> <sup>[43]</sup> - 27216:2, 27218:2, 27227:15, 27232:21, 27239:4, 27245:19, 27252:2, 27252:6, 27257:19, 27258:17, 27260:3, 27260:13, 27265:18, 27267:22, 27267:23, 27270:18, 27282:5, 27287:16, 27301:15, 27304:3, 27304:14, 27309:11, 27309:19, 27312:5, 27312:17, 27319:4, 27320:20, 27321:8, 27326:13, 27357:22, 27358:8, 27370:25, 27372:3, 27407:14, 27408:5, 27414:1, 27432:21, 27434:14, 27435:25, 27437:9, 27439:6, 27454:15, 27456:17 <b>viewed</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 27238:8, 27253:18, 27253:23, 27415:9 <b>viewing</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27301:9 <b>views</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 27234:11, 27234:12, 27283:15, 27314:4, 27384:17, 27447:18 <b>vindicated</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27433:18 <b>visiting</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27236:2, 27236:6 <b>visitor</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27409:16 <b>voice</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27312:13 <b>Volume</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 27203:22, 27356:15, 27356:23 <b>volume</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27328:24, 27328:25 <b>volumes</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27335:20, 27356:24 <b>vote</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27288:11, 27288:14 <b>voted</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 27286:11,
<b>U</b>				
<b>ugly</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27271:8 <b>ultimate</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 27240:2 <b>ultimately</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 27234:21, 27313:1 <b>umm</b> <sup>[24]</sup> - 27227:23, 27228:1, 27236:3, 27236:5, 27236:10, 27239:23, 27242:12, 27242:17, 27242:23, 27243:5, 27243:7,				



27287:23, 27289:3, 27289:5	27361:20, 27364:24, 27379:14, 27379:16, 27410:7, 27413:22	<b>witnesses</b> [88] - 27251:2, 27256:8, 27256:13, 27256:15, 27259:19, 27268:20, 27276:1, 27276:2, 27276:3, 27297:17, 27298:25, 27303:10, 27330:22, 27332:5, 27332:8, 27332:15, 27333:8, 27333:9, 27333:14, 27333:18, 27334:12, 27335:7, 27343:4, 27343:11, 27344:21, 27345:10, 27345:12, 27345:17, 27346:5, 27347:4, 27353:2, 27353:3, 27354:17, 27354:18, 27355:15, 27358:6, 27363:18, 27363:19, 27372:11, 27372:16, 27378:7, 27378:15, 27378:20, 27378:23, 27379:1, 27379:2, 27382:12, 27382:23, 27382:25, 27383:4, 27383:10, 27383:19, 27383:21, 27384:5, 27384:8, 27384:13, 27397:9, 27399:1, 27403:15, 27404:3, 27405:10, 27406:25, 27407:21, 27408:4, 27408:8, 27409:1, 27410:19, 27410:21, 27411:13, 27411:17, 27412:5, 27412:22, 27417:13, 27424:4, 27426:15, 27426:22, 27427:4, 27427:8, 27427:17, 27433:3, 27435:8, 27435:15, 27435:23, 27436:9, 27436:15, 27443:10, 27447:17	27285:9, 27285:14, 27286:1, 27288:4, 27288:15, 27288:18, 27288:19, 27289:7, 27289:9, 27289:20, 27290:1, 27290:8, 27292:4, 27294:11, 27299:3, 27299:11, 27299:16, 27300:9, 27311:16, 27318:25, 27323:9, 27323:21, 27324:4, 27328:1, 27330:19, 27330:23, 27333:4, 27335:14, 27338:8, 27361:11, 27361:12, 27361:16, 27362:22, 27362:25, 27363:14, 27364:25, 27365:22, 27378:2, 27379:22, 27380:6, 27380:7, 27380:9, 27380:17, 27382:18, 27383:18, 27384:8, 27390:6, 27391:8, 27393:3, 27395:13, 27402:5, 27402:9, 27402:13, 27403:1, 27410:18, 27412:10, 27412:15, 27413:3, 27416:10, 27417:11, 27417:19, 27417:21, 27420:4, 27423:9, 27429:12, 27431:17, 27434:17, 27441:19, 27453:3, 27453:8, 27453:13, 27454:8	27346:1, 27353:23, 27396:8, 27405:10 <b>workings</b> [1] - 27288:14 <b>world</b> [4] - 27267:12, 27277:22, 27278:9, 27326:14 <b>worry</b> [1] - 27236:25 <b>worry'</b> [1] - 27236:23 <b>worth</b> [1] - 27422:21 <b>wound</b> [1] - 27276:16 <b>writing</b> [3] - 27321:25, 27363:16, 27393:21 <b>written</b> [3] - 27394:20, 27394:21, 27406:3 <b>wrong'</b> [2] - 27368:14, 27368:15 <b>wrongdoing</b> [2] - 27447:10, 27449:21 <b>wrongful</b> [7] - 27267:7, 27312:24, 27313:18, 27314:20, 27347:13, 27450:19 <b>Wrongful</b> [1] - 27203:3 <b>wrongfully</b> [5] - 27267:12, 27271:22, 27345:24, 27411:22, 27412:4 <b>wrongly</b> [1] - 27346:15
<b>W</b>	<b>Williams</b> [1] - 27361:25 <b>Wilson</b> [45] - 27205:7, 27280:17, 27280:21, 27281:4, 27281:11, 27282:13, 27282:17, 27304:9, 27305:3, 27306:3, 27315:1, 27315:5, 27315:8, 27315:12, 27315:25, 27317:7, 27317:9, 27317:15, 27329:16, 27339:2, 27345:20, 27346:22, 27364:7, 27364:14, 27364:15, 27371:21, 27372:2, 27372:4, 27372:7, 27376:20, 27379:7, 27392:11, 27392:16, 27407:6, 27408:12, 27411:21, 27426:22, 27428:8, 27435:11, 27435:23, 27437:16, 27438:20, 27439:9, 27439:20, 27456:23 <b>Wilson's</b> [9] - 27269:15, 27280:15, 27282:3, 27316:22, 27317:16, 27322:10, 27322:12, 27438:15, 27439:11 <b>win</b> [1] - 27268:2 <b>wind</b> [1] - 27276:20 <b>window</b> [1] - 27381:11 <b>Winnipeg</b> [4] - 27236:3, 27236:6, 27391:16 <b>wiser</b> [1] - 27323:14 <b>wish</b> [5] - 27292:12, 27325:18, 27327:2, 27338:11, 27383:18 <b>wished</b> [1] - 27379:2 <b>withheld</b> [1] - 27423:23 <b>witness</b> [28] - 27304:1, 27327:16, 27327:18, 27327:22, 27330:23, 27332:10, 27332:25, 27333:4, 27334:9, 27334:11, 27334:16, 27353:9, 27353:11, 27354:20, 27355:7, 27356:10, 27372:9, 27372:23, 27373:1, 27383:1, 27386:2, 27390:6, 27394:1, 27415:24, 27416:21, 27424:8, 27438:21, 27456:12 <b>witness'</b> [2] - 27456:7, 27456:9	<b>Wolch's</b> [6] - 27278:7, 27323:12, 27382:13, 27383:2, 27383:13, 27404:21 <b>wonder</b> [3] - 27274:23, 27420:22, 27421:16 <b>wondering</b> [14] - 27220:25, 27223:2, 27235:24, 27252:10, 27286:8, 27310:8, 27360:9, 27362:17, 27410:9, 27410:11, 27422:10, 27422:16, 27428:23, 27433:21 <b>word</b> [6] - 27216:21, 27255:10, 27255:16, 27307:5, 27405:6, 27428:24 <b>words</b> [15] - 27228:6, 27233:20, 27237:17, 27244:5, 27244:8, 27253:20, 27260:8, 27287:4, 27296:4, 27321:13, 27326:7,	<b>Y</b>	
<b>wait</b> [3] - 27310:16, 27310:21, 27311:1 <b>wall</b> [1] - 27258:7 <b>wanna</b> [1] - 27244:23 <b>wants</b> [4] - 27261:20, 27359:22, 27374:22, 27457:5 <b>warfare</b> [1] - 27456:13 <b>warm</b> [1] - 27237:5 <b>watched</b> [1] - 27451:17 <b>watching</b> [2] - 27251:22, 27419:7 <b>Watson</b> [2] - 27317:15, 27371:21 <b>ways</b> [1] - 27252:18 <b>week</b> [6] - 27254:21, 27279:8, 27281:25, 27378:12, 27445:22, 27450:11 <b>weekend</b> [1] - 27283:7 <b>weeks</b> [4] - 27212:11, 27385:15, 27451:6, 27453:16 <b>weigh</b> [1] - 27395:21 <b>weight</b> [1] - 27301:14 <b>Weir</b> [4] - 27208:8, 27209:22, 27209:23 <b>whatsoever</b> [1] - 27369:12 <b>whereas</b> [1] - 27320:12 <b>White</b> [1] - 27204:12 <b>whole</b> [14] - 27218:20, 27296:21, 27297:15, 27307:13, 27307:22, 27317:1, 27353:18, 27369:1, 27375:11, 27375:13, 27382:10, 27388:18, 27420:8, 27421:2 <b>wider</b> [1] - 27312:19 <b>wife</b> [3] - 27241:7, 27241:8, 27373:11 <b>wild</b> [1] - 27251:15 <b>Williams</b> [26] - 27218:22, 27218:25, 27219:23, 27223:4, 27252:5, 27252:13, 27252:21, 27253:4, 27253:13, 27286:5, 27286:10, 27287:19, 27288:17, 27288:21, 27289:4, 27292:9, 27299:9, 27300:24, 27301:19, 27329:9,	<b>Yanko</b> [5] - 27261:10, 27261:11, 27261:19, 27261:25, 27262:24 <b>year</b> [2] - 27281:5, 27449:16 <b>years</b> [11] - 27208:1, 27208:2, 27208:10, 27209:24, 27209:25, 27268:14, 27268:16, 27281:9, 27334:22, 27388:12 <b>yesterday</b> [14] - 27207:12, 27214:9, 27215:3, 27216:21, 27220:12, 27258:15, 27263:14, 27266:5, 27269:11, 27282:25, 27338:3, 27366:22, 27369:20, 27424:21 <b>young</b> [1] - 27260:6 <b>yourself</b> [1] - 27281:22 <b>youth</b> [1] - 27281:12 <b>Yup</b> [1] - 27225:9			

