Commission of Inquiry

Into the Wrongful

Conviction of David Milgaard

before

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE

EDWARD P. MacCALLUM

Transcript of Proceedings

and

Testimony before the Commission sitting at

TCU Place at

Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

On Monday, May 29th, 2006

Volume 153

Inquiry Proceedings



Commission Staff:

Mr. Douglas C. Hodson, Commission Counsel

Ms. Sandra Boswell, Document Manager

Ms. Kara Isabelle, Document Assistant

Support Staff:

Ms. Irene Beitel, Clerk to the Commission

Ms. Karen Hinz, CSR, and Official Q.B. Court Reporters

Mr. Don Meyer, RPR, CSR,

Mr. Hugh Esson, Security Officer

Mr. Jay Boechler, Inland Audio Technician



Appearances:

Mr. Hersh Wolch, Q.C., for Mr. David Milgaard

Ms. Joanne McLean, for Ms. Joyce Milgaard

Ms. Lana Krogan-Stevely, for Government of Saskatchewan

Ms. Catherine Knox, for Mr. T.D.R. (Bobs) Caldwell

Mr. Garrett Wilson, Q.C., for Mr. Serge Kujawa

Mr. Pat Loran, Esq., for the Saskatoon Police Service

Mr. Chris Boychuk, Esq., for Mr. Eddie Karst

Mr. Bruce Gibson, Esq., for the RCMP

Mr. Eamon O'Keefe, Esq., for Mr. Larry Fisher

Mr. David Frayer, Q.C., for Minister of Justice

(Canada), The Hon. Vic Toews



Page 31374



24

01:07 25

Transcript of Proceedings

(Reconvened at 1:05 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good afternoon.

ALL COUNSEL: Good afternoon.

MR. HODSON: Good afternoon, Mr.

Commissioner. Just a couple of scheduling matters that I can raise.

We do intend to finish with Mrs. Milgaard's evidence this week, she will be followed by Mr. Eugene Williams, he is available to start testifying this week if we finish with Mrs. Milgaard so we'll see how that goes.

issue will be argued, and we've scheduled it for tomorrow at 1:30. I had also indicated to the parties that we might try and fit it around the end of my examination of Mrs. Milgaard and before other examination starts, but I think maybe we'll set it for tomorrow at 1:30 regardless of where we're at on examination. Graham Mitchell, on behalf of the Government of Saskatchewan, will be here tomorrow at 1:30 to present submissions on behalf of the government, and I think Mr. Frayer is available tomorrow at 1:30 as well, and there are a few other parties that may be making



1 submissions. 2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: 3 JOYCE IOLA MILGAARD, continued: 4 BY MR. HODSON: 5 Q MR. HODSON: So if we could carry on, 01:07 Mrs. Milgaard, with where we left off 10 days ago, 6 7 if we could call up 002671. And we were, at that 8 point in time, right before the Supreme Court 9 reference and maybe, just to refresh your memory a 01:07 10 bit as to what we talked about and where we were, I think where we finished off we talked about 11 12 learning of -- from the Federal Justice Minister 13 that the matter would go to the Supreme Court? 14 Α Yes. 01:07 15 And I think we talked about, as well, that it came 16 pretty quickly, I think January 16th were the 17 first -- when Court opened, and then I think David 18 testified five days later, and we talked a bit 19 about the challenges that you and your, and 01:08 20 lawyers for David, faced in getting ready; do you 21 recall going through that? 22 Yes, I do. 23 And so we were right in that time frame of 24 December 1991, and the preparations for the 01:08 25 reference, so I'll just pick it up there.



	1		this is a document that is from Murray Brown if
	2		we can go to the next page to Mr. Wolch, and
	3		this deals with the secretor issue. And you'll
	4		recall in your previous evidence we covered in
01:08	5		June of 1990, do you remember when we went through
	6		a discussion that you and David Asper had after
	7		Dr. Markesteyn and Dr. Merry came back and said
	8		"lookit, the secretor status of David done in 1969
	9		might not be reliable"; do you remember us going
01:08	10		through that?
	11	A	I do.
	12	Q	And you had a discussion with Mr. Asper, and I
	13		think your position was, "well, presumably Dr.
	14		Ferris would have checked that and, if he didn't,
01:09	15		we should get it done"?
	16	A	Yes, that's correct.
	17	Q	And so here, in December of 1991, he talks about
	18		and this is from Murray Brown, now Murray Brown
	19		is the individual who is handling the matter on
01:09	20		behalf of the Government of Saskatchewan; you are
	21		familiar with who Murray Brown is?
	22	A	Yes, I am.
	23	Q	Yeah, and he was counsel as well, or co-counsel at
	24		the Supreme Court. And here he is asking
01:09	25		Mr. Wolch, he says:



1 2 3 established. 4 5 01:09 6 7 8 9 I think that should be Merry, etcetera. 01:09 10 then: 11 12 13 14 his secretor status. 01:10 15 16 of such tests? If you have not, do you 17 intend to do so?" 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 01:10 25 that, if it was something that -- was there a

"... it would appear that Mr. Milgaard's status as a non secretor is not I am informed that the test used to determine that characteristic in 1969 has subsequently proven to be unreliable. This confirms information given the federal Department of Justice by Colin Terry ...",

"I would like to know therefore, whether you have made any recent effort to have Mr. Milgaard's blood tested to determine If you have, would you please advise us as to the results

Now do you recall, I think what the record reflects is that from the June 1990 discussion when it was raised by Dr. Markesteyn, Dr. Merry, and indeed even by Dr. Ferris, it does not appear that any steps were taken by you or your counsel or David, your David, to have the secretor status Do you know if there was a reason for

	1		deliberate decision made to not get the test
	2		done?
	3	A	Not to my knowledge, no.
	4	Q	And
01:10	5	A	I think that I, I discussed it with David and
	6		Hersh and assumed that it would have been done.
	7	Q	And is it fair to say that back in June of 1990,
	8		when your experts raised this issue and I think
	9		what they said is "lookit, don't rely on the test
01:10	10		that was done in '69"
	11	A	Uh-huh.
	12	Q	"it might not be accurate"; is it fair to say
	13		that your understanding was that either David's
	14		secretor status had been confirmed by Dr. Ferris
01:10	15		or, if it hadn't been, that it was going to
	16		be confirmed
	17	A	Yes.
	18	Q	back in 1990?
	19	A	Yes.
01:11	20	Q	And then do you recall, around the time of the
	21		Supreme Court reference, this issue coming up that
	22		the secretor status being raised by the Government
	23		of Saskatchewan; do you remember that being raised
	24		as an item?
01:11	25	A	I honestly don't remember this letter from Murray \P

			Page 31380 ————
	1		Brown,
	2	Q	Okay.
	3	А	but I'm sure that the my counsel would have
	4		told me about it.
01:11	5	Q	And if we could call up 336391, please. And this
	6		is a conversation, I think it's December 21, 1991
	7		is the date that I have, based on some other
	8		documents, and it's a discussion between you and
	9		David Asper. Now if we could go to 336426. And
01:11	10		here, and he's talking about:
	11		"And I think what I'll do is I'll
	12		set up a secretor test when he comes out
	13		on, to our office."
	14		And I think the "he" is your son David?
01:12	15	A	Right.
	16	Q	Earlier in the discussion I think your son David
	17		was going to get out of jail to assist in the
	18		preparations for the reference hearing; is that
	19		correct?
01:12	20	А	That's right.
	21	Q	And so here, and then David says:
	22		" I don't want to do it through the
	23		institution."
	24		"No, no I think that's wise."
01:12	25		"So we'll hire a private doctor and
		l	- The state of the



				——————————————————————————————————————
	1			",
	2			"I've gotta figure out how we do it.
	3			Like what lab to do it"
	4			"Well I've gotta you know we
01:12	5			need a doctor I suppose to receive the
	6			sample."
	7		And then	the next page: And then:
	8			" maybe. I wouldn't mind doing it
	9			though in a way if it's, if he is an
01:12	10			'A' secretor that we don't have to
	11			make it part of it."
	12		You say:	
	13			"He's not gonna be an 'A' secretor."
	14	A	(Laughs)	
01:12	15	Q	David:	
	16			"Well who knows."
	17			"Well he can't change from a
	18			non-secretor to a secretor."
	19		And David	d:
01:12	20			"What if the test was wrong?"
	21		And you s	say:
	22			"What do you mean, what if the test was
	23			wrong David what if the test was wrong?"
	24		David:	
	25			"What if the original test was wrong?
				4



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		Or what if the sophistication of
	2		todays testing shows him to be a
	3		secretor? I mean you know who
	4		knows. Anyways"
01:13	5		And you say:
	6		"That's mind boggling."
	7		Do you have a recollection of this issue being
	8		raised?
	9	A	Yes, I do, I do.
01:13	10	Q	And were you surprised, at the time, that David's
	11		secretor status was being raised on the eve of the
	12		reference case?
	13	A	Yes, and I remember the thought that, you know,
	14		that it might that he might be a secretor, at
01:13	15		that point, was mind-boggling to me. I mean I
	16		just didn't even want to think about it. Here we
	17		are at the Supreme Court, and that was a big
	18		issue, and if it turned out that he was it would
	19		certainly be a big issue.
01:13	20	Q	And a 'big issue' in what way?
	21	A	Well, because that was the basis of Ferris'
	22		report, that he was a non-secretor.
	23	Q	Right. So that if he
	24	A	That was a real part of it.
01:13	25	Q	Were you surprised, at this time, that his
		İ	



	1		secretor status had not been confirmed in recent
	2		years?
	3	Α	I I was surprised because, as you can see from
	4		my answers:
01:14	5		"What do you mean, what if the test was
	6		wrong?"
	7		I mean I just, it never even occurred to me that
	8		the original test was wrong.
	9	Q	And, again, I appreciate that, but I think in June
01:14	10		of 1990, I think that's when your experts raised
	11		the flag and said "lookit, the testing in 1969 was
	12		not done correct", in fact I think Dr. Merry said
	13		it could not have shown a positive result because
	14		the antigens would have been destroyed by the very
01:14	15		testing procedure, so I think Dr. Merry said it's
	16		clearly of no value?
	17	Α	Well, the only thing I can say is that I don't
	18		know how knowledgeable I was about Dr. Merry's
	19		reports and things like that at the time, I
01:14	20		honestly don't remember that particular part of
	21		it, and so I that's why I was surprised when
	22		this came up with David.
	23	Q	And that's what I am looking for. Was it your
	24		understanding that this was not an issue, prior to
01:15	25		this discussion and Mr. Asper raising it, was it
	<u> </u>		Meyer CompuCourt Reporting



		——————————————————————————————————————
		ŭ
1		your understanding that David was a secretor
2		(sic)?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And that that was not an issue?
01:15 5	A	That's right.
6	Q	And that it had somehow been verified or tested by
7		
8	A	That he was a non-secretor.
9	Q	I'm sorry, a non-secretor. Yeah, I'm sorry, a
01:15 10		non-secretor.
11	А	Right.
12	Q	And that whatever was raised in June of 1990 by
13		your experts had been dealt with by Mr. Asper and
14		Mr. Wolch?
01:15 15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And so that's is that why this was a surprise
17		to you?
18	A	That's why this was a surprise to me.
19	Q	And would it be fair to say, at this time, that
01:15 20		you're weeks away from the start of the Supreme
21		Court reference; correct?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And at this time, we talked a fair bit in your
24		last evidence about the significance that Dr.
01:15 25		Ferris' opinion had for both you and your son
	ii	



	Ī		
	1		David, do you remember that?
	2	Α	I do.
	3	Q	And I think you said that other than maybe the
	4		Fisher information that came up, that Dr. Ferris'
01:16	5		opinion was, I think, the most significance piece
	6		of information that he
	7	А	It was a solid leg, we felt, to stand on.
	8	Q	Right. And so, at this time, was the concern that
	9		weeks before the Supreme Court reference what you
01:16	10		thought to be the expert opinion that proved your
	11		son's innocence may, in fact, do the opposite?
	12	A	Yes.
	13	Q	Or not prove his, his guilt, but may put him in
	14		the group of people who could have committed the
01:16	15		crime?
	16	A	Yes.
	17	Q	If we can then go to 009789. And this is a letter
	18		from Mr. Asper to Murray Brown in response to that
	19		letter, and he says in the second paragraph:
01:16	20		"This whole issue",
	21		or pardon me, it says:
	22		"David Milgaard has not had his blood
	23		tested to determine his secretor
	24		status."
01:17	25		And I think that's not in dispute, is it, your
			A



1 son David did not have his blood tested prior to 2 this time? 3 That's right. Α 4 And: 01:17 5 "This whole issue arose for us for the very first time when we received from 6 7 the Department of Justice in Ottawa the 8 opinions it solicited with respect to 9 The question of whether this matter. 01:17 10 David Milgaard is in fact a non-secretor was never raised with us by the Federal 11 12 Department of Justice, and it comes 13 somewhat as a surprise given the fact that in his Affidavit submitted in 14 01:17 15 support of the first application, David 16 undertook to take any tests whatsoever 17 in order to establish his innocence. Ιt 18 is puzzling to say the least that we 19 were never apprised of any real doubt as 01:17 20 to David's status, and we have always 21 operated on the basis that the test 22 performed by the RCMP at the time was 23 accurate." 24 Now this is Mr. Asper's letter that sets out his 01:17 25 understanding, but I would like to ask you yours.

	Ī		Page 31387
	1		First of all, do you have a recollection of
	2		David's being a non-secretor being raised by the
	3		federal Department of Justice?
	4	A	No, I don't.
01:18	5	Q	Or raised by someone, at least, back in June of
	6		1990?
	7	A	I honestly don't remember that at all.
	8	Q	Okay. Last two weeks ago when we went through
	9		the conversation with Mr. Asper in June of 1990,
01:18	10		and that's when the Markesteyn report and the
	11		Merry report came out that questioned the secretor
	12		status,
	13	А	Right.
	14	Q	do you remember us going through that?
01:18	15	А	Yes, I recall that.
	16	Q	And it appeared, at that time, that at least you
	17		and Mr. Asper would have been aware that David's
	18		secretor status was being questioned; is that
	19		fair?
01:18	20	А	I honestly don't remember, with this discussion
	21		with Dr. Merry, whether I was even involved with
	22		that or not. I may have been, but I can't say for
	23		sure, because I didn't really get involved a lot
	24		with the that part, with the doctors and
01:18	25		everything like that. However, if it was a
			4



			S
	1		telephone conversation that I had with David and
	2		he told me at that time, but I know that when it
	3		came time for the Supreme Court I was really
	4		amazed that we were put into this position.
01:19	5	Q	Yeah. So is it a case that, based on your
	6		tape-recorded conversation with Mr. Asper, it may
	7		have been something that was brought to your
	8		attention but today you don't, you don't recall
	9		that being
01:19	10	А	I don't recall it today.
	11	Q	a concern?
	12	А	That's right.
	13	Q	That's fair. Now, if we can go to the next page,
	14		and I think Mr. Asper says that:
01:19	15		" David's secretor status is
	16		concerned, he is prepared to submit to
	17		whatever tests that you desire, but we
	18		would simply appreciate understanding
	19		your position prior to such tests being
01:19	20		performed."
	21		And, again, would that be something Mr. Asper
	22		would deal with?
	23	А	Yes, it would.
	24	Q	As far as what the terms and conditions would be
01:19	25		of if and how David would be tested for secretor
	11		

			Page 31389
	1		status?
	2	А	Yes, it would be.
	3	Q	If we could go to 334505. And here is a memo
	4		January 29th, 1992, I won't take you through the
01:20	5		documents, but your son David did provide I think
	6		blood or maybe it was saliva, I'm not sure which
	7		of the two it was, tested by the RCMP lab, and
	8		there is a lab report I'll show you in a moment,
	9		but here they confirm the tests and indicated that
01:20	10		David was in fact an A secretor; do you recall
	11		becoming aware of that information?
	12	А	Yes, I do.
	13	Q	And how did you become aware and what was your
	14		reaction to it?
01:20	15	А	I don't know how I became aware of it. I can
	16		remember, you know, just it was a downer.
	17	Q	Pardon me?
	18	А	It was a downer, when we got the information it
	19		was a real downer, because that was a rock that I
01:20	20		had established in my thinking with Ferris and him
	21		being a non-secretor, so when we heard that it had
	22		a tendency to make me feel very down.
	23	Q	And 'down' in the sense that Dr. Ferris' report
	24		may not be as valuable as you had originally
01:21	25		thought



	Ī		——————————————————————————————————————
			3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1	А	That's correct.
	2	Q	it was?
	3	Α	That's right.
	4	Q	And in fact I think, at the reference, Dr. Ferris
01:21	5		didn't testify; is that correct?
	6	А	That's correct.
	7	Q	Do you know if that's the reason he didn't
	8		testify, was because of this test result, do you
	9		have any knowledge of that?
01:21	10	A	I have no knowledge of that.
	11	Q	Do you have any recollection as to whether he was
	12		going to be a witness at some point or was that
	13		something you left up to your lawyers?
	14	A	Originally I think he was going to testify, but
01:21	15		I'm not certain of that, that you would have to
	16		check with Mr. Asper.
	17	Q	And then 002094, and this is just the lab report,
	18		February 3rd, 1992, and we've seen this document
	19		before, in fact it was a saliva sample that was
01:22	20		taken from your David, so that's the test result.
	21		Do you recall whether your son David was informed
	22		of this around this time? This would have been
	23		after he testified.
	24	A	It would have been after he testified in the
01:22	25		Supreme Court?
		I	



			Page 31391
	1	Q	After he testified in the Supreme Court that you
	2		would have learned of his secretor status, yes.
	3	А	Yes.
	4	Q	Do you know if David, your David would have been
01:22	5		informed of that?
	6	A	Oh, I'm sure he would have been.
	7	Q	Do you have any recollection of that?
	8	А	I don't.
	9	Q	I want to talk a bit about the Supreme Court
01:22	10		reference and we certainly have the entire record
	11		and the transcript of that case in various
	12		documents, so I don't propose to go through that
	13		in detail. Would it be correct to say that if we
	14		take a look at the position that was taken on
01:23	15		behalf of your son before the Supreme Court, that
	16		those matters would be basically decided by your
	17		counsel, Mr. Wolch and Mr. Asper, as to what
	18		position to take on various legal issues put
	19		forward by the court?
01:23	20	A	Yes, and yet we were in full discussion. I
	21		mean
	22	Q	Let me give you an example. One of the issues the
	23		court early on wanted to address was what is the
	24		test that the court should use in considering the
01:23	25		reference question and they received submissions
			Meyer CompuCourt Reporting **Pertified Professional Court Reporters serving P.A. Regina & Saskatoon since 1980

	1		from counsel for the parties, considered them and
	2		then I think rendered is decision saying here's
	3		the test we're going to apply in our advice; for
	4		example, what is the onus on David Milgaard and
01:23	5		what things do we need to look at. Would those
	6		matters be matters that you would rely upon your
	7		lawyers to put forward, a position in the best
	8		interests of David?
	9	A	Yes, I would.
01:24	10	Q	And is it fair to say that you may have had some
	11		discussions with them, but the ultimate decision
	12		as to what legal position to take, you would rely
	13		on your legal counsel to do that?
	14	A	That's correct.
01:24	15	Q	And what about as far as the conduct of the
	16		hearing itself, as to which witnesses were called,
	17		is that something you would have relied upon your
	18		legal counsel, to decide which witnesses?
	19	A	Yeah. We did have some discussions on them, about
01:24	20		who would make a good witness and who would not, I
	21		remember those.
	22	Q	And I don't want to suggest that you wouldn't have
	23		been informed of the position they were taking or
	24		informed of the issues and had discussions or had
01:24	25		some input, but is it correct to say that the



	1		ultimate decision as to which witnesses to call,
	2		which questions to ask, which questions not to
	3		ask, that you would rely on your legal counsel for
	4		those?
01:24	5	A	That's fair.
	6	Q	And that as far as your son David is concerned,
	7		would it be correct to say that, although I guess
	8		technically David would be the client being the
	9		party before the court, that in effect you were
01:25	10		his spokesperson, for lack of a better word, you
	11		would be the person looking out for your son
	12		David's interests as far as communications with
	13		legal counsel; is that fair?
	14	A	Yes, and yet David had a fair amount of input as
01:25	15		far as his counsel was concerned, he wanted to
	16		have a fair hands-on approach to know what was
	17		going on.
	18	Q	And again just following up on other matters
	19		relating to the reference hearing, as far as the
01:25	20		decision as far as to what documents or tapes or
	21		transcripts or interviews that you, and when I say
	22		you, let's talk about the Milgaard group, let's
	23		say everything you gathered, your counsel gathered
	24		or Mr. Carlyle-Gordge gathered, as far as the
01:25	25		decision about which of those documents, tapes,
			Meyer CompuCourt Penorting



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		transcript, statements should be filed with the
	2		Supreme Court, was that something you relied upon
	3		your legal counsel to determine?
	4	А	Yes, it was.
01:26	5	Q	And if there was a decision if certain
	6		documents were not provided to the Supreme Court
	7		that you or your group had in its possession,
	8		would it be fair to conclude that that would have
	9		been a decision made by your legal counsel not to
01:26	10		put those documents in as opposed to your
	11		decision?
	12	A	Yes.
	13	Q	Or David's decision?
	14	А	Yes.
01:26	15	Q	So in other words, you would rely on your legal
	16		counsel to decide what documents to put in and not
	17		to put in on the record?
	18	А	Yes, we would.
	19	Q	And would the same apply with respect to
01:26	20		documents, transcripts, tapes that you or people
	21		on behalf of your son would disclose to either
	22		Federal Justice or Saskatchewan Justice?
	23	A	Yes.
	24	Q	So in other words, if documents were not given to
01:26	25		Federal Justice or not given to Saskatchewan
			and the state of t



	1		Justice by David Milgaard, would it be correct to
	2		say that that would have been decisions made by
	3		your legal counsel as opposed to your decision or
	4		your son David's decision?
01:27	5	A	Yes.
	6	Q	Again, as far as what the Supreme Court would
	7		decide and not decide and the scope of that
	8		hearing, would it be correct to say that that's
	9		something that your lawyers would have dealt with,
01:27	10		would have put forward, your son David's interests
	11		before that court as to what should or should not
	12		be reviewed by the court or heard by the court?
	13	A	I'm sorry, you are going to have to run that one
	14		again.
01:27	15	Q	Sure. There's some issue as to, or appeared to be
	16		some issue back at the time as to what the Supreme
	17		Court of Canada, what issues it was dealing with
	18		and what issues it was not dealing with, and would
	19		it be correct to say that you and your son David
01:27	20		would rely on Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch to put
	21		forward David's interests before the court and
	22		have whatever issues were in David's best interest
	23		determined by the court?
	24	A	Yes, but I think I was also relying on the Supreme
01:28	25		Court to be thoroughly looking into this
			Meyer CompuCourt Reporting =
			ertified Professional Court Reporters serving P.A. Regina & Saskatoon since 1080

1 situation. Right, no, I appreciate that, but as far as -- I 2 0 3 just want to understand what role if any you, 4 Joyce Milgaard, played in putting forward a 5 position on behalf of your son before the Supreme 01:28 Court, and I appreciate that the court did what 6 7 the court did for whatever reason, I'm just trying 8 to identify or confirm that if a position was 9 taken before the Supreme Court on behalf of your 01:28 10 son with respect to the scope of the hearing, that 11 that would be something you would rely on your 12 lawyers to put forward as opposed to you directing 13 it? I would think so, but there may have been issues 14 Α 01:28 15 that I would have dealt with hands on with the 16 lawyers if they came back to me about certain 17 things. I can't just give you a blanket, 18 wall-to-wall blanket and say yes to everything, 19 because in many cases I would be totally involved 01:29 20 and arguing with them if I felt that it wasn't 21 going the right way. 22 And I had earlier made the comment, and I can 23 repeat it, that I think what you are telling us is 24 even though you relied upon your lawyers and your 01:29 25 lawyers ultimately made the call as to what



	1		position to take before the court, you would
	2		discuss it with them and you would have input?
	3	A	Yes.
	4	Q	But ultimately you relied on your legal counsel to
01:29	5		advance your son David's interests as best they
	6		could?
	7	A	Yes, I did.
	8	Q	And then again as far as your understanding of
	9		what issues the Supreme Court was going to delve
01:29	10		into at the reference, would it be correct to say
	11		that your understanding or what was your
	12		understanding, let's start there, of what the
	13		Supreme Court of Canada was going to deal with on
	14		the reference and what they weren't going to deal
01:29	15		with?
	16	A	It depends on what time period you are talking
	17		about. Before the Supreme Court hearings, before
	18		we went down and the lawyers sat down with the
	19		judges, I assumed that they would be looking into
01:30	20		all of it.
	21	Q	Okay, now let me pause there. When you say all of
	22		it, what do you mean by all of it?
	23	A	Well, I expected they would be looking into the
	24		police misconduct, all of the things that we were
01:30	25		talking about that had happened and had kept David

			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		in jail, and so when they came back out to me, the
	2		lawyers, and said we can't talk about any of that
	3		and then gave the guidelines that they were given,
	4		I felt it was totally wrong.
01:30	5	Q	Okay. Let me just pause there again, and let's
	6		talk about your initial understanding, which is
	7		before your lawyers met with the Supreme Court; is
	8		that correct?
	9	A	Right.
01:30	10	Q	Would it be correct to say that your understanding
	11		or your assumption was that the Supreme Court
	12		would consider the same things the Minister of
	13		Justice would consider in your first two
	14		applications?
01:31	15	A	Yes.
	16	Q	And, namely, whether there had been a miscarriage
	17		of justice?
	18	A	Yes.
	19	Q	And so that everything you put forward to Minister
01:31	20		Campbell in the first and second application, was
	21		it your understanding or assumption that the
	22		Supreme Court would consider all of that and
	23		anything like that; is that fair?
	24	A	Yes, and anything else that we had at that point
01:31	25		in time.



	Ī		——————————————————————————————————————
	1	Q	And so anything that would suggest that your son
	2		was wrongly convicted?
	3	A	That's correct.
	4	Q	And again, you mentioned the police misconduct,
01:31	5		and again, was that something that you felt the
	6		police misconduct showed, number one, that there
	7		was a miscarriage of justice, and two, that your
	8		son was innocent?
	9	A	Yes.
01:31	10	Q	And so would it be fair to say that that was the
	11		connection in your mind, that police misconduct
	12		caused people to lie that caused a miscarriage of
	13		justice and caused David to be convicted of a
	14		crime he didn't commit; is that a fair summary?
01:32	15	A	Well, other than the fact that I never ever said
	16		that he was wrongly convicted in 19 like, at
	17		his original trial. I felt that the wrongful
	18		conviction started when Larry Fisher surfaced and
	19		nothing was done about him, that's when the the
01:32	20		real I mean, he was wrongly convicted because
	21		he was innocent, but the wrong started when Mr.
	22		Karst went down and interviewed Larry Fisher and
	23		conveniently forgot all about the rapes and
	24		everything and to connect it to Gail Miller.
01:32	25	Q	What about the wrongs put forward in the first



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		application suggesting arising from Ron Wilson's
	2		statement and the information he provided to Paul
	3		Henderson, that the police manipulated, coerced
	4		and bullied him into lying?
01:32	5	A	All of that conduct as well.
	6	Q	So that would be a piece of police misconduct that
	7		was in your first application?
	8	A	Yes, that's right.
	9	Q	And the effect of that I think was to say lookit,
01:33	10		because of police misconduct, Ron Wilson gave
	11		false evidence at trial?
	12	А	That's right.
	13	Q	And had he not done so, David might not have been
	14		convicted?
01:33	15	А	Correct.
	16	Q	So I appreciate what you are saying about when
	17		Larry Fisher comes to light in October of '70
	18	А	Yes.
	19	Q	but was there also not some suggestion that
01:33	20		police misconduct contributed to the original
	21		conviction?
	22	А	Yes, because they had information about all the
	23		rapes that had taken place at that time and, I
	24		mean, later we found out that policemen in that
01:33	25		department had always thought, you know, it was



	1		the same person that had done all the rapes, so
	2		that when this one came along, they thought at
	3		first it was this one, and then they get a young
	4		man that's only been there once and they
01:33	5		conveniently start pinning it on him.
	6	Q	Now, let's talk again, you said that after your
	7		lawyers first went and met with the court, they
	8		came back, and is it correct to say that your
	9		understanding of the scope of the Supreme Court
01:34	10		hearing, would it be correct to say that your
	11		understanding of that would have come through what
	12		Mr. Wolch and Mr. Asper told you?
	13	А	Yes.
	14	Q	In other words, as opposed to you did not
01:34	15		attend in Justice Lamer's chambers or hear him say
	16		any of these words; is that correct?
	17	А	That's correct.
	18	Q	It would be from your lawyers telling you?
	19	А	It was from the lawyers telling me.
01:34	20	Q	And do you recall, prior to the hearing starting,
	21		being told by your lawyers that somehow the court
	22		was going to limit what evidence would be heard?
	23	А	I do, and I was outraged.
	24	Q	And what do you recall being told?
01:34	25	Α	Well, that we would not be able to lead this



			7 dg0 0 1 702
	1		evidence, that we would not be able to bring those
	2		parts of the evidence in. Now, I still felt that
	3		they would find him innocent with the amount of
	4		material that we had.
01:35	5	Q	Sorry, what evidence was it that your lawyers were
	6		telling you they couldn't lead at the Supreme
	7		Court?
	8	А	Well, we couldn't bring in we couldn't bring in
	9		any evidence of police misconduct, we couldn't
01:35	10		bring in, bring that up.
	11	Q	Okay. Now, what when you say any evidence of
	12		police misconduct, what specifically, and again
	13		I'm looking back at what you remember your lawyers
	14		telling you or what your understanding was.
01:35	15	А	Well, I'm thinking in terms of the Roberts'
	16		interview, Nichol overnight in jail, the constant,
	17		you know, if David didn't do it, then we'll get
	18		you for it, the threats, the coercion, the things
	19		of that nature that were done to those kids.
01:35	20	Q	Now, Inspector Roberts did testify as did Nichol
	21		John; correct?
	22	А	Yes.
	23	Q	And I believe that that type of evidence came out
	24		at the hearing didn't it?
01:36	25	Α	Some of it did.



		- -
1	Q	And so again I'm trying to go back and get what
2		you remember being told by your lawyers as to what
3		they told you could and couldn't go in, and so you
4		are saying police misconduct was one?
01:36 5	А	I believe that was one of the ones that they
6		mentioned and that we couldn't go into those
7		areas.
8	Q	Even if they related to your son's innocence or to
9		a miscarriage of justice and his conviction, do
01:36 10		you remember that?
11	A	Yes, that was my understanding. I may be wrong,
12		but that was my understanding.
13	Q	Okay. What was your understanding as to what
14		evidence could be put in or what issues were
01:36 15		before the court?
16	А	Well, I understood that all of the issues that we
17		had pretty well would be able to be dealt with. I
18		thought that the Larry Fisher evidence would have
19		been dealt with and I believe it was to a degree.
01:37 20	Q	And again, just on your understanding, is this,
21		and I appreciate it's difficult to go back 15
22		years and try and pinpoint at what point, is it
23		possible that some of this information about, that
24		your lawyers may have told you about the scope of
01:37 25		the hearing may have come through the hearing or
	-	Meyer CompuCourt Reporting



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		even after the decision came out?
	2	A	Probably after the decision came out.
	3	Q	So it was after April 14th, 1992 that your lawyers
	4		would have told you that lookit, we weren't
01:37	5		allowed to put this evidence in?
	6	A	And we weren't allowed to do this and we weren't
	7		allowed to do that because that was one of the
	8		reasons that we felt we had failed at the Supreme
	9		Court.
01:37	10	Q	Okay. And I'll get to the decision. So just so
	11		that I'm clear here, are you saying then that the
	12		information you got from your lawyers about the
	13		scope of the Supreme Court hearing you got after
	14		their decision or before the hearing?
01:38	15	Α	I would say I got part of it at the time, you
	16		know, whatever they were dealing with. I don't
	17		think that I realized the scope of the lack of
	18		information that was going in until afterwards.
	19	Q	And would it be correct to say that after the
01:38	20		Supreme Court decision came out, and I'll take you
	21		to that in a moment where they said there was no
	22		evidence of, I think the words were no evidence of
	23		police misconduct or no evidence of wrongdoing,
	24		things of that nature, was it at that point
01:38	25		where

	1	A	I was throwing my hands up in the air and I'm
	2		saying how could they say that. They told my
	3		lawyers on the very first day that they couldn't
	4		lead that evidence, I can remember saying that
01:38	5		publicly.
	6	Q	And when you talked earlier about being outraged
	7		when you heard this, is it likely that it was
	8		after the decision came out that you were outraged
	9		or was it before the hearing started that you were
01:38	10		outraged about the scope of the Supreme Court
	11		hearing?
	12	A	I felt it was wrong when we first started, but
	13		then when they based their decision by saying that
	14		we have heard no evidence of this, I thought that
01:39	15		was terrible, how could they say they had heard no
	16		evidence of it when they had told our lawyers that
	17		they couldn't bring in that evidence.
	18	Q	And let me pause there.
	19	А	That's when I got really outraged.
01:39	20	Q	And my question is did your lawyers tell you that
	21		they couldn't bring that evidence in after the
	22		Supreme Court decision came out; in other words,
	23		was it when the decision came out that they said
	24		lookit, Mrs. Milgaard, the reason there wasn't
01:39	25		evidence before the court was because they told us
			Marray Corrent Count Dan orting

	Г		
	1		they couldn't, or was that something you learned
	2		back before the hearing started?
	3	А	I think part of it I learned before the hearing
	4		started. The scope of it I didn't realize until
01:39	5		afterwards, how mammoth it was. It wasn't until I
	6		started reading that decision.
	7	Q	So that before the hearing started and in the
	8		course of the hearing, you may have been generally
	9		aware that there was some limits on what the court
01:40	10		could hear?
	11	А	Some limits, uh-huh.
	12	Q	But maybe you didn't appreciate the significance
	13		of that; is that fair?
	14	A	That's fair.
01:40	15	Q	And then once the decision came out, you
	16		appreciated the significance much more?
	17	A	I did.
	18	Q	And after the decision came out, would it be
	19		correct to say that your understanding of what the
01:40	20		Supreme Court would and would not hear came from
	21		Mr. Wolch and Mr. Asper?
	22	A	Yes.
	23	Q	That would be the source?
	24	А	Yes.
01:40	25	Q	As opposed to hearing directly from the judge of
			4

			Page 31407
	1		the court?
	2	А	That's correct.
	3	Q	If we can go to 002623, and this is a letter from
	4		Murray Brown to Mr. Fainstein, this is right
01:40	5		before the start of the Supreme Court and talks
	6		about issues, or potential witnesses, and I only
	7		bring this up because it does mention Dr. Ferris
	8		and Dr. Markesteyn at this time. Now, this is Mr.
	9		Brown saying lookit, this is who I think Mr. Wolch
01:41	10		is going to call and I think you told us your
	11		memory is you thought that Dr. Ferris and Dr.
	12		Markesteyn were going to testify at least at one
	13		point?
	14	A	Yes.
01:41	15	Q	And then is it fair to say that after the secretor
	16		status of your son, it was determined that he was
	17		a secretor, that their evidence was not
	18	A	tendered.
	19	Q	And it wasn't as valuable as you thought it would
01:41	20		have been; is that right?
	21	А	That's correct.
	22	Q	Go to 115875, and go to the next page, please,
	23		this is a letter from Mr. Wolch to Chief Justice
	24		Lamer of the Supreme Court, it's two days before
01:42	25		the hearing starts, and again this talks about
		I	•



1		witnesses and order of witnesses and areas and we
2		touched on this generally before. Would it be
3		correct to say that this would be something you
4		would rely on your lawyers to put forward to the
5		court in your David's best interests, and although
6		you may have discussed it with Mr. Wolch and Mr.
7		Asper and had some input, you relied upon them as
8		to what position to take with the court on
9		witnesses and evidence?
10	A	Yes, I would.
11	Q	And if we can go to page 115878, and I just want
12		to have you comment, we touched upon this when you
13		previously testified. This is relating to your
14		David's emotional or mental state at the time and
15		the issue of him testifying, and Mr. Wolch says:
16		"It has always been our intention to
17		have David Milgaard testify. The
18		difficulty we are having right now is
19		with David's emotional state."
20		And is that, was that your understanding, that
21		David I can't recall what you told us last
22		time. I thought you might have said that lookit,
23		you weren't sure that David would actually have
24		to testify when you started the process with the
25		federal government?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

		——————————————————————————————————————
		. age er ree
1	А	I think we had real concerns about David's ability
2		to be able to testify because of the mental, the
3		emotional mental state that he was in.
4	Q	Let me just go back to December of '88 when you
01:43 5		filed your application with the minister.
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	At that time did you have any understanding or
8		idea whether I think you were asking for a
9		court hearing of some sort?
01:43 10	А	Yes.
11	Q	That David would have to testify. Was that
12		something you knew was likely going to have to
13		happen from day one or is that something you
14		learned right around the time of the reference?
01:43 15	А	I think I probably expected it, but I don't know
16		that for sure, Mr. Hodson.
17	Q	Okay. So something that you thought, yeah, maybe
18		he will have to depending on the type of hearing?
19	А	Uh-huh.
01:44 20	Q	It wasn't a case of being surprised in January of
21		1992 that finding out for the first time that
22		David is going to have to testify?
23	А	No.
24	Q	So likely you had some inkling it was going to
01:44 25		happen?
	ii .	



			1 age 31410
	1	A I think we d	id.
	2	Q Here Mr. Wol	ch says:
	3	"Af	ter being convicted, David underwent
	4	num	erous bouts of depression and
01:44	5	emo	tional difficulties. This continued
	6	ove	r the many years of incarceration,
	7	and	we have brought this to Justice's
	8	att	ention numerous times as it is well
	9	doc	umented, and in fact the Prime
01:44	10	Min	ister himself at one point expressed
	11	con	cern. All penal authorities and
	12	exp	erts that we have spoken to have told
	13	us	that David's reintroduction into
	14	soc	iety will be very difficult to say
01:44	15	the	least. Those fears are being
	16	rea	lized. Much of David's time in jail
	17	was	focused on proclaiming his
	18	inn	ocence, helping others and correcting
	19	inj	ustice. For approximately
01:44	20	twe	nty-three years, nobody has ever
	21	lis	tened to him. Now everybody wants to
	22	lis	ten to him, and he has achieved
	23	alm	ost celebrity status.
	24		Unfortunately, but
01:45	25	und	erstandably, he does not have a great
			1

			r age or rrr
	1		deal of faith in the Justice system.
	2		What we are faced with now is that
	3		David's main interest is to start
	4		experiencing living, and also rectifying
01:45	5		various ills in society. We are having
	6		a great deal of difficulty having David
	7		even focus on this reference. We have
	8		enlisted the aid of Dr. Stanley Yaren,
	9		the Chief Psychiatrist for the Province
01:45	10		of Manitoba, who has had a long standing
	11		relationship with David. Our
	12		understanding is that Dr. Yaren will be
	13		meeting with David and his family this
	14		week. Dr. Yaren may have to be called
01:45	15		as a witness to explain David's mental
	16		state."
	17		And can you confirm the accuracy of what's stated
	18		there, would you agree with what Mr. Wolch put
	19		forward about David's circumstances at the time?
01:45	20	А	Absolutely.
	21	Q	Do you have anything else you wish to add to
	22		what's stated there about what was happening to
	23		your son immediately prior to the reference?
	24	Α	Well, so much was riding on it that it, he had his
01:46	25		really down days and he had his up days, but you
			Mayor CampuCaurt Paparting

	1		never know when you went to visit him, you never
	2		knew what kind of shape he was going to be in.
	3		Sometimes he would ask to be taken to solitary
	4		confinement and because he just needed to get
01:46	5		away from it all. All the inmates at this point
	6		of course are coming up to him and talking to him
	7		about it and he's living it morning, noon and
	8		night.
	9	Q	And did the media attention cause him some
01:46	10		discomfort?
	11	А	Oh, absolutely, because everyone was calling and
	12		wanting interviews and to do things with him and
	13		some days he could do it, he was all right, other
	14		days he just couldn't.
01:46	15	Q	Did he have concerns about the media attention,
	16		was he at times opposed to the media attention
	17		that was deliberately being brought to his case,
	18		which I think was in order to get a remedy?
	19	A	Yes, I believe he supported some parts of it, but
01:47	20		he also felt somewhat violated by it I think.
	21	Q	Did that lead to some of the conflict between he
	22		and Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch from time to time
	23		about I think we've heard that, from Mr. Asper
	24		that he got fired on a number of occasions. Would
01:47	25		that be sometimes the issue between them, that
			1



			, age e , , , e
	1		your David didn't like the direction being taken
	2		in the media on his behalf?
	3	A	Well, actually it was almost the reverse, because
	4		we would in some cases have to sit on David and
01:47	5		say no, you can't do these interviews because of
	6		the condition you are in and he of course didn't
	7		see himself as in any kind of condition, and so
	8		oftentimes it was when we were trying to keep him
	9		from doing interviews because we didn't want
01:47	10		people to see him in that state that we would have
	11		difficulties, and David needed to be really it
	12		was important for him to be in control.
	13	Q	Okay. I'm sorry, maybe I didn't state it. My
	14		understanding is that his concern or one of his
01:48	15		concerns with the media attention being drawn to
	16		the case is that he wanted attention drawn to
	17		other
	18	A	His Justice Group.
	19	Q	His Justice Group
01:48	20	A	Right.
	21	Q	in the prison?
	22	A	Right.
	23	Q	And prisoners' rights, as opposed to David
	24		Milgaard's re-opening efforts?
01:48	25	A	That's correct.

			_
	1	Q	And would that be one of the areas of conflict
	2		between he and Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch about,
	3		maybe not having media coverage, but the type of
	4		media coverage?
01:48	5	A	Absolutely, that was one of the big issues that we
	6		were dealing with.
	7	Q	And your David, is it correct to say, his position
	8		often would be don't go out in the media and talk
	9		about my case, I want you to talk about prisoners'
01:48	10		issues?
	11	A	Yes, because he was very strong on what he
	12		believed was happening in that prison was wrong.
	13	Q	Go to the next page, please, and just comment
	14		here, we touched on this as well, this letter is
01:49	15		January 14th, '92, Mr. Wolch says:
	16		"We should add that it is also our
	17		intention to file additional material
	18		with the court. Since our last meeting,
	19		we would guesstimate that we have
01:49	20		received approximately 5,000 pages of
	21		material that we have not seen before.
	22		Our sources of material have been the
	23		Department of Justice in Ottawa, the
	24		Department of Justice in Saskatchewan
01:49	25		and the Parole Board. We are trying to
		_	Meyer CompuCourt Reporting



1 work it down as to what is relevant and 2 not duplicitous." 3 And I think that's similar to what you told us last time, that in December of '91, right before 4 5 the reference case, that you received a 01:49 significant volume of documents from the 6 authorities? We just -- it was hard to even get through them 8 9 Many of the nights I slept overnight in the 01:50 10 war room in the chair at that time. If we could go to 305225, and this is a decision 11 Q 12 that the court rendered on February 28th, 1992, so 13 this is after the court has heard evidence for 14 about a month and it had to do with the -- well, 01:50 15 right at the top, a statement of the court with 16 respect to what will constitute a miscarriage of 17 justice in the circumstances of this case, and 18 what the court says is basically four areas; one, 19 if David can prove his innocence beyond a 01:50 20 reasonable doubt he will get this remedy, if he 21 can prove his innocence on a preponderance of 22 evidence he will get this remedy, thirdly, if he

23

24

01:51 25



can't do either of those but the continued

conviction of David Milgaard would constitute a

miscarriage of justice, if there's new evidence

	1		that could reasonably have affected the verdict,
	2		and those tests. Do you recall becoming aware of
	3		this or having any involvement in this or placing
	4		any significance on this at the time or was this
01:51	5		something you left up to the lawyers?
	6	Α	I think at that time that would be mostly up to
	7		the lawyers, and, you see, when I read that, like,
	8		I'm looking at it now with hindsight and realizing
	9		how terrible it is.
01:51	10	Q	And why do you say that?
	11	A	Well, because of the way they used it
	12	Q	Okay.
	13	A	to turn him down.
	14	Q	And maybe let's just go to the next page. When
01:51	15		you say they used it, what do you mean, can you
	16		elaborate on that?
	17	А	Well, how they used areas of it to say in their
	18		judgment that they couldn't find this or they
	19		couldn't find that.
01:51	20	Q	Okay. Let me just maybe highlight the part
	21		maybe go to the next page.
	22	А	Can I find can you tell me where it is in this
	23		book that we're working with?
	24	Q	Do you know what I can show you right on the
01:52	25		screen and read it to you if that would help you,
			—

	1		it would be in February 28th, 1992 in that book;
	2		was there a certain part you wanted me to show
	3		you?
	4	А	Well, I would feel more comfortable if I had
01:52	5		looked at it
	6	Q	Sure.
	7	А	in its entirety.
	8	Q	And I think, if I can, do you want to take a quick
	9		read through that, or if I can just draw to your
01:53	10		attention, Mrs. Milgaard, the key areas that I
	11		wanted to ask you some questions about.
	12	А	Okay.
	13	Q	What the Court said is that this was a set of
	14		tests to assist them in saying I think what
01:53	15		they were saying was how do we determine whether
	16		or not there's been a miscarriage of justice
	17		and if we could just scroll up to the top a bit,
	18		please and they say therefore it will apply the
	19		following guidelines in forming its opinion as to
01:53	20		whether the continued conviction of David Milgaard
	21		constitutes a miscarriage of justice. And point
	22		one they say, look, that it would constitute a
	23		miscarriage of justice if on the basis of the
	24		judicial record, which is the Court proceeding,
	25		the reference case, which are all the documents



	1		that were filed, and such further evidence as this
	2		Court may receive, that if the court is satisfied
	3		beyond a reasonable doubt that David Milgaard is
	4		innocent, then they would consider advising the
01:53	5		Governor-in-Council to grant a free pardon. So
	6		that would be question one, the Court said lookit,
	7		if after hearing everything we're satisfied beyond
	8		a reasonable doubt that David is innocent, then
	9		that's a miscarriage of justice and we'll advise
01:54	10		the Minister to give a pardon.
	11	A	Okay.
	12	Q	So that was something, at the time, you would have
	13		been aware of, that the Supreme Court was going to
	14		determine whether or not the evidence or the
01:54	15		record established David's innocence; is that
	16		fair?
	17	A	Yes.
	18	Q	Now if we could just scroll down to the next
	19		point, and that was proof beyond a reasonable
01:54	20		doubt that basically said the same thing, that if
	21		David can prove that he is probably innocent
	22		and I think that's where they use, here, on a
	23		preponderance of the
	24	A	Preponderance of the evidence, yes.
01:54	25	Q	Yeah. And I think, I think I'm right in saying



	[Page 31419
			ŭ
	1		that that means more likely than not, or
	2		probably
	3	А	Yes.
	4	Q	50 percent plus 1, so if David can establish to
01:54	5		the Court's satisfaction or if the Court is
	6		satisfied after looking at all the documents,
	7		hearing all the evidence, that David is probably
	8		innocent, then they will give him a remedy?
	9	А	Correct.
01:54	10	Q	And the remedy here, I think, was to go re-apply
	11		for leave, and so that's something you would have
	12		been aware of at the time,
	13	A	Yes.
	14	Q	that if David couldn't prove innocence beyond a
01:55	15		reasonable doubt, if he could still prove that he
	16		was probably innocent, he would get a remedy?
	17	A	That's correct.
	18	Q	And is that, again, at the time would it be
	19		correct to say that that's something that you had
01:55	20		asked for, you had wanted a hearing for judges to
	21		decide and hear new evidence and have an
	22		opportunity for David to prove that he was
	23		innocent?
	24	A	Yes,
01:55	25	Q	Was
		Ĭ	



			Page 31420 ————
	1	А	we wanted to be able to have a new trial and
	2		show that he was innocent.
	3	Q	And so again, at the time, do you recall having
	4		any concerns about the tests that the Court was
01:55	5		putting down? Was this something that you said
	6		"oh good, we finally get our chance to have the
	7		Court hear from David, hear from Ron Wilson, hear
	8		from Larry Fisher, hear from everybody and once
	9		and for all say David is probably innocent"?
01:55	10	А	Yeah, I did.
	11	Q	What was it later on, I think I said later on when
	12		you looked back you now realize that, you see
	13	А	That they used these statements in the wrong way
	14		against us.
01:56	15	Q	And can you elaborate on that?
	16	А	Well I'd have to go to the judgement, but when I,
	17		when I read all of their reasons that they gave
	18		they seemed to be saying that "if we'd had this"
	19		or, you know, "we didn't have this so this is
01:56	20		why".
	21	Q	Okay. I think at the end, when we get to the
	22		judgement, the concern would be is that the Court
	23		did not find that David was probably innocent or
	24		that he was innocent beyond a reasonable doubt?
01:56	25	А	But they did say that he should get a new trial.



	1	Q	Yeah. Let me just go to the next point and then
	2		we'll come back to that. The next page, the third
	3		ground, what they said is, in this test, that it
	4		would be a miscarriage of justice if there was new
01:56	5		evidence put before the Court which is relevant to
	6		the issue of David Milgaard's guilt which is
	7		reasonably capable of belief and could reasonably
	8		be expected to have affected the verdict, then it
	9		would be open to quash the conviction and have a
01:57	10		new trial?
	11	A	That's right.
	12	Q	And I think this is the ground where the Supreme
	13		Court ultimately decided; correct?
	14	A	Yes.
01:57	15	Q	So in other words if David can't prove he's
	16		innocent beyond a reasonable doubt, or probably
	17		innocent, if he can give us some new evidence
	18		that's credible, that might have affected the
	19		verdict, he's entitled to a new trial?
01:57	20	A	Right.
	21	Q	And so did you have concerns about that?
	22	A	No. I think we felt that if we got a new trial
	23		we'd be all right because, at the new trial, we'd
	24		be able to enter all the evidence.
01:57	25	Q	Okay, and get an acquittal?



			Page 31422 —————
	1	A	And get an acquittal.
	2	Q	Okay. So again, at the time when this came out,
	3		do you recall having any concerns or your lawyers
	4		expressing any concerns to you saying "lookit, the
01:57	5		tests that the Supreme Court is setting out, we
	6		either really like it, we don't like it, anything
	7		of that nature; do you recall any concerns that
	8		you had at the time?
	9	A	I couldn't tell you specific concerns, but I
01:57	10		believe Hersh and David were discussing some of
	11		them.
	12	Q	Do you know what they were, or is that something
	13		
	14	A	No, I really don't.
01:58	15	Q	But, generally speaking, having an opportunity to
	16		have the Supreme Court of Canada rule on David's
	17		innocence was something that you had been seeking?
	18	A	Oh, yes.
	19	Q	Or any Court?
01:58	20	A	Yes, it was major, and we were very happy about
	21		it.
	22	Q	If and don't take this question the wrong way
	23		if the Court had concluded that David was
	24		probably innocent and found in favour of you, is
01:58	25		it fair to say that you may have had less concern
			1



			Page 31423 ====================================
	1		with the tests that they employed than you
	2		ultimately did?
	3	А	Yes, probably.
	4	Q	And so the result was one that you didn't agree
01:58	5		with, you didn't like, therefore maybe you looked
	6		a bit more critically at the test;
	7	A	That's
	8	Q	is that a fair
	9	А	That's fair.
01:58	10	Q	a fair way to put it?
	11	А	That's a fair way to put it.
	12	Q	If we can then go to 010127. This is an affidavit
	13		of yours that was filed with the Court and is
	14		included in the documentary evidence; do you
01:59	15		recall how this affidavit came about and why it
	16		was filed, or what may have prompted it?
	17	А	I think it was required.
	18	Q	By whom?
	19	А	By the Court.
01:59	20	Q	Okay. And, I'm sorry, did they did they ask
	21		you to put or
	22	А	No, my lawyers did, but I assumed it was something
	23		that was required for it.
	24	Q	Okay. So your lawyers indicated were you told
01:59	25		that you might be a witness at the Supreme Court;
			•

		——————————————————————————————————————
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1		do you remember that being discussed, about
2		whether you would actually testify?
3	A	Well, I offered to testify, I said that I'd be
4		happy to testify.
01:59 5	Q	And do you recall why you weren't called as a
6		witness?
7	A	No, I don't.
8	Q	And would that be something your lawyers would
9		have
01:59 10	A	I think, even in my affidavit,
11	Q	Right?
12	A	I had indicated that I would be prepared to
13		testify.
14	Q	Right, that is at the end of your affidavit, and I
01:59 15		think that was your position. I'm trying to find
16		out if you know any reason as to why you weren't
17		called as a witness?
18	A	No, I have no idea.
19	Q	And would the affidavit then be, was it a way to
02:00 20		get some of your evidence in before the Court
21		without you testifying, or do you know?
22	A	I think it was a way of making sure that, if I
23		didn't testify, the evidence got in.
24	Q	If we can go to the next page, please. Paragraph
02:00 25		5 at the bottom you talk about, here:
	ll .	



			Page 31425 ————
	1		" retained the services of Gary Young
	2		and later Anthony Merchant. I was
	3		advised at that time that reopening
	4		convictions was extremely difficult and
02:00	5		it would best",
	6		I think that should be 'would be':
	7		" best if the main witnesses were
	8		approached to determine if they were
	9		prepared to recant their evidence and
02:00	10		included herein at pages 17 - 18 is a
	11		letter from Anthony Merchant. Skip
	12		tracers were retained and as a result I
	13		was able to contact Ron Wilson and did
	14		have a telephone conversation with him
02:01	15		in 1981. The telephone conversation,
	16		while cordial, was generally
	17		insignificant."
	18		And let me just pause there. I think that would
	19		be the same conversation that we went through
02:01	20	А	Yes,
	21	Q	a couple of weeks ago?
	22	A	it was.
	23	Q	Yeah, it would be. And, in fact, there was two
	24		conversations; wasn't there?
02:01	25	А	Yes.



			Page 31426
	1	Q	So the January 26th, 1981 and the April 15th,
	2		1991, I think were the dates, those would be the
	3		telephone conversations that you would be
	4		referring to in this affidavit?
02:01	5	А	That's correct.
	6	Q	And do you know if there was any, ever, discussion
	7		about attaching those transcripts to your
	8		affidavit or putting that, those transcripts,
	9		before the Court; do you recall anything of that
02:01	10		nature?
	11	А	I don't recall us discussing that.
	12	Q	And would that have been your view, that those
	13		conversations were generally insignificant with
	14		Mr. Wilson, would you
02:01	15	А	Well to me those conversations that I had at that
	16		time, because of the condition that he was in, if
	17		I was a judge or someone looking at that
	18		transcript or and listening to that
	19		conversation I would say "how can we get anything,
02:02	20		how can we take anything out of this, this man is
	21		obviously inebriated, on drugs, whatever", he was
	22		just all over the place, and so
	23	Q	Well, was there
	24	А	I just felt it was insignificant. I didn't
02:02	25		think it was anything that could be used as

			1 age 31421
	1		evidence.
	2	Q	Did you have any thought, at the time, about at
	3		least putting it before the Court and letting the
	4		judges decide whether it did or did not assist
02:02	5		them in understanding Ron Wilson's evidence?
	6	A	I don't remember any thought processes like that.
	7	Q	Okay. And, again, I'm trying to determine
	8		whether, back at this time of the affidavit,
	9		whether there was a decision with your lawyers
02:03	10		saying, "okay, well should we put it in or should
	11		we not, let's not put it in, and here's why"?
	12	A	I don't recall any of that.
	13	Q	Okay. And, similarly, you talk about locating
	14		Nichol John and had a meeting with Nichol John in
02:03	15		the presence of her lawyer, and about the
	16		hypnosis, but there is no mention of the interview
	17		being taped or of the transcript, and the
	18		transcript certainly was not attached. Would you
	19		get would you have the same evidence with
02:03	20		respect to Nichol John and those interviews as you
	21		did with Ron Wilson well, actually let me
	22		rephrase it, because I think you are saying the
	23		Ron Wilson interviews you thought were
	24		insignificant because of his condition?
02:03	25	A	And with Nichol John, I mean, because we got, we \P

			1 age 31420
	1		didn't get anything from her, I didn't see that we
	2		had anything to submit.
	3	Q	And so, again, but what; was it a case of, back at
	4		the time of this affidavit, that you and your
02:04	5		lawyers discussed "should we or should we not put
	6		the transcript in", and you decided not to, or was
	7		it a case that it simply that issue wasn't
	8		addressed between you and your lawyers?
	9	A	I don't think it was addressed.
02:04	10	Q	Okay.
	11	A	I think that I was progressively going through,
	12		sort of letting them know what I did.
	13	Q	If we can go to the next page, and I think here
	14		you say:
02:04	15		"In order to obtain the file",
	16		I think you are talking about Mr. Tallis'
	17		original file?
	18	А	Uh-huh.
	19	Q	You say:
02:04	20		" I have also caused to be made
	21		enquiries of Anthony Merchant and he
	22		does not have the original file although
	23		he was able to locate his file which
	24		consists of primarily parole reports."
02:04	25		And I think this would have been the first time



			Page 31429 ————
	1		that you or your counsel had contacted Mr.
	2		Merchant to get his file; is that right?
	3	A	That's correct.
	4	Q	And do you remember how that came about or why
02:04	5		that became an issue at that time and not earlier?
	6	А	Well I think because we thought that we might need
	7		it.
	8	Q	Might?
	9	А	Need it for the Supreme Court.
02:05	10	Q	Might need Mr. Merchant's file?
	11	А	Yes.
	12	Q	Okay. And if we can scroll down to paragraph 9,
	13		you say:
	14		"I am advised by Mr. Wolch that this
02:05	15		Court is interested in determining what
	16		disclosure was made available to Justice
	17		Tallis at the time and given that the
	18		files apparently are either lost or
	19		destroyed I have reviewed the material
02:05	20		that I have gathered over the years
	21		" .
	22		Would that have been what Mr. Wolch had told you;
	23		that the Supreme Court was interested in
	24		determining what disclosure was made available to
02:05	25		Justice Tallis?



	П		Page 31430 ————
			r age or roo
	1	А	Yes.
	2	Q	And then you go on to attach copies of letters,
	3		and you say here these are letters, I think,
	4		from between Mr. Caldwell and Mr. Tallis and
02:05	5		you say:
	6		"These letters were provided to me by a
	7		Peter Carlyle-Gordge who had apparently
	8		received same from Mr. Caldwell in 1983.
	9		I have also included Mr. Caldwell's
02:05	10		report and other correspondence that may
	11		be helpful."
	12		So do I have this correct, that Mr. Wolch would
	13		have said, "lookit, we'd like, we need evidence
	14		before the Court about what disclosure was made
02:06	15		by Mr. Caldwell to Mr. Tallis, you've got some of
	16		that information because you've got these letters
	17		from Mr. Carlyle-Gordge, put it in through your
	18		affidavit"; is that
	19	А	Right, that's correct.
02:06	20	Q	If we can go to page 010133, and paragraph 17 you
	21		talk about seeking the assistance of Centurion
	22		Ministries, you say that Reverend McCloskey is
	23		prepared to testify, Paul Henderson is prepared to
	24		testified, and then down here Professor Boyd and
02:06	25		Rossmo, they are independent, on the next page you



	Ī		Page 31431
	1		say they are prepared to testify; do you have any
	2		knowledge as to why Mr. McCloskey, Mr. Henderson,
	3		Mr. Boyd, and Mr. Rossmo did not testify before
	4		the Supreme Court?
02:07	5	А	I do not.
	6	Q	Am I correct that, from reading your affidavit, it
	7		appears that you are suggesting that they should
	8		be called as witnesses or that you would like them
	9		to be witnesses?
02:07	10	А	Well I had felt that they would be excellent
	11		witnesses for the Supreme Court to hear from.
	12	Q	And then, again, just scroll down to paragraph 9.
	13		I think this is where you say:
	14		" it clearly understood that I am
02:07	15		more than willing to testify before this
	16		Court to any matter raised in this
	17		Affidavit";
	18		is that correct?
	19	А	Yes. And the first part of that statement is how
02:07	20		they got me doing this affidavit.
	21	Q	I'm sorry?
	22	А	The first part of that statement is how Hersh and
	23		David
	24	Q	Oh,
02:07	25	А	got me doing that statement.

			——————————————————————————————————————
	1	Q	sure, so:
	2		"I am aware how valuable court time is
	3		in the Supreme Court and have been
	4		advised by counsel that the tendering of
02:08	5		Affidavits may be an expeditious way of
	6		preserving court time."
	7	A	Yeah.
	8	Q	Was that
	9	A	I mean that was one of the reasons that we were
02:08	10		doing the affidavits was because to save the
	11		Supreme Court time.
	12	Q	And was that your understanding, at the time, as
	13		to why you would put an affidavit in as opposed
	14		to
	15	А	Yes, it was.
	16	Q	appearing in person? Now as far as the Supreme
	17		Court reference itself, I think it went over the
	18		course of three months, sporadic days here and
	19		there; is that correct?
02:08	20	A	That's correct.
	21	Q	And you attended each day of Court, I think, and
	22		
	23	A	I did.
	24	Q	and you also would have participated, I think,
02:08	25		with Mr. Wolch and Mr. Asper, and I think



			1 age 31400
	1		Mr. Bruce was present as well in Ottawa for a
	2		while,
	3	A	Yes.
	4	Q	as far as reviewing materials and preparing
02:08	5	А	My whole family, the I had family, a niece in
	6		Kanata, and their husband and their children, they
	7		actually went through files and worked with us
	8		pulling out stuff because, at the last minute, we
	9		had so much paper and things to do and we'd
02:09	10		we'd had someone, Hersh would say "well where's
	11		this", and then there'd be a concerted effort of
	12		everybody to find it because there was we were
	13		just deluged with paper.
	14	Q	Now if we could go to your book, which is 269317.
02:09	15	А	And the page number, please?
	16	Q	227.
	17	А	Thank you.
	18	Q	The book page is 227, the doc. ID is 269555. And
	19		we touched on this a bit earlier, this relates to
02:09	20		the Mackie summary, the document; you know which
	21		document is the Mackie summary?
	22	А	Yes, I do.
	23	Q	And here you write in your book:
	24		"One night, David Asper and I
02:09	25		were prepping Hersh when Hersh read a
		ii .	

	, age evia
1	police document and looked startled.
2	'Joyce, do you you know what this is?
3	Don't you realize the significance of
4	this?'"
5	You answered:
6	"'No. What's the
7	significance of it?'
8	'Look at this,' Hersh said. I
9	looked at a police report we had
10	received in the late 1980s, after we
11	started pressuring the Justice
12	Department to make sure Saskatchewan
13	provided us with all available documents
14	on the case. I had seen it what seemed
15	to be a thousand times, and so had David
16	Asper. It hadn't struck us as
17	particularly remarkable, perhaps because
18	we were buried so deeply into the case.
19	'This is incredible,' Hersh
20	said. 'They did this before they talked
21	to those kids.'
22	Hersh was marvelling about a
23	Crown document that set out the
24	prosecution's game plan. What was
25	shocking was its timing. It said what
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1 witnesses were to say before they had 2 said it. At the bottom of the document, 3 the police were ordered to get David's 4 young friends, interrogate them and get 5 them to make statements that conformed 02:10 6 to the Crown's theory, which they did in May of 1969. Everything that was 8 outlined in the Crown's theory was what 9 they eventually got those kids to say 02:10 10 later. It was an absolutely dynamite 11 piece of paper. 12 Hersh had waited years for his 13 14 waste it. 02:11 15 16 17

18

19

21

22

23

24

02:11 25

02:11 20

chance in Court, and he wasn't about to waste it. Eddie Karst, one of the key police investigators in the case, was on the witness stand on February 17, 1992, when Hersh produced the Crown 'game plan' document that targeted David. Hersh absolutely rocked the packed courtroom when he said, 'A senior officer laid out a whole theory and told you to go get it from these people.' It wasn't so much a question as a bald statement of fact."

And then it goes on to talk about that and



			7 ago 0. 100
	1		Mr. Karst's evidence.
	2		If we can just go back to the
	3		previous page, would that be an accurate account,
	4		what I read from your book, about what you
02:11	5		remember?
	6	Α	Absolutely. To this day, I'll never remember how
	7		shocked David Asper and I were to see his
	8		interpretation of it, I mean we'd looked at the
	9		paper so and I was mad at myself that I hadn't
02:11	10		seen the significance of it before that time, but
	11		Hersh saw it immediately, and it was like a big
	12		white light had gone on for everyone.
	13	Q	And would that have been, I think you told us this
	14		earlier, but at that point I think the theory put
02:12	15		forward by your group that the Mackie summary was
	16		evidence that supported your contention that the
	17		police wrongfully coerced witnesses?
	18	А	That's correct.
	19	Q	Now it talks here about, you say that:
	20		" a police report we had received in
	21		the late 1980s, after we started
	22		pressuring the Justice Department to
	23		make sure Saskatchewan provided us with
	24		all available documents on the case."
02:12	25		I'm presuming the "Justice Department" would have

		Page 31437 ————
1		been the federal Justice Department; is that
2		right?
3	A	Yes, that's correct.
4	Q	So in the late '80s, in the late '80s then, did
02:12 5		you it appears from this comment in that, in
6		late '80s, you would have received some police
7		files from Federal Justice?
8	А	I believe we did.
9	Q	And would that would that are you able to
02:12 10		pinpoint the date any further, would it have been
11		
12	А	No, I'm sorry, I can't, Mr. Hodson.
13	Q	And, again, this would have been a document that
14		you would have read, I think you said, a
02:13 15		thousands of times maybe that's overstating
16		it but
17	А	Yeah.
18	Q	you would have read it many, many times?
19	А	We had read it many, many times, because you sort
02:13 20		of went through the step by step, but not seeing
21		the significance of the date being before it took
22		place.
23	Q	If we could call up 008879. This is the Supreme
24		Court of Canada decision dated April 14, 1992.
02:13 25		Now, before I go through this, I think you've told



1		us a couple weeks ago that basically and please
2		correct me if I'm wrong but basically you had
3		three when I say "you" I'm talking about you
4		and your son David and perhaps your counsel but
02:13 5		that you had really three objectives in going to
6		the Supreme Court, one and I think you ranked
7		them this way number one was to get David out
8		of jail; is that right?
9	A	Right.
02:14 10	Q	Is that fair?
11	A	Fair.
12	Q	And number two was to clear his name?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Or to give you a process that would allow you to
02:14 15		clear his name?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	And so a free pardon would have done that. If the
18		Court would have, if the Supreme Court would have
19		said "we find beyond a reasonable doubt that David
02:14 20		is innocent" and gave him a free pardon or advised
21		the Minister to give him a free pardon, that would
22		have satisfied that concern?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	If the Court had said, "lookit, we believe that
02:14 25		David is probably innocent and therefore we give
		•

			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		him leave to appeal to the, appeal to this
	2		Court",
	3	A	Yes.
	4	Q	that would have is it would that have
02:14	5		cleared his name sufficiently for your purposes
	6		then?
	7	A	As long as he got leave to take it to a Court,
	8		yes.
	9	Q	And if the Supreme Court said "we find that, based
02:14	10		on the evidence, David Milgaard is probably
	11		innocent, and therefore we advise the Minister to
	12		give him a remedy"?
	13	A	Yes.
	14	Q	And the remedy, I think they, in their earlier
02:14	15		decision, said they'd give would be to allow him
	16		to re-open his application for leave to appeal to
	17		the Supreme Court that would presumably lead to
	18		the Court granting a remedy. And, again, that
	19		would be something that would satisfy your concern
02:15	20		of clearing David's name; is that fair?
	21	A	Yes, I think so.
	22	Q	And the third item I think you said of your list
	23		of things that you wished to achieve from the
	24		Supreme Court was a ruling that would allow David
02:15	25		a basis to seek compensation from the authorities
			1



	Ī		——————————————————————————————————————
	1		for wrongful conviction?
	2	Α	Correct.
	3	Q	And would it be correct to say that, in order for
	4		David to seek compensation for being wrongfully
02:15	5		convicted, that you also needed something from the
	6		Court saying that?
	7	А	Yes.
	8	Q	And so that you you sort of two and three
	9		were connected, in other words in order to get
02:16	10		compensation you need to, you needed to get
	11		David's name cleared; is that fair?
	12	A	That's fair.
	13	Q	Now I propose to go through parts of this
	14		decision, but is it fair to say that that or
02:16	15		correct to say that the Supreme Court decision
	16		gave you number one, in other words it allowed for
	17		David to be let out of prison; but that it
	18		significantly hampered two and three, namely it
	19		did not remove it did not clear David's name
02:16	20		and, secondly, it acted as an impediment for David
	21		to seek compensation?
	22	А	It did. I can remember that day that when we
	23		got the judgement and we were going to have to go
	24		up to the prison to get David out, and I, I was so
02:16	25		upset when I read that judgement. The fact that
			Mayor CompuCount Paparting



	1		they were letting him out was great, and but I
	2		was furious as to what they said in the ruling,
	3		and I remember David Asper saying to me "Joyce,
	4		you've got to look happy about this", and I said
02:17	5		"why do I have to look happy about this, what they
	6		have done is wrong". And I mean I there was no
	7		way I wanted to go up there and put on a happy
	8		face, and he said "you're gonna have to. I am
	9		telling you, Joyce, you have got to get up there
02:17	10		and you've got to be really happy and look excited
	11		about taking your son out of prison, this is a day
	12		you have been waiting for for a long time", but I
	13		was so overwhelmed at what was in that decision
	14		that it was taking the joy out of it, or trying,
02:17	15		you know.
	16	Q	And what what what was it that bothered you
	17		so much or why was it so troubling for you?
	18	А	Well, all the various things where they were
	19		making excuses for what to me they were
02:18	20		excuses I they were saying things like "we
	21		heard no evidence",
	22	Q	Okay.
	23	A	and we weren't allowed to give it. I'd have
	24		to
	25	Q	Sure



	Ī		
	1	А	go through it
	2	Q	let's go through it.
	3	А	step by step
	4	Q	No, we will do that.
02:18	5	A	to know.
	6	Q	I'm sorry to get ahead of myself. If we could go
	7		to page 008883. And this is the judgement, this
	8		is page 2, and the Court just repeats the test,
	9		and I don't think we need to go through that
02:18	10		because I went through it before, that said "here
	11		are the four guidelines; one, if David can
	12		prove"
	13	A	Right.
	14	Q	"beyond a reasonable doubt, preponderance of
02:18	15		evidence". Then if we can go to page 008885. And
	16		so, after they set out the test, they say:
	17		"It is appropriate to begin
	18		by stating that in our view David
	19		Milgaard had the benefit of a fair trial
02:19	20		in January 1970."
	21		Was that a statement that concerned you, that
	22		troubled you?
	23	A	It was the next one that killed me.
	24	Q	We'll go
02:19	25	A	"We have not been presented with any
		1	



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		probative evidence that the police acted
	2		improperly in the investigation of the
	3		robbery, sexual assault and murder of
	4		Gail Miller or in their interviews with
02:19	5		any of the witnesses."
	6		That just
	7	Q	Now
	8	А	blew my mind that they could say that.
	9	Q	and you had been present, I think the Court did
02:19	10		hear from Inspector Roberts, from Mr. Karst,
	11	A	Uh-huh.
	12	Q	Mr. Wilson,
	13	A	Uh-huh.
	14	Q	Ms. John, and Mr. Cadrain; correct?
02:19	15	A	Right.
	16	Q	And was your concern that, lookit, they should
	17		have found differently?
	18	А	Yes.
	19	Q	And so that would be your concern with that, or
02:19	20		you didn't agree with the Court when the Court
	21		said the police didn't that there was no
	22		evidence that the police acted improperly; is that
	23		fair?
	24	А	Well, no, because as soon as I read that statement
02:20	25		I thought:
		I	.

			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		"We have not been presented with any
	2		probative evidence that the police acted
	3		<pre>improperly",</pre>
	4		I remembered back to the first day when they said
02:20	5		"we do not want to receive evidence of police
	6		misconducts, that's not what we are here for",
	7		they laid the guidelines, and of course you'll
	8		have to get those from David Asper.
	9	Q	Okay.
02:20	10	A	And so then in their statement they say "we've not
	11		been presented with them"; how can they say that
	12		when they said they didn't want it in the first
	13		place?
	14	Q	So is it
02:20	15		COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What about the
	16		fair trial part of the question, she hasn't
	17		answered that.
	18	BY M	IR. HODSON:
	19	Q	I'll yeah, I'll come back to that, to the first
02:20	20		sentence. If I could just pick up on that last
	21		point, would it be correct to say that it was Mr.
	22		Asper, then, who told you that, lookit, they told
	23		us that we couldn't call any evidence on this
	24		subject?
02:20	25	A	Yes, that's right.



		——————————————————————————————————————
		ŭ
1	Q	And that would have been at the time you got the
2		judgment?
3	А	Yes, that's right.
4	Q	So he would have said the reason there wasn't any
02:20 5		probative evidence that the police acted
6		improperly is because the court told us we
7		couldn't call it; is that right?
8	А	That's right.
9	Q	And so that's the concern you had, is that
02:21 10	A	With that one.
11	Q	Now, what about the fact that there was, and I
12		don't want to debate what was and wasn't before
13		the court, or what evidence, but certainly
14		Inspector Roberts, Mr. Karst, Nichol John, Cadrain
02:21 15		and Wilson all testified before the Supreme Court
16		and I think Mr. Wolch questioned them all about
17		the police interviews of those witnesses. Do you
18		remember hearing that, being evidence before the
19		court?
02:21 20	А	Yes, I do.
21	Q	What about that, was that I'm wondering how
22		that fits in with what Mr. Asper had told you
23		about them not being allowed to call evidence
24		about the police interviews of witnesses?
02:21 25	A	I don't know how that fits in.



			Page 31446
	1	Q	Okay. And then if we can go back to the first
	2		sentence here, did you have issues, or take issue
	3		with the Supreme Court saying that David Milgaard
	4		had the benefit of a fair trial?
02:21	5	A	In January of 1970 I had already said that I
	6		believed that, yes.
	7	Q	So you were fine you didn't take issue with
	8		that, that at the time
	9	A	No, I didn't.
02:22 1	0	Q	Now, we also go on to this sentence, that:
1	1		"Nor has evidence been presented that
1	2		there was inadequate disclosure in
1	3		accordance with the practice prevailing
1	4		at the time."
02:22 1	5		Did you take issue with any of that or did that
1	6		cause you any concern?
1	7	А	Well, part of it was because we do we have any
1	8		notes on the conversations that took place at the
1	9		Supreme Court, is that possible, to have those to
02:22 2	2O		deal with?
2	21	Q	As far as what was discussed we will be hearing
2	22		evidence from people who were present, namely,
2	23		Murray Brown will be testifying.
2	24	А	Yeah.
02:22 2	25	Q	Eugene Williams will be testifying, Ron Fainstein
			4



	1		will be testifying, Mr. Asper has already
:	2		testified about the discussions before the Supreme
;	3		Court. I think based on my interviews I can tell
4	4		you that there are different views about what was
02:23	5		said, so
(6	А	Okay.
	7	Q	So we haven't heard all the evidence yet, and I
8	8		simply want to know what you were told. I mean,
(9		you said you weren't there. I just want to
02:23 10	0		understand what your knowledge was and what it was
1	1		based on.
12	2	А	Yes.
1;	3	Q	Okay. I'm not asking you to speculate of what was
14	4		discussed in conversations where you weren't
02:23 1	5		present. I do want to know what your lawyers told
10	6		you though.
1	7	А	Yes, all right.
18	8	Q	So here, just on this issue about the inadequate
19	9		disclosure, do you have any recollection of Mr.
02:23 20	0		Asper or Mr. Wolch saying anything to you about
2	1		this, and in particular whether a ruling by the
22	2		court somehow precluded this evidence from going
23	3		in?
24	4	A	Well, it seemed to me that there was all kinds of
02:24 2	5		inadequate disclosure because there were all the
			Meyer CompuCourt Reporting



	1		witnesses like the Merrimans, there were all of
	2		those things that we never got, so if
	3	Q	Let me just
	4	A	there was no evidence presented about that,
02:24	5		that would be because they had not said that we
	6		could not call it.
	7	Q	Okay. Now, that evidence, or at least what you
	8		mentioned, was in the case on reference, the
	9		documents, certainly there's a fair bit of volume
02:24	10		on that, and there was your affidavit that had the
	11		letters between Mr. Caldwell and Tallis. Was
	12		your my first question was whether you had a
	13		concern with the court's finding, and it sounds to
	14		me like you did?
02:24	15	A	I did, yeah.
	16	Q	And was it a case that you said lookit, I don't
	17		agree with you, court, I think you are wrong
	18	A	Because there was so much stuff that we found
	19		afterwards that if Mr. Tallis had known that there
02:24	20		was a serial rapist in the area, I mean, he would
	21		have brought that up, it would have been part of
	22		his defence about all those attacks that had taken
	23		place. He didn't have that disclosure.
	24	Q	And I'm trying okay, and I'm trying to
02:25	25		understand your understanding at the time, and was

			•
	1		it a case of you saying lookit, I don't like this
	2		finding of the court, I think it's wrong, or was
	3		it similar to the earlier sentence, was it a case
	4		of Mr. Asper or Mr. Wolch telling you that lookit,
02:25	5		the reason there was no evidence of inadequate
	6		disclosure is the court told us not to, that we
	7		couldn't file it?
	8	A	I think it was half and half.
	9	Q	Okay. So a bit of both?
02:25	10	A	A bit of both, yeah.
	11	Q	So they had some evidence, but not all the
	12		evidence?
	13	A	That's right.
	14	Q	But bottom line is you didn't agree with the
02:25	15		conclusion?
	16	A	I certainly didn't agree with the conclusion.
	17	Q	And then as well:
	18		"Milgaard was represented by able and
	19		experienced counsel. No error in law or
02:25	20		procedure has been established."
	21		As far as the ruling on representation of
	22		counsel, did you have concerns at the time about
	23		that finding?
	24	А	No, I think probably about this time I was all
02:26	25		right with that.



Ī		Page 31450 ————————————————————————————————————
1	Q	And then:
2		"At the conclusion of the first trial,
3		there was ample evidence upon which the
4		jury, which had been properly
5		instructed, could return a verdict of
6		guilty."
7		Again, do you recall having any issue with that?
8	A	Yes. No, not, no issue with that.
9	Q	And then if we can scroll down, and this is where
10		the court I think grants the remedy, it says:
11		"In our view"
12		Actually, let me just scroll up a paragraph. It
13		talks about fresh evidence, being Ron Wilson, the
14		motel room confession and Larry Fisher, and then
15		says:
16		"In our view, this evidence, together
17		with other evidence we have heard,
18		constitutes credible evidence that could
19		reasonably be expected to have affected
20		the verdict of the jury considering the
21		guilt or innocence of David Milgaard.
22		Our conclusion in this respect is not to
23		be taken as a finding of guilt against
24		Fisher, nor indeed that the evidence
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

02:26 25

would justify charging him with the

			•
	1		murder of Gail Miller."
	2		Again, do you recall having concerns about that
	3		part of the judgment?
	4	A	Yes, I do, and I remember the lawyers saying that
02:27	5		the Supreme Court could not in any way, shape or
	6		form indicate the guilt of Larry Fisher because
	7		then he could never get a fair trial.
	8	Q	Okay. So
	9	A	Something to that effect. I mean, they sort of
02:27	10		told me that would be the reason behind that. I
	11		remember questioning that in my own mind.
	12	Q	And so that as far as the guilt or innocence of
	13		Larry Fisher, because he had not been before the
	14		court, they had to be careful?
02:27	15	A	Yes.
	16	Q	With respect to David Milgaard, is it fair to say
	17		that the court was free to express their opinions
	18		about guilt or innocence?
	19	A	Yes.
02:27	20	Q	And so then down at the bottom the court goes on
	21		to say the options, so number one, they say:
	22		" we are not satisfied beyond a
	23		reasonable doubt that David Milgaard is
	24		innocent of the murder of Gail Miller."
02:28	25		And do you take issue with that, or did you take

			Page 31452 ————
	1		issue with that at the time, had you felt that
	2		that was wrong, that you had established his
	3		innocence beyond a reasonable doubt?
	4	А	I felt we had, but I'm prejudiced, I'm his mom.
02:28	5	Q	Pardon me?
	6	A	I said I was prejudiced, I'm his mom.
	7	Q	But again, is that something, though, that when
	8		you read that part of it, you took issue with
	9		that, or is it something that said, okay, well,
02:28	10		that's a high burden
	11	A	Mr. Hodson, there was no way that I went through
	12		section by section of this page.
	13	Q	Okay.
	14	A	I took the overall conclusion of it and I was just
02:28	15		sick.
	16	Q	Okay. Let me go to the next page, and maybe this
	17		is the area that I'll get you to elaborate on, it
	18		says:
	19		"As to the second, we are not satisfied,
02:28	20		on the basis of the judicial record, the
	21		Reference case and the further evidence
	22		heard on this Reference, on a
	23		preponderance of all the evidence, that
	24		David Milgaard is innocent of that
02:29	25		murder."
			4



	Īī		——————————————————————————————————————
	1		And would this be the section or the part of the
	2		judgment that caused you most of the concern?
	3	A	Probably, yeah.
	4	Q	And I think later some authorities or some people
02:29	5		took the position that since the court failed to
	6		find David probably innocent, that that meant he
	7		was probably guilty?
	8	А	Correct.
	9	Q	And that was a position taken
02:29	10	А	by the Saskatchewan government.
	11	Q	And so I think there might be an argument that it
	12		still could be 50/50, but if he's not probably
	13		innocent, that he was probably guilty?
	14	А	Yes.
02:29	15	Q	And would that be the part of the judgment that
	16		caused you the most concern?
	17	А	I never went through it to see which caused me
	18		concern and which didn't. The whole thing that
	19		caused me concern was the fact that they weren't
02:29	20		saying he was innocent, period.
	21	Q	Right. And so is it correct to say that this
	22		paragraph right here, the top of page 6, and if
	23		that is read as I think the authorities did later,
	24		that David Milgaard failed to prove he's probably
02:30	25		innocent and therefore is probably guilty
			4



			. age en le
	1	А	That was very disturbing.
	2	Q	And number one, that although you could get David
	3		out of jail, it precluded you from clearing his
	4		name; correct?
02:30	5	А	Correct.
	6	Q	And it precluded you effectively from seeking
	7		compensation didn't it?
	8	A	That's right.
	9	Q	In other words, how could you go to the Government
02:30	10		of Saskatchewan or the federal government and say
	11		David has been wrongfully convicted, he's out of
	12		jail, I now want compensation, when the highest
	13		court in the land has just found that he's
	14		probably guilty, would that be a fair way of
02:30	15		putting the concern, or that he's not probably
	16		innocent?
	17	A	When it first came out I didn't even see it that
	18		way. Like, I don't even think Hersh and David saw
	19		it that way when it first came out because we did
02:30	20		apply.
	21	Q	For compensation?
	22	A	We applied to have it re-opened, yes, afterwards.
	23	Q	And I'll take you to this, but the response back
	24		was how can we give you compensation and say you
02:31	25		are innocent when the court has just ruled that
		II	



			Page 31455 —————
	1		you are not?
	2	А	Yeah, but then as I said, that was their
	3		interpretation of the report as opposed to Hersh's
	4		interpretation of the report and David Asper's
02:31	5		interpretation of the report.
	6	Q	The report being the Supreme Court decision?
	7	А	Yes.
	8	Q	Yeah. So again, and I'm not going to get into
	9		that debate, we'll hear from Murray Brown and
02:31	10		we've heard from Mr. Asper on that, but again,
	11		from your understanding
	12	A	And I think the letters from Hersh are making it
	13		clear when he applies what our understanding of it
	14		was.
02:31	15	Q	Yeah. But you said that you were disappointed
	16		with the decision. Did you view the Supreme Court
	17		decision as a loss? Even though David got out of
	18		jail, did you view it as that you lost the case?
	19	Α	I think in a sense I did. I just felt that they
02:32	20		had failed him miserably.
	21	Q	And the failure was, just so that I have this
	22		right, the failure was in that they did not give,
	23		they did not clear David's name?
	24	А	That's right.
02:32	25	Q	And that had a collateral effect in that it would
	Į,	i	

			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		preclude him from getting compensation?
	2	А	That's right, and he wandered around for years
	3		with a cloud over his head.
	4	Q	And again, if we can just scroll down, the comment
02:32	5		here:
	6		"Without being exhaustive it will
	7		suffice to observe that there is some
	8		evidence which if accepted by a jury
	9		could implicate Milgaard in the murder
02:32	10		of Gail Miller."
	11		And did that, was that something in the judgment
	12		that troubled you as well?
	13	A	I don't know that I ever remember reading that
	14		part of it or seeing that part of it.
02:32	15	Q	And I think what the court went on to say is that
	16		lookit, set aside the conviction, have a new trial
	17		and if he's convicted again, then you should
	18		consider giving him a pardon
	19	A	Yeah.
02:33	20	Q	because he's effectively served enough time?
	21	A	Yes.
	22	Q	Do you recall that being a part of it?
	23	A	I remember that being part of it.
	24	Q	And did you have concerns about that part of the
02:33	25		judgment?
		li .	



	Ī		Page 31457
	1	А	No, because I felt that he should well, yes and
	2		no. When they put that in, we knew that that was
	3		an out for Saskatchewan.
	4	Q	In what way?
02:33	5	A	Well, what's the sense of having I mean, if I
	6		was in Saskatchewan, I would say, well, what's the
	7		sense of having a new trial if we can't give him
	8		any more time.
	9	Q	Now, did you want let's just move ahead a bit.
02:33	10		Right after this decision the Government of
	11		Saskatchewan did enter a stay and didn't proceed?
	12	A	That's right.
	13	Q	And were you satisfied with that or did you want
	14		them to have a trial?
02:33	15	A	Oh, we wanted to have a trial.
	16	Q	And why?
	17	A	To prove his innocence.
	18	Q	And so that a jury would then acquit him?
	19	A	That's right. I mean, that's what we had been
02:33	20		trying since it all began, to prove his innocence.
	21	Q	And if, and I don't want to get hung up on
	22		technical legalities here, but if the Supreme
	23		Court of Canada would have said that we find David
	24		to be probably innocent, would you have been as
02:34	25		concerned about getting an acquittal?



		Page 31458
		ŭ
1	А	Probably not.
2	Q	And so no matter how it came, you wanted something
3		from some legal venue that said David is
4		acquitted?
5	A	They've made a mistake.
6	Q	And
7	A	And they admit it.
8	Q	If we can go to the next page, please, and the
9		court commented here, it says:
10		"Without enumerating them fully, or
11		commenting on which should prevail, it
12		will suffice to observe that there were
13		a number of differences in the testimony
14		given by Milgaard and Justice Tallis on
15		this reference."
16		And we talked about that in your previous
17		evidence about
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	that issue, and I think that's something that
20		you said you became very concerned about when you
21		learned what Mr. Tallis was going to say
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	shortly before the reference. And again, was
24		that something that I think you said before
25		your David testified, it troubled you that he
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2 Q 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20 21 2 A 23 Q 24

	1		would be giving evidence that would be
	2		contradicted by Mr. Tallis on a number of points?
	3	А	That's correct.
	4		MR. HODSON: This is probably an
02:35	5		appropriate spot to break.
	6		(Adjourned at 2:35 p.m.)
	7		(Reconvened at 2:55 p.m.)
	8		BY MR. HODSON:
	9	Q	Mrs. Milgaard, if we could just pick up after the
02:55	10		Supreme Court decision came out. I think you told
	11		us your initial reaction was that you were
	12		disappointed or upset with the decision; is that
	13		right?
	14	А	That's correct.
02:55	15	Q	And can you take us through sort of what happened
	16		in the days after? I think you said you went and
	17		told your son David about the news and you told us
	18		Mr. Asper told you to appear happy; is that right?
	19	А	That's right.
02:55	20	Q	And so David got out of jail I think within a day
	21		or two after that; is that correct?
	22	А	Yes, we went out to get him the next day.
	23	Q	And then what, sort of what happened next then,
	24		what was your what was your plan then to deal
02:56	25		with the decision; in other words let me put it
		il .	

Α

another way. Did you think, okay, well, David is out of jail, let's get on with our life and leave this alone, or was it a discussion of what can we do? I'm just trying to understand what followed from the Supreme Court decision.

I'm trying to think sort of when it started. Ι know in talking to David and Hersh we talked about the fact that they would be in touch with Saskatchewan and, I mean, at that point we were waiting to see what was going to happen as far as the new trial was concerned. My feeling was if we got the new trial, it would not be a problem because then we would have the means of showing, you know, at trial that he was innocent. really wonderful to have David out and -- but it was extremely difficult too in having him out, and I can remember we all went out to celebrate and I think he tried to drink Canada Dry at the time and I can remember I was living in the basement of my husband's apartment at that time because I had run out of money and had no accommodation and I remember David lying on the bed and looking up at me and saying, "Guess what, mom, I'm free," and I said, "Yes, dear God, you are," and yet he wasn't free, and when I -- and I knew that and I knew

	1		that it wasn't over, that we were going to have to
	2		fight and we were going to have to continue.
	3	Q	And I guess that was my question. I take it then
	4		that there was was there ever a consideration
02:58	5		around this time where you said okay, he's out of
	6		jail, it's enough, let's move on, or it sounds
	7		from your last answer that no, you were not
	8		prepared to let the status quo continue?
	9	А	No, because it wasn't principled and it wasn't
02:58	10		right.
	11	Q	And would it be correct to say that after the
	12		Supreme Court decision came out, in light of what
	13		the Supreme Court said and in particular the fact
	14		that they could not find David to be probably
02:59	15		innocent, that you had to find another forum or
	16		mechanism to have that issue addressed; is that
	17		right, you needed some other way to have that
	18		issue dealt with again?
	19	А	Addressed, yes.
02:59	20	Q	And one option would be if the Government of
	21		Saskatchewan proceeded with the prosecution and
	22		David was acquitted, that would then erase, for
	23		lack of a better word, erase the conclusion of the
	24		Supreme Court in some respects?
02:59	25	A	Correct.



			1 age 31402
	1	Q	And that if David was acquitted, that would at
	2		least allow you to say okay, he's now no longer in
	3		legal limbo, he has been acquitted of the murder
	4		and so that would clear the cloud; correct?
02:59	5	A	Correct.
	6	Q	And secondly, that might provide you the basis to
	7		seek compensation?
	8	А	Correct. If the Supreme Court had even said in
	9		their judgment that he must have the opportunity
02:59	10		of a new trial, I mean, that they actually gave
	11		them an indication there that
	12	Q	In some respects was the Supreme Court of Canada
	13		decision on the issue of David's innocence worse,
	14		were you in a worse position as a result of the
03:00	15		decision than you were before the decision?
	16	A	I really felt we were because they were
	17		whitewashing everybody except David.
	18	Q	And worse in this sense, before the Supreme Court
	19		decision you claimed you had all, you had a
03:00	20		significant amount of new evidence, including the
	21		Larry Fisher information?
	22	A	Yes.
	23	Q	And the court heard from Larry Fisher and heard
	24		some of the Larry Fisher information?
03:00	25	А	And I swear, Mr. Hodson, I was in that courtroom

1 that day when Larry Fisher testified and when 2 Hersh cross-examined him you could have heard a 3 pin drop in there and I know that every one of those judges up there knew that Larry Fisher had 4 5 done it. I know that everyone in the courtroom 03:00 6 felt that way. It was just an incredible It just seemed to me that it would be experience. 8 impossible, but then as lawyers they explained to 9 me that the Supreme Court couldn't say that Larry 03:01 10 Fisher did it because he could never get a --11 But did your lawyers tell you that if the judges 12 honestly thought that Larry Fisher had done it, 13 even though they couldn't say it in their 14 judgment, they could say that as a result of that 03:01 15 thought that David Milgaard is probably innocent; 16 in other words, if you think that Larry Fisher 17 committed the crime, then therefore David didn't? 18 I don't think we got into that. I just felt it Α 19 was, the testimony that was given was electrifying 03:01 20 in the courtroom and it certainly seemed -- but I 21 guess I'm a mom, I was prejudiced. To me it came 22 across that way and I just assumed everybody else 23 saw it that way too. 24 And just on this point of, and I think you've 03:02 25 agreed with this, that you were arguably in a



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		worse position after the Supreme Court?
	2	Α	Absolutely.
	3	Q	And just to clarify that, prior to the Supreme
	4		Court decision you were saying David is innocent,
03:02	5		Larry Fisher is the murderer?
	6	А	Yeah.
	7	Q	A whole host of things, Ron Wilson recanted,
	8		Albert Cadrain was mentally unstable, etcetera.
	9		Now you were going to be faced with the
03:02	10		authorities and perhaps others saying yes, but you
	11		put all that information before a court and a
	12		court still concluded that David isn't probably
	13		innocent?
	14	А	That's right.
03:02	15	Q	And so some of your arguments in effect were being
	16		taken away from you, at least in the eyes of the
	17		authority, because of what the court ruled; is
	18		that fair?
	19	A	That's fair.
03:02	20	Q	And is it correct to say that after the Supreme
	21		Court decision came out, that your battle, if I
	22		can call it that, with Federal Justice was over,
	23		but your battle with the Government of
	24		Saskatchewan was now beginning?
03:03	25	А	That's correct.
		II	



	Ī		——————————————————————————————————————
			Ŭ
	1	Q	And whereas prior to the Supreme Court decision,
	2		it was the federal government who could give you
	3		the remedy through Section 690?
	4	А	Right.
03:03	5	Q	And I think that's where a significant amount of
	6		your effort was focused, on putting pressure on
	7		Federal Justice; correct?
	8	A	Correct.
	9	Q	Once the reference was done, Federal Justice was
03:03	10		basically done; is that fair?
	11	A	Right.
	12	Q	And now it was the Government of Saskatchewan who
	13		could grant David a remedy or put in place a
	14		process that would give him a remedy and deal with
03:03	15		the issue of compensation?
	16	A	Correct.
	17	Q	And so is it correct to say that that, following
	18		the decision, that's where your efforts were
	19		focused?
03:03	20	A	Yes.
	21	Q	On putting pressure on the Government of
	22		Saskatchewan?
	23	A	That's correct.
	24	Q	If we can go to 020392, and is this a news release
03:04	25		put out by and again I think you used the term
			4

			1 ago 3 1 100
	1		Saskatchewan. It talk about Government of
	2		Saskatchewan or Saskatchewan Justice or
	3		Saskatchewan, we're all talking about the same
	4		thing I think; correct?
03:04	5	A	Yes, I think so.
	6	Q	Saskatchewan Government is who I guess ultimately
	7		we're referring to, and here is where the Attorney
	8		General Bob Mitchell announced that the Crown was
	9		going to enter a stay of proceedings and referring
03:04	10		to the decision, and down at the bottom it says:
	11		"We do not believe that a new trial is
	12		feasible. First of all, the evidence is
	13		23 years old. Some witnesses have died
	14		and some, as the Supreme Court hearing
03:04	15		shows, just don't remember what
	16		happened.
	17		As well, the Supreme Court has
	18		decided that Mr. Milgaard has served his
	19		term, stating in effect that, if he were
03:04	20		convicted, the Federal Justice minister
	21		should pardon him immediately."
	22		And so if I pause there, once the government
	23		announced a stay, so this is two days after the
	24		Supreme Court decision
03:05	25	А	Yes.

			——————————————————————————————————————
	1	Q	that effectively meant that you could not use a
	2		new trial to establish David's innocence; is that
	3		right?
	4	А	That's correct.
03:05	5	Q	So that that avenue was being taken away?
	6	А	Correct.
	7	Q	And I take it at the time was there any concern
	8		that a new trial would also bring with it some
	9		potential downside as well?
03:05	10	А	In what way?
	11	Q	Risk of cost I know I mean, from your
	12		perspective did you have any concerns that a jury
	13		might find David guilty again?
	14	А	No, no, I had no concern.
03:05	15	Q	So again, as far as the trial was concerned, was
	16		there any issue in your mind about any downside
	17		about proceeding with the trial?
	18	А	Oh, just, you know, having to go through another
	19		trial. I would have felt that going to the
03:05	20		Supreme Court would have been enough, but having
	21		to go through another trial would have been
	22		difficult, but one that was necessary obviously.
	23	Q	And one where David would have to testify again
	24		likely?
03:06	25	А	Yes, yes.
		I	_



			1 age 31400
	1	Q	So again, is it fair to say that with a new trial,
	2		that there were some negatives out of that from
	3		David's perspective?
	4	А	Yes.
03:06	5	Q	If we can go to the next page, and the government
	6		also goes on to say:
	7		"In making today's announcement, we feel
	8		we have to make a couple of points
	9		crystal clear. First, the government
03:06	10		will not order a commission of inquiry
	11		into the Milgaard case.
	12		In the opinion of the Supreme
	13		Court, Mr. Milgaard was given a fair
	14		trial after a competent police
03:06	15		investigation and was justly convicted
	16		on the evidence presented. I do not see
	17		what an inquiry could possibly establish
	18		that the Supreme Court has not already
	19		done."
03:06	20		And let me just pause there on this issue of the
	21		inquiry, and I will take you in a moment to some
	22		letters that Mr. Wolch wrote after about the
	23		inquiry, but is it correct to say that in looking
	24		at your avenues that you could pursue, I mean, if
03:07	25		the government is not going to proceed with the



1		prosecution, you had to find some way, either an
2		investigation or an inquiry or some mechanism of
3		further investigation to try and undo what the
4		Supreme Court of Canada had done in its decision;
03:07 5		is that fair?
6	A	That's fair.
7	Q	And an inquiry you thought was one way to do it?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Where you were hoping there that the inquiry might
03:07 10		come to a different conclusion than the Supreme
11		Court did?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	And so that would be one of the, I guess,
14		potential advantages of an inquiry, is that it
03:07 15		might allow David to have his name cleared?
16	A	That's right.
17	Q	And as well that the compensation that would
18		follow with that, in having his name cleared?
19	A	Correct.
03:07 20	Q	And then the government goes on to so I guess
21		here saying sorry, just scroll up a bit, the
22		fact that the Government of Saskatchewan is saying
23		not only are we going to stay the proceedings,
24		we're not going to have an inquiry, would take
03:07 25		away a second avenue that you might pursue to get, $lack$
	ii .	



			, age of me
	1		to undo the damage, if I can call it that, of the
	2		Supreme Court decision?
	3	А	Correct.
	4	Q	Or undo the finding?
03:08	5	А	Uh-huh.
	6	Q	The government goes on to say:
	7		"Secondly, we will not be offering any
	8		compensation to David Milgaard. It is,
	9		of course, his right to seek legal
03:08	10		recourse against the government, but we
	11		will oppose any such claim.
	12		The bottom line is that there
	13		is nothing that was brought before the
	14		Supreme Court which convince even one
03:08	15		justice that Mr. Milgaard is either
	16		innocent or a victim of a miscarriage of
	17		justice. Anyone who would suggest
	18		otherwise has no understanding of what
	19		the Supreme Court said."
03:08	20		And so here the government's position I think is
	21		in reliance on what the Supreme Court of Canada
	22		said, is lookit, you had your chance, but
	23		whatever evidence you wish to forward with
	24		respect to David Milgaard's innocence, you did so
03:08	25		and not one judge on the court agreed; is that

	Ī		Page 31471
			- -
	1		fair? That was your understanding of their
	2		position?
	3	А	That was their position.
	4	Q	And so when we talked earlier about the, being in
03:09	5		a worse position after the Supreme Court decision
	6		than before, would this be one example of that?
	7	А	Yes, it was.
	8	Q	If we can go to 026935, and this is a letter that
	9		Mr. Wolch wrote to The Honourable Bob Mitchell,
03:09	10		and I don't propose to go through it in detail,
	11		but I want to ask you a couple of points here,
	12		because I think what followed afterward, is it
	13		fair to say that your counsel, Mr. Wolch, and the
	14		Minister Bob Mitchell and government officials,
03:09	15		then what ensued was an exchange of correspondence
	16		debating what the Supreme Court decision meant; is
	17		that fair?
	18	A	Correct.
	19	Q	And your counsel had a different view?
03:09	20	A	Absolutely.
	21	Q	About what I guess about two things, I think
	22		number one, about what the scope of the reference
	23		was, about what evidence was allowed?
	24	А	Correct.
03:10	25	Q	And, secondly, what the Supreme Court judgement
			4



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		meant?
	2	А	Correct.
	3	Q	And that's something you left up to Mr. Wolch to
	4		argue on David's behalf,
03:10	5	А	Yes.
	6	Q	or advocate? Now there is a comment here where
	7		Mr. Wolch says:
	8		"On Thursday, April 16, 1992,
	9		your decision was announced not to
03:10 1	0		proceed to trial. While we certainly
1	1		agree with your decision, we found it
1	2		somewhat troublesome that reasons were
1	3		provided",
1	4		etcetera. And I think what Mr. Wolch is saying,
03:10 1	5		"we agreed with you not proceeding with the new
1	6		trial but we don't like your reasons", and that
1	7		seemed to be a bit at odds with your earlier
1	8		comment that you wanted them to proceed with the
1	9		trial. Was there some were there different
03:11 2	.0		views between you and Mr. Wolch at the time?
2	1	А	I don't think so.
2	2	Q	And again, just on this point, what he's saying:
2	3		"While we certainly agree"
2	4		and I'm not sure if that's he or he and Mr.
03:11 2	5		Milgaard but agree with your decision not to
	11		



	1		proceed to trial, and I thought you told us
	2		earlier that you wanted the government to proceed
	3		to trial?
	4	A	My understanding, yes, I definitely wanted we
03:11	5		wanted to have it cleared up. Is that what he's
	6		saying here?
	7	Q	Yes, the:
	8		" decision announced not to
	9		proceed to trial. While we certainly
03:11	10		agree with your decision, we found it
	11		somewhat troublesome that reasons were
	12		provided that witnesses were either
	13		deceased or had problems with memory.",
	14		and then goes on to express concern about the
03:11	15		fact that there was no inquiry and no
	16		compensation, and I think that's where the
	17		remainder of his letter deals with. So I I
	18		read that letter as Mr. Wolch saying "we agree
	19		not with your decision not to proceed to
03:12	20		trial, but we don't agree with your decision"
	21	A	Not to have an inquiry?
	22	Q	right "and not to provide compensation"?
	23	A	Right, okay.
	24	Q	And then if we could go to again, is that, are
03:12	25		you able to tell us whether, whether you would
		i e	

			Page 31474 ————
	1		have shared that view at the time?
	2	А	Well if, if the lawyers were suggesting that that
	3		was the way to go, that having the public and
	4		having an inquiry where all the real facts of the
03:12	5		case would get out, then certainly that has always
	6		been my concern, that
	7	Q	That
	8	А	the truth be revealed, all of it.
	9	Q	But just back on the question of in April of 1992
03:12	10		I think you told us that your view was that you
	11		wanted a new trial, you didn't want the government
	12		to stay the charges, you wanted them to prosecute
	13		David for the murder of Gail Miller and allow him
	14		to defend that
03:13	15	A	Yes,
	16	Q	and go to trial?
	17	A	I did.
	18	Q	But it appears, here, that Mr. Wolch is agreeing
	19		with the government decision not to proceed?
03:13	20	A	But that just could be Mr. Wolch
	21	Q	Okay, no, that's what I was trying to
	22	A	just saying that.
	23	Q	Okay.
	24	A	You know, I don't know, I don't think I would have
03:13	25		agreed with that.

	1	Q	Do you recall what your son David, whether he had
	2		any views or not about whether he wished to
	3		undergo a trial?
	4	А	Oh, I don't think any of us wanted David to have
03:13	5		to undergo another trial. We saw how hard it was
	6		on him at the Supreme Court. I mean he, he ended
	7		up being sent back and missing most of the Supreme
	8		Court trial, because he didn't he just couldn't
	9		handle it, and to put him through another trial
03:13	10		would have been very difficult. So I can
	11		understand, you know, when I think back now at
	12		this. But, certainly, always we felt there should
	13		be a forum for that information getting out to the
	14		public, and an inquiry is the way of doing that.
03:14	15	Q	And then if we can go to 334776. And would it be
	16		correct that up until the Supreme Court of Canada
	17		decision came out in April of 1992, as far as your
	18		dealings being yours and David's dealings
	19		with the Government of Saskatchewan, that they
03:14	20		would have been minimal in the sense that you had
	21		not been seeking anything from them; correct?
	22	А	That's correct.
	23	Q	And other than the fact that their lawyers
	24		participated in the Supreme Court reference and
03:14	25		took the position of defending the conviction, \P

		——————————————————————————————————————
1	A	Right.
2	Q	and I think, I think your earlier evidence was
3		that you understood why they had to take that
4		that was part of the direction, that someone had
03:15 5		to be I think when you and Mr. Asper had a
6		discussion what he told you is it has to be
7		adversarial,
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	so someone has to defend the conviction, and
03:15 10		it's the Government of Saskatchewan?
11	A	That's correct.
12	Q	So, up until the Supreme Court of Canada decision,
13		is it fair to say that, other than the concerns
14		you may have had with Mr. Kujawa or Mr. Caldwell,
03:15 15		who were government prosecutors, as far as the
16		Attorney General's office, Mr. Mitchell or the
17		government itself, that you did not have any
18		concerns with them about on David's behalf?
19	А	Well I don't know when it was that Mr. Mitchell
03:15 20		said that he believed that David Milgaard was in
21		
22	Q	1995.
23	А	So that was after this
24	Q	Yeah.
03:15 25	А	time period? Oh, okay. Up until then



	ſ		
	1	Q	Yeah.
	2	А	I didn't have any qualms about Mr. Mitchell.
	3	Q	Okay. And so do you agree that, up until at
	4		least
03:15	5	А	At least at that time.
	6	Q	the Supreme Court of Canada decision on April
	7		14, 1992,
	8	А	Okay.
	9	Q	that the Government of Saskatchewan and,
03:16	10		again, putting aside Mr. Kujawa and Mr.
	11		Caldwell,
	12	A	Yeah.
	13	Q	but the Government of Saskatchewan was not
	14	A	On my radar screen.
03:16	15	Q	on your radar screen?
	16	A	Correct.
	17	Q	Is that fair?
	18	A	That's fair.
	19	Q	And so, here, this is a letter that Mr. Wolch
03:16	20		writes to Bruce MacFarlane if we could just go
	21		to the next page on April 20, 1992. And prior
	22		to the Supreme Court decision the Federal Justice
	23		was on your radar screen; is that correct?
	24	А	Yes, they were.
03:16	25	Q	And it appears, at least in this letter, that



			, age of me
	1		there is a bit of a switch here from Federal
	2		Justice to Saskatchewan Justice right after the
	3		Supreme Court decision, is that correct, as far as
	4		who's on your radar?
03:16	5	А	Yes.
	6	Q	And here Mr. Wolch tells the Minister, thanking
	7		her:
	8		" for your expeditious Implementation
	9		and follow-up to the opinion of the
03:16	10		Supreme Court I might also take this
	11		opportunity to point out to you that
	12		your Mr McFarlane Fainstein
	13		and Frater worked endless hours
	14		within extreme time constraints to see
03:17	15		that this matter proceeded in a timely
	16		fashion before the Supreme Court.
	17		Although clearly we have not agreed on
	18		all issues, and in fact have disagreed
	19		on several, their professionalism was
03:17	20		above reproach."
	21		And this appears to be a little friendlier
	22		approach to the Minister than previous positions;
	23		is that fair?
	24	А	It certainly sounds very flowery.
03:17	25	Q	And then it goes on to talk about:
			•

"Following the Supreme Court opinion, while you moved expeditiously, Saskatchewan appeared to have delayed in making a decision, and when they did, they appear to have decided issues that were premature. In other words, they turned down a request for an Inquiry and for compensation before those requests were even made. Accordingly, I have written to the Attorney-General for Saskatchewan setting out the reasons why there should be an Inquiry and compensation to follow.",

and then encloses the letter. And then talks about an overlap and *The Federal Inquiries Act*, and goes on to talk about a potential federal inquiry and then goes on, if we could scroll down:

"Accordingly, our request is before the Provincial Government, and at the same time we are bringing it to your attention. Clearly the matter does not call for two inquiries. What we are doing is providing the Attorney-General for Saskatchewan with an opportunity to

	1		review the material and perhaps consult
	2		with your officials so that needless
	3		duplication will not arise."
	4		And then goes on to talk about the letter, which
03:18	5		I'll get to shortly, to the Attorney General.
	6		Actually, the April 20th letter was the letter
	7		that I just showed you, and in that letter Mr.
	8		Wolch points out three main players, Detective
	9		Karst, Mr. Caldwell and Mr. Kujawa, and potential
03:18	10		wrongdoing on their part, and requesting an
	11		inquiry. So here he is now going to, it appears
	12		going to the Federal Government and saying
	13		"lookit, we're pressing the province to
	14		investigate into this matter, and in particular
03:18	15		the conduct of those three individuals, and we're
	16		bringing it to your attention because they might
	17		be talking to you"; is that
	18	A	That sounds reasonable, yes.
	19	Q	And so am I correct, at this time, that you were
03:19	20		going to the Federal Government perhaps as a bit
	21		more of an ally in your quest with the Government
	22		of Saskatchewan
	23	A	Yes.
	24	Q	to give you a remedy?
03:19	25	A	Yes.

			——————————————————————————————————————
	1	Q	And, in other words, trying to use the Federal
	2		Government to pressure the provincial government
	3		to now deal with you?
	4	A	Yes, we were.
03:19	5	Q	If we can go to 003987. Actually, sorry, if we
	6		can just go to the next page. And, again, this is
	7		Mr. Wolch's letter to Mr. MacFarlane and he says
	8		here, there are various concerns:
	9		" as to what information was provided
03:19	10		to youparticularly in the first
	11		applicationin order for you to make a
	12		decision. For example, did you know of
	13		the other attacks; one on the same
	14		morning as the murder of Gail Miller,
03:20	15		and another two weeks earlier on a nurse
	16		in the early morning hours? We did not
	17		know of same, so we don't see how you
	18		would have. Yet that information was
	19		readily available, but not disclosed."
03:20	20		And it appears, from this, that Mr. Wolch is
	21		saying to the Federal Government, "lookit, the
	22		Province of Saskatchewan didn't give you some
	23		information"
	24	A	Right.
03:20	25	Q	"because we didn't get it, and that might have $lacksquare$

			3
	1		affected, that might be the reason you didn't
	2		agree with us before"; would that be
	3	А	Right.
	4	Q	And sort of trying to bring them into your tent?
03:20	5	А	I think so.
	6	Q	And then, scroll down, this paragraph. And you
	7		say:
	8		"There is the overlapping issue of
	9		compensation. The 690 application
03:20	10		travelled a long and arduous road made
	11		more difficult by the withholding of the
	12		Fisher evidence. We had already spent
	13		considerable time with our application
	14		before we had even heard of Larry
03:20	15		Fisher. This misconduct has resulted in
	16		additional expense. Joyce Milgaard
	17		depleted her life savings in her efforts
	18		to achieve what has been accomplished
	19		herein. We incurred considerable
03:21	20		disbursements in providing legal
	21		services when we were turned down for
	22		legal aid in two Provinces.",
	23		and then goes on to talk about David. So, again,
	24		would it be correct to say that the concern here
03:21	25		is directed towards the Province of Saskatchewan

			. ago o. 100
	1		and their failure to disclose the Fisher
	2		information?
	3	А	That's correct.
	4	Q	If we can then go to 003987. And I appreciate
03:21	5		this is a letter from Minister Bob Mitchell to
	6		your counsel, and I appreciate, Mrs. Milgaard,
	7		that these are not letters that you wrote or
	8		received, but rather your counsel, but what I am
	9		trying to understand or get from you is what you
03:21	10		knew at the time and what information you were
	11		getting. Okay? I'm not asking you to speak on
	12		behalf of Mr. Wolch as to what he was writing or
	13		receiving, but rather what you knew at the time?
	14	А	All right.
03:21	15	Q	Okay? And so here, this is Mr. Mitchell's
	16		response, he says:
	17		"Second, I note in your letter, that you
	18		are happy with our decision not to
	19		proceed with a new trial. Based on your
03:22	20		press statements and those of your
	21		partner and your client, you would like
	22		me to go further and declare your client
	23		innocent. With the greatest of respect,
	24		I do not see why or how I can ignore the
03:22	25		findings of the Supreme Court. Your



	1		client testified before that Court that
	2		he did not kill Gail Miller. Had the
	3		Court believed his evidence, it would
	4		have been compelled to declare him
03:22	5		innocent. Apparently, the Court did not
	6		believe him because they specifically
	7		said that they did not find he was
	8		innocent using either the high criminal
	9		standard of proof beyond a reasonable
03:22	10		doubt or the much lower civil standard
	11		of proof of balance of probabilities."
	12		And would it be correct to say, at least at this
	13		point, the government's position is pretty clear
	14		here that they are relying on the Supreme Court
03:22	15		decision, correct,
	16	А	Yes.
	17	Q	on the issue of David's innocence?
	18	А	Yes.
	19	Q	And that they were not prepared to declare him
03:22	20		innocent because the Supreme Court had said
	21		otherwise; is that fair?
	22	А	That's fair.
	23	Q	And would it be this position that you had to try
	24		and at least one of the positions that you had
03:23	25		to try and challenge and find a mechanism to put
			Meyer CompuCourt Reporting ————————————————————————————————————
		()	PITILIPO PROJESSIONAL I OLIT REPORTERS SERVING P. A. REGINA X. SASKATOON SINCE 10X()

1 some pressure on the government to deal with this 2 position? 3 Α Yes. 4 And the next page, it says: 5 "Finally in this regard, the Court heard 03:23 all your new evidence. It heard Mr. 6 Wilson, Ms. Hall and all the 'Larry 8 Fisher' evidence. After hearing all of 9 this new evidence, you still could not 03:23 10 convince the Court that your client was 11 even probably innocent. 12 After hearing your client 13 testify, after hearing what little evidence there was left from the 14 03:23 15 original trial witnesses and after 16 hearing your new evidence, if you could 17 not convince even one judge of that 18 Court that your client was innocent, how 19 can you expect me to, in effect, 03:23 20 overturn the Courts finding and 21 pronounce your client innocent? 22 request Mr. Wolch, is totally 23 unreasonable." 24 And, again, that would have been -- would this 03:24 25 position have been conveyed to you at the time,



	Ī		
			•
	1		Mrs. Milgaard?
	2	A	I believe Mr. Wolch wrote a letter following this
	3		to him pointing out how wrong this was.
	4	Q	Right, and I appreciate there is correspondence
03:24	5		back and forth between Mr. Wolch and
	6		Mr. Mitchell
	7	A	Uh-huh.
	8	Q	about the meaning of the Supreme Court
	9		decision. But would you have been aware, around
03:24	10		this time, of the Government of Saskatchewan's
	11		position as
	12	A	Yes, I would.
	13	Q	I read from this letter?
	14	A	Yes, I was, yes.
03:24	15	Q	And what concerns did you have about that
	16		position?
	17	A	Well, it was shutting a door
	18	Q	Right.
	19	A	to our dream of David's innocence being
03:24	20		established, and his complete freedom.
	21	Q	Right, and they were shutting the door, but
	22		relying upon the Supreme Court decision?
	23	A	Yes.
	24	Q	And so what was your view, if any, about their
03:25	25		reliance on that decision; did you take issue with



	Ī		——————————————————————————————————————
	1		that?
	2	А	Yes, I took issue with it, because I felt that we
	3		hadn't been given the opportunity to give the
	4		evidence that we should have given at the Supreme
03:25	5		Court whereby the judges could have come to the
	6		right conclusion.
	7	Q	Okay. And so did your position become and when
	8		I say "your position" being of you and David and
	9		your counsel did your position then become, in
03:25	10		light of what position the government took here,
	11		"well, you shouldn't rely on the Supreme Court
	12		decision, because we weren't allowed to put in all
	13		the evidence we otherwise would have"?
	14	A	That's right.
03:25	15	Q	And, therefore, when the Supreme Court says "we
	16		cannot find that David is probably innocent",
	17	А	They didn't have all the facts to find him all
	18		innocent.
	19	Q	And that's because the Court told your lawyers
03:26	20		that they couldn't put it in?
	21	А	That's right.
	22	Q	And so that became at least part of the debate
	23		between your lawyers and the government that
	24		ensued about whether or not it was appropriate for
03:26	25		the Government of Saskatchewan to rely upon the
			1



	1		Supreme Court decision in dealing with your two
	2		requests, one for a declaration or an
	3		acknowledgment of innocence or a process to
	4		determine that, and, secondly, compensation?
03:26	5	А	Correct.
	6	Q	Similarly, if we can scroll down, here the
	7		Minister goes on about the compensation and
	8		wrongdoing, and what the Minister says here:
	9		"Here, the Supreme Court after examining
03:26	10		the whole situation very thoroughly, has
	11		found there was no wrong doing. If
	12		there is no wrong doing, there is no
	13		basis for me to give away the Provincial
	14		tax payers' money."
03:27	15		And I think, if I can maybe summarize this, the
	16		other debate that went on between your counsel
	17		and the Government of Saskatchewan was over
	18		whether or not the Supreme Court of Canada had
	19		determined that the police and Crown had not
03:27	20		committed misconduct in the investigation and
	21		prosecution of David Milgaard; correct?
	22	А	Correct.
	23	Q	And the government was saying "the Supreme Court
	24		of Canada decision, in addition to dealing with
03:27	25		David's innocence, also dealt with police
			4

	ſ		Page 31489
	1		misconduct and Crown misconduct and concluded
	2		there was none"?
	3	А	Yes.
	4	Q	And your position was "no, that's wrong, they
03:27	5		wouldn't let us call evidence about police
	6		misconduct and Crown misconduct, therefore don't
	7		rely on"
	8	A	On that.
	9	Q	"that decision"?
03:27	10	A	Correct.
	11	Q	So, in addition to harming or prejudicing your
	12		contention of David's innocence, the Supreme Court
	13		decision also impeded your position that the Crown
	14		and police had committed misconduct?
03:27	15	A	That's correct.
	16	Q	Because it had, at least in the eyes of the
	17		Government of Saskatchewan, had ruled that there
	18		was none?
	19	A	Right.
03:28	20	Q	And is it fair to say, then, at this point, and
	21		this is around April 30, 1992, that they the
	22		new target on your radar, if I can put it in your
	23		words, would be the Government of Saskatchewan?
	24	A	Absolutely.
03:28	25	Q	And would they be the new, maybe 'enemies'



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		well, maybe it's the right word but would they
	2		be the new people that were standing in your way
	3		of getting what you wanted for your son?
	4	А	That's correct.
03:28	5	Q	I now want to talk about a fellow named Michael
	6		Breckenridge; and you are familiar with that
	7		individual?
	8	A	Yes, I am.
	9	Q	And I believe he contacted Mr. Wolch in March of
03:28	10		1992; is that correct?
	11	А	March the 21st, 1992.
	12	Q	And I think you then subsequently met with him and
	13		what followed was a press conference and a
	14		subsequent investigation by the RCMP, and I think
03:29	15		in some earlier evidence you said that you
	16		acknowledged that the information Mr. Breckenridge
	17		provided to you in 1992, namely the information
	18		that Mr. Romanow, Mr. Kujawa, Mr. Lysyk and others
	19		had committed some wrongdoing with respect to
03:29	20		having the Fisher and Milgaard files together, I
	21		think you acknowledged that you now accept that
	22		Mr. Breckenridge was not telling you the truth; is
	23		that correct?
	24	A	Well, after the fact I found that out, yes.
03:29	25	Q	Okay.

	Ī		——————————————————————————————————————
	1	A	But at the time I got this, at the time we got
	2		this letter and when I talked to him, he was
	3		perfectly believable and I believed him.
	4	Q	When did you conclude that he was not telling you
03:29	5		the truth?
	6	A	After we got the information back, later on,
	7		with I think it was here.
	8	Q	At the Inquiry?
	9	А	Yes.
03:30	10	Q	And what information are you referring to?
	11	А	The information that he had not been employed at
	12		the time he said he was employed.
	13	Q	Okay. That was information that certainly came
	14		out the Inquiry, it was also information that the
03:30	15		RCMP obtained back in 1993; were you aware of
	16		that, do you have a recollection?
	17	А	No, I don't have any recollection of that.
	18	Q	And so is it your evidence that until you heard
	19		the evidence of this Inquiry, that up until you
03:30	20		heard that, you believed Michael Breckenridge's
	21		evidence or the information he told you back in
	22		1992?
	23	А	Oh yes, I mean, otherwise I wouldn't have
	24		proceeded on it.
03:30	25	Q	Okay. No, and I appreciate you are saying at the



			Page 31492 ————
	1		time he told you, you believed it, I'm trying to
	2		pin down
	3	А	When it was.
	4	Q	when Joyce Milgaard concluded that the
03:30	5		information he gave you, that you no longer
	6		believed it, and I think you told us is at this
	7		Inquiry when you learned that Michael Breckenridge
	8		didn't work there in 1971; is that right?
	9	А	It's whenever I heard that information about
03:31	10		Michael Breckenridge, correct.
	11	Q	And did you hear that information during the
	12		course of this Inquiry or at an earlier date?
	13	А	I believe it was here.
	14	Q	At the Inquiry?
03:31	15	А	Yes.
	16	Q	So on day one, when you arrived at the Inquiry,
	17		you believed that what Michael Breckenridge told
	18		you in 1992 was true; is that correct?
	19	A	No, I think I think down the line, somewhere
03:31	20		along the line we found out that there were some
	21		discrepancies, but I don't remember when.
	22	Q	Okay. And was it a case and I'll take you
	23		through some of the documents that might assist
	24		but I'm trying to understand, from your
03:31	25		recollection, at what point did you realize that



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		Mr. Breckenridge wasn't truthful in the
	2		allegations he made back in 1992?
	3	А	I can't give you a time frame because I really
	4		don't know.
03:32	5	Q	Okay. Now prior to hearing from Mr. Breckenridge,
	6		I think you told us in your previous testimony,
	7		you will recall when I went through the August
	8		16th, 1991 time frame when you filed the second
	9		application, the various media reports suggesting
03:32	10		a frame and coverup, you remember us going through
	11		all those with Mr. McCloskey and Mr. Asper and
	12		you?
	13	А	Yes.
	14	Q	And the various media reports, and I think at that
03:32	15		time you said that was your view, that there had
	16		been a coverup by officials with respect to the
	17		Larry Fisher information?
	18	А	Yes.
	19	Q	And I think your allegation at that time was
03:32	20		people connected the Larry Fisher file with the
	21		David Milgaard file in and around 1970-'71, and
	22		knew that Larry Fisher was the killer of Gail
	23		Miller, and deliberately covered it up?
	24	А	That's right.
03:33	25	Q	And so part of that was that someone, and likely



	Ī		Page 31494
	1		Mr. Kujawa, Mr. Caldwell or others, actually
	2		considered both the Fisher rapes and the Miller
	3		murder, or the Fisher and Milgaard files together,
	4		and recognized a connection and took steps to
03:33	5		cover it up?
	6	A	That's right.
	7	Q	And is it fair to say that, when Mr. Breckenridge
	8		came forward to you, that his information
	9	А	Substantiated that.
03:33	10	Q	substantiated what you had as a suspicion;
	11		correct?
	12	А	That's it.
	13	Q	And so you had a suspicion that before
	14		Mr. Breckenridge came in did you have a suspicion
03:33	15		that Mr. Kujawa had both the Fisher and Milgaard
	16		files?
	17	A	No, no, but we had this we we knew that they
	18		were covering up because we knew that they knew
	19		about Fisher.
03:33	20	Q	So you thought someone in government and police,
	21		people
	22	А	Were doing something, in power.
	23	Q	people in power knew about them, made the
	24		connection, and covered it up?
03:34	25	А	That's right.



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1	Q	And so, when Mr. Breckenridge came forward with
	2		his concerns, that fit with what you thought had
	3		happened?
	4	A	That's right. And that's why, at that point, we
03:34	5		went public with it.
	6	Q	Why did you go public with it?
	7	A	Because the public seemed to be the only avenue we
	8		had at this point. I mean we needed the public to
	9		get the pressure there, and to get enough people
03:34	10		realizing what was going on, to get something
	11		done.
	12	Q	Had you considered giving it to the Government of
	13		Saskatchewan to check out whether there was any
	14		truth to it?
03:34	15	А	When you think that the Saskatchewan Government is
	16		covering things up you are not hardly likely going
	17		to go and give that information to them. What I
	18		wanted to do at that point was we had people all
	19		across the country that were supporting David
03:34	20		still and wanted to see him vindicated, if you
	21		will, and proved innocent because they believed in
	22		it, and we were asking for this new trial. So we
	23		had all of these people out there. By having a
	24		press conference, by putting it out to the
03:35	25		public and we got to the stage where, at one
			1



			1 age 31490
	1		point, there were thousands of women across the
	2		country that were going to come with me and stage
	3		a demonstration on the lawn of the Legislative
	4		Buildings.
03:35	5	Q	Let's just go back to August of 1991, and you will
	6		recall we went through Mr. McCloskey's press
	7		conference and you and Mr. Asper, at that time the
	8		public position
	9	А	Yes.
03:35	10	Q	if I can call it that and I think you said
	11		there was the legal application but the public
	12		position was David Milgaard had been framed,
	13		although you had some concerns about the use of
	14		that term,
03:35	15	Α	About using that word, yup.
	16	Q	but Mr. McCloskey and Mr. Henderson, I think,
	17		both used Mr. McCloskey certainly used the
	18		word,
	19	А	He did.
03:36	20	Q	and Mr. Asper used those words and attributed
	21		them to Mr. McCloskey in the articles that we
	22		saw;
	23	А	Yes.
	24	Q	correct? And so the public position was "David
03:36	25		Milgaard had been framed and people in power have
			Meyer CompuCourt Reporting

			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		covered up"?
	2	А	Yes.
	3	Q	And I think you had told us that that was a
	4		message that would cause people to sit up at the
03:36	5		coffee table and take notice?
	6	A	That's right.
	7	Q	And it was something that would cause the public
	8		to put pressure on the Federal Justice Minister to
	9		give you a remedy?
03:36	10	A	That's right.
	11	Q	And that one of the purposes, or maybe the purpose
	12		in going public in August of 1991 with this frame
	13		and coverup message, was to get people's attention
	14		and cause the public to put pressure on Kim
03:36	15		Campbell to give you the remedy?
	16	A	Yes.
	17	Q	And I take it when the Supreme Court, when she
	18		gave you the remedy and you went to the Supreme
	19		Court and the Supreme Court said, after hearing
03:36	20		Larry Fisher and other evidence, saying "we're not
	21		prepared to find that David is probably innocent";
	22		would it be fair to say that the Supreme Court
	23		decision had a negative impact
	24	A	Yes.
03:37	25	Q	on the previous public position?
			•



	Ī		
			- -
	1	Α	It did.
	2	Q	In other words, it was difficult to go out and say
	3		"David was framed and there was a coverup",
	4		because people and/or authorities would say "well
03:37	5		what do you mean, you had your hearing and the
	6		Supreme Court said he is probably guilty, or not
	7		probably innocent"?
	8	A	Uh-huh, right.
	9	Q	And so the Supreme Court decision, is it fair to
03:37	10		say, maybe put a stop or slowed down
	11	А	It slowed down things.
	12	Q	the earlier public campaign about frame and
	13		coverup?
	14	А	Right.
03:37	15	Q	And is it correct to say that the Breckenridge
	16		allegations
	17	А	It
	18	Q	allowed you to renew the coverup allegation,
	19		but now against the new target,
03:37	20	А	Yes.
	21	Q	the provincial Justice Minister who you now had
	22		to rely on to get your remedy?
	23	А	That's right.
	24	Q	And so in other words it fit and allowed you to go
03:37	25		to the public and take notice and say "lookit
			4 '



	1		public, now the provincial Justice Minister, there
	2		is something wrong there, and we need the public
	3		to put pressure on the provincial Justice Minister
	4		to give us the remedy", and the coverup is
	5		something that would cause people to sit up and
	6		take notice?
	7	A	Yes, that's correct.
	8	Q	If we could go to 159537. This is the initial
	9		letter from Mr. Breckenridge to Mr. Wolch, March
03:38	10		21, 1992; do you recall when you first became
	11		aware or got a copy of this letter?
	12	A	I think it was almost immediately.
	13	Q	And what discussions did you have with Mr. Wolch
	14		at the time?
03:38	15	A	Well, I was just amazed at the letter, and thought
	16		how important it was that we get this out to the
	17		public.
	18	Q	Okay. Now this would be before the Supreme Court
	19		of Canada had finished the hearings and before
03:38	20		they'd rendered the decision; do you recall any
	21		discussion with Mr. Wolch or Mr. Asper about
	22		calling Michael Breckenridge as a witness at the
	23		Supreme Court or providing this letter or this
	24		information to either the Court or Federal Justice
03:39	25		or Saskatchewan Justice?



			Page 31500
	1	A	No, as a matter of fact I didn't remember that
	2		this letter was at that time, I thought that this
	3		was after the Supreme Court of Canada that we got
	4		this letter.
03:39	5	Q	Okay. Well I'm going by the date, March 21, 1992,
	6		I will search to see if I can find a date-stamped
	7		letter, but it appears to have been sent either by
	8		mail or by fax to Mr. Wolch and, if it was
	9		received around that date, it would have been
03:39	10		received before
	11	A	Before the Supreme Court.
	12	Q	Where were you when you became of this letter; do
	13		you recall that?
	14	A	I can't, sorry.
03:39	15	Q	And was this the type of information, this would
	16		be fairly significant information; is that fair?
	17	A	Well, yes, yeah, it is, and if we had it at that
	18		time, I don't know why we wouldn't have used it at
	19		that time.
03:39	20	Q	And what do you recall discussing with either Mr.
	21		Asper or Mr. Wolch about this letter and the
	22		information and what ought to be done with it?
	23	A	Well, my recollections on this was that we should
	24		use it to pressure the government to re-open the
03:40	25		case.



	ſ		Page 31501
	1	Q	So let's just go back, and I think before the
	2		Supreme Court rendered its decision, do you have
	3		any memory of this being considered or discussed
	4		as being possible evidence?
03:40	5	A	No, I do not. I don't even remember this being
	6		considered or discussed before the Supreme Court
	7		remedy, that's what I'm saying to you.
	8	Q	Okay. So then after the Supreme Court decision
	9		came out, do you recall discussions about, with
03:40	10		Mr. Wolch or Mr. Asper about how this letter might
	11		be used in your efforts to pressure the
	12		Saskatchewan government
	13	A	to do something?
	14	Q	To do something, or
03:40	15	A	That was after they turned down the trial.
	16	Q	And would it be fair to say that this letter
	17		provided you with an opportunity to go to the
	18		public with something that was new and something
	19		that had not been before the Supreme Court that
03:41	20		might cause the public to put pressure on the
	21		provincial government?
	22	A	Absolutely, uh-huh.
	23	Q	And what do you recall of discussions, what did
	24		Mr. Wolch think about this letter and this
03:41	25		information?
		1	



			. ago 3.332
	1	A	I honestly don't remember discussing it with him.
	2	Q	What about Mr. Asper?
	3	A	We didn't go into a great deal of detail other
	4		than the fact that the letter seemed to speak for
03:41	5		itself, you know, to sort of give us a blueprint
	6		about what went on, and to me it spelled out very
	7		clearly what had been happening to us.
	8	Q	When you got the letter and read it and before you
	9		ever talked to Michael Breckenridge or knew who he
03:42	10		was, did the contents of the letter fit with what
	11		you thought had likely happened?
	12	А	Yes, and I think that's why I was so excited about
	13		the letter because I had had so many suspicions
	14		all along, but nothing to pin them on, and then
03:42	15		suddenly the suspicions became real. I mean,
	16		this they became facts.
	17	Q	And the letter, I think you would agree, there's
	18		some talk in the letter that Mr. Breckenridge has
	19		concerns with the NDP administration, he has some
03:42	20		political concerns doesn't he? If you can go to
	21		the next page, they talk about:
	22		" push for an inquiry held by anybody
	23		outside the N.D.P. system in Sask.,
	24		B.C., Ont., otherwise it will never
03:42	25		receive an impartial hearing."
		Ĩ	78



			. ago o roos
	1		So he's not talking just about the NDP in
	2		Saskatchewan, but at this time B.C. and Ontario
	3		also had an NDP government and he was saying this
	4		is an NDP thing, you would have to go outside
03:43	5		anywhere that has an NDP government; is that
	6		correct?
	7	A	Yes, that's what he seems to be saying here.
	8	Q	And did you get the impression that he had an
	9		issue with the NDP administration and people in
03:43	10		the NDP administration?
	11	А	Yes, I believe we did. However, it wasn't
	12		anything I was just grateful that he had an axe
	13		to grind with them that made him come forward with
	14		this information for us.
03:43	15	Q	Did you have a concern or do you recall any
	16		discussions with Mr. Wolch or Mr. Asper or anybody
	17		else about the concern that this letter and the
	18		information Mr. Breckenridge provided to you may
	19		have been in response to what he read in the media
03:43	20		back in August, September, 1991 about your
	21		allegations that there was a frame and cover-up of
	22		the Fisher and Milgaard files?
	23	A	I don't remember ever having any discussion on
	24		that.
03:43	25	Q	And in particular, whether Mr. Breckenridge might $lacktriangle$

	1		have read that and thought okay, here's my chance
	2		to get even with the government and that he may
	3		have his own motive for coming to you with this
	4		information?
03:44	5	A	No. I was just so grateful to get the information
	6		I guess I wasn't suspicious enough.
	7	Q	And when you did conclude in your own mind,
	8		whether it was at this Inquiry or prior, that he
	9		did lie to you and not tell you the truth about
03:44	10		this information, did you think about why he might
	11		have not told you the truth when he gave you this
	12		information, did you ever consider what might have
	13		motivated him to do that?
	14	A	No, I hadn't considered it until you mentioned the
03:44	15		NDP part, so maybe he had some political
	16		motivation there. I had no idea.
	17	Q	Prior to making this information public, did you,
	18		Joyce Milgaard, have any concerns about either the
	19		credibility of Michael Breckenridge or the
03:44	20		credibility of the information he was providing to
	21		you and you were making public?
	22	A	No. I really felt that his information was spot
	23		on because it just sort of was the icing on the
	24		cake to all the things that I had been believing
03:45	25		all along and suddenly here's someone saying I can



	Ī		
	1		prove it.
	2	Q	Do you recall Mr. Wolch or Mr. Asper expressing
	3		concern to you about either Michael Breckenridge's
	4		credibility or the credibility of the information
03:45	5		he was providing?
	6	А	No, I do not.
	7	Q	Would that have been something you would have
	8		discussed with your lawyers, about should I go
	9		public with this type of information?
03:45	10	А	Well, they were there when I went public with the
	11		information.
	12	Q	Right. Mr. Wolch was?
	13	А	Well, I don't know whether Hersh was actually
	14		there or David, but they were both aware of it,
03:45	15		that we were going forward. I think one or both
	16		of them would have been there.
	17	Q	And would you have got their approval or their
	18		advice to proceed with making this information
	19		public?
03:45	20	А	I honestly don't recall anyone saying I should not
:	21		go public with it, so I'm sure that it was
:	22		probably a joint decision.
:	23	Q	And I think Mr. Wolch was at the press conference
:	24		with you; was he not?
03:46	25	A	I believe so.



	Г		
	1	Q	And so is it fair to conclude that if he had
	2		concerns as your lawyer about you making this
	3		information public, that
	4	А	He would probably have told me.
03:46	5	Q	And would you have listened to him?
	6	А	(Laughs).
	7	Q	What I'm trying to understand
	8	А	I don't remember us having any Mr. Hodson, I do
	9		not remember us having any issues over this. I
03:46 1	10		don't remember him saying you should not do this,
1	11		Joyce. I think I would have remembered that if he
1	12		had. I think we went ahead and did it because it
1	13		seemed the right thing to do at the time.
1	14	Q	Okay.
03:46 1	15	А	Is that clear?
1	16	Q	Yeah. And so is it fair to conclude that you did
1	17		not get advice saying don't do this, don't make
1	18		this information public because of the nature of
1	19		the information?
03:46 2	20	А	I don't recall getting any advice like that at
2	21		all.
2	22	Q	Okay.
2	23	А	That's not to say that I didn't, but I certainly
2	24		have no recollection of that at all.
03:47 2	25	Q	Okay. Now, do you recall, and I'll take you
	l II		.



	1		through some documents, it looks like actually,
	2		if we can go to 156898 or sorry, yeah, 156896,
	3		and this is a letter from Mr. Asper to Mike
	4		Robinson and talks about a conversation, a copy of
03:47	5		the letter which we recently received. Mr. Asper
	6		says:
	7		"I have spoken with the author of this
	8		letter about its contents, although I
	9		really have no way of verifying any of
03:47	10		the information."
	11		Asking him to keep the letter in the strictest of
	12		confidence, " and then contacting me to
	13		discuss same." And I believe, I'm not sure if
	14		Mr. Asper was able to confirm a recollection of
03:48	15		this, but I believe that this would have been the
	16		letter where Mr. Asper hired some private
	17		investigators to assist you in dealing with
	18		Michael Breckenridge; is that fair?
	19	А	Probably.
03:48	20	Q	Do you remember how that came about, why you would
	21		go get and Bob Perry was the fellow involved.
	22		Why and how did that come about?
	23	Α	I really don't know. Maybe David was wanting to
	24		check the man out. I don't know.
03:48	25	Q	Were you content to proceed with the letter that
			Mover CompuCourt Paparting

			Page 31508
	1		you received, the very first letter?
	2	А	I was content after I had met the man.
	3	Q	Okay. Let's maybe follow through. If we could
	4		get 156898, and this is a May 11th, 1992 letter
03:49	5		from Mr. Asper to Mr. Robinson confirming:
	6		" that this office has retained you
	7		to investigate the substance of a letter
	8		that has previously been forwarded to
	9		you in relation to the David Milgaard
03:49	10		case."
	11		And then some cost issue. So it would appear
	12		that Mr. Asper engaged Mike Robinson or Robinson
	13		Investigations to investigate the substance of
	14		the letter; is that right?
03:49	15	A	That's what it looks like, yes.
	16	Q	Do you recall whether Mr. Asper or Mr. Wolch had
	17		any concerns about this letter and the contents so
	18		that a private investigator had to be hired?
	19	A	They must have, but I honestly didn't know that
03:49	20		they were doing this, and I didn't have the money
	21		for doing this, so they must have been doing it on
	22		their own.
	23	Q	And was there any reason that you didn't call up
	24		Michael Breckenridge and go and interview him
03:49	25		initially without going to the private
			4



			7 age 3 1000
	1		investigators? I'm trying to understand why this
	2		route was taken.
	3	A	Well, unless David and Hersh are thinking they
	4		want to make sure that the man's not a nut case or
03:50	5		something like that and they don't want me to go
	6		out to someone that isn't sensible and maybe just
	7		to check the guy out and make sure that he's
	8		legitimate.
	9	Q	Do you have a recollection of that or is that
03:50	10		something you
	11	A	No, I'm just assuming that that's probably what
	12		their concern was.
	13	Q	If we can go to 213939, and this is a letter of
	14		Bob Perry of Robinson Investigations to David
03:50	15		Asper dated May 26, 1992, and I think there's a
	16		subsequent letter that confirms that this letter
	17		was given to you in June by Bob Perry, and so do
	18		you have a recollection of getting this
	19		information and reviewing it and dealing with it?
03:51	20	A	From Bob Perry?
	21	Q	Yeah.
	22	A	No.
	23	Q	I'll tell you what, just take a look, this is the
	24		May 26th report from Bob Perry to David Asper
03:51	25		dealing with his interview with Michael

	1		Breckenridge. If we can go to 156905, you'll see
	2		this is Bob Perry's second letter to David Asper
	3		saying:
	4		"We were advised on June 12th, 1992,
03:51	5		by Mrs. Joyce Milgaard that our previous
	6		report of May 26, 1992, had not yet been
	7		received by your office. A reproduced
	8		report was given to Mrs. Milgaard to be
	9		hand delivered to your office. Mrs.
03:51	10		Milgaard requested and was supplied a
	11		copy of that same report on June 12,
	12		1992."
	13		And then he went on to meet with you. And so
	14		just if we go back to 213939, my question was do
03:51	15		you remember getting this May 26th report from
	16		Robinson Investigations?
	17	А	No, I don't.
	18	Q	What do you remember, and I'll go through these,
	19		what is your memory of your dealings with
03:52	20	А	I really have not a lot of memory of this
	21		situation at all.
	22	Q	Do you remember a fellow by the name of Bob Perry,
	23		a private investigator with Robinson
	24		Investigations?
03:52	25	А	It doesn't even ring a bell with me.
			1



			Page 31511 —————
	1	Q	Do you remember meeting with Michael Breckenridge,
	2		like, personally meeting with him?
	3	А	I couldn't even put a face on the man. I know
	4		that I did meet with him because, you know, that
03:52	5		was the intention, but it's not something that
	6		really stands out in my mind at all.
	7	Q	Do you have any recollection of
	8	А	I wouldn't know him if I saw him on the street or
	9		if I had seen him.
03:52	10	Q	But do you have a recollection of your interview
	11		with him, your meeting with him, his demeanour,
	12		his credibility and the contents of what he told
	13		you, do you have any recollection of that?
	14	А	No, I do not.
03:53	15	Q	And we've been through this letter on a couple of
	16		occasions, but in this letter Mr. Perry talks
	17		about meeting with Michael Breckenridge on May 14,
	18		1992 and I take it that you would have been aware
	19		at that time that Bob Perry met with Michael
03:53	20		Breckenridge; is that correct?
	21	А	Yes, I would have been according to this.
	22	Q	And he goes on to describe, covered a number of
	23		topics relating to this case, personal history:
	24		" and his knowledge of the Criminal
03:53	25		Records Department of the Saskatchewan



Page 31512

1 Ministry of Justice during the years 2 1970, 1971." 3 And then: "Mr. Breckenridge also covered topics 4 5 that were irrelevant to this matter. 03:53 As 6 the interview progressed he would suddenly remember some other point that 8 he felt relevant. Although he could 9 cover some topics in detail he was vague 03:54 10 in others. He seemed "forgetful" when 11 making reference to specific years 12 pertaining to his past and present 13 employment and personal history and yet 14 concise when discussing any political 03:54 15 details and motivation. 16 During the interview 17 Mr. Breckenridge appeared unable to 18 provide specific details regarding the 19 handling of the Milgaard matter. 03:54 20 of his information was speculative and 21 based on his opinion and suspicions as 22 well as these of his co-workers." 23 Do you have any recollection, Mrs. Milgaard, of 24 concerns being expressed either directly by Bob 03:54 25 Perry to you or hearing them from Mr. Asper or



	1		Mr. Wolch, that based on Mr. Perry's interview
	2		with Mr. Breckenridge that there might be some
	3		concerns about his credibility and the
	4		credibility of the information he was providing
03:54	5		to you?
	6	A	Well, certainly this letter would appear that, but
	7		obviously he was giving co-workers to us as well,
	8		so that I think we had another way of checking it
	9		out.
03:55	10	Q	Did you check with any co-workers before you made
	11		the information public?
	12	A	I don't know because if you'll notice on the next
	13		page of this letter in my handwriting, "Bill
	14		Logan - Police Liaison officer, " and "Prov. Gov't
03:55	15		Louise Simard".
	16	Q	And you'll see these names mentioned here about
	17		ministers in the present government. Would that
	18		have been handwriting you would have made at the
	19		time when you got this letter?
03:55	20	A	It's possible, but I'm wondering whether I had
	21		maybe tried to check with those people, but I have
	22		absolutely no recall on it, I'm sorry.
	23	Q	I think the record suggests and the evidence of
	24		these people suggests that none of them were
03:55	25		contacted by you or anybody on your behalf before
	ll.	1	



			1 age 51514
	1		the information of Mr. Breckenridge was made
	2		public on September 19th, 1992. Do you take issue
	3		with that?
	4	А	No, I couldn't take issue with it because I don't
03:56	5		remember it.
	6	Q	And assuming that to be true, do you know why
	7		those people were not contacted or any of those
	8		people contacted in advance of making the
	9		information public to try and corroborate or
03:56	10		verify what Mr. Breckenridge was telling you?
	11	А	Well, as I pointed out to you, Mr. Hodson, when
	12		Mr. Breckenridge came forward, I instantly
	13		believed the information he was giving because it
	14		so fit in with the picture that I had in my mind
03:56	15		that I don't think for a minute I discounted it
	16		and I wanted to go forward with it.
	17	Q	And is it fair to say that you wanted it to be
	18		true?
	19	А	Oh, absolutely, I believed it was true.
03:56	20	Q	And again just back to and I take it you would
	21		agree that the information was not only very
	22	А	It was compelling and it was something I could get
	23		out there and get some help with.
	24	Q	I was going to use different words. Would you
03:57	25		agree that the information was, made some very
	11		



		Page 31515 ————
1		strong allegations of misconduct, number one?
2	А	Yes, which I always suspected.
3	Q	And two, it made those allegations against some
4		people in significant positions of authority;
5		namely, the premier of the province at the time?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	And a former deputy minister and Mr. Kujawa;
8		correct?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And I take it that the fact that an allegation of
11		misconduct against the premier of the province
12		would be something that you know would get the
13		public's attention and would get the Government of
14		Saskatchewan's attention?
15	А	Absolutely.
16	Q	And in that sense was the fact that
17		Mr. Breckenridge was saying that Roy Romanow was
18		involved in addition to Mr. Kujawa, that by
19		including the premier of the province, that that
20		gave the information perhaps more public impact?
21	А	Yes, it would have to me.
22	Q	And so again just back on the question about
23		checking it out, to the extent that you would have
24		done this, is it your evidence that because you
25		believed what he was saying fit with what you
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 20 21 A 22 Q 23 24



	1		thought had happened, in other words, it confirmed
	2		what you thought to be the case, your
	3		suspicions
	4	А	And once you you have to realize, I also wanted
03:58	5		to get it out to the public and what had happened
	6		through the years, every time that I brought
	7		something out to the public, okay, the media then
	8		would get on the band wagon and they because I
	9		didn't have the money to do it and they would go
03:58	10		out and do the investigating and find out all the
	11		ins and outs of it, so I knew that if I went
	12		public with this, they would be right out there
	13		checking all this information out.
	14	Q	And what if they checked it out and it was wrong
03:59	15		and that you had publicly made statements about
	16		Mr. Romanow, Mr. Lysyk and Mr. Kujawa that were
	17		based on information that was not true, was that a
	18		concern in putting it out to the public and
	19		letting the media verify it for you?
03:59	20	A	I don't think it was a concern because I believed
	21		it. I know it's probably, in hindsight you can
	22		look back and say, well, lady, you should have
	23		checked it out, you should have done this, but it
	24		came so near to what my beliefs were and through
03:59	25		all the years that someone was pushing me back and



1		it had to be someone strong and it had to be
2		someone big and that's why I wasn't able to get my
3		son out, it was always these figures in the dark,
4		and suddenly these figures had been brought out
04:00 5		into the light and I see them as real people and I
6		want to get it out there. I really believed it or
7		I wouldn't have done it.
8	Q	And so at the time you made it public, did you
9		have any doubts?
04:00 10	А	No, I don't believe I had a doubt.
11	Q	And if you had been made aware at the time that
12		there were some credibility issues with both
13		Mr. Breckenridge and the information, if that had
14		been brought to your attention would you have
04:00 15		considered it or was it brought to your attention?
16		I think you told us it wasn't.
17	А	No, it wasn't brought to my attention, and I'm
18		quite sure that I would have considered it because
19		I tried to base everything I did with my son on
04:00 20		dealing with a strong basis of principle and
21		having truth on my side and so to take and use
22		false information and put it out there, that isn't
23		something I would do, Mr. Hodson.
24	Q	No, and I appreciate what you are saying is it was
04:01 25		what you believed to be the truth, but I think
		Meyer CompuCourt Reporting
		Certified Professional Court Reporters serving P.A. Regina & Saskatoon since 1980



	1		you'll acknowledge that sometimes what you believe
	2		to be the truth isn't the truth, particularly when
	3		someone else gives you the information?
	4	A	Yes.
04:01	5	Q	And so my question is saying okay, because you
	6		believed it to be the truth, whether you
	7		considered taking other steps to verify it because
	8		of the nature of the allegations and who they were
	9		being made against, in other words, did you say or
04:01	10		did someone say to you lookit, before you make a
	11		public allegation against the premier of the
	12		province that he committed misconduct, criminal
	13		misconduct, you should make sure everything is in
	14		order, and even though you believe it to be the
04:01	15		truth, that maybe check it before it's made
	16		public?
	17	A	I don't think anyone ever said that to me because
	18		maybe I might have stopped at that point and done
	19		something.
04:01	20	Q	Okay. And so again as far as Bob Perry's letter,
	21		I think you are saying you don't have a
	22		recollection of getting it, we've been through
	23		this a few times, and I think it does, or it seems
	24		to, and I don't want to interpret the letter, but
04:02	25		certainly it, in places, raises some issues about
			Meyer CompuCourt Reporting

			Page 31519 ————
	1		Mr. Breckenridge's story; is that fair?
	2	А	Right.
	3	Q	Is that a fair reading of it?
	4	A	It seems to now when I read it, yes.
04:02	5	Q	And is that something that at the time you might
	6		have discounted or not put much weight on because
	7		you believed the evidence to be true because you
	8		thought it fit your
	9	А	I read what I wanted to read.
04:02	10	Q	Okay. So that to the extent that there might have
	11		been red flags in this letter about
	12		Mr. Breckenridge or his information, you didn't
	13		pick up on them; is that fair?
	14	А	I think that's fair.
04:02	15	Q	And because you didn't because you wanted to
	16		believe Mr. Breckenridge's story?
	17	A	I did.
	18	Q	And then just at the bottom, if we could scroll
	19		down, and then I think this is where Mr. Perry
04:03	20		says that Mr. Breckenridge was asked to:
	21		" that he was having problems
	22		organizing his thoughts but expected to
	23		do so in the immediate future. He
	24		called me on May 22, 1992. We met and
04:03	25		he supplied me with a prepared



			——————————————————————————————————————
	1		Statement."
	2		And that's where the second statement comes
	3		about; correct?
	4	A	Correct.
04:03	5	Q	And it appears from this letter, and I think from
	6		other evidence, that the Breckenridge statement
	7		was not a statement taken by Bob Perry, the
	8		private investigator, but rather a statement that
	9		Michael Breckenridge himself prepared and then
04:03	10		gave to Mr. Perry when they meet; is that your
	11		recollection of the events?
	12	А	I have no recollection of the event at all.
	13	Q	And then to 213941, the next page, this talks
	14		about his employment history becomes unclear at
04:04	15		this point. Do you have any recollection of
	16		what was your when you went public with this
	17		information on September 19, 1992, did you believe
	18		that Michael Breckenridge was employed with the
	19		Justice Department in 1970 and '71?
04:04	20	А	Yes, I did.
	21	Q	And on what did you base that?
	22	А	On what he told us.
	23	Q	On what Michael Breckenridge told you?
	24	Α	Yes.
04:04	25	Q	And that would have been your meeting with him?
			•

	Ī		Page 31521 —————
			7 ago 01021
	1	A	Yes.
	2	Q	And again down at the bottom, do you recall this:
	3		"During this interview with
	4		Mr. Breckenridge he offered his views on
04:04	5		political matters. In one statement he
	6		claimed to have proof that the idea for
	7		the Reform Party was the brainchild of
	8		Mr. Tommy Douglas and Mr. E.C. Manning."
	9	A	I don't remember that at all.
04:04	10	Q	And that:
	11		"Mr. Breckenridge's opinion of
	12		wrong-doing and cover-up may be factual,
	13		however his zeal to correct the past may
	14		be clouded by his past and present
04:05	15		personal experiences and state of mind."
	16		And is it correct to say that that might have
	17		been something that you would not have put any
	18		weight on at the time?
	19	А	Right.
04:05	20	Q	And for the reasons you stated before, because you
	21		wanted to believe what he told you?
	22	А	That's right, that's correct.
	23	Q	And then the next page, and again this is a letter
	24		to Mr. Asper, but he's saying lookit, we won't
04:05	25		proceed further unless we receive instructions
		il	



	1		from your office, and I think Mr. Perry's evidence
	2		before this Commission, based on his interviews
	3		with the RCMP, is to the effect that he never did
	4		directly check and verify Mr. Breckenridge's
04:05	5		employment with the Government of Saskatchewan in
	6		'70 to '72, and would that have been your
	7		understanding at the time as well?
	8	А	Yes, I'm sure it was.
	9	Q	So again, when you had the press conference on
04:05	10		September 19th, 1992 and made this information
	11		public, I think the evidence is that at that time
	12		you would have known that Bob Perry, the private
	13		investigator, had not independently verified
	14		Mr. Breckenridge's employment with the government;
04:06	15		is that fair, and you were relying on what
	16		Mr. Breckenridge told you?
	17	A	I was relying on what Mr. Breckenridge had told
	18		me. Whether I knew that I vaguely remember
	19		that there was a private investigator that had
04:06	20		gone out to check on him, so I would have assumed
	21		that he would have checked on him and that would
	22		have been something he would have checked on.
	23	Q	Okay. So that was an assumption on your part then
	24		at the time?
04:06	25	Α	I would think so. Like, if you hire I didn't



	1		hire the detective, obviously the firm did, but if
	2		you've hired a detective to go out and check on
	3		someone, I would think that the first thing you
	4		would be checking would be the employment and that
04:06	5		kind of information, so to me I would assume that
	6		if he'd been checked by a detective, that that
	7		would have been done.
	8	Q	Okay. If we could go to 004012, and this is the
	9		statement, and we've been through this before, but
04:07	10		here in his statement he says he was hired to the
	11		Blakeney government in approximately 1970 or '71,
	12		and again that was information that
	13		Mr. Breckenridge provided you and you believed to
	14		be true at the time?
04:07	15	А	That's correct.
	16	Q	Go to the next page, and I take it that this
	17		information here where he says:
	18		"We were often aware of these
	19		meetings because"
04:07	20		And they are talking about, I think, Romanow,
	21		Kujawa, and Lysyk,
	22		" after we delivered the file the
	23		attorneys would meet behind closed doors
	24		and our section was told to stay away
	25		from that meeting, although, there were
	11		lack lac



			. ago 0.02.
	1		times when they would request another
	2		file at the same meeting. This often
	3		happened with the Milgaard and Fisher
	4		files. Also there was a paper shredder
04:08	5		kept in the ministers office that was
	6		used quite extensively in cases of very
	7		sensitive material that would do damage
	8		to the gov't, in such cases, I was told
	9		that the gov't could claim ignorance of
04:08	10		this matter thereby escaping any
	11		political heat."
	12		And this last point, the paper shredder, that
	13		would have fit with what you and people in your
	14		group had put out in August of 1991, that files
04:08	15		had gone missing; correct?
	16	А	That's right.
	17	Q	And so at the time, I think within a week after
	18		the public information about the frame and
	19		cover-up, the information came to light, I think
04:08	20		Mr. Asper's comments were August 30th, 1991 that
	21		the files had gone missing or that the Fisher
	22		files had gone missing, and so would this have
	23		been information that again fit with
	24	А	Sort of fit right into that package, yes.
04:09	25	Q	And again the fact that someone was saying that

			Page 31525 —————
	1		they had the two, the Milgaard and Fisher files
	2		together in the same office and discussed it, and
	3		I think they go on to talk about being told to
	4		mind their own business
04:09	5	A	Yeah.
	6	Q	that as well fit in with what you thought had
	7		happened?
	8	A	Had happened, yes, sir.
	9	Q	Now, here in the statement Mr. Breckenridge says:
04:09	10		"On the Milgaard case it was brought to
	11		my attention by Dave Wolbaum that
	12		according to the information we had been
	13		receiving it was becoming very evident
	14		that the Milgaard case was a mistake."
04:09	15		And so he's actually saying this is what David
	16		Wolbaum told me?
	17	A	Uh-huh.
	18	Q	Correct?
	19	А	Right, and I thought to myself "wow" when I read
04:09	20		this, "isn't that terrific".
	21	Q	And again, I think Mr. Wolbaum was contacted
	22		either the day of or the day after your press
	23		conference and I think advised the RCMP that no,
	24		none of which Mr. Breckenridge attributes to me is
04:10	25		true and I don't have any recollection I'm
	1	ĺ	



		Page 31526 ————————————————————————————————————
1		summarizing
2	A	Yeah.
3	Q	of what he says.
4	А	But I think that I probably even mentioned this, I
04:10 5		might even have mentioned this at my press
6		conference, so that's why maybe Dave Wolbaum came
7		forward.
8	Q	I'm sorry?
9	А	I might even have mentioned that there's other
04:10 10		people there, like that I might even have
11		mentioned this Dave Wollbaum's name at the press
12		conference, I don't remember but I could have.
13	Q	No, I think what the record shows is that
14		immediately, I think a day or two prior to the
04:11 15		press conference, Mr. Wolch had sent the statement
16		to Bruce MacFarlane at Federal Justice,
17	А	Oh, okay.
18	Q	who in turn had Dave Pearson contact
19		Mr. Wollbaum around this time, and Dave Wollbaum
04:11 20		confirmed or didn't affirm what was attributed
21		to him, I think is how he was contacted.
22	А	Okay.
23	Q	I mean it
24	А	But I never got that information.
04:11 25	Q	And I guess that's my question; did you consider

	4		
	1		or did you discuss with Mr. Wolch or Mr. Asper
	2		going to the source, Dave Wollbaum, and saying
	3		"lookit, what Mr. Breckenridge tells us is that
	4		you told him that it was evident that the Milgaard
04:11	5		case was a mistake"; in other words wouldn't
	6		Dave Wollbaum maybe be a better witness than
	7		Mr. Breckenridge if Mr. Breckenridge was getting
	8		it from Mr. Wollbaum?
	9	A	I hadn't really thought of it. I thought that
04:11	10		this man here was the key to opening the whole
	11		thing up, and he was the key to finding out what
	12		was going on, and that all of these other things,
	13		they could be followed up.
	14	Q	But, again, I guess the question; before you went
04:12	15		public with the information is there a reason you
	16		would not have contacted Dave Wollbaum to do two
	17		things; one, to verify or to not verify what
	18		Mr. Breckenridge was telling you; and two, if what
	19		Mr. Breckenridge was telling you was true, perhaps
04:12	20		give you more information, since it appears that
	21		that's where Mr. Breckenridge got it from?
	22	А	But obviously the lawyers had already had an
	23		investigator checking out the man so why would I
	24		call Dave Wollbaum?
04:12	25	Q	Yeah, no, I'm asking you that.



	ſ		——————————————————————————————————————
	1	А	No.
	2	Q	And if you are saying you relied on your lawyers
	3		and investigator to do whatever was necessary?
	4	A	Yeah.
04:12	5	Q	Okay. And, again:
	6		"From the correspondence we were filing
	7		our section was convinced that there was
	8		error made in the Milgaard case and this
	9		was brought Serge Kujawa's attention."
04:13	10		And:
	11		"We were told basically to mind our own
	12		business if we valued our jobs."
	13		And I take it, again, what this fit, or I guess
	14		what this gave you, if I understand your
04:13	15		evidence, is the person in the high place who
	16		made the connection and took steps to cover up;
	17		is that fair?
	18	А	That's right, it just fed my suspicions.
	19	Q	If we can go to 156905, please. And this is a
04:13	20		letter from Mr. Perry to Mr. Asper saying:
	21		"We were advised on June 12, 1992, by
	22		Mrs Milgaard that our previous
	23		report of May 26, 1992, had not yet been
	24		received by your office."
04:13	25		And I'm speculating, from that comment, that you

			1 age 31323
	1		would have contacted Mr. Perry and that's how he
	2		would have become aware; is that fair?
	3	А	It certainly looks like it, although I have no
	4		recollection of it.
04:14	5	Q	Okay. If we can scroll down, Mr. Perry writes:
	6		"We met with Mrs. Milgaard on June 12,
	7		1992 and discussed various aspects
	8		of the Milgaard situation at present.
	9		Mrs. Milgaard suggested various avenues
04:14	10		of possible investigation. We advised
	11		Mrs. Milgaard that we felt that it would
	12		be in her best interest to fully discuss
	13		any future investigation with your
	14		office."
04:14	15		That being Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch.
	16		"Mrs. Milgaard requested the we set up
	17		another interview with Mr. Mike
	18		Breckenridge. We were given to
	19		understand that this had been discussed
04:14	20		with you or one of your associates in
	21		your absence."
	22		Do you have any recollection of this discussion?
	23	A	Not at all.
	24	Q	Was it a case where Mr. Asper or where Mr. Wolch
04:14	25		would have said, based on Mr. Perry's letter,

			Page 31530 ————
	1		"it's not worth pursuing", and you went back to
	2		Mr. Perry and said "lookit, I think we should
	3		pursue other areas"; is that does that trigger
	4		a memory at all?
04:15	5	А	It could. I really none of this triggers a
	6		memory.
	7	Q	Okay. And then they go on about a meeting with
	8		Mr. Breckenridge, that:
	9		" lasted approximately 2.5 hours. We
04:15	10		fully discussed Mr. Breckenridge's
	11		involvement in the Milgaard and Fischer
	12		matters and the procedures involved."
	13		And, again, I think you have told us you have
	14		little recollection of that,
04:15	15	A	None at all.
	16	Q	of any detail at all at that meeting?
	17	А	When I read the letter,
	18	Q	Sure.
	19	А	and where it talks about he described the
04:15	20		opening of files
	21	Q	Yeah.
	22	А	and entering into the master ledger and things
	23		like that, I have a vague recollection of that,
	24		but I can't even remember where we were or what we
04:15	25		were doing, it's just very, very vague.
			•

	1	Q	Okay. And then maybe the, see if you recall this,
	2	~	talking about:
	3		"Numerous names were brought up
	4		These named by Mr. Breckenridge, other
04:16	5		then those previously reported were
	6		lawyers Richard Quinney, Ken McKay,
	7		Gerry Albright and Peter Glendenning.
	8		Mrs. Milgaard asked what knowledge he
	9		may have of Ray Hnatishyn and Bob
04:16	10		Caldwell. We also talked about Cal
	11		Tallis, Ian Disbury and E.C. Boychuk."
	12		And so is it correct to say that you would have
	13		brought up names with him saying "here's who I
	14		think might be involved", and do you have any
04:16	15		knowledge of that, or does any of that assist?
	16	A	I'm sorry, it doesn't assist, I could have brought
	17		them up but I honestly don't remember any of it.
	18	Q	There was also a discussion about going through
	19		some of your documents and asking him to identify
04:16	20		where he may have had initials on them; do you
	21		remember that?
	22	A	I do remember having documents with when I talked
	23		to someone, and it would have had to have been, it
	24		would have had to have been him, but just I
04:17	25		remember taking a bunch of papers and things with
			1

	1		me but honestly don't remember what happened with
	2		them when I got there.
	3	Q	Yeah. So this would be June 15th, 1992, you have
	4		had one meeting with him, Mr. Perry has had two
04:17	5		meetings with him,
	6	A	Uh-huh.
	7	Q	and you got the statement from him, which I
	8		think is dated May 21, 1992; is that correct? I
	9		think that's the date.
04:17	10	A	Yes, I think it is.
	11	Q	May 22, 1992. And it's not until September 19th
	12		that this information is made public; can you tell
	13		us what what what was the reason for that,
	14		why this information wasn't made public sooner
04:17	15		than September 1992?
	16	A	No, I have no idea, unless I was away at that
	17		time.
	18	Q	I there is a few documents I will show you and
	19		I'll just tell you generally what they are and see
04:18	20		if this assists you. I think in the months May,
	21		June, July and August of 1992 a number of people
	22		from the public, I think there was a
	23		letter-writing campaign to the Minister and I
	24		won't bring them up now but there were letters
04:18	25		sent to Bob Mitchell by supporters of David
			Meyer CompuCourt Reporting



	1		Milgaard, and the Minister responded basically
	2		saying similar to what he said to Mr. Wolch
	3		earlier, that "lookit, the Supreme Court said you
	4		are not probably innocent, they found no
04:18	5		misconduct, no inquiry, no compensation", and
	6		telling the members of the public to read the
	7		Supreme Court decision because it was it
	8		contradicted the position that Mr. Wolch was
	9		putting forward. Do you remember that taking
04:18	10		place, this battle of letters, if I can
	11	А	Yes. I can remember the Ottawa group, Sigrid
	12		Macdonald was one that was doing letter-writings,
	13		and also some people out in Vancouver. Whether I
	14		was travelling at the time, and working with these
04:19	15		support groups, I honestly don't know.
	16	Q	And I think by and I'll show you some letters a
	17		bit later by the end of August '92 or September
	18		'92 I think the Minister, Bob Mitchell, and/or the
	19		Government of Saskatchewan was being quite was
04:19	20		responding to, both publicly and directly to
	21		people who were raising these issues, relying upon
	22		the Supreme Court of Canada decision and saying
	23		"lookit, there is no basis to these"?
	24	А	Yes.
04:19	25	Q	And do you remember that being an issue in the

	Ī		Page 31534
	1		summer, that despite your efforts to try and get
	2		the Government of Saskatchewan to move and to do
	3		something
	4	А	They weren't listening.
04:19	5	Q	they and in fact not only were they not
	6		listening, they were responding relying on the
	7		Supreme Court decision,
	8	A	Yes.
	9	Q	and using that as a basis to say that basically
04:20	10		your position was wrong; is that right?
	11	A	That's right.
	12	Q	And would that have been the reason for the
	13		Breckenridge information coming out in September
	14		of 1992, was it intended to counter what the
04:20	15		Government of Saskatchewan was putting out in the
	16		public and to people about the case?
	17	A	It could well have been.
	18	Q	In the sense that and it was
	19	A	This could give a reason for what they were doing,
04:20	20		it would give a reason for them sending out these
	21		letters, again another coverup.
	22	Q	Okay. If we could call up 336832. And, again,
	23		this is a discussion I think between you and David
	24		Asper June of 1992, and if we can go to page
04:21	25		336849, and here the discussion is he's talking



1	about:
2	" Yannow",
3	who I think is a <i>StarPhoenix</i> reporter:
4	"made an interesting suggesting.
5	MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: What's that.
6	MR. DAVID ASPER: To have a news conference
7	in Saskatchewan with the Miller family
8	and Fisher's victims. You know, he
9	agreed with you know, playing the
10	thing out for the next three or four
11	weeks, doing you know, minor things
12	or not minor things, but doing things to
13	keep the story alive.
14	MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Mmhmm.
15	MR. DAVID ASPER: And you know, keep
16	getting rejected, and then, you know, as
17	we discussed between June $1^{ m st}$ and $10^{ m th}$,
18	have this news conference with all these
19	people. What do you think about that.
20	MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I think it's asking
21	much of all the victims to come forward.
22	Like, I could see them coming forward on
23	a a show like Fifth Estate or
24	something like that, blocked off."
04:21 25	And it looks like in June of 1992 there the



			1 age 31000
	1		discussions are how do we find a way to keep this
	2		in the public; is that is that a fair read of
	3		that?
	4	A	Yeah, yeah, I think that's a fair read of it.
04:22	5		But, as I pointed out, it was something that I
	6		didn't feel we should put the victims
	7	Q	No, right.
	8	A	to ask them to do.
	9	Q	And is it correct to say that, and putting aside
04:22	10		the content, I think you are saying here, "lookit,
	11		I don't agree with that", but is it correct to say
	12		that in and around June of 1992, and in the months
	13		that followed the Supreme Court of Canada
	14		decision, that there was a concern that you might
04:22	15		lose the public's attention?
	16	A	Correct, absolutely.
	17	Q	In other words the Supreme Court of Canada
	18		decision was being used to say "lookit, public, we
	19		went to Court, they had their hearing, and they
04:22	20		lost"?
	21	A	That's right.
	22	Q	And so the challenge in the summer of 1992 was how
	23		do we get this back into the public domain and get
	24		the public back on our side or not back on our
04:22	25		side but get the public give us, get something
			Meyer CompuCourt Reporting

	Ī		——————————————————————————————————————
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1		out there that will cause the public to put
	2		pressure on, now, the provincial government?
	3	А	Right.
	4	Q	And so that, that's the reason I showed this
04:23	5		exchange,
	6	А	Uh-huh.
	7	Q	it appears that
	8	А	I'm sure that that's what we were trying to do.
	9	Q	And is it correct that the media exposure after
04:23	10		the Supreme Court of Canada decision, that it
	11		actually died down a bit, and that that there
	12		wasn't as many I mean the stories that were
	13		being run before about various matters that you
	14		put forward were basically old news as a result of
04:23	15		the Supreme Court of Canada decision?
	16	А	Yes, and but the reporters, and you can note in
	17		that, the reporters were trying to keep it alive.
	18	Q	This is probably an appropriate spot to break
	19		before I move into a different area.
04:23	20		(Adjourned at 4:23 p.m.)
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		



1	OFFICIAL QUEEN'S BENCH COURT REPORTERS' CERTIFICATES:
2	We, Karen Hinz, CSR, and Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR,
3	Official Queen's Bench Court Reporters for the Province of
4	Saskatchewan, hereby certify that the foregoing pages
5	contain a true and correct transcription of our shorthand
6	notes taken herein to the best of our knowledge, skill,
7	and ability.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	, CSR
13	Karen Hinz, CSR
14	Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter
15	
16	
17	
18	, RPR, CSR
19	Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR
20	Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



		Page 1		
1	14 [3] - 31437:24,	31510:6, 31510:12,	334505 [1] - 31389:3	accomplished [1] -
	31477:7, 31511:17	31511:18, 31514:2,	334776 [1] - 31475:15	31482:18
	14th [2] - 31404:3,	31519:24, 31520:17,	336391 [1] - 31380:5	accordance [1] -
'69 [1] - 31379:10	31414:15	31522:10, 31528:21,	336426 [1] - 31380:9	31446:13
'70 [2] - 31400:17,	15 [1] - 31403:21	31528:23, 31529:7,	336832 [1] - 31534:22	according [2] -
31522:6	153 [1] - 31371:22	31532:3, 31532:8,	336849 [1] - 31534:25	31511:21, 31525:12
'71 [2] - 31520:19,	156896 [1] - 31507:2	31532:11, 31532:15,	3rd [1] - 31390:18	Accordingly [2] -
31523:11	156898 [2] - 31507:2,	31532:21, 31534:14,	314 [1] - 31330.10	31479:9, 31479:19
'72 [1] - 31522:6	31508:4	31534:24, 31535:25,	4	account [1] - 31436:3
'80s [3] - 31437:4,	156905 [2] - 31510:1,	31536:12, 31536:22	4	accuracy [1] - 31411:17
31437:6	31528:19	1993 [1] - 31491:15		accurate [3] - 31379:12,
'88 [1] - 31409:4	159537 [1] - 31499:8	1995 [1] - 31476:22	4:23 [1] - 31537:20	31386:23, 31436:3
'91 [1] - 31415:4	15th [2] - 31426:1,	19th [3] - 31514:2,	1120 [1]	achieve [2] - 31439:23,
'92 [3] - 31414:15,	31532:3	31522:10, 31532:11	5	31482:18
31533:17, 31533:18	16 [1] - 31472:8	1:05 [1] - 31375:2	•	achieved [1] - 31410:22
'a' [2] - 31381:10,	16th [2] - 31376:16,	1:30 [4] - 31375:15,		acknowledge [1] -
31381:13	31493:8	31375:19, 31375:22,	5 [1] - 31424:25	31518:1
'big [1] - 31382:20	17 [3] - 31425:10,	31375:24	5,000 [1] - 31414:20	acknowledged [2] -
'down' [1] - 31389:23	31430:20, 31435:16	1st [1] - 31535:17	50 [1] - 31419:4	31490:16, 31490:21
'enemies' [1] -	18 [1] - 31425:10		50/50 [1] - 31453:12	acknowledgment [1] -
31489:25	19 [2] - 31399:16,	2		31488:3
	31520:17	_	6	acquit [1] - 31457:18
'game [1] - 31435:17	1969 [4] - 31377:8,			acquittal [3] - 31421:25,
'joyce [1] - 31434:2	31378:5, 31383:11,	2 [1] - 31442:8		31422:1, 31457:25
'larry [1] - 31485:7	31435:7	2.5 [1] - 31530:9	6 [1] - 31453:22	acquitted [4] - 31458:4,
'look [1] - 31434:8	1970 [5] - 31442:20,	20 [1] - 31477:21	690 [2] - 31465:3,	31461:22, 31462:1,
'no [1] - 31434:6	31446:5, 31512:2,	2006 [1] - 31371:21	31482:9	31462:3
'they [1] - 31434:20	31520:19, 31523:11	20th [1] - 31480:6		Act _[1] - 31479:15
'this [1] - 31434:19	1970-'71 [1] - 31493:21	21 [4] - 31380:6,	9	acted [5] - 31440:20,
'would [1] - 31425:6	1971 [2] - 31492:8,	31499:10, 31500:5,		31443:1, 31443:22,
^	31512:2	31532:8		31444:2, 31445:5
0	1980s [2] - 31434:10,	213939 [2] - 31509:13,	9 [2] - 31429:12,	add [2] - 31411:21,
	31436:21	31510:14	31431:12	31414:16
002094 [1] - 31390:17	1981 [2] - 31425:15,	213941 [1] - 31520:13		addition [3] - 31488:24,
002623 [1] - 31407:3	31426:1	21 st [1] - 31490:11	A	31489:11, 31515:18
002671 [1] - 31376:7	1983 [1] - 31430:8	22 [2] - 31519:24,		additional [2] -
	1990 [8] - 31377:5,	31532:11		31414:17, 31482:16
003987 [2] - 31481:5, 31483:4	31378:19, 31379:7,	227 [2] - 31433:16,	ability [2] - 31409:1,	address [1] - 31391:23
004012 [1] - 31523:8	31379:18, 31383:10,	31433:18	31538:7	addressed [3] -
• •	31384:12, 31387:6,	23 [1] - 31466:13	able [13] - 31401:25,	31428:8, 31428:9,
008879 [1] - 31437:23	31387:9	26 [3] - 31509:15,	31402:1, 31403:17,	31461:16
008883 [1] - 31442:7	1991 [10] - 31376:24,	31510:6, 31528:23	31409:2, 31420:1,	Addressed [1] -
008885 [1] - 31442:15	31377:17, 31380:6,	269317 [1] - 31433:14	31421:24, 31425:13,	31461:19
009789 [1] - 31385:17	31426:2, 31493:8,	269555 [1] - 31433:18	31428:23, 31437:9,	
010127 [1] - 31423:12	, ,	26th [3] - 31426:1,	31449:18, 31473:25,	Adjourned[2] -
010133 [1] - 31430:20	31496:5, 31497:12, 31503:20, 31524:14,	31509:24, 31510:15	31507:14, 31517:2	31459:6, 31537:20
020392 [1] - 31465:24		28th [2] - 31415:12,	absence [1] - 31529:21	administration [3] -
026935 [1] - 31471:8	31524:20	31417:1	Absolutely[8] -	31502:19, 31503:9,
	1992 [45] - 31389:4,	29th [2] - 31371:21,	31411:20, 31414:5,	31503:10
1	31390:18, 31404:3,		31436:6, 31464:2,	admit [1] - 31458:7
	31409:21, 31415:12,	31389:4	31471:20, 31489:24,	advance [2] - 31397:5,
	31417:1, 31435:16,	2:35 [1] - 31459:6	31501:22, 31515:15	31514:8
1 [1] - 31419:4	31437:24, 31472:8,	2:55 [1] - 31459:7	absolutely [6] -	advantages [1] -
10 [1] - 31376:6	31474:9, 31475:17,	2	31412:11, 31435:10,	31469:14
10th [1] - 31535:17	31477:7, 31477:21,	3	31435:19, 31513:22,	adversarial [1] -
115875 [1] - 31407:22	31489:21, 31490:10,		31514:19, 31536:16	31476:7
115878 [1] - 31408:11	31490:11, 31490:17,	30 [1] - 31489:21	accept [1] - 31490:21	advice [4] - 31392:3,
11th [1] - 31508:4	31491:22, 31492:18,		accepted [1] - 31456:8	31505:18, 31506:17,
12 [3] - 31510:11,	31493:2, 31499:10,	305225 [1] - 31415:11 30th [1] - 31524:20	accommodation [1] -	31506:20
31528:21, 31529:6	31500:5, 31508:4,	31376 [1] - 31374:4	31460:21	advise [3] - 31378:15,
12th [1] - 31510:4	31509:15, 31510:4,	31370 [1] - 31374.4		31418:9, 31439:11



advised [8] - 31425:3, 31429:14, 31432:4, 31438:20, 31510:4, 31525:23, 31528:21, 31529:10 advising [1] - 31418:4 advocate [1] - 31472:6 affected [5] - 31416:1, 31421:8, 31421:18, 31450:19, 31482:1 affidavit [14] -31423:12, 31423:15, 31424:10, 31424:14, 31424:19, 31426:4, 31426:8, 31427:8, 31428:4, 31430:18, 31431:6, 31431:20, 31432:13, 31448:10 Affidavit[2] - 31386:14, 31431:17 affidavits [1] - 31432:10 Affidavits[1] - 31432:5 affirm [1] - 31526:20 afternoon [3] - 31375:3, 31375:4, 31375:5 afterwards [4] -31404:18, 31406:5, 31448:19, 31454:22 ago [4] - 31376:6, 31387:8, 31425:21, 31438:1 agree [18] - 31411:18, 31423:4, 31443:20, 31448:17, 31449:14, 31449:16, 31472:11, 31472:23, 31472:25, 31473:10, 31473:18, 31473:20, 31477:3, 31482:2, 31502:17, 31514:21, 31514:25, 31536:11 agreed [6] - 31463:25, 31470:25, 31472:15, 31474:25, 31478:17, 31535:9 **agreeing** [1] - 31474:18 ahead [3] - 31442:6, 31457:9, 31506:12 aid [2] - 31411:8, 31482:22 air [1] - 31405:1 Albert[1] - 31464:8 Albright[1] - 31531:7 alive [2] - 31535:13, 31537:17 allegation [4] -31493:19, 31498:18, 31515:10, 31518:11 allegations [6] -31493:2, 31498:16,

31503:21, 31515:1, 31515:3, 31518:8 allow [6] - 31438:14, 31439:15. 31439:24. 31462:2. 31469:15. 31474:13 allowed [10] - 31404:5, 31404:6, 31404:7, 31440:16, 31441:23, 31445:23, 31471:23, 31487:12, 31498:18, 31498:24 **ally** [1] - 31480:21 almost [3] - 31410:23, 31413:3, 31499:12 alone [1] - 31460:3 amazed [2] - 31388:4, 31499:15 amount [4] - 31393:14, 31402:3, 31462:20, 31465:5 ample [1] - 31450:3 announced [4] -31466:8, 31466:23, 31472:9, 31473:8 announcement [1] -31468:7 answer [1] - 31461:7 answered [2] - 31434:5, 31444:17 answers [1] - 31383:4 Anthony [3] - 31425:2, 31425:11. 31428:21 antigens [1] - 31383:14 Anyways[1] - 31382:4 apartment [1] -31460:20 appeal [3] - 31439:1, 31439:16 appear [6] - 31378:1, 31378:21, 31459:18, 31479:5, 31508:11, 31513:6 Appearances[1] -31373:1 appeared [4] -31387:16, 31395:15, 31479:3, 31512:17 appearing [1] -31432:16 application [11] -31386:15, 31398:20, 31400:1, 31400:7, 31409:5, 31439:16, 31481:11, 31482:9, 31482:13, 31493:9, 31496:11 applications [1] -

31398:14

applied [1] - 31454:22

Page 2 applies [1] - 31455:13 apply [5] - 31392:3, 31394:19, 31417:18, 31419:10, 31454:20 appreciate [12] -31383:9, 31388:18, 31396:2, 31396:6, 31400:16, 31403:21, 31406:12, 31483:4, 31483:6, 31486:4, 31491:25, 31517:24 appreciated [1] -31406:16 apprised [1] - 31386:19 approach [2] -31393:16, 31478:22 approached [1] -31425:8 appropriate [4] -31442:17, 31459:5, 31487:24, 31537:18 approval [1] - 31505:17 **April**[10] - 31404:3, 31426:1, 31437:24, 31472:8, 31474:9, 31475:17, 31477:6, 31477:21, 31480:6, 31489:21 arduous [1] - 31482:10 area [3] - 31448:20, 31452:17, 31537:19 areas [7] - 31403:7, 31408:1, 31414:1, 31415:18, 31416:17, 31417:10, 31530:3 arguably [1] - 31463:25 argue [1] - 31472:4 argued [1] - 31375:14 arguing [1] - 31396:20 argument [1] -31453:11 arguments [1] -31464:15 arise [1] - 31480:3 arising [1] - 31400:1 arose [1] - 31386:5 arrived [1] - 31492:16 articles [1] - 31496:21 aside [3] - 31456:16, 31477:10, 31536:9 aspects [1] - 31529:7 Asper[62] - 31377:6, 31377:12, 31380:9, 31383:25, 31384:13, 31385:18, 31387:9, 31387:17, 31388:6, 31388:14, 31388:21, 31390:16, 31391:17, 31395:20, 31401:12,

31412:22, 31412:23, 31414:2, 31432:25, 31433:24, 31434:16, 31436:7, 31441:3, 31444:8, 31444:22, 31445:22, 31447:1, 31447:20, 31449:4, 31455:10. 31459:18. 31476:5, 31493:11, 31496:7, 31496:20, 31499:21, 31500:21, 31501:10, 31502:2, 31503:16, 31505:2, 31507:3, 31507:5, 31507:14, 31507:16, 31508:5. 31508:12. 31508:16, 31509:15, 31509:24, 31510:2, 31512:25, 31521:24, 31527:1, 31528:20, 31529:15, 31529:24, 31534:24, 31535:6, 31535:15 **Asper's** [3] - 31386:24, 31455:4, 31524:20 assault [1] - 31443:3 assist [7] - 31380:17, 31417:14, 31427:4, 31492:23, 31507:17, 31531:15, 31531:16 assistance [1] -31430:21 Assistant[1] - 31372:4 assists [1] - 31532:20 associates [1] -31529:20 **assume** [1] - 31523:5 assumed [5] - 31379:6, 31397:19, 31423:22, 31463:22, 31522:20 assuming [2] -31509:11, 31514:6 assumption [3] -31398:11, 31398:21, 31522:23 attach [1] - 31430:2 attached [1] - 31427:18 attaching [1] - 31426:7 attacks [2] - 31448:22, 31481:13 attend [1] - 31401:15 attended [1] - 31432:21 attention [19] - 31388:8, 31410:8, 31412:9, 31412:15, 31412:16, 31413:15, 31413:16, 31417:10, 31479:22, 31480:16, 31497:13, 31515:13, 31515:14,

31517:14, 31517:15,

31517:17, 31525:11, 31528:9, 31536:15 Attorney[5] - 31466:7, 31476:16. 31479:10. 31479:24, 31480:5 Attorney-general [2] -31479:10, 31479:24 attorneys [1] -31523:23 attributed [2] -31496:20, 31526:20 attributes [1] -31525:24 **Audio**[1] - 31372:11 August [8] - 31493:7, 31496:5, 31497:12, 31503:20, 31524:14, 31524:20, 31532:21, 31533:17 author [1] - 31507:7 authorities [7] -31410:11, 31415:7, 31439:25, 31453:4, 31453:23, 31464:10, 31498:4 authority [2] -31464:17, 31515:4 available [7] -31375:10, 31375:24, 31429:16, 31429:24, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31481:19 avenue [3] - 31467:5, 31469:25, 31495:7 avenues [2] - 31468:24, 31529:9 aware [17] - 31387:17, 31389:11, 31389:13, 31389:15, 31406:9, 31416:2, 31418:13, 31419:12, 31432:2. 31486:9, 31491:15, 31499:11, 31505:14, 31511:18, 31517:11, 31523:18, 31529:2 axe [1] - 31503:12



balance [1] - 31484:11 bald [1] - 31435:23 band [1] - 31516:8 base [2] - 31517:19, 31520:21 based [11] - 31380:7, 31388:5, 31405:13, 31439:9, 31447:3, 31447:11, 31512:21, 31513:1, 31516:17,



31406:21, 31408:7,

Boychuk [2] - 31373:8,

bouts [1] - 31410:4

31531:11

31462:6, 31488:13,
31517:20, 31533:23,
31534:9
battle [3] - 31464:21,
31464:23, 31533:10
Bc [2] - 31502:24,
31503:2
be' [1] - 31425:6
became [8] - 31389:15,
31429:5, 31458:20,
31487:22, 31499:10,
31500:12, 31502:15,
31502:16
become [4] - 31389:13,
31487:7, 31487:9,
31529:2
becomes [1] - 31520:14
becoming [3] -
31389:11, 31416:2,
31525:13
bed [1] - 31460:22
began [1] - 31457:20
begin [1] - 31442:17
beginning [1] -
31464:24
behalf [12] - 31375:21,
31375:23, 31377:20,
31391:15, 31394:21,
31396:5, 31396:9,
31413:2, 31472:4,
31476:18, 31483:12,
31513:25
behind [2] - 31451:10,
31523:23
Beitel [1] - 31372:7
belief [1] - 31421:7
beliefs [1] - 31516:24
believable [1] - 31491:3
bell [1] - 31510:25
Bench [4] - 31538:1,
31538:3, 31538:14,
31538:20
benefit [2] - 31442:19,
31446:4
best [8] - 31392:7,
31395:22, 31397:5,
31408:5, 31425:5,
31425:7, 31529:12,
31538:6
better [3] - 31393:10,
31461:23, 31527:6
between [13] - 31380:8,
31412:21, 31412:25,

31522:2, 31529:25

basement [1] -

31460:19

Based [1] - 31483:19

basis [10] - 31382:21,

31386:21, 31417:23,

31439:25, 31452:20,

31462:6, 31488:13,

31414:2, 31428:8, 31430:4, 31448:11, 31472:20, 31486:5, 31487:23, 31488:16, 31534:23, 31535:17 beyond [12] - 31415:19, 31418:3. 31418:7. 31418:19, 31419:14, 31420:24, 31421:16, 31438:19, 31442:14, 31451:22, 31452:3, 31484:9 **big** [5] - 31382:17, 31382:19, 31414:5, 31436:11, 31517:2 **Bill**[1] - 31513:13 bit [17] - 31376:10, 31376:18, 31384:23, 31391:9, 31417:17, 31423:6, 31433:19, 31448:9, 31449:9, 31449:10, 31457:9, 31469:21, 31472:17, 31478:1, 31480:20, 31533:17, 31537:11 Blakeney [1] - 31523:11 blanket [2] - 31396:17, 31396:18 blew [1] - 31443:8 blocked [1] - 31535:24 **blood** [4] - 31378:13, 31385:22, 31386:1, 31389:6 **blueprint** [1] - 31502:5 Board [1] - 31414:25 **Bob**[19] - 31466:8, 31471:9, 31471:14, 31483:5, 31507:21, 31509:14, 31509:17, 31509:20, 31509:24, 31510:2, 31510:22, 31511:19, 31512:24, 31518:20, 31520:7, 31522:12, 31531:9, 31532:25, 31533:18 **Bobs**[1] - 31373:5 Boechler [1] - 31372:11 **boggling** [2] - 31382:6, 31382:15 book [6] - 31416:23, 31417:1, 31433:14, 31433:18, 31433:23, 31436:4 Boswell[1] - 31372:3 bothered [1] - 31441:16 bottom [8] - 31424:25, 31435:2, 31449:14, 31451:20, 31466:10, 31470:12, 31519:18, 31521:2

Boyd[2] - 31430:24, 31431:3 brainchild [1] - 31521:7 break [2] - 31459:5, 31537:18 Breckenridge [55] -31490:6, 31490:16, 31490:22, 31492:7, 31492:10, 31492:17, 31493:1, 31493:5, 31494:7, 31494:14, 31495:1, 31498:15, 31499:9, 31499:22, 31502:9, 31502:18, 31503:18, 31503:25, 31504:19, 31507:18, 31508:24, 31510:1, 31511:1, 31511:17, 31511:20, 31512:4, 31512:17, 31513:2, 31514:1, 31514:10, 31514:12, 31515:17, 31517:13. 31519:12. 31519:20. 31520:6. 31520:9, 31520:18, 31520:23, 31521:4, 31522:16, 31522:17, 31523:13, 31525:9, 31525:24, 31527:3, 31527:7, 31527:18, 31527:19, 31527:21, 31529:18, 31530:8, 31531:4, 31534:13 Breckenridge's [8] -31491:20, 31505:3, 31519:1, 31519:16, 31521:11, 31522:4, 31522:14, 31530:10 **bring** [11] - 31402:1, 31402:8, 31402:10, 31405:17, 31405:21, 31407:7, 31467:8, 31482:4, 31532:24 bringing [2] - 31479:21, 31480:16 brought [15] - 31388:7, 31410:7, 31412:17, 31448:21, 31470:13, 31516:6, 31517:4, 31517:14, 31517:15, 31517:17, 31525:10, 31528:9, 31531:3, 31531:13, 31531:16 Brown [10] - 31377:1, 31377:18. 31377:21. 31380:1, 31385:18, 31407:4, 31407:9,

31446:23, 31455:9 **Bruce**[4] - 31373:9,
31433:1, 31477:20,
31526:16 **Buildings**[1] - 31496:4 **bullied** [1] - 31400:4 **bunch** [1] - 31531:25 **burden** [1] - 31452:10 **buried** [1] - 31434:18 **business** [2] - 31525:4,
31528:12

Cadrain[3] - 31443:14, 31445:14, 31464:8 cake [1] - 31504:24 Cal[1] - 31531:10 Caldwell[10] - 31373:5, 31430:4, 31430:8, 31430:15, 31448:11, 31476:14, 31477:11, 31480:9, 31494:1, 31531:10 Caldwell's [1] - 31430:9 Calvin[1] - 31373:13 campaign [2] -31498:12, 31532:23 Campbell [2] -31398:20, 31497:15 Canada[22] - 31373:12, 31395:17, 31397:13, 31422:16, 31437:24, 31457:23, 31460:18, 31462:12, 31469:4, 31470:21, 31475:16, 31476:12, 31477:6, 31488:18, 31488:24, 31499:19, 31500:3, 31533:22, 31536:13, 31536:17, 31537:10, 31537:15 cannot [1] - 31487:16 capable [1] - 31421:7 careful [1] - 31451:14 Carlyle[3] - 31393:24, 31430:7, 31430:17 Carlyle-gordge [3] -31393:24, 31430:7, 31430:17 carry [1] - 31376:5 case [36] - 31382:12, 31388:5, 31391:11, 31409:20, 31412:17, 31413:16, 31414:9, 31415:5, 31415:17, 31417:25, 31428:3, 31428:7, 31434:14, 31434:18, 31435:15,

31436:24, 31448:8, 31448:16, 31449:1, 31449:3, 31452:21, 31455:18, 31468:11, 31474:5, 31492:22, 31500:25, 31508:10, 31509:4, 31511:23, 31516:2. 31525:10. 31525:14, 31527:5, 31528:8, 31529:24, 31534:16 cases [4] - 31396:19, 31413:4, 31524:6, 31524:8 Catherine[1] - 31373:5 caused [8] - 31399:12, 31399:13, 31428:20, 31453:2, 31453:16, 31453:17, 31453:19 celebrate [1] - 31460:17 celebrity [1] - 31410:23 Centurion[1] -31430:21 certain [4] - 31390:15, 31394:5. 31396:16. 31417:2 certainly [19] -31382:19, 31391:10, 31427:18, 31445:13, 31448:9, 31449:16, 31463:20, 31472:10, 31472:23, 31473:9, 31474:5, 31475:12, 31478:24, 31491:13, 31496:17, 31506:23, 31513:6, 31518:25, 31529:3 Certificates[1] -31538:1 certify [1] - 31538:4 chair [1] - 31415:10 challenge [2] -31484:25, 31536:22 challenges [1] -31376:19 chambers [1] -31401:15 **chance** [4] - 31420:6, 31435:13, 31470:22, 31504:1 **change** [1] - 31381:17 characteristic [1] -31378:5 charges [1] - 31474:12 **charging** [1] - 31450:25 check [10] - 31390:16, 31495:13, 31507:24, 31509:7, 31513:10,

31513:21, 31518:15,

31522:4, 31522:20,



31523:2	31417:4	
checked [7] - 31377:14,	coming [5] - 31379:21,	
31378:24, 31516:14,	31412:6, 31504:3,	
31516:23, 31522:21,	31534:13, 31535:22	
31522:22, 31523:6	comment [8] -	
checking [5] - 31513:8,	31396:22, 31408:12,	
31515:23, 31516:13,	31414:13, 31437:5,	
31523:4, 31527:23	31456:4, 31472:6,	
Chief _[2] - 31407:23,	31472:18, 31528:25	
31411:9	commented [1] -	
children [1] - 31433:6	31458:9	
Chris [1] - 31373:8	commenting [1] -	
circumstances [2] -	31458:11	
31411:19, 31415:17	comments [1] -	
civil [1] - 31484:10	31524:20	
claim [2] - 31470:11,	Commission[6] -	
31524:9	31371:2, 31371:14,	
claimed [2] - 31462:19,	31372:1, 31372:2,	
31521:6	31372:7, 31522:2	
clarify [1] - 31464:3	commission [1] -	
clear [10] - 31404:11,	31468:10	
31438:12, 31438:15,	Commissioner [4] -	
31440:19, 31455:13,	31375:3, 31375:6,	
31455:23, 31462:4,	31376:2, 31444:15	
31468:9, 31484:13,	commit [1] - 31399:14	
31506:15	committed [6] -	
cleared [5] - 31439:5,	31385:14, 31463:17,	
31440:11, 31469:15,	31488:20, 31489:14,	
31469:18, 31473:5	31490:19, 31518:12	
alaaring (a) 24.420,20	communications [1] -	
clearing [2] - 31439:20,	Communications [ij	
31454:3	31393:12	
31454:3	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] -	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16,	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly [1] - 31479:22	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] -	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8,	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22,	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10,	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18,	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3,	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23,	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7,	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] -	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly [1] - 31479:22 Clerk [1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] - 31468:14	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly[1] - 31479:22 Clerk[1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 co-counsel [1] -	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] - 31468:14 complete [1] - 31486:20	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly [1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 co-counsel [1] - 31377:23	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] - 31468:14 complete [1] - 31486:20 concern [30] - 31385:8,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly [1] - 31479:22 Clerk [1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 co-counsel [1] - 31377:23 co-workers [3] -	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] - 31468:14 complete [1] - 31486:20 concern [30] - 31385:8, 31388:11, 31410:11,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly [1] - 31479:22 Clerk [1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7, 3157:23 co-workers [3] - 31512:22, 31513:7,	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] - 31468:14 complete [1] - 31486:20 concern [30] - 31385:8, 31388:11, 31410:11, 31413:14, 31420:22,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly [1] - 31479:22 Clerk [1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 co-counsel [1] - 31377:23 co-workers [3] - 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] - 31468:14 complete [1] - 31486:20 concern [30] - 31385:8, 31388:11, 31410:11, 31413:14, 31420:22, 31422:25, 31438:22,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly [1] - 31479:22 Clerk [1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 co-counsel [1] - 31377:23 co-workers [3] - 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 coerced [2] - 31400:3,	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] - 31468:14 complete [1] - 31486:20 concern [30] - 31385:8, 31388:11, 31410:11, 31413:14, 31420:22, 31422:25, 31438:22, 31439:19, 31443:16,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly [1] - 31479:22 Clerk [1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 co-counsel [1] - 31377:23 co-workers [3] - 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 coerced [2] - 31400:3, 31436:17	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] - 31468:14 complete [1] - 31486:20 concern [30] - 31385:8, 31388:11, 31410:11, 31413:14, 31420:22, 31422:25, 31438:22,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly [1] - 31479:22 Clerk [1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 co-counsel [1] - 31377:23 co-workers [3] - 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 coerced [2] - 31400:3, 31436:17 coercion [1] - 31402:18	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] - 31468:14 complete [1] - 31486:20 concern [30] - 31385:8, 31388:11, 31410:11, 31413:14, 31420:22, 31422:25, 31438:22, 31439:19, 31445:9, 31446:16, 31448:13,	
31454:3 clearly [4] - 31383:16, 31431:14, 31478:17, 31502:7 Clearly [1] - 31479:22 Clerk [1] - 31372:7 client [8] - 31393:8, 31483:21, 31483:22, 31484:1, 31485:10, 31485:12, 31485:18, 31485:21 closed [1] - 31523:23 cloud [2] - 31456:3, 31462:4 clouded [1] - 31521:14 co [4] - 31377:23, 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 co-counsel [1] - 31377:23 co-workers [3] - 31512:22, 31513:7, 31513:10 coerced [2] - 31400:3, 31436:17	31393:12 compelled [1] - 31484:4 compelling [1] - 31514:22 compensation [21] - 31439:25, 31440:4, 31440:10, 31440:21, 31454:7, 31454:12, 31454:21, 31454:24, 31456:1, 31462:7, 31465:15, 31469:17, 31470:8, 31473:16, 31473:22, 31479:8, 31479:13, 31482:9, 31488:4, 31488:7, 31533:5 competent [1] - 31468:14 complete [1] - 31486:20 concern [30] - 31385:8, 31388:11, 31410:11, 31413:14, 31420:22, 31422:25, 31438:22, 31439:19, 31443:16, 31443:19, 31445:9,	

31454:15, 31467:7,

31467:14, 31473:14,

collateral [1] - 31455:25

comfortable [1] -

31474:6, 31482:24, 31503:15, 31503:17, 31505:3, 31509:12, 31516:18, 31516:20, 31536:14 concerned [8] -31388:16, 31393:6. 31393:15. 31442:21. 31457:25, 31458:20, 31460:11, 31467:15 concerns [26] -31409:1, 31412:15, 31413:15, 31420:4, 31421:21, 31422:3, 31422:4, 31422:7, 31422:9, 31449:22, 31451:2, 31456:24, 31467:12, 31476:13, 31476:18, 31481:8, 31486:15, 31495:2, 31496:13, 31502:19, 31502:20, 31504:18, 31506:2, 31508:17, 31512:24, 31513:3 concerted [1] -31433:11 concise [1] - 31512:14 conclude [5] - 31394:8, 31491:4, 31504:7, 31506:1, 31506:16 concluded [4] -31422:23, 31464:12, 31489:1, 31492:4 conclusion [8] -31449:15, 31449:16, 31450:2, 31450:22, 31452:14, 31461:23, 31469:10, 31487:6 condition [4] - 31413:6, 31413:7, 31426:16, 31427:24 conditions [1] -31388:24 conduct [3] - 31392:15, 31400:5, 31480:15 conference [11] -31490:13, 31495:24, 31496:7, 31505:23, 31522:9, 31525:23, 31526:6, 31526:12, 31526:15, 31535:6, 31535:18 confession [1] -31450:14 confidence [1] -31507:12 confinement [1] -31412:4 confirm [4] - 31389:9, 31396:8, 31411:17,

31507:14 confirmed [5] -31379:14, 31379:16, 31383:1, 31516:1, 31526:20 confirming [1] -31508:5 confirms [2] - 31378:6, 31509:16 conflict [2] - 31412:21, 31414:1 conformed [1] -31435:5 connect [1] - 31399:24 connected [2] -31440:9, 31493:20 connection [4] -31399:11, 31494:4, 31494:24, 31528:16 consider [7] -31398:12, 31398:13, 31398:22, 31418:4, 31456:18, 31504:12, 31526:25 considerable [2] -31482:13, 31482:19 consideration [1] -31461:4 considered [9] -31392:1, 31494:2, 31495:12, 31501:3, 31501:6, 31504:14, 31517:15, 31517:18, 31518:7 considering [2] -31391:24, 31450:20 consists [1] - 31428:24 constant [1] - 31402:16 constitute [3] -31415:16, 31415:24, 31417:22 constitutes [2] -31417:21, 31450:18 constitutional [1] -31375:13 constraints [1] -31478:14 consult [1] - 31480:1 contact [2] - 31425:13, 31526:18 contacted [9] -31429:1, 31490:9, 31513:25, 31514:7, 31514:8, 31525:21, 31526:21, 31527:16, 31529:1 contacting [1] -31507:12 contain [1] - 31538:5 content [3] - 31507:25,

31508:2, 31536:10 contention [2] -31436:16, 31489:12 contents [4] -31502:10, 31507:8, 31508:17, 31511:12 continue [2] - 31461:2, 31461:8 continued [4] -31376:3, 31410:5, 31415:23, 31417:20 Continued[1] - 31374:3 contradicted [2] -31459:2, 31533:8 contributed [1] -31400:20 control [1] - 31413:12 conveniently [2] -31399:23, 31401:5 conversation [9] -31380:6, 31387:9, 31388:1, 31388:6, 31425:14, 31425:15, 31425:19, 31426:19, 31507:4 conversations [6] -31425:24, 31426:3, 31426:13, 31426:15, 31446:18, 31447:14 conveyed [1] -31485:25 convicted [11] -31399:2, 31399:13, 31399:16, 31399:20, 31400:14, 31410:3, 31440:5, 31454:11, 31456:17, 31466:20, 31468:15 Conviction[1] -31371:4 conviction [10] -31399:18, 31400:21, 31403:9, 31415:24, 31417:20, 31421:9, 31440:1, 31456:16, 31475:25, 31476:9 convictions [1] -31425:4 convince [3] -31470:14, 31485:10, 31485:17 convinced [1] - 31528:7 copies [1] - 31430:2 copy [3] - 31499:11, 31507:4, 31510:11 cordial [1] - 31425:16 Correct [25] - 31400:15, 31419:9, 31438:16, 31440:2, 31453:8,

31454:5, 31461:25,



31462:5, 31462:8,
31465:8, 31465:16,
31467:6, 31469:12,
31469:19, 31470:3,
31471:18, 31471:24,
31472:2, 31477:16,
31488:5, 31488:22,
31489:10, 31520:4,
31525:18, 31536:16
correct [100] -
31377:16, 31380:19,
31383:12, 31384:21,
31390:1, 31390:5,
31390:6, 31391:13,
31392:14, 31392:25,
31393:7, 31395:1,
31395:8, 31395:19,
31397:10, 31398:8,
31398:10, 31399:3,
31401:8, 31401:10,
31401:16, 31401:17,
31402:21, 31404:19,
31406:19, 31407:2,
31407:21, 31408:3,
31413:25, 31414:7,
31419:17, 31419:19,
31421:13, 31426:5,
31429:3, 31430:12,
31430:19, 31431:6,
31431:18, 31432:19,
31432:20, 31436:18,
31437:3, 31438:2,
31440:3, 31440:15,
31443:14, 31444:21,
31453:21, 31454:4,
31459:3, 31459:14,
31459:21, 31461:11,
31462:4, 31464:20,
31464:25, 31465:7,
31465:17, 31465:23,
31466:4, 31467:4,
31468:23, 31475:16,
31475:21, 31475:22,
31476:11, 31477:23,
31478:3, 31480:19,
31482:24, 31483:3,
31484:12, 31484:15,
31488:21, 31489:15,
31490:4, 31490:10,
31490:23, 31492:10,
31492:18, 31494:11,
31496:24, 31498:15,
31499:7, 31503:6,
31511:20, 31515:8,
31520:3, 31521:13,
31521:16, 31521:22,
31523:15, 31524:15,
31531:12, 31532:8,
31536:9, 31536:11,
31537:9, 31538:5
correcting [1] -
1

31410:18 correspondence [4] -31430:10. 31471:15. 31486:4. 31528:6 corroborate [1] -31514:9 cost [2] - 31467:11, 31508:11 council [1] - 31418:5 Counsel[2] - 31372:2, 31375:4 counsel [28] -31377:23, 31378:22, 31380:3, 31391:17, 31392:1, 31392:13, 31392:18, 31393:3, 31393:13, 31393:15, 31393:23, 31394:3, 31394:9, 31394:16, 31395:3, 31397:4, 31429:1, 31432:4, 31438:4, 31449:19, 31449:22, 31471:13, 31471:19, 31483:6, 31483:8, 31487:9, 31488:16 counter [1] - 31534:14 country [2] - 31495:19, 31496:2 **couple** [6] - 31375:6, 31425:21, 31438:1, 31468:8, 31471:11, 31511:15 course [7] - 31406:8, 31412:6, 31413:6, 31432:18, 31444:7, 31470:9, 31492:12 court [50] - 31391:19, 31391:23, 31391:24, 31393:9, 31395:11, 31395:12, 31395:21, 31395:23, 31396:6, 31396:7, 31397:1, 31401:7, 31401:21, 31403:15, 31405:25, 31406:9, 31407:1, 31408:5, 31408:8, 31409:9, 31414:18, 31415:12, 31415:13, 31415:15, 31415:18, 31418:2, 31432:2, 31432:6, 31445:6, 31445:13, 31445:19, 31447:22, 31448:17, 31449:2, 31449:6, 31450:10, 31451:14, 31451:17. 31451:20. 31453:5. 31454:13. 31454:25, 31456:15, 31458:9, 31462:23,

31464:11, 31464:12, 31464:17, 31470:25 Court[182] - 31372:8, 31376:8. 31376:13. 31376:17, 31377:24, 31379:21, 31382:17, 31384:21, 31385:9, 31388:3, 31390:25, 31391:1, 31391:9, 31391:15, 31394:2, 31394:6, 31395:6, 31395:17, 31395:25, 31396:6. 31396:9. 31397:9, 31397:13, 31397:17, 31398:7, 31398:11, 31398:22, 31401:9, 31402:7, 31404:9, 31404:13, 31404:20, 31405:10, 31405:22, 31406:20, 31407:5, 31407:24, 31417:13, 31417:24, 31418:2, 31418:6, 31418:13, 31419:5, 31420:4, 31420:7, 31420:22, 31421:5, 31421:13, 31422:5, 31422:16, 31422:19, 31422:23, 31423:13, 31423:19, 31423:25, 31424:20, 31426:9, 31427:3, 31429:9, 31429:15, 31429:23, 31430:14, 31431:4, 31431:11, 31431:16, 31432:3, 31432:11, 31432:17, 31432:21, 31435:13, 31437:24, 31438:6, 31438:18, 31438:24, 31439:2, 31439:7, 31439:9, 31439:17, 31439:18, 31439:24, 31440:6, 31440:15, 31442:8, 31443:9, 31443:20, 31445:15, 31446:3, 31446:19, 31447:3, 31451:5, 31455:6, 31455:16, 31457:23, 31459:10, 31460:5, 31461:12, 31461:13, 31461:24, 31462:8, 31462:12, 31462:18, 31463:9, 31464:1, 31464:4, 31464:21, 31465:1, 31466:14, 31466:17, 31466:24, 31467:20, 31468:13, 31468:18, 31469:4,

31475:16, 31475:24, 31476:12, 31477:6, 31477:22, 31478:3, 31478:10, 31478:16, 31479:1. 31483:25. 31484:1, 31484:3, 31484:5, 31484:14, 31484:20, 31485:5, 31485:10, 31485:18, 31486:8, 31486:22, 31487:5, 31487:11, 31487:15, 31487:19, 31488:1. 31488:9. 31488:18, 31488:23, 31489:12, 31497:17, 31497:19, 31497:22, 31498:6, 31498:9, 31499:18, 31499:23, 31499:24, 31500:3, 31500:11, 31501:2, 31501:6, 31501:8, 31501:19, 31533:3, 31533:7, 31533:22, 31534:7, 31536:13, 31536:17, 31536:19, 31537:10, 31537:15, 31538:1, 31538:3, 31538:14, 31538:20 court's [1] - 31448:13 Courts [1] - 31419:5 courtroom [4] -31435:20, 31462:25, 31463:5, 31463:20 Courts[1] - 31485:20 cover [6] - 31494:5, 31503:21, 31512:9, 31521:12, 31524:19, 31528:16 cover-up [3] -31503:21. 31521:12. 31524:19 coverage [2] - 31414:3, 31414:4 covered [6] - 31377:4, 31493:23, 31494:24, 31497:1, 31511:22, 31512:4 covering [2] -31494:18, 31495:16 coverup [8] - 31493:10, 31493:16, 31497:13, 31498:3, 31498:13, 31498:18, 31499:4,

31534:21

credibility [8] -

31504:19, 31504:20,

31505:4, 31511:12,

31470:21, 31471:5,

31475:6, 31475:8,

31471:16, 31471:25,

31513:3, 31513:4, 31517:12 credible [2] - 31421:18, 31450:18 crime [3] - 31385:15, 31399:14, 31463:17 Criminal[1] - 31511:24 criminal [2] - 31484:8, 31518:12 critically [1] - 31423:6 cross [1] - 31463:2 cross-examined [1] -31463:2 Crown[7] - 31434:23, 31435:17, 31466:8, 31488:19, 31489:1, 31489:6, 31489:13 Crown's [2] - 31435:6, 31435:8 crystal [1] - 31468:9 Csr[8] - 31372:8, 31372:9, 31538:2, 31538:12, 31538:13, 31538:18, 31538:19

D

damage [2] - 31470:1, 31524:7 dark [1] - 31517:3 date [8] - 31380:7, 31437:10, 31437:21, 31492:12, 31500:5, 31500:6, 31500:9, 31532:9 date-stamped [1] -31500:6 dated [3] - 31437:24, 31509:15, 31532:8 dates [1] - 31426:2 Dave [9] - 31525:11, 31526:6, 31526:11, 31526:18, 31526:19, 31527:2, 31527:6, 31527:16, 31527:24 **David**[155] - 31371:4, 31373:2, 31373:11, 31376:17, 31376:20, 31377:6, 31377:8, 31378:23, 31379:5, 31380:9, 31380:14, 31380:16, 31380:21, 31381:15, 31381:19, 31381:23, 31381:24, 31383:22, 31384:1, 31385:1, 31385:22, 31386:1, 31386:10, 31386:15, 31388:1, 31388:25, 31389:5,



31469:11, 31470:2,

31470:14, 31470:19,

31389:10, 31390:20, 31390:21, 31391:4,
31390:21, 31391:4,
31392:4, 31392:8,
31393:6, 31393:8,
31393:14, 31395:1,
31395:19, 31397:25,
31399:13, 31400:13,
31402:17, 31408:17,
31408:21, 31408:23,
31409:11, 31409:22,
31410:3, 31411:6,
31411:11, 31411:13,
31413:1, 31413:4,
31413:11, 31413:23,
31414:7, 31415:19,
31415:24, 31417:20,
31418:3, 31418:8,
31418:21, 31419:4,
31419:7, 31419:14,
31419:22, 31420:7,
31420:9, 31420:23,
31421:6, 31421:15,
31422:10, 31422:23,
31431:23, 31433:24,
31434:15, 31435:18,
31436:7, 31438:4,
31438:7, 31438:19,
31438:25, 31439:10,
31439:24, 31440:4,
31440:17, 31440:20,
31440:24, 31441:3,
31442:11, 31442:18,
31444:8, 31446:3,
31450:21, 31451:16,
31451:23, 31452:24,
31453:6, 31453:24,
31454:2, 31454:11,
31454:18, 31455:4,
31455:17, 31457:23,
31458:3, 31458:25,
31459:17, 31459:20, 31460:1, 31460:7,
31460:15, 31460:22,
31461:14, 31461:22,
31462:1, 31462:17,
31463:15, 31463:17,
31464:4, 31464:12,
31465:13, 31467:13,
31467:23, 31469:15,
31470:8, 31470:24,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1, 31475:4, 31476:20,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1, 31475:4, 31476:20,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1, 31475:4, 31476:20, 31482:23, 31487:8, 31487:16, 31488:21,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1, 31475:4, 31476:20, 31482:23, 31487:8, 31487:16, 31488:21, 31493:21, 31495:19,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1, 31475:4, 31476:20, 31482:23, 31487:8, 31487:16, 31488:21, 31493:21, 31495:19, 31496:12, 31496:24,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1, 31475:4, 31476:20, 31482:23, 31487:8, 31487:16, 31488:21, 31493:21, 31495:19, 31496:12, 31496:24, 31497:21, 31498:3,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1, 31475:4, 31476:20, 31482:23, 31487:8, 31487:16, 31488:21, 31493:21, 31495:19, 31496:12, 31496:24, 31497:21, 31498:3, 31505:14, 31507:23,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1, 31475:4, 31476:20, 31482:23, 31487:8, 31487:16, 31488:21, 31493:21, 31495:19, 31496:12, 31496:24, 31497:21, 31498:3, 31505:14, 31507:23, 31508:9, 31509:3,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1, 31475:4, 31476:20, 31482:23, 31487:8, 31487:16, 31495:19, 31496:12, 31496:24, 31497:21, 31498:3, 31505:14, 31509:3, 31509:14, 31509:24,
31470:8, 31470:24, 31474:13, 31475:1, 31475:4, 31476:20, 31482:23, 31487:8, 31487:16, 31488:21, 31493:21, 31495:19, 31496:12, 31496:24, 31497:21, 31498:3, 31505:14, 31507:23, 31508:9, 31509:3,

31532:25, 31534:23, 31535:6, 31535:15 David's [39] - 31379:13, 31382:10. 31386:20. 31387:2, 31387:17, 31388:15, 31393:12, 31394:13, 31395:4. 31395:10, 31395:21, 31395:22, 31397:5, 31408:5, 31408:14, 31408:19, 31409:1, 31410:13, 31410:16, 31411:3, 31411:15, 31411:19, 31418:15, 31422:16, 31435:3, 31439:20, 31440:11, 31440:19, 31455:23, 31462:13, 31467:2, 31468:3, 31472:4, 31475:18, 31476:18, 31484:17, 31486:19, 31488:25, 31489:12 days [10] - 31376:6, 31376:18, 31407:24, 31411:25, 31412:13, 31412:14, 31432:18, 31459:16, 31466:23 deal [11] - 31388:22, 31397:13, 31397:14, 31411:1, 31411:6, 31446:20, 31459:24, 31465:14, 31481:3, 31485:1, 31502:3 dealing [10] - 31395:17, 31395:18, 31404:16, 31414:6, 31488:1, 31488:24, 31507:17, 31509:19, 31509:25, 31517:20 dealings [3] - 31475:18, 31510:19 deals [2] - 31377:3, 31473:17 **dealt** [7] - 31384:13, 31395:9, 31396:15, 31403:17, 31403:19, 31461:18, 31488:25 dear [1] - 31460:24 debate [4] - 31445:12, 31455:9, 31487:22, 31488:16 debating [1] - 31471:16 deceased [1] -31473:13 December [5] -31376:24, 31377:17. 31380:6. 31409:4. 31415:4 decide [6] - 31392:18,

31394:16, 31395:7,

31419:21, 31427:4 decided [5] - 31391:16, 31421:13, 31428:6, 31466:18, 31479:5 decision [92] - 31379:1, 31392:2, 31392:11, 31393:1, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:5, 31394:9, 31394:11, 31394:13, 31395:3, 31395:4, 31404:1, 31404:2, 31404:10, 31404:14, 31404:20, 31405:8, 31405:13, 31405:22, 31405:23, 31406:6, 31406:15, 31406:18, 31415:11, 31427:9, 31437:24, 31439:15, 31440:14, 31440:15, 31441:13, 31455:6, 31455:16, 31455:17, 31457:10, 31459:10, 31459:12, 31459:25, 31460:5, 31461:12, 31462:13, 31462:15, 31462:19, 31464:4, 31464:21, 31465:1, 31465:18, 31466:10, 31466:24, 31469:4, 31470:2, 31471:5, 31471:16, 31472:9, 31472:11, 31472:25, 31473:8, 31473:10, 31473:19, 31473:20, 31474:19, 31475:17, 31476:12, 31477:6, 31477:22, 31478:3, 31479:4, 31481:12, 31483:18, 31484:15, 31486:9, 31486:22, 31486:25, 31487:12, 31488:1, 31488:24, 31489:9, 31489:13, 31497:23, 31498:9, 31499:20, 31501:2, 31501:8, 31505:22, 31533:7, 31533:22, 31534:7, 31536:14, 31536:18, 31537:10, 31537:15 decisions [1] - 31395:2 declaration [1] -31488:2 declare [3] - 31483:22, 31484:4, 31484:19 **deeply** [1] - 31434:18 **defence** [1] - 31448:22 defend [2] - 31474:14, 31476:9

31475:25 definitely [1] - 31473:4 degree [1] - 31403:19 delayed [1] - 31479:3 deliberate [1] - 31379:1 deliberately [2] -31412:17, 31493:23 delivered [2] - 31510:9, 31523:22 deluged [1] - 31433:13 delve [1] - 31397:9 demeanour [1] -31511:11 demonstration [1] -31496:3 Department [12] -31378:7, 31386:7, 31386:12, 31387:3, 31414:23, 31414:24, 31434:12, 31436:22, 31436:25, 31437:1, 31511:25, 31520:19 department [1] -31400:25 depleted [1] - 31482:17 depression [1] -31410:4 deputy [1] - 31515:7 describe [1] - 31511:22 described [1] -31530:19 Description [1] -31374:2 desire [1] - 31388:17 **despite** [1] - 31534:1 destroyed [2] -31383:14, 31429:19 detail [5] - 31391:13, 31471:10. 31502:3. 31512:9, 31530:16 details [2] - 31512:15, 31512:18 **Detective** [1] - 31480:8 detective [3] - 31523:1, 31523:2, 31523:6 determine [9] -31378:4, 31378:13, 31385:23, 31394:3, 31417:15, 31418:14, 31425:8, 31427:7, 31488:4 determined [3] -31395:23, 31407:16, 31488:19 determining [2] -31429:15, 31429:24 died [2] - 31466:13. 31537:11

differences [1] -

31458:13

different [6] - 31447:4, 31469:10, 31471:19, 31472:19, 31514:24, 31537:19 differently [1] -31443:17 difficult [8] - 31403:21, 31410:14, 31425:4, 31460:16, 31467:22, 31475:10, 31482:11, 31498:2 difficulties [2] -31410:5, 31413:11 difficulty [2] -31408:18, 31411:6 directed [1] - 31482:25 directing [1] - 31396:12 direction [2] - 31413:1, 31476:4 directly [4] - 31406:25, 31512:24, 31522:4, 31533:20 disagreed [1] -31478:18 disappointed [2] -31455:15, 31459:12 disbursements [1] -31482:20 Disbury [1] - 31531:11 disclose [2] - 31394:21, 31483:1 disclosed [1] -31481:19 disclosure [8] -31429:16, 31429:24, 31430:14, 31446:12, 31447:19, 31447:25, 31448:23, 31449:6 discomfort [1] -31412:10 discounted [2] -31514:15, 31519:6 discrepancies [1] -31492:21 discuss [4] - 31397:2, 31507:13, 31527:1, 31529:12 discussed [14] -31379:5, 31408:6, 31424:1, 31428:5, 31446:21, 31447:14, 31501:3, 31501:6, 31505:8, 31525:2, 31529:7, 31529:19, 31530:10, 31535:17 discussing [5] -31422:10, 31426:11, 31500:20, 31502:1, 31512:14 discussion [17] -



defending [1] -

31377:6, 31377:12,
31378:19, 31380:8,
31380:16, 31383:25,
31387:20, 31391:20,
31426:6, 31460:3,
31476:6, 31499:21,
31503:23, 31529:22,
31531:18, 31534:23,
31534:25
discussions [9] -
31392:11, 31392:19,
31392:24, 31447:2,
31499:13, 31501:9,
31501:23, 31503:16,
31536:1
dispute [1] - 31385:25
disturbing [1] - 31454:1
doc [1] - 31433:18
doctor [2] - 31380:25,
31381:5
doctors [1] - 31387:24
Document [2] -
31372:3, 31372:4
document [9] -
31377:1, 31390:18,
31433:20, 31433:21,
31434:1, 31434:23,
31435:2, 31435:18,
31437:13
01.01.10
documentary [4] -
documentary [1] -
31423:14
_
31423:14
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] -
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don [1] - 31372:9
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don[1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don [1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:16, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don[1] - 31372:9 Donald[2] - 31538:2, 31538:19 done [25] - 31377:8,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don [1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don[1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19 done [25] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don[1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19 done [25] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2, 31379:6, 31379:10,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don[1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19 done [25] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2, 31379:6, 31379:10, 31383:12, 31399:19,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don[1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19 done [25] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2, 31379:6, 31379:10, 31383:12, 31399:19, 31400:13, 31401:1,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don[1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19 done [25] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2, 31379:6, 31379:10, 31383:12, 31399:19,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don[1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19 done [25] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2, 31379:6, 31379:10, 31383:12, 31399:19, 31400:13, 31401:1,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don[1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19 done [25] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2, 31379:6, 31379:10, 31383:12, 31399:19, 31400:13, 31401:1, 31402:19, 31438:17, 31441:6, 31463:5,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don [1] - 31572:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19 done [25] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2, 31379:6, 31379:10, 31383:12, 31399:19, 31400:13, 31401:1, 31402:19, 31438:17, 31441:6, 31463:5, 31463:12, 31465:9,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don [1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2, 31379:6, 31379:10, 31383:12, 31399:19, 31400:13, 31401:1, 31402:19, 31438:17, 31441:6, 31463:5, 31463:12, 31465:9, 31465:10, 31468:19,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don [1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31538:2, 31538:19 done [25] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2, 31379:6, 31379:10, 31383:12, 31399:19, 31400:13, 31401:1, 31402:19, 31438:17, 31441:6, 31463:5, 31463:12, 31465:9, 31469:4, 31495:11,
31423:14 documented [1] - 31410:9 documents [21] - 31380:8, 31389:5, 31391:12, 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:6, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:20, 31394:24, 31415:6, 31417:25, 31419:6, 31434:13, 31436:24, 31448:9, 31492:23, 31507:1, 31531:19, 31531:22, 31532:18 domain [1] - 31536:23 Don [1] - 31372:9 Donald [2] - 31377:8, 31377:15, 31379:2, 31379:6, 31379:10, 31383:12, 31399:19, 31400:13, 31401:1, 31402:19, 31438:17, 31441:6, 31463:5, 31463:12, 31465:9, 31465:10, 31468:19,

31516:23, 31517:7, 31518:18, 31523:7 door [2] - 31486:17, 31486:21 doors [1] - 31523:23 doubt [14] - 31386:19, 31415:20, 31418:3, 31418:8, 31418:20, 31419:15, 31420:24, 31421:16, 31438:19, 31442:14, 31451:23, 31452:3, 31484:10, 31517:10 **doubts** [1] - 31517:9 Douglas [2] - 31372:2, 31521:8 down [30] - 31389:22, 31397:18, 31399:22, 31411:25, 31415:1, 31416:13, 31418:18, 31420:5, 31429:12, 31430:24, 31431:12, 31450:9, 31451:20, 31456:4, 31466:10, 31479:7, 31479:18, 31482:6. 31482:21. 31488:6. 31492:2. 31492:19, 31498:10, 31498:11, 31501:15, 31519:19, 31521:2, 31529:5, 31537:11 downer [3] - 31389:16, 31389:18, 31389:19 downside [2] - 31467:9, 31467:16 **Dr** [22] - 31377:7, 31377:13, 31378:20, 31378:21, 31379:14, 31383:12, 31383:15, 31383:18, 31384:24, 31385:4, 31387:21, 31389:23, 31390:4, 31407:7, 31407:8, 31407:11, 31411:8, 31411:12, 31411:14 draw [1] - 31417:9 drawn [2] - 31413:15, 31413:16 dream [1] - 31486:19 drink [1] - 31460:18 drop[1] - 31463:3 drugs [1] - 31426:21 Dry[1] - 31460:18 duplication [1] -31480:3 duplicitous [1] -31415:2

during [2] - 31492:11,

During [2] - 31512:16,

31512:1

31521:3 dynamite [1] - 31435:10 Ε Eamon [1] - 31373:10 early [2] - 31391:23, 31481:16 Ec [2] - 31521:8, 31531:11 Eddie [2] - 31373:8, 31435:14 Edward [1] - 31371:7 effect [8] - 31393:9, 31400:9, 31451:9, 31455:25, 31464:15, 31466:19, 31485:19, 31522:3 effectively [3] -31454:6, 31456:20, 31467:1 effort [3] - 31378:12, 31433:11, 31465:6 efforts [5] - 31413:24, 31465:18. 31482:17. 31501:11, 31534:1 either [16] - 31379:13, 31394:21, 31415:23, 31422:6, 31429:18, 31469:1, 31470:15, 31473:12, 31484:8, 31499:24, 31500:7, 31500:20, 31504:18, 31505:3, 31512:24, 31525:22 elaborate [3] -31416:16, 31420:15, 31452:17 electrifying [1] -31463:19 emotional [4] -31408:14, 31408:19, 31409:3, 31410:5 employed [4] - 31423:1, 31491:11, 31491:12, 31520:18 employment [5] -31512:13, 31520:14, 31522:5, 31522:14, 31523:4 encloses [1] - 31479:14 **end** [4] - 31375:17, 31420:21, 31424:14, 31533:17 ended [1] - 31475:6

31428:21 ensued [2] - 31471:15, 31487:24 enter [3] - 31421:24, 31457:11, 31466:9 entering [1] - 31530:22 entire [1] - 31391:10 entirety [1] - 31417:7 entitled [1] - 31421:19 enumerating [1] -31458:10 erase [2] - 31461:22, 31461:23 error [2] - 31449:19, 31528:8 escaping [1] - 31524:10 **Esq**[5] - 31373:7, 31373:8, 31373:9, 31373:10, 31373:13 **Esson**[1] - 31372:10 establish [4] -31386:17, 31419:4, 31467:2, 31468:17 established [6] -31378:3, 31389:20, 31418:15, 31449:20, 31452:2, 31486:20 Estate[1] - 31535:23 etcetera [3] - 31378:9, 31464:8, 31472:14 Eugene[2] - 31375:10, 31446:25 eve [1] - 31382:11 event [1] - 31520:12 events [1] - 31520:11 eventually [1] - 31435:9 evidence [107] -31375:9. 31377:4. 31384:24, 31400:11, 31401:22, 31402:1, 31402:2, 31402:5, 31402:9, 31402:11, 31402:23, 31403:14, 31403:18, 31404:5, 31404:22, 31404:23, 31405:4, 31405:14, 31405:16, 31405:17, 31405:21, 31405:25, 31407:17, 31408:9, 31415:13, 31415:22, 31415:25, 31418:1, 31418:14, 31418:24, 31419:7, 31419:21, 31421:5, 31421:17, 31421:24, 31423:14, 31424:20, 31424:23, 31425:9, 31427:1, 31427:5, 31427:19,

31441:21, 31442:15, 31443:1, 31443:22, 31444:2, 31444:5, 31444:23, 31445:5, 31445:13, 31445:18, 31445:23, 31446:11, 31446:22, 31447:7, 31447:22, 31448:4, 31448:7, 31449:5, 31449:11, 31449:12, 31450:3, 31450:13, 31450:16, 31450:17, 31450:18, 31450:24, 31452:21, 31452:23, 31456:8, 31458:17, 31459:1, 31462:20, 31466:12, 31468:16, 31470:23, 31471:23, 31476:2, 31482:12, 31484:3, 31485:6, 31485:8, 31485:9, 31485:14, 31485:16, 31487:4, 31487:13, 31489:5, 31490:15, 31491:18, 31491:19, 31491:21, 31497:20, 31501:4, 31513:23, 31515:24, 31519:7, 31520:6, 31522:1, 31522:11, 31528:15 evident [2] - 31525:13, 31527:4 examination [3] -31375:17, 31375:18, 31375:20 examined [1] - 31463:2 **examining** [1] - 31488:9 example [4] - 31391:22, 31392:4. 31471:6. 31481:12 **excellent** [1] - 31431:10 **except** [1] - 31462:17 exchange [2] -31471:15, 31537:5 **excited** [2] - 31441:10, 31502:12 excuses [2] - 31441:19, 31441:20 exhaustive [1] -31456:6 **expect** [1] - 31485:19 expected [5] -31397:23, 31409:15. 31421:8, 31450:19, 31519:22 expeditious [2] -31432:5, 31478:8 expeditiously [1] -31479:2 31430:13, 31436:1, expense [1] - 31482:16 31436:16, 31439:10,



endless [1] - 31478:13

engaged [1] - 31508:12

enlisted [1] - 31411:8

enquiries [1] -

experience [1] -31463:7 experienced [1] -31449:19 experiences [1] -31521:15 experiencing [1] -31411:4 expert [1] - 31385:10 experts [4] - 31379:8, 31383:10, 31384:13, 31410:12 **explain** [1] - 31411:15 explained [1] - 31463:8 **exposure** [1] - 31537:9 express [2] - 31451:17, 31473:14 expressed [2] -31410:10, 31512:24 expressing [2] -31422:4, 31505:2 extensively [1] -31524:6 extent [2] - 31515:23, 31519:10 extreme [1] - 31478:14 extremely [2] - 31425:4, 31460:16 eyes [2] - 31464:16, 31489:16

F

face [2] - 31441:8, 31511:3 faced [3] - 31376:20, 31411:2, 31464:9 fact [27] - 31383:12, 31385:11, 31386:10, 31386:13, 31389:10, 31390:4, 31390:19, 31399:15, 31410:9, 31425:23, 31435:24, 31440:25, 31445:11, 31453:19, 31460:8, 31461:13, 31469:22, 31473:15, 31475:23, 31478:18, 31490:24, 31500:1, 31502:4, 31515:10, 31515:16, 31524:25, 31534:5 facts [3] - 31474:4, 31487:17, 31502:16 factual [1] - 31521:12 failed [4] - 31404:8, 31453:5, 31453:24, 31455:20 failure [3] - 31455:21, 31455:22, 31483:1

31446:25, 31478:12 fair [72] - 31379:7, 31379:12. 31384:19. 31384:23, 31387:19, 31388:13, 31392:10, 31393:5, 31393:13, 31393:14, 31393:16, 31394:8, 31398:23, 31399:10, 31399:14, 31406:13, 31406:14, 31407:15, 31418:16, 31422:25, 31423:8, 31423:9, 31423:10, 31423:11, 31438:10, 31439:20, 31440:11, 31440:12, 31440:14, 31442:19, 31443:23, 31444:16, 31446:4, 31448:9, 31451:7, 31451:16, 31454:14, 31464:18, 31464:19, 31465:10, 31468:1, 31468:13, 31469:5, 31469:6, 31471:1, 31471:13, 31471:17, 31476:13, 31477:17, 31477:18, 31478:23, 31484:21, 31484:22, 31489:20, 31494:7, 31497:22, 31498:9, 31500:16, 31501:16, 31506:1, 31506:16, 31507:18, 31514:17, 31519:1, 31519:3, 31519:13, 31519:14, 31522:15, 31528:17, 31529:2, 31536:2, 31536:4 Fair[1] - 31438:11 fairly [1] - 31500:16 faith [1] - 31411:1 false [2] - 31400:11, 31517:22 familiar [2] - 31377:21, 31490:6 family [4] - 31411:13, 31433:5, 31535:7 far [22] - 31388:24, 31392:15, 31393:6, 31393:12, 31393:15, 31393:19, 31393:20, 31393:24, 31395:6, 31396:2, 31397:8, 31432:16, 31433:4, 31446:21, 31449:21, 31451:12, 31460:10, 31467:15, 31475:17, 31476:15, 31478:3, 31518:20

Fainstein[3] - 31407:4,

fashion [1] - 31478:16 favour [1] - 31422:24 fax [1] - 31500:8 fears [1] - 31410:15 feasible [1] - 31466:12 February[4] - 31390:18, 31415:12, 31417:1, 31435:16 fed [1] - 31528:18 Federal[19] - 31376:12, 31386:11, 31394:22, 31394:25, 31437:7, 31464:22, 31465:7, 31465:9. 31466:20. 31477:22, 31478:1, 31479:15, 31480:12, 31480:20, 31481:1, 31481:21, 31497:8, 31499:24, 31526:16 federal [7] - 31378:7, 31387:3, 31408:25, 31437:1, 31454:10, 31465:2, 31479:16 fellow [3] - 31490:5, 31507:21, 31510:22 felt [25] - 31385:7, 31396:20, 31398:4, 31399:5, 31399:17, 31402:2, 31404:8, 31405:12, 31412:20, 31421:22, 31426:24, 31431:10, 31452:1, 31452:4, 31455:19, 31457:1, 31462:16, 31463:6, 31463:18, 31467:19, 31475:12, 31487:2, 31504:22, 31512:8, 31529:11 Ferris[7] - 31377:14, 31378:21, 31379:14, 31389:20, 31390:4, 31407:7, 31407:11 Ferris [4] - 31382:21, 31384:25, 31385:4, 31389:23 few [3] - 31375:25, 31518:23, 31532:18 Fifth[1] - 31535:23 fight [1] - 31461:2 figure [1] - 31381:2 figures [2] - 31517:3, 31517:4 file [12] - 31414:17, 31428:15, 31428:17, 31428:22, 31428:23, 31429:2, 31429:10, 31449:7, 31493:20, 31493:21, 31523:22, 31524:2 filed [6] - 31394:1,

31409:5, 31418:1, 31423:13, 31423:16, 31493:8 files [13] - 31429:18, 31433:7. 31437:7. 31490:20. 31494:3. 31494:16. 31503:22. 31524:4, 31524:14, 31524:21, 31524:22, 31525:1, 31530:20 filing [1] - 31528:6 finally [1] - 31420:6 **Finally**[1] - 31485:5 findings [1] - 31483:25 fine [1] - 31446:7 finish [2] - 31375:8, 31375:11 finished [2] - 31376:11, 31499:19 fired [1] - 31412:24 firm [1] - 31523:1 **First**[3] - 31387:1, 31466:12, 31468:9 first [27] - 31376:17, 31386:6, 31386:15, 31398:13, 31398:20, 31399:25, 31400:7, 31401:3, 31401:7, 31405:3, 31405:12, 31409:21, 31428:25, 31431:19, 31431:22, 31444:4, 31444:12, 31444:19, 31446:1, 31448:12, 31450:2, 31454:17, 31454:19, 31481:10, 31499:10, 31508:1, 31523:3 Fischer[1] - 31530:11 Fisher[36] - 31373:10, 31385:4, 31399:18, 31399:22, 31400:17, 31403:18, 31420:8, 31450:14, 31450:24, 31451:6, 31451:13, 31462:21, 31462:23, 31462:24, 31463:1, 31463:4, 31463:10, 31463:12, 31463:16, 31464:5, 31482:12, 31482:15, 31483:1. 31490:20, 31493:17, 31493:20, 31493:22, 31494:2, 31494:3, 31494:15, 31494:19, 31497:20, 31503:22, 31524:3, 31524:21, 31525:1 Fisher [1] - 31485:8 Fisher's [1] - 31535:8 fit [12] - 31375:16,

31495:2, 31498:24, 31502:10, 31514:14, 31515:25, 31519:8, 31524:13, 31524:23, 31524:24, 31525:6, 31528:13 fits [2] - 31445:22. 31445:25 **five** [1] - 31376:18 flag [1] - 31383:11 flags [1] - 31519:11 flowery [1] - 31478:24 focus [1] - 31411:7 focused [3] - 31410:17, 31465:6, 31465:19 follow [4] - 31469:18, 31478:9, 31479:13, 31508:3 follow-up [1] - 31478:9 followed [6] - 31375:10, 31460:4, 31471:12, 31490:13, 31527:13, 31536:13 following [4] -31393:18, 31417:19, 31465:17, 31486:2 Following[1] - 31479:1 foregoing [1] - 31538:4 forgetful [1] - 31512:10 forgot [1] - 31399:23 form [1] - 31451:6 former [1] - 31515:7 **forming** [1] - 31417:19 forth [1] - 31486:5 forum [2] - 31461:15, 31475:13 forward [23] - 31391:19, 31392:7, 31395:10, 31395:21, 31396:4, 31396:12, 31398:19, 31399:25, 31408:4, 31411:19, 31436:15, 31470:23, 31494:8, 31495:1, 31503:13, 31505:15, 31514:12, 31514:16, 31526:7, 31533:9, 31535:21, 31535:22, 31537:14 forwarded [1] - 31508:8 four [3] - 31415:18, 31442:11, 31535:10 frame [8] - 31376:23, 31493:3, 31493:8, 31493:10, 31497:12, 31498:12, 31503:21, 31524:18 framed [3] - 31496:12, 31496:25, 31498:3 Frater[1] - 31478:13



Frayer[2] - 31373:11,

31375:23
free [7] - 31418:5,
31438:17, 31438:20,
31438:21, 31451:17,
31460:23, 31460:25
freedom [1] - 31486:20
fresh [1] - 31450:13
friendlier [1] - 31478:21
friends [1] - 31435:4
full [1] - 31391:20
fully [3] - 31458:10,
31529:12, 31530:10
furious [1] - 31441:2
future [2] - 31519:23,
31529:13

G

Gail[9] - 31399:24,

31443:4, 31451:1,

31451:24, 31456:10, 31474:13, 31481:14, 31484:2, 31493:22 game [1] - 31434:24 Garrett[1] - 31373:6 Gary[1] - 31425:1 gathered [4] -31393:23, 31393:24, 31429:20 General[2] - 31466:8, 31480:5 general [2] - 31479:10, 31479:24 General's [1] - 31476:16 generally [6] - 31406:8, 31408:2, 31422:15, 31425:16, 31426:13, 31532:19 Gerry[1] - 31531:7 Gibson[1] - 31373:9 given [14] - 31378:7, 31386:13, 31394:24, 31394:25, 31398:3, 31429:17, 31458:14, 31463:19, 31468:13, 31487:3, 31487:4, 31509:17, 31510:8, 31529:18 Glendenning[1] -31531:7 God[1] - 31460:24 gonna [2] - 31381:13, 31441:8 gordge [3] - 31393:24, 31430:7, 31430:17 gotta [2] - 31381:2, 31381:4

gov't [2] - 31524:8,

31524:9

Govt [1] - 31513:14 Government[35] -31373:4. 31375:21. 31377:20, 31379:22, 31454:9, 31457:10, 31461:20, 31464:23, 31465:12, 31465:21, 31466:1, 31466:6. 31469:22, 31475:19, 31476:10, 31477:9, 31477:13, 31479:20, 31480:12, 31480:20, 31480:21, 31481:2, 31481:21, 31486:10, 31487:25, 31488:17, 31489:17, 31489:23, 31495:12, 31495:15, 31515:13, 31522:5, 31533:19, 31534:2, 31534:15 government [34] -31375:23, 31408:25, 31453:10, 31454:10,

31465:2, 31466:22, 31468:5, 31468:9, 31468:25, 31469:20, 31470:6, 31470:10, 31471:14, 31473:2, 31474:11, 31474:19, 31476:15, 31476:17, 31481:2, 31485:1, 31487:10, 31487:23, 31488:23, 31494:20, 31500:24, 31501:12, 31501:21, 31503:3, 31503:5, 31504:2, 31513:17, 31522:14, 31523:11, 31537:2 government's [2] -31470:20, 31484:13

Governor-in-council [1]
- 31418:5
Graham[1] - 31375:20
grant [2] - 31418:5,
31465:13
granting [1] - 31439:18

Governor[1] - 31418:5

granting [1] - 31439:18 grants [1] - 31450:10 grateful [2] - 31503:12, 31504:5

great [4] - 31410:25, 31411:6, 31441:1, 31502:3

greatest [1] - 31483:23 grind [1] - 31503:13 ground [2] - 31421:3, 31421:12

group [6] - 31385:14, 31393:22, 31394:7, 31436:15, 31524:14,

31533:11 **Group**[2] - 31413:18, 31413:19

groups [1] - 31533:15
Guess [1] - 31460:23
guess [11] - 31393:7,
31461:3, 31463:21,
31466:6, 31469:13,
31469:20, 31471:21,
31504:6, 31526:25,
31527:14, 31528:13
guesstimate [1] 31414:19

31398:3, 31417:19, 31442:11, 31444:7 **guilt** [7] - 31385:13, 31421:6, 31450:21, 31450:23, 31451:6,

guidelines [4] -

31451:12, 31451:18 **guilty** [7] - 31450:6, 31453:7, 31453:13, 31453:25, 31454:14, 31467:13, 31498:6 **guy** [1] - 31509:7

Н

half [2] - 31449:8 Hall[1] - 31485:7 hampered [1] -31440:18 hand [1] - 31510:9 handle [1] - 31475:9 handling [2] -31377:19, 31512:19 hands [3] - 31393:16, 31396:15, 31405:1 hands-on [1] -31393:16 handwriting [2] -31513:13, 31513:18 happy [8] - 31422:20, 31424:4, 31441:4, 31441:5, 31441:7, 31441:10, 31459:18, 31483:18 hard [2] - 31415:8, 31475:5 hardly [1] - 31495:16 harming [1] - 31489:11 head [1] - 31456:3 hear [12] - 31401:15, 31406:10, 31406:20, 31419:21, 31420:7, 31420:8, 31431:11, 31443:10, 31455:9, 31492:11

31395:12, 31401:22, 31405:7, 31405:14, 31405:15, 31412:23, 31415:13, 31441:21, 31447:7, 31450:17, 31452:22, 31455:10, 31462:23, 31463:2, 31482:14. 31485:5. 31485:6, 31491:18, 31491:20, 31492:9 hearing [38] - 31380:18, 31392:16, 31393:19, 31395:8, 31396:10, 31401:10, 31401:20, 31402:24, 31403:25, 31404:13, 31404:14, 31405:9, 31405:11, 31406:2, 31406:3, 31406:7, 31406:8, 31406:25, 31407:25, 31409:9, 31409:18, 31418:7, 31419:7, 31419:20, 31445:18, 31446:21, 31466:14, 31485:8, 31485:12, 31485:13, 31485:16, 31493:5, 31497:19, 31498:5, 31502:25, 31512:25, 31536:19 hearings [2] -31397:17, 31499:19 heat [1] - 31524:11

held [1] - 31502:22 help [2] - 31416:25, 31514:23 helpful [1] - 31430:11 helping [1] - 31410:18 Henderson [4] -31400:3, 31430:23, 31431:2, 31496:16 hereby [1] - 31538:4 herein [3] - 31425:10, 31482:19, 31538:6 Hersh [20] - 31373:2, 31379:6, 31422:10, 31431:22, 31433:10, 31433:25, 31434:8, 31434:19, 31434:22, 31435:12, 31435:17, 31435:19, 31436:11, 31454:18, 31455:12, 31460:7, 31463:2, 31505:13, 31509:3 Hersh's [1] - 31455:3

high [3] - 31452:10,

highest [1] - 31454:12

highlight [1] - 31416:20

himself [3] - 31410:10,

31484:8, 31528:15

31413:7, 31520:9

hindsight [2] - 31416:8, 31516:21 Hinz[3] - 31372:8, 31538:2, 31538:13 hire [3] - 31380:25, 31522:25, 31523:1 hired [4] - 31507:16, 31508:18, 31523:2, 31523:10 **history** [3] - 31511:23, 31512:13, 31520:14 **Hnatishyn**[1] - 31531:9 Hodson [15] - 31372:2, 31374:4, 31375:5, 31376:4, 31376:5, 31409:16, 31437:12, 31444:18, 31452:11, 31459:4, 31459:8, 31462:25, 31506:8, 31514:11, 31517:23 Hon[1] - 31373:12 honestly [11] -31379:25, 31383:20, 31387:7, 31387:20, 31463:12, 31502:1, 31505:20, 31508:19, 31531:17, 31532:1, 31533:15 Honourable [2] -31371:6, 31471:9 hoping [1] - 31469:9 Hopkins [1] - 31373:13 host [1] - 31464:7 hours [3] - 31478:13, 31481:16, 31530:9 Hugh [1] - 31372:10 hung [1] - 31457:21 husband [1] - 31433:6 husband's [1] -31460:20

ı

hypnosis [1] - 31427:16

lan [1] - 31531:11
icing [1] - 31504:23
Id [1] - 31433:18
idea [5] - 31409:8,
31424:18, 31504:16,
31521:6, 31532:16
identify [2] - 31396:8,
31531:19
ignorance [1] - 31524:9
ignore [1] - 31483:24
ills [1] - 31411:5
immediate [1] 31519:23
immediately [5] 31411:23, 31436:11,



heard [22] - 31389:21,

intention [3] -

31511:5

31408:16, 31414:17,

31466:21, 31499:12, 31526:14 impact [2] - 31497:23, 31515:20 **impartial** [1] - 31502:25 impeded [1] - 31489:13 impediment [1] -31440:20 Implementation [1] -31478:8 implicate [1] - 31456:9 important [2] -31413:12, 31499:16 impossible [1] -31463:8 impression [1] -31503:8 improperly [4] -31443:2, 31443:22, 31444:3, 31445:6 inadequate [4] -31446:12, 31447:18, 31447:25, 31449:5 incarceration [1] -31410:6 included [3] -31423:14, 31425:10, 31430:9 including [2] -31462:20, 31515:19 incredible [2] -31434:19, 31463:6 incurred [1] - 31482:19 indeed [2] - 31378:21, 31450:24 independent [1] -31430:25 independently [1] -31522:13 Index [1] - 31374:1 indicate [1] - 31451:6 indicated [4] -31375:15, 31389:9, 31423:24, 31424:12 indication [1] -31462:11 individual [2] -31377:19, 31490:7 individuals [1] -31480:15 inebriated [1] -31426:21 information [87] -31378:7, 31385:4, 31385:6, 31389:11, 31389:18, 31400:2, 31400:22, 31403:23, 31404:12, 31404:18, 31430:16, 31462:21, 31462:24, 31464:11,

31475:13, 31481:9, 31481:18, 31481:23, 31483:2, 31483:10, 31490:16, 31490:17, 31491:6, 31491:10, 31491:11, 31491:13, 31491:14, 31491:21, 31492:5. 31492:9. 31492:11, 31493:17, 31494:8, 31495:17, 31499:24, 31500:15, 31500:16, 31500:22, 31501:25, 31503:14, 31503:18, 31504:4, 31504:5, 31504:10, 31504:12, 31504:17, 31504:20, 31504:22, 31505:4, 31505:9, 31505:11, 31505:18, 31506:3, 31506:18, 31506:19, 31507:10, 31509:19, 31512:20, 31513:4, 31513:11, 31514:1, 31514:9, 31514:13, 31514:21, 31514:25, 31515:20, 31516:13, 31516:17, 31517:13, 31517:22, 31518:3, 31519:12, 31520:17, 31522:10, 31523:5, 31523:12, 31523:17, 31524:18, 31524:19, 31524:23, 31525:12, 31526:24, 31527:15, 31527:20, 31532:12, 31532:14, 31534:13 informed [5] - 31378:3, 31390:21, 31391:5, 31392:23, 31392:24 initial [3] - 31398:6, 31459:11, 31499:8 initials [1] - 31531:20 injustice [1] - 31410:19 inkling [1] - 31409:24 Inland [1] - 31372:11 inmates [1] - 31412:5 innocence [23] -31385:11, 31386:17, 31403:8, 31410:18, 31415:19, 31415:21, 31418:15, 31419:14, 31422:17, 31450:21, 31451:12, 31451:18, 31452:3, 31457:17, 31457:20, 31462:13, 31467:2, 31470:24, 31484:17, 31486:19, 31488:3, 31488:25,

31489:12

innocent [47] - 31399:8, 31399:21, 31402:3, 31418:4, 31418:8, 31418:21, 31419:8, 31419:16. 31419:23. 31420:2. 31420:9. 31420:23. 31420:24. 31421:16, 31421:17, 31422:24, 31438:20, 31438:25, 31439:11, 31451:24, 31452:24, 31453:6, 31453:13, 31453:20, 31453:25, 31454:16, 31454:25, 31457:24, 31460:14, 31461:15, 31463:15, 31464:4, 31464:13, 31470:16, 31483:23, 31484:5, 31484:8, 31484:20, 31485:11, 31485:18, 31485:21, 31487:16, 31487:18, 31495:21, 31497:21, 31498:7, 31533:4 input [4] - 31392:25, 31393:14, 31397:2, 31408:7 **Inquiries** [1] - 31479:15 inquiries [1] - 31479:23 inquiry [17] - 31468:10, 31468:17, 31468:21, 31468:23, 31469:2, 31469:7, 31469:9, 31469:14, 31469:24, 31473:15, 31473:21, 31474:4, 31475:14, 31479:17, 31480:11, 31502:22, 31533:5 **Inquiry** [12] - 31371:2, 31371:23, 31479:7, 31479:12, 31491:8, 31491:14, 31491:19, 31492:7, 31492:12, 31492:14, 31492:16, 31504:8 insignificant [4] -31425:17, 31426:13, 31426:24, 31427:24 Inspector [3] -31402:20, 31443:10, 31445:14 instantly [1] - 31514:12 institution [1] -31380:23 instructed [1] - 31450:5 instructions [1] -31521:25 intend [2] - 31375:8,

interest [3] - 31395:22, 31411:3, 31529:12 interested [2] -31429:15, 31429:23 interesting [1] -31535:4 interests [6] - 31392:8, 31393:12, 31395:10, 31395:21, 31397:5, 31408:5 interpret [1] - 31518:24 interpretation [4] -31436:8, 31455:3, 31455:4, 31455:5 interrogate [1] -31435:4 interview [10] -31402:16, 31427:16, 31508:24, 31509:25, 31511:10, 31512:6, 31512:16, 31513:1, 31521:3, 31529:17 interviewed [1] -31399:22 interviews [11] -31393:21, 31412:12, 31413:5, 31413:9, 31427:20, 31427:23, 31443:4, 31445:17, 31445:24, 31447:3, 31522:2 investigate [3] -31480:14, 31508:7, 31508:13 investigating [1] -31516:10 investigation [8] -31443:2. 31468:15. 31469:2, 31469:3, 31488:20, 31490:14, 31529:10, 31529:13 Investigations [4] -31508:13, 31509:14, 31510:16, 31510:24 investigator [7] -31508:18, 31510:23, 31520:8, 31522:13, 31522:19, 31527:23, 31528:3 investigators [3] -31435:15, 31507:17, 31509:1 involved [7] - 31387:21, 31387:23, 31396:19, 31507:21, 31515:18, 31530:12, 31531:14 involvement [2] -

31416:3, 31530:11 lola [2] - 31374:3, 31376:3 Irene [1] - 31372:7 irrelevant [1] - 31512:5 **Isabelle** [1] - 31372:4 issue [43] - 31375:14, 31377:3, 31379:8, 31379:21, 31382:7, 31382:18, 31382:19, 31383:24, 31384:4, 31385:20, 31386:5, 31395:15, 31395:16, 31408:15, 31412:25, 31421:6, 31428:7, 31429:5, 31446:2, 31446:7, 31446:15, 31447:18, 31450:7, 31450:8, 31451:25, 31452:1, 31452:8, 31458:19, 31461:16, 31461:18, 31462:13, 31465:15, 31467:16, 31468:20, 31482:8, 31484:17, 31486:25, 31487:2, 31503:9, 31508:11, 31514:2, 31514:4, 31533:25 issue' [1] - 31382:20 issues [20] - 31391:18, 31391:22, 31392:24, 31395:17, 31395:18, 31395:22, 31396:14, 31397:9, 31403:14, 31403:16, 31407:6, 31414:5, 31414:10, 31446:2, 31478:18, 31479:5, 31506:9, 31517:12, 31518:25, 31533:21 item [2] - 31379:24, 31439:22 itself [4] - 31392:16, 31432:17, 31476:17, 31502:5 it's [1] - 31535:20



jail [11] - 31380:17, 31398:1, 31402:16, 31410:16, 31438:8, 31454:3, 31454:12, 31455:18, 31459:20, 31460:2, 31461:6 January[7] - 31376:16, 31389:4, 31409:20, 31414:15, 31426:1, 31442:20, 31446:5



intended [1] - 31534:14

31378:17

31506:2

lawyers [46] - 31376:20,

Jay[1] - 31372:11 Joanne[1] - 31373:3 jobs [1] - 31528:12 John[7] - 31402:21, 31427:14, 31427:20, 31427:25, 31443:14, 31445:14 joint [1] - 31505:22 joy [1] - 31441:14 Joyce[14] - 31373:3, 31374:3, 31376:3, 31396:4, 31441:3, 31441:9, 31482:16, 31492:4, 31504:18, 31506:11, 31510:5, 31535:5, 31535:14, 31535:20 judge [4] - 31406:25, 31426:17, 31470:25, 31485:17 judgement [6] -31420:16, 31420:22, 31440:23, 31440:25, 31442:7, 31471:25 judges [6] - 31397:19, 31419:20, 31427:4, 31463:4, 31463:11, 31487:5 judgment [9] -31416:18, 31445:2, 31451:3, 31453:2, 31453:15, 31456:11, 31456:25, 31462:9, 31463:14 judicial [2] - 31417:24, 31452:20 July[1] - 31532:21 June[18] - 31377:5, 31378:19, 31379:7, 31383:9, 31384:12, 31387:5, 31387:9, 31509:17, 31510:4, 31510:11, 31528:21, 31529:6, 31532:3, 31532:21, 31534:24, 31535:17, 31535:25, 31536:12 jury [5] - 31450:4, 31450:20, 31456:8, 31457:18, 31467:12 Justice[45] - 31371:6, 31373:11, 31373:13, 31376:12, 31378:8, 31386:7, 31386:12, 31387:3, 31394:22, 31394:25, 31395:1, 31398:13, 31401:15, 31407:23, 31411:1, 31413:18, 31413:19, 31414:23, 31414:24,

31429:16, 31429:25, 31434:11, 31436:22, 31436:25, 31437:1, 31437:7, 31458:14, 31464:22, 31465:7, 31465:9, 31466:2, 31466:20, 31477:22, 31478:2. 31497:8. 31498:21, 31499:1, 31499:3, 31499:24, 31499:25, 31512:1, 31520:19, 31526:16 justice [13] - 31398:17, 31399:7, 31399:13, 31403:9, 31415:17, 31415:25, 31417:16, 31417:21, 31417:23, 31418:9, 31421:4, 31470:15, 31470:17 Justices [1] - 31410:7 justify [1] - 31450:25 justly [1] - 31468:15

K

Kanata[1] - 31433:6 Kara[1] - 31372:4 Karen[3] - 31372:8, 31538:2, 31538:13 Karst [6] - 31373:8, 31399:22, 31435:14, 31443:10, 31445:14, 31480:9 Karst's [1] - 31436:1 keep [6] - 31413:8, 31507:11, 31535:13, 31535:15, 31536:1, 31537:17 **Ken**[1] - 31531:6 **kept** [2] - 31397:25, 31524:5 key [4] - 31417:10, 31435:14, 31527:10, 31527:11 kids [3] - 31402:19, 31434:21, 31435:9 kill [1] - 31484:2 killed [1] - 31442:23 killer [1] - 31493:22 **Kim**[1] - 31497:14 kind [3] - 31412:2, 31413:7, 31523:5 kinds [1] - 31447:24 knowledge [9] -31379:3, 31390:9, 31390:10, 31431:2, 31447:10, 31511:24, 31531:8, 31531:15, 31538:6

knowledgeable [1] -31383:18 known [2] - 31448:19, 31522:12 knows [2] - 31381:16, 31382:4 Knox[1] - 31373:5 Krogan[1] - 31373:4 Krogan-stevely [1] -31373:4 **Kujawa**[11] - 31373:6, 31476:14, 31477:10, 31480:9, 31490:18, 31494:1, 31494:15, 31515:7, 31515:18, 31516:16, 31523:21 **Kujawa's** [1] - 31528:9

L

lab [4] - 31381:3,

31389:7, 31389:8, 31390:17 lack [3] - 31393:10, 31404:17, 31461:23 lady [1] - 31516:22 laid [2] - 31435:21, 31444:7 **Lamer**[1] - 31407:24 **Lamers** [1] - 31401:15 Lana[1] - 31373:4 land [1] - 31454:13 Larry[23] - 31373:10, 31399:18, 31399:22, 31400:17, 31403:18, 31420:8, 31450:14, 31451:6, 31451:13, 31462:21, 31462:23, 31462:24, 31463:1, 31463:4. 31463:9. 31463:12, 31463:16, 31464:5, 31482:14, 31493:17, 31493:20, 31493:22, 31497:20 **Last**[1] - 31387:8 last [8] - 31384:24, 31408:21, 31414:18, 31415:4, 31433:8, 31444:20, 31461:7, 31524:12 lasted [1] - 31530:9 late [5] - 31434:10, 31436:21, 31437:4, 31437:6 Laughs[2] - 31381:14, 31506:6 law [1] - 31449:19 lawn [1] - 31496:3 lawyer [2] - 31427:15,

31390:13, 31392:7, 31395:9, 31396:12, 31396:16, 31396:24, 31396:25. 31397:18. 31398:2. 31398:7. 31401:7, 31401:18, 31401:19, 31401:21, 31402:5, 31402:13, 31403:2, 31403:24, 31404:3, 31404:12, 31405:3, 31405:16, 31405:20, 31408:4, 31416:5, 31416:7, 31422:3, 31423:22, 31423:24, 31424:8, 31427:9, 31428:5, 31428:8, 31447:15, 31451:4, 31463:8, 31463:11, 31474:2, 31475:23, 31487:19, 31487:23, 31505:8, 31527:22, 31528:2, 31531:6 lead [5] - 31401:25, 31402:6, 31405:4, 31412:21, 31439:17 learned [6] - 31391:2, 31406:1, 31406:3, 31409:14, 31458:21, 31492:7 learning [1] - 31376:12 least [16] - 31386:18, 31387:5, 31387:16, 31407:12, 31410:15, 31427:3, 31448:7, 31462:2, 31464:16, 31477:4, 31477:5, 31477:25, 31484:12, 31484:24, 31487:22, 31489:16 leave [5] - 31419:11, 31439:1, 31439:7, 31439:16, 31460:2 ledger [1] - 31530:22 **left** [5] - 31376:6, 31390:13, 31416:5, 31472:3, 31485:14 leg [1] - 31385:7 legal [17] - 31391:18, 31392:12, 31392:13, 31392:18, 31393:3, 31393:13, 31394:3, 31394:9, 31394:15, 31395:3, 31397:4, 31458:3, 31462:3, 31470:9, 31482:20, 31482:22, 31496:11 legalities [1] - 31457:22

Legislative[1] - 31496:3 legitimate [1] - 31509:8 less [1] - 31422:25 letter [73] - 31379:25, 31385:17, 31385:19, 31386:24, 31407:3, 31407:23, 31414:14, 31425:11, 31471:8, 31473:17, 31473:18, 31477:19, 31477:25, 31479:14, 31480:4, 31480:6, 31480:7, 31481:7, 31483:5, 31483:17, 31486:2, 31486:13, 31491:2, 31499:9, 31499:11, 31499:15, 31499:23, 31500:2, 31500:4, 31500:7, 31500:12, 31500:21, 31501:10, 31501:16, 31501:24, 31502:4, 31502:8, 31502:10, 31502:13, 31502:17, 31502:18, 31503:17, 31507:3, 31507:5, 31507:8, 31507:11, 31507:16, 31507:25, 31508:1, 31508:4, 31508:7, 31508:14, 31508:17, 31509:13, 31509:16, 31510:2, 31511:15, 31511:16, 31513:6, 31513:13, 31513:19, 31518:20, 31518:24, 31519:11, 31520:5, 31521:23, 31528:20, 31529:25, 31530:17, 31532:23, 31533:12 letter-writing [1] -31532:23 letter-writings [1] -31533:12 letters [12] - 31430:2, 31430:3, 31430:6, 31430:16, 31448:11, 31455:12, 31468:22, 31483:7, 31532:24, 31533:10, 31533:16, 31534:21 letting [4] - 31427:3, 31428:12, 31441:1, 31516:19 Liaison[1] - 31513:14 lie [2] - 31399:12, 31504:9 life [2] - 31460:2, 31482:17 light [6] - 31400:17,

31436:12, 31461:12,



31487:10, 31517:5, 31524:19 likely [8] - 31405:7, 31409:12. 31409:24. 31419:1. 31467:24. 31493:25. 31495:16. 31502:11 limbo [1] - 31462:3 limit [1] - 31401:22 limits [2] - 31406:9, 31406:11 line [4] - 31449:14, 31470:12, 31492:19, 31492:20 list [1] - 31439:22 listen [1] - 31410:22 listened [2] - 31410:21, 31506:5 listening [3] -31426:18, 31534:4, 31534:6 living [3] - 31411:4, 31412:7, 31460:19 locate [1] - 31428:23 locating [1] - 31427:13 Logan[1] - 31513:14 look [8] - 31391:14, 31392:5, 31417:22, 31441:4, 31441:5, 31441:10, 31509:23, 31516:22 looked [6] - 31417:5, 31420:12, 31423:5. 31434:1, 31434:9, 31436:8 looking [11] - 31383:23, 31393:11, 31395:25, 31397:19, 31397:23, 31402:13, 31416:8, 31419:6, 31426:17, 31460:22, 31468:23 lookit [30] - 31377:8, 31379:9, 31383:11, 31400:9, 31404:4, 31405:24, 31407:9, 31408:22, 31418:6, 31422:4, 31430:13, 31438:24, 31443:16, 31444:22, 31448:16, 31449:1, 31449:4, 31456:16, 31470:22, 31480:13, 31481:21, 31498:25, 31518:10, 31521:24, 31527:3, 31530:2, 31533:3, 31533:23, 31536:10, 31536:18 looks [4] - 31507:1, 31508:15, 31529:3, 31535:25

Loran[1] - 31373:7 lose [1] - 31536:15 loss [1] - 31455:17 lost [3] - 31429:18, 31455:18, 31536:20 Louise[1] - 31513:15 lower [1] - 31484:10 lying [2] - 31400:4, 31460:22 Lysyk[3] - 31490:18, 31516:16, 31523:21

M

Maccallum [4] -

31371:7, 31375:3, 31376:2, 31444:15 Macdonald [1] -31533:12 Macfarlane [3] -31477:20, 31481:7, 31526:16 Mackie [3] - 31433:20, 31433:21, 31436:15 **mad** [1] - 31436:9 mail [1] - 31500:8 main [3] - 31411:3, 31425:7, 31480:8 major [1] - 31422:20 mammoth [1] - 31406:5 man [7] - 31401:4. 31426:20, 31507:24, 31508:2, 31511:3, 31527:10, 31527:23 man's [1] - 31509:4 Manager [1] - 31372:3 manipulated [1] -31400:3 Manitoba [1] -31411:10 Manning [1] - 31521:8 March [4] - 31490:9, 31490:11, 31499:9, 31500:5 Markesteyn [5] -31377:7, 31378:20, 31387:10, 31407:8, 31407:12 Marshall [1] - 31373:13 marvelling [1] -31434:22 master [1] - 31530:22 material [7] - 31402:4, 31414:17, 31414:21, 31414:22, 31429:19, 31480:1, 31524:7 materials [1] - 31433:4 matter [12] - 31376:13, 31377:19, 31386:9,

31431:16, 31458:2, 31478:15, 31479:22, 31480:14, 31500:1, 31512:5, 31512:19, 31524:10 matters [8] - 31375:7, 31391:16, 31392:6. 31393:18, 31521:5. 31530:12, 31537:13 Mccloskey [6] -31430:22, 31431:2, 31493:11, 31496:16, 31496:17, 31496:21 Mccloskey's [1] -31496:6 Mcfarlane [1] -31478:12 Mckay [1] - 31531:6 Mclean [1] - 31373:3 mean [30] - 31381:22, 31382:3, 31382:15, 31383:5, 31383:7, 31391:21, 31397:22, 31399:20, 31400:24, 31416:15, 31427:25, 31432:9, 31436:8, 31441:6. 31447:8. 31448:20, 31451:9, 31457:5, 31457:19, 31460:9, 31462:10, 31467:11, 31468:24, 31475:6, 31491:23, 31495:8, 31498:5, 31502:15, 31526:23, 31537:12 meaning [1] - 31486:8 means [2] - 31419:1, 31460:13 meant [4] - 31453:6, 31467:1, 31471:16, 31472:1 mechanism [3] -31461:16, 31469:2, 31484:25 media [14] - 31412:9, 31412:15, 31412:16, 31413:2, 31413:15, 31414:3, 31414:4, 31414:8, 31493:9, 31493:14, 31503:19, 31516:7, 31516:19, 31537:9 meet [4] - 31510:13, 31511:4, 31520:10, 31523:23 meeting [13] -31411:13, 31414:18, 31427:14, 31511:1,

31523:25, 31524:2, 31530:7, 31530:16, 31532:4 meetings [2] -31523:19, 31532:5 members [1] - 31533:6 memo [1] - 31389:3 memory [8] - 31376:9, 31407:11, 31473:13, 31501:3, 31510:19, 31510:20, 31530:4, 31530:6 mental [4] - 31408:14, 31409:2, 31409:3, 31411:15 mentally [1] - 31464:8 mention [2] - 31407:7, 31427:16 mentioned [9] -31399:4, 31403:6, 31448:8, 31504:14, 31513:16, 31526:4, 31526:5, 31526:9, 31526:11 Merchant [4] - 31425:2, 31425:11, 31428:21, 31429:2 Merchant's [1] -31429:10 Merrimans [1] -31448:1 Merry [7] - 31377:7, 31378:9, 31378:20, 31383:12, 31383:15, 31387:11, 31387:21 Merry's [1] - 31383:18 message [2] - 31497:4, 31497:13 met [7] - 31398:7, 31401:7, 31490:12, 31508:2, 31511:19, 31519:24, 31529:6 Meyer [3] - 31372:9, 31538:2, 31538:19 Michael [18] - 31490:5, 31491:20, 31492:7, 31492:10, 31492:17, 31499:22, 31502:9, 31504:19, 31505:3, 31507:18, 31508:24, 31509:25, 31511:1, 31511:17, 31511:19, 31520:9, 31520:18, 31520:23 might [36] - 31375:16, 31377:9, 31379:12, 31382:14, 31400:13, 31408:22, 31421:18, 31423:25, 31429:6, 31453:11, 31462:6,

31467:13, 31469:9, 31469:15, 31469:25, 31478:10, 31480:16, 31481:25, 31482:1, 31492:23, 31501:10, 31501:20, 31503:25, 31504:10, 31504:12, 31513:2. 31518:18. 31519:5, 31519:10, 31521:16, 31526:5, 31526:9, 31526:10, 31531:14, 31536:14 Might [2] - 31429:8, 31429:10 Mike [3] - 31507:3, 31508:12, 31529:17 Milgaard [77] - 31371:4, 31373:2, 31373:3, 31374:3, 31375:12, 31375:17, 31376:3, 31376:6, 31385:22, 31386:10, 31392:4, 31393:22, 31395:1, 31396:4, 31405:24, 31408:17, 31415:24, 31417:10, 31417:20, 31418:3, 31439:10, 31442:19, 31446:3, 31449:18, 31450:21, 31451:16, 31451:23, 31452:24, 31453:24, 31456:9, 31458:14, 31459:9, 31463:15, 31466:18, 31468:11, 31468:13, 31470:8, 31470:15, 31472:25, 31476:20, 31482:16, 31483:6, 31486:1, 31488:21, 31490:20, 31492:4, 31493:21, 31494:3, 31494:15, 31496:12, 31496:25, 31503:22, 31504:18, 31508:9, 31510:5, 31510:8, 31510:10, 31512:19, 31512:23, 31524:3, 31525:1, 31525:10, 31525:14, 31527:4, 31528:8, 31528:22, 31529:6, 31529:8. 31529:9. 31529:11, 31529:16, 31530:11, 31531:8, 31533:1, 31535:5, 31535:14, 31535:20 Milgaard's [6] -31375:9, 31378:1, 31378:13, 31413:24, 31421:6, 31470:24 Miller [11] - 31399:24,



31511:2, 31511:11,

31511:17, 31520:25,

31443:4, 31451:1, 31451:24, 31456:10, 31474:13, 31481:14, 31484:2, 31493:23, 31494:2, 31535:7 mind [13] - 31381:8. 31382:6. 31382:15. 31399:11, 31443:8, 31451:11, 31467:16, 31504:7, 31511:6, 31514:14, 31521:15, 31525:4, 31528:11 mind-boggling [1] -31382:15 minimal [1] - 31475:20 Minister [21] -31373:11, 31376:12, 31398:12, 31398:19, 31410:10, 31418:10, 31438:21, 31439:11, 31471:14, 31478:6, 31478:22, 31483:5, 31488:7, 31488:8, 31497:8, 31498:21, 31499:1, 31499:3, 31532:23, 31533:1, 31533:18 minister [3] - 31409:5, 31466:20, 31515:7 ministers [2] -31513:17, 31524:5 Ministries [1] -31430:22 Ministry [1] - 31512:1 minor [2] - 31535:11, 31535:12 minute [2] - 31433:8, 31514:15 miscarriage [12] -31398:16, 31399:7, 31399:12, 31403:9, 31415:16, 31415:25, 31417:16, 31417:21, 31417:23, 31418:9, 31421:4, 31470:16 misconduct [23] -31397:24, 31399:4, 31399:6, 31399:11, 31400:6, 31400:10, 31400:20, 31402:9, 31402:12, 31403:4, 31404:23, 31482:15, 31488:20, 31489:1, 31489:6, 31489:14, 31515:1. 31515:11. 31518:12, 31518:13, 31533:5 misconducts [1] -31444:6 miserably [1] -

31455:20 missing [4] - 31475:7, 31524:15, 31524:21, 31524:22 mistake [3] - 31458:5, 31525:14, 31527:5 Mitchell [11] -31375:20, 31466:8, 31471:9, 31471:14, 31476:16, 31476:19, 31477:2, 31483:5, 31486:6, 31532:25, 31533:18 Mitchell's [1] -31483:15 Mmhmm [1] - 31535:14 mom [4] - 31452:4, 31452:6, 31460:23, 31463:21 moment [3] - 31389:8, 31404:21, 31468:21 Monday [1] - 31371:21 money [4] - 31460:21, 31488:14, 31508:20, 31516:9 month [1] - 31415:14 months [3] - 31432:18, 31532:20, 31536:12 morning [3] - 31412:7, 31481:14, 31481:16 most [4] - 31385:5, 31453:2, 31453:16, 31475:7 mostly [1] - 31416:6 motel [1] - 31450:14 motivated [1] -31504:13 motivation [2] -31504:16, 31512:15 motive [1] - 31504:3

move [4] - 31457:9,

moved [1] - 31479:2

31451:1, 31451:24,

31452:25, 31456:9,

31462:3, 31474:13,

31481:14, 31494:3

murderer [1] - 31464:5

Murray [9] - 31377:1,

31377:18, 31377:21,

31379:25, 31385:18,

31407:4, 31446:23,

must [3] - 31462:9,

31508:19, 31508:21

31455:9

murder [9] - 31443:3,

31461:6, 31534:2,

31537:19

name [12] - 31438:12, 31438:15, 31439:5, 31439:20, 31440:11, 31440:19, 31454:4, 31455:23, 31469:15, 31469:18, 31510:22, 31526:11 named [2] - 31490:5, 31531:4 namely [5] - 31398:16, 31440:18, 31446:22, 31490:17, 31515:5 names [3] - 31513:16, 31531:3, 31531:13 nature [6] - 31402:19, 31404:24, 31422:7, 31426:10, 31506:18, 31518:8 Ndp[9] - 31502:19, 31502:23, 31503:1, 31503:3, 31503:4, 31503:5, 31503:9, 31503:10, 31504:15 near [1] - 31516:24 necessary [2] -31467:22, 31528:3 Need[1] - 31429:9 need [8] - 31381:5, 31392:5, 31429:6, 31429:10, 31430:13, 31440:10, 31442:9, 31499:2 needed [6] - 31412:4, 31413:11, 31440:5, 31440:10, 31461:17, 31495:8 needless [1] - 31480:2 negative [1] - 31497:23 negatives [1] - 31468:2 never [14] - 31383:7, 31386:11, 31386:19, 31399:15, 31412:1, 31436:6, 31448:2, 31451:7, 31453:17, 31463:10, 31502:24, 31522:3, 31526:24 new [32] - 31415:25, 31419:21, 31420:1, 31420:25, 31421:4, 31421:10, 31421:17, 31421:19, 31421:22, 31421:23, 31456:16, 31457:7, 31460:11, 31460:12, 31462:10, 31462:20, 31466:11,

31485:16, 31489:22, 31489:25, 31490:2, 31495:22, 31498:19, 31501:18 news [5] - 31459:17. 31465:24, 31535:6, 31535:18, 31537:14 next [28] - 31377:2, 31381:7, 31388:13, 31407:22, 31414:13, 31416:14, 31416:21, 31418:18, 31421:1, 31421:2, 31424:24, 31428:13, 31430:25, 31442:23, 31452:16, 31458:8, 31459:22, 31459:23, 31468:5, 31477:21, 31481:6, 31485:4, 31502:21, 31513:12, 31520:13, 31521:23, 31523:16, 31535:10 Nichol [7] - 31402:16, 31402:20, 31427:14, 31427:20, 31427:25, 31445:14 niece [1] - 31433:5 night [2] - 31412:8, 31433:24 nights [1] - 31415:9 nobody [1] - 31410:20 non [9] - 31378:2, 31381:18, 31382:22, 31384:8, 31384:9, 31384:10, 31386:10, 31387:2, 31389:21 non-secretor [8] -31381:18, 31382:22, 31384:8, 31384:9, 31384:10, 31386:10, 31387:2, 31389:21 none [5] - 31489:2, 31489:18, 31513:24, 31525:24, 31530:5 None[1] - 31530:15 noon [1] - 31412:7 note [2] - 31483:17, 31537:16 notes [2] - 31446:18, 31538:6 **nothing** [3] - 31399:19, 31470:13, 31502:14 notice [4] - 31497:5, 31498:25, 31499:6, 31513:12 number [14] - 31399:6,

31412:24, 31433:15,

31438:7, 31438:12,

31474:11, 31483:19,

31485:6, 31485:9,

31440:16, 31451:21, 31454:2, 31458:13, 31459:2, 31471:22, 31511:22, 31515:1, 31532:21 numerous [2] - 31410:4, 31410:8 Numerous [1] - 31531:3 nurse [1] - 31481:15 nut [1] - 31509:4

0

Okeefe [1] - 31373:10 **objectives** [1] - 31438:5 **observe** [2] - 31456:7, 31458:12 obtain [1] - 31428:15 **obtained** [1] - 31491:15 obviously [5] -31426:21, 31467:22, 31513:7, 31523:1, 31527:22 occasions [2] -31412:24, 31511:16 occurred [1] - 31383:7 October[1] - 31400:17 odds [1] - 31472:17 offered [2] - 31424:3, 31521:4 offering [1] - 31470:7 office [10] - 31380:13, 31476:16, 31508:6, 31510:7, 31510:9, 31522:1, 31524:5, 31525:2, 31528:24, 31529:14 Officer[1] - 31372:10 officer [2] - 31435:21, 31513:14 Official[5] - 31372:8, 31538:1, 31538:3, 31538:14, 31538:20 officials [3] - 31471:14, 31480:2, 31493:16 often [3] - 31414:8, 31523:18, 31524:2 oftentimes [1] -31413:8 old [2] - 31466:13, 31537:14 once [5] - 31401:4, 31406:15, 31420:8, 31466:22, 31516:4 **Once**[1] - 31465:9 one [51] - 31395:13, 31399:6, 31401:2, 31401:3, 31403:4, 31403:5, 31404:7,



31467:2, 31467:8,

31468:1, 31472:15,

31407:12, 31409:13, 31410:10, 31413:14, 31414:1, 31414:5, 31415:18, 31417:22, 31418:6, 31423:4, 31432:9, 31435:14, 31438:6, 31438:7, 31440:16. 31442:11. 31442:23, 31445:10, 31451:21, 31454:2, 31461:20, 31463:3, 31467:22, 31467:23, 31469:7, 31469:13, 31470:14, 31470:25, 31471:6, 31471:22, 31481:13, 31484:24, 31485:17, 31488:2, 31492:16, 31495:25, 31497:11, 31505:15, 31515:1, 31521:5, 31527:17, 31529:20, 31532:4, 31533:12 One[2] - 31391:22, 31433:24 ones [1] - 31403:5 Ont[1] - 31502:24 Ontario[1] - 31503:2 onus [1] - 31392:4 open [3] - 31421:9, 31439:16, 31500:24 opened [2] - 31376:17, 31454:22 opening [3] - 31413:24, 31527:10, 31530:20 operated [1] - 31386:21 opinion [9] - 31384:25, 31385:5, 31385:10, 31417:19, 31468:12, 31478:9, 31479:2, 31512:21, 31521:11 opinions [2] - 31386:8, 31451:17 opportunity [7] -31419:22, 31422:15, 31462:9, 31478:11, 31479:25, 31487:3, 31501:17 oppose [1] - 31470:11 opposed [9] -31394:10, 31395:3, 31396:12, 31401:14, 31406:25, 31412:16, 31413:23, 31432:13, 31455:3 opposite [1] - 31385:11 option [1] - 31461:20 options [1] - 31451:21 order [9] - 31386:17, 31408:1, 31412:18, 31428:15, 31440:3,

31440:9, 31468:10, 31481:11, 31518:14 ordered [1] - 31435:3 organizing [1] -31519:22 original [7] - 31381:25, 31383:8, 31399:17, 31400:20, 31428:17, 31428:22, 31485:15 originally [1] -31389:24 Originally[1] -31390:14 otherwise [5] -31470:18, 31484:21, 31487:13, 31491:23, 31502:24 Ottawa[4] - 31386:7, 31414:23, 31433:1, 31533:11 ought [1] - 31500:22 outlined [1] - 31435:8 outraged [5] -31401:23, 31405:6, 31405:8, 31405:10, 31405:19 outs [1] - 31516:11 outside [2] - 31502:23, 31503:4 overall [1] - 31452:14 overlap [1] - 31479:15 overlapping [1] -31482:8 overnight [2] -31402:16, 31415:9 overstating [1] -31437:15 overturn [1] - 31485:20 overwhelmed [1] -31441:13 own [6] - 31451:11, 31504:3, 31504:7, 31508:22, 31525:4, 31528:11

Р

package [1] - 31524:24 packed [1] - 31435:19 Page [1] - 31374:2 page [33] - 31377:2, 31381:7, 31388:13, 31407:22, 31408:11, 31414:13, 31416:14, 31416:21, 31421:2, 31424:24, 31428:13, 31430:20, 31430:25, 31433:15, 31433:18, 31436:3, 31442:7, 31442:8, 31442:15, 31452:12, 31452:16, 31453:22, 31458:8, 31468:5, 31477:21, 31481:6, 31485:4, 31502:21, 31513:13, 31520:13, 31521:23, 31523:16. 31534:24 pages [3] - 31414:20, 31425:10, 31538:4 paper [6] - 31433:9, 31433:13, 31435:11, 31436:9, 31524:4, 31524:12 papers [1] - 31531:25 Paragraph [1] -31424:24 paragraph [7] -31385:19, 31429:12, 31430:20, 31431:12, 31450:12, 31453:22, 31482:6 Pardon [2] - 31389:17, 31452:5 pardon [8] - 31385:21, 31418:5. 31418:10. 31438:17, 31438:20, 31438:21, 31456:18, 31466:21 parole [1] - 31428:24 Parole [1] - 31414:25 part [28] - 31381:11, 31382:24, 31383:20, 31387:24, 31404:15, 31406:3, 31416:20, 31417:2, 31431:19, 31431:22, 31444:16, 31446:17, 31448:21, 31451:3, 31452:8, 31453:1, 31453:15, 31456:14, 31456:22, 31456:23, 31456:24, 31476:4, 31480:10, 31487:22, 31493:25, 31504:15, 31522:23 participated [2] -31432:24, 31475:24 particular [5] -31383:20, 31447:21, 31461:13, 31480:14, 31503:25 particularly [3] -31434:17, 31481:10, 31518:2 parties [3] - 31375:16, 31375:25, 31392:1 partner [1] - 31483:21 parts [3] - 31402:2,

party [1] - 31393:9 past [3] - 31512:12, 31521:13, 31521:14 Pat [1] - 31373:7 Paul [2] - 31400:2, 31430:23 pause [6] - 31397:21, 31398:5, 31405:18, 31425:18, 31466:22, 31468:20 payers' [1] - 31488:14 Pearson [1] - 31526:18 penal [1] - 31410:11 people [32] - 31385:14, 31394:20, 31399:12, 31413:10, 31435:22, 31446:22, 31453:4, 31490:2, 31493:20, 31494:21, 31494:23, 31495:9, 31495:18, 31495:23, 31496:25, 31497:4, 31498:4, 31499:5, 31503:9, 31513:21, 31513:24, 31514:7, 31514:8, 31515:4, 31517:5, 31524:13, 31526:10, 31532:21, 31533:13, 31533:21, 31534:16, 31535:19 **people's** [1] - 31497:13 percent [1] - 31419:4 perfectly [1] - 31491:3 performed [2] -31386:22, 31388:20 perhaps [7] - 31434:17, 31438:4, 31464:10, 31480:1, 31480:20, 31515:20, 31527:19 period [3] - 31397:16, 31453:20, 31476:25 Perry [18] - 31507:21, 31509:14, 31509:17, 31509:20, 31509:24, 31510:22, 31511:16, 31511:19, 31512:25, 31519:19, 31520:7, 31520:10, 31522:12, 31528:20, 31529:1, 31529:5, 31530:2, 31532:4 Perry's [5] - 31510:2, 31513:1, 31518:20, 31522:1, 31529:25 person [4] - 31393:11, 31401:1, 31432:16, 31528:15 personal [3] -31511:23, 31512:13,

personally [1] -31511:2 perspective [2] -31467:12, 31468:3 pertaining [1] -31512:12 Peter [2] - 31430:7, 31531:7 pick [4] - 31376:25, 31444:20, 31459:9, 31519:13 **picture** [1] - 31514:14 piece [3] - 31385:5, 31400:6, 31435:11 pin [3] - 31463:3, 31492:2, 31502:14 pinning [1] - 31401:5 pinpoint [2] - 31403:22, 31437:10 Place [1] - 31371:16 place [9] - 31400:23, 31426:22, 31437:22, 31444:13, 31446:18, 31448:23, 31465:13, 31528:15, 31533:10 places [1] - 31518:25 placing [1] - 31416:3 plan [2] - 31434:24, 31459:24 plan' [1] - 31435:18 played [1] - 31396:4 players [1] - 31480:8 playing [1] - 31535:9 **plus** [1] - 31419:4 Pm [4] - 31375:2, 31459:6, 31459:7, 31537:20 point [29] - 31376:8, 31382:15. 31390:12. 31398:24, 31403:22, 31404:24, 31407:13, 31410:10, 31412:5, 31417:21, 31418:19, 31421:1, 31436:14, 31444:21, 31460:9, 31463:24, 31472:22, 31478:11, 31484:13, 31489:20, 31492:25, 31495:4, 31495:8, 31495:18, 31496:1, 31512:7, 31518:18, 31520:15, 31524:12 pointed [2] - 31514:11, 31536:5 **pointing** [1] - 31486:3 points [4] - 31459:2, 31468:8, 31471:11, 31480:8 police [33] - 31397:24, 31399:4, 31399:6,



31521:15

31412:19, 31440:13

Party [1] - 31521:7

24200:44 24400:2
31399:11, 31400:3,
31400:6, 31400:10,
31400:20, 31402:9,
31402:12, 31403:4,
31404:23, 31434:1,
31434:9, 31435:3,
31435:15, 31436:17,
31436:20, 31437:6,
31443:1, 31443:21,
31443:22, 31444:2,
31444:5, 31445:5,
31445:17, 31445:24,
31468:14, 31488:19,
31488:25, 31489:5,
31489:14, 31494:20
Police [2] - 31373:7,
31513:14
policemen [1] -
31400:24
political [5] - 31502:20,
31504:15, 31512:14,
31521:5, 31524:11
position [41] -
31377:13, 31388:4,
31388:19, 31391:14,
31391:18, 31392:7,
31392:12, 31392:23,
31396:5, 31396:8,
31397:1, 31408:8,
31414:7, 31424:15,
31453:5, 31453:9,
31462:14, 31464:1,
31470:20, 31471:2,
31471:3, 31471:5,
31475:25, 31484:13,
31484:23, 31485:2,
31485:25, 31486:11,
31486:16, 31487:7,
31487:8, 31487:9,
31487:10, 31489:4,
31489:13, 31496:8,
31489:13, 31496:8, 31496:12, 31496:24,
31497:25, 31533:8,
31534:10
positions [3] -
31478:22, 31484:24,
31515:4
positive [1] - 31383:13
possession [1] -
31394:7
possible [5] - 31403:23,
31446:19, 31501:4,
31513:20, 31529:10
possibly [1] - 31468:17
potential [5] - 31407:6,
31467:9, 31469:14,
31479:16, 31480:9
power [3] - 31494:22,
31494:23, 31496:25
practice [1] - 31446:13
practice [i] - 51440.13
TI.

preclude [1] - 31456:1 precluded [3] -31447:22, 31454:3, 31454:6 prejudiced [3] -31452:4, 31452:6, 31463:21 prejudicing [1] -31489:11 premature [1] - 31479:6 premier [4] - 31515:5, 31515:11, 31515:19, 31518:11 preparations [2] -31376:24, 31380:18 prepared [11] -31388:16, 31424:12, 31425:9, 31430:23, 31431:1, 31461:8, 31484:19, 31497:21, 31519:25, 31520:9 preparing [1] - 31433:4 preponderance [4] -31415:21, 31418:23, 31442:14, 31452:23 Preponderance [1] -31418:24 prepping [1] - 31433:25 presence [1] - 31427:15 present [9] - 31375:22, 31433:1, 31443:9, 31446:22. 31447:15. 31512:12. 31513:17. 31521:14, 31529:8 presented [6] -31442:25, 31444:1, 31444:11, 31446:11, 31448:4, 31468:16 preserving [1] -31432:6 press [10] - 31483:20, 31490:13, 31495:24, 31496:6, 31505:23, 31522:9, 31525:22, 31526:5, 31526:11, 31526:15 **pressing** [1] - 31480:13 pressure [12] - 31465:6, 31465:21, 31481:2, 31485:1, 31495:9, 31497:8, 31497:14, 31499:3, 31500:24, 31501:11, 31501:20, 31537:2 pressuring [2] -31434:11, 31436:22 presumably [2] -

31377:13, 31439:17

presuming [1] -

31436:25

pretty [3] - 31376:16, 31403:17, 31484:13 prevail [1] - 31458:11 prevailing [1] -31446:13 previous [8] - 31377:4, 31436:3, 31458:16, 31478:22, 31493:6, 31497:25, 31510:5, 31528:22 previously [3] -31408:13, 31508:8, 31531:5 primarily [1] - 31428:24 Prime [1] - 31410:9 principle [1] - 31517:20 principled [1] - 31461:9 **prison** [5] - 31413:21, 31414:12, 31440:17, 31440:24, 31441:11 prisoners' [2] -31413:23, 31414:9 private [8] - 31380:25, 31507:16, 31508:18, 31508:25, 31510:23, 31520:8, 31522:12, 31522:19 probabilities [1] -31484:11 probative [3] - 31443:1, 31444:2, 31445:5 problem [1] - 31460:12 problems [2] -31473:13, 31519:21 procedure [2] -31383:15, 31449:20 procedures [1] -31530:12 proceed [13] -31457:11, 31468:25, 31472:10, 31472:18, 31473:1, 31473:2, 31473:9, 31473:19, 31474:19, 31483:19, 31505:18, 31507:25, 31521:25 proceeded [3] -31461:21, 31478:15, 31491:24 proceeding [3] -31417:24, 31467:17. 31472:15 Proceedings [4] -31371:12, 31371:23, 31374:1, 31375:1 proceedings [2] -31466:9, 31469:23 process [4] - 31408:24, 31438:14, 31465:14, 31488:3

processes [1] - 31427:6 proclaiming [1] -31410:17 produced [1] -31435:17 professionalism [1] -31478:19 Professor [1] -31430:24 progressed [1] -31512:6 progressively [1] -31428:11 prompted [1] -31423:16 pronounce [1] -31485:21 proof [4] - 31418:19, 31484:9, 31484:11, 31521:6 **properly** [1] - 31450:4 propose [3] - 31391:12, 31440:13, 31471:10 prosecute [1] -31474:12 prosecution [3] -31461:21, 31469:1, 31488:21 prosecution's [1] -31434:24 prosecutors [1] -31476:15 **Prov** [1] - 31513:14 **prove** [13] - 31385:13, 31415:19, 31415:21, 31418:21, 31419:14, 31419:15, 31419:22, 31421:15, 31442:12, 31453:24, 31457:17, 31457:20, 31505:1 proved [2] - 31385:10, 31495:21 proven [1] - 31378:6 provide [4] - 31389:5, 31462:6, 31473:22, 31512:18 provided [12] - 31394:6, 31400:2, 31430:6, 31434:13, 31436:23, 31472:13, 31473:12, 31481:9, 31490:17, 31501:17, 31503:18, 31523:13 providing [6] -31479:24, 31482:20, 31499:23, 31504:20, 31505:5, 31513:4 **Province** [4] - 31411:9, 31481:22, 31482:25, 31538:3

province [5] -31480:13, 31515:5, 31515:11, 31515:19, 31518:12 Provinces [1] -31482:22 Provincial [2] -31479:20, 31488:13 provincial [6] -31481:2, 31498:21, 31499:1, 31499:3, 31501:21, 31537:2 Psychiatrist [1] -31411:9 public [55] - 31474:3, 31475:14, 31495:5, 31495:6, 31495:7, 31495:8, 31495:25, 31496:8, 31496:11, 31496:24, 31497:7, 31497:12, 31497:14, 31497:25, 31498:12, 31498:25, 31499:1, 31499:2, 31499:17, 31501:18, 31501:20, 31504:17. 31504:21. 31505:9. 31505:10. 31505:19, 31505:21, 31506:3, 31506:18, 31513:11, 31514:2, 31514:9, 31515:20, 31516:5, 31516:7, 31516:12, 31516:18, 31517:8, 31518:11, 31518:16, 31520:16, 31522:11, 31524:18, 31527:15, 31532:12, 31532:14, 31532:22, 31533:6, 31534:16, 31536:2, 31536:18, 31536:23, 31536:24, 31536:25, 31537:1 public's [2] - 31515:13, 31536:15 **publicly** [3] - 31405:5, 31516:15, 31533:20 **pulling** [1] - 31433:8 purpose [1] - 31497:11 purposes [2] - 31439:5, 31497:11 pursue [3] - 31468:24, 31469:25, 31530:3 pursuing [1] - 31530:1 push [1] - 31502:22 **pushing** [1] - 31516:25 put [50] - 31385:13, 31388:4, 31391:18, 31392:7, 31394:10, 31394:16, 31394:17, 31395:10, 31395:20,



31396:12, 31398:19, 31399:25, 31403:14, 31404:5, 31408:4, 31411:18, 31421:5, 31423:10, 31423:11, 31423:21, 31427:10, 31427:11, 31428:5, 31430:17. 31432:13. 31436:14, 31441:7, 31457:2, 31459:25, 31464:11, 31465:13, 31465:25, 31475:9, 31484:25, 31487:12, 31487:20, 31489:22, 31497:8, 31497:14, 31498:10, 31499:3, 31501:20, 31511:3, 31517:22, 31519:6, 31521:17, 31524:14, 31536:6, 31537:1, 31537:14 putting [13] - 31396:4, 31420:5, 31426:8, 31427:3, 31454:15, 31465:6, 31465:21, 31477:10, 31495:24, 31516:18, 31533:9, 31534:15, 31536:9 **puzzling** [1] - 31386:18

Q

Qb[1] - 31372:8 Qc[3] - 31373:2, 31373:6, 31373:11 qualms [1] - 31477:2 quash [1] - 31421:9 Queen's [4] - 31538:1, 31538:3. 31538:14. 31538:20 quest [1] - 31480:21 questioned [3] -31387:11, 31387:18, 31445:16 questioning [1] -31451:11 questions [3] -31393:2, 31417:11 quick [1] - 31417:8 quickly [1] - 31376:16 Quinney[1] - 31531:6 quite [3] - 31517:18, 31524:6, 31533:19 quo [1] - 31461:8

R

radar [5] - 31477:14,

31477:15, 31477:23, 31478:4, 31489:22 raise [1] - 31375:7 raised [12] - 31378:20, 31379:8, 31379:22, 31379:23, 31382:8, 31382:11, 31383:10, 31384:12, 31386:11, 31387:2, 31387:5, 31431:16 raises [1] - 31518:25 raising [2] - 31383:25, 31533:21 ranked [1] - 31438:6 rapes [4] - 31399:23, 31400:23, 31401:1, 31494:2 rapist [1] - 31448:20 rather [3] - 31483:8, 31483:13, 31520:8 Ray[1] - 31531:9 Rcmp[7] - 31373:9, 31386:22, 31389:7, 31490:14, 31491:15, 31522:3, 31525:23 re [5] - 31413:24, 31419:10, 31439:16, 31454:22, 31500:24 re-apply [1] - 31419:10 re-open [2] - 31439:16, 31500:24 re-opened [1] -31454:22 re-opening [1] -31413:24 reaction [2] - 31389:14, 31459:11 read [26] - 31416:7, 31416:25, 31417:9,

31420:17, 31433:25, 31436:4, 31437:14, 31437:18, 31437:19, 31440:25, 31443:24, 31452:8, 31453:23, 31473:18, 31486:13, 31502:8, 31503:19, 31504:1, 31519:4, 31519:9, 31525:19, 31530:17, 31533:6, 31536:2, 31536:4 readily [1] - 31481:19 reading [4] - 31406:6, 31431:6, 31456:13, 31519:3 ready [1] - 31376:20 real [8] - 31382:24,

31386:19, 31389:19,

31399:20, 31409:1,

31474:4, 31502:15,

31517:5

Page 16 realize [5] - 31406:4, 31420:12, 31434:3, 31492:25, 31516:4 realized [2] - 31404:17, 31410:16 realizing [2] - 31416:8, 31495:10 really [20] - 31387:23, 31388:3, 31405:19, 31411:25, 31413:11, 31422:6, 31422:14, 31438:5, 31441:10, 31460:15, 31462:16, 31493:3, 31504:22, 31507:9, 31507:23, 31510:20, 31511:6, 31517:6, 31527:9, 31530:5 reason [16] - 31378:24, 31390:7, 31396:7, 31405:24, 31424:16, 31445:4, 31449:5, 31451:10, 31482:1, 31508:23, 31527:15, 31532:13, 31534:12, 31534:19, 31534:20, 31537:4 reasonable [13] -31415:20, 31418:3, 31418:8, 31418:19, 31419:15, 31420:24, 31421:16, 31438:19, 31442:14, 31451:23, 31452:3, 31480:18, 31484:9 reasonably [4] -31416:1, 31421:7, 31450:19 reasons [8] - 31404:8, 31420:17, 31432:9, 31472:12, 31472:16, 31473:11, 31479:11, 31521:20 recant [1] - 31425:9 recanted [1] - 31464:7 receive [5] - 31381:5, 31418:2, 31444:5, 31502:25, 31521:25 received [15] - 31386:6, 31391:25, 31414:20, 31415:5, 31430:8, 31434:10, 31436:20, 31437:6, 31483:8,

recently [1] - 31507:5 recognized [1] -31494:4 recollection [25] -31382:7, 31387:1, 31390:11, 31391:7, 31447:19, 31491:16, 31491:17, 31492:25, 31506:24, 31507:14, 31509:9, 31509:18, 31511:7, 31511:10, 31511:13, 31512:23, 31518:22, 31520:11, 31520:12, 31520:15, 31525:25, 31529:4, 31529:22, 31530:14, 31530:23 recollections [1] -31500:23 Reconvened[2] -31375:2, 31459:7 record [8] - 31378:18, 31391:10, 31394:17, 31417:24, 31418:15, 31452:20, 31513:23, 31526:13 recorded [1] - 31388:6 **Records**[1] - 31511:25 recourse [1] - 31470:10 rectifying [1] - 31411:4 red [1] - 31519:11 Reference[2] -31452:21, 31452:22 reference [26] -31376:9, 31376:25, 31379:21, 31380:18, 31382:12, 31384:21, 31385:9, 31390:4, 31391:10, 31391:25, 31393:19, 31397:10, 31397:14, 31409:14, 31411:7, 31411:23, 31415:5, 31417:25, 31432:17, 31448:8, 31458:15. 31458:23. 31465:9, 31471:22, 31475:24, 31512:11 referring [4] - 31426:4, 31466:7, 31466:9, 31491:10 reflects [1] - 31378:19 **Reform**[1] - 31521:7 refresh [1] - 31376:9 regard [1] - 31485:5 regarding [1] -31512:18 regardless [1] -31375:19

reintroduction [1] -

31410:13

rejected [1] - 31535:16 related [1] - 31403:8 relates [1] - 31433:19 relating [3] - 31393:19, 31408:13, 31511:23 relation [1] - 31508:9 relationship [1] -31411:11 release [1] - 31465:24 relevant [3] - 31415:1, 31421:5, 31512:8 reliable [1] - 31377:9 reliance [2] - 31470:21, 31486:25 relied [6] - 31392:17, 31394:2, 31396:24, 31397:4, 31408:7, 31528:2 rely [12] - 31379:9, 31392:6, 31392:12, 31393:3, 31394:15, 31395:20, 31396:11, 31408:4, 31487:11, 31487:25, 31489:7, 31498:22 relying [7] - 31395:24, 31484:14, 31486:22, 31522:15, 31522:17, 31533:21, 31534:6 remainder [1] -31473:17 remarkable [1] -31434:17 remedy [20] - 31412:18, 31415:20, 31415:22, 31419:8, 31419:10, 31419:16, 31439:12, 31439:14, 31439:18, 31450:10, 31465:3, 31465:13, 31465:14, 31480:24, 31497:9, 31497:15, 31497:18, 31498:22, 31499:4, 31501:7 remember [60] -31377:5, 31377:9, 31379:23, 31379:25, 31382:13, 31383:20, 31385:1, 31387:7, 31387:14, 31387:20, 31389:16, 31392:21, 31402:13, 31403:2, 31403:10, 31405:4, 31424:1, 31427:6, 31429:4, 31436:5, 31436:6, 31440:22, 31441:3, 31445:18, 31451:4, 31451:11, 31456:13, 31456:23,

31460:17, 31460:19,



31500:9. 31500:10.

31507:5, 31508:1,

31510:7, 31528:24

31483:13, 31525:13

recent [2] - 31378:12,

receiving [2] -

31383:1

31460:22, 31466:15, 31492:21, 31493:10, 31500:1, 31501:5, 31502:1, 31503:23, 31506:8, 31506:9, 31506:10, 31507:20, 31510:15, 31510:18, 31510:22. 31511:1. 31512:7, 31514:5, 31521:9, 31522:18, 31526:12, 31530:24, 31531:17, 31531:21, 31531:22, 31531:25, 31532:1, 31533:9, 31533:11, 31533:25 remembered [2] -31444:4, 31506:11 **remove** [1] - 31440:19 rendered [4] - 31392:2, 31415:12, 31499:20, 31501:2 renew [1] - 31498:18 reopening [1] - 31425:3 repeat [1] - 31396:23 repeats [1] - 31442:8 rephrase [1] - 31427:22 report [19] - 31382:22, 31387:10, 31387:11, 31389:8, 31389:23, 31390:17, 31430:10, 31434:9, 31436:20, 31455:3, 31455:4, 31455:5, 31455:6, 31509:24, 31510:6, 31510:8, 31510:11, 31510:15, 31528:23 reported [1] - 31531:5 Reporter[2] - 31538:14, 31538:20 reporter [1] - 31535:3 Reporters[2] - 31372:8, 31538:3 reporters [2] -31537:16, 31537:17 **Reporters** [1] - 31538:1 reports [4] - 31383:19, 31428:24, 31493:9, 31493:14 representation [1] -31449:21 represented [1] -31449:18 reproach [1] - 31478:20 reproduced [1] -31510:7 request [4] - 31479:7, 31479:19, 31485:22, 31524:1 requested [2] -31510:10, 31529:16

requesting [1] -31480:10 requests [2] - 31479:8, 31488:2 required [2] - 31423:17, 31423:23 respect [11] - 31386:8, 31394:19, 31396:10, 31415:16, 31427:20, 31450:22, 31451:16, 31470:24, 31483:23, 31490:19, 31493:16 respects [2] - 31461:24, 31462:12 responded [1] -31533:1 responding [2] -31533:20, 31534:6 response [4] -31385:18, 31454:23, 31483:16, 31503:19 result [8] - 31383:13, 31390:8, 31390:20, 31423:4, 31425:12, 31462:14, 31463:14,

31537:14 resulted [1] - 31482:15 results [1] - 31378:15 retained [3] - 31425:1, 31425:12, 31508:6 Retired[1] - 31373:14

return [1] - 31450:5 revealed [1] - 31474:8 Reverend[1] - 31430:22 reverse [1] - 31413:3 review [1] - 31480:1

reviewed [2] -31395:12, 31429:19 reviewing [2] - 31433:4, 31509:19

Richard_[1] - 31531:6 riding_[1] - 31411:24 rights_[1] - 31413:23

ring [1] - 31510:25 Risk[1] - 31467:11 road [1] - 31482:10 robbery [1] - 31443:3 Roberts[3] - 31402:20,

31443:10, 31445:14 Roberts [1] - 31402:15 Robinson[7] - 31507:4,

Robinson[7] - 31507:431508:5, 31508:12, 31509:14, 31510:16, 31510:23

rock [1] - 31389:19 rocked [1] - 31435:19 role [1] - 31396:3

Romanow[4] -31490:18, 31515:17, 31516:16, 31523:20

Ron[10] - 31400:1, 31400:10, 31420:7, 31425:13, 31427:5, 31427:21, 31427:23, 31446:25, 31450:13, 31464:7 room [2] - 31415:10, 31450:14 Rossmo[2] - 31430:25, 31431:3 route [1] - 31509:2 Roy[1] - 31515:17 **Rpr**[4] - 31372:9, 31538:2, 31538:18, 31538:19 rule [1] - 31422:16 ruled [3] - 31454:25, 31464:17, 31489:17 ruling [4] - 31439:24, 31441:2, 31447:21, 31449:21

S

run [3] - 31395:13,

31460:20, 31537:13

saliva [2] - 31389:6, 31390:19 sample [2] - 31381:6, 31390:19 **Sandra**[1] - 31372:3 Sask[1] - 31502:23 Saskatchewan [53] -31371:17, 31373:4, 31375:21, 31377:20, 31379:23, 31394:22, 31394:25, 31414:24, 31434:12, 31436:23, 31453:10, 31454:10, 31457:3, 31457:6, 31457:11, 31460:9, 31461:21, 31464:24, 31465:12, 31465:22, 31466:1, 31466:2, 31466:3, 31466:6, 31469:22, 31475:19, 31476:10, 31477:9, 31477:13, 31478:2, 31479:3, 31479:11, 31479:25, 31480:22, 31481:22, 31482:25, 31487:25, 31488:17, 31489:17, 31489:23, 31495:13. 31495:15. 31499:25. 31501:12. 31503:2, 31511:25, 31522:5, 31533:19, 31534:2, 31534:15, 31535:7, 31538:4

Saskatchewan's [2] -31486:10, 31515:14 Saskatoon [2] -31371:17, 31373:7 sat [1] - 31397:18 satisfaction [1] -31419:5 satisfied [7] - 31418:2, 31418:7, 31419:6, 31438:22, 31451:22, 31452:19, 31457:13 satisfy [1] - 31439:19 save [1] - 31432:10 savings [1] - 31482:17 saw [6] - 31436:11, 31454:18, 31463:23, 31475:5, 31496:22, 31511:8 scheduled [1] -31375:14 scheduling [1] -31375:6 scope [9] - 31395:7, 31396:10, 31401:9, 31403:24, 31404:13, 31404:17, 31405:10, 31406:4, 31471:22 screen [4] - 31416:25, 31477:14, 31477:15, 31477:23 scroll [13] - 31417:17, 31418:18. 31429:12. 31431:12. 31450:9. 31450:12, 31456:4, 31469:21, 31479:17, 31482:6, 31488:6, 31519:18, 31529:5 search [1] - 31500:6 Second[1] - 31483:17 second [7] - 31385:19, 31398:20, 31452:19, 31469:25, 31493:8, 31510:2, 31520:2 secondly [4] -

31440:20, 31462:6,

31471:25, 31488:4

31375:13, 31470:7

31377:8, 31378:2,

31378:14, 31378:23,

31379:14, 31379:22,

31380:12, 31381:10,

31381:13, 31381:18,

31382:14, 31382:22,

31384:10, 31385:23,

31386:10, 31387:2,

31382:3, 31382:11,

31383:1, 31384:1,

31384:8, 31384:9,

secretor [33] - 31377:3,

Secondly [2] -

31388:15, 31388:25, 31389:10, 31389:21, 31391:2, 31407:15, 31407:17 section [6] - 31452:12. 31453:1, 31523:24. 31528:7 **Section**[1] - 31465:3 Security [1] - 31372:10 **see** [23] - 31375:12, 31383:3, 31413:7, 31413:10, 31416:7, 31420:12, 31428:1, 31436:7, 31453:17, 31454:17, 31460:10, 31468:16, 31478:14, 31481:17, 31483:24, 31495:20, 31500:6, 31510:1, 31513:16, 31517:5, 31531:1, 31532:19, 31535:22 seeing [2] - 31437:20, 31456:14 seek [5] - 31439:25, 31440:4. 31440:21. 31462:7. 31470:9 seeking [4] - 31422:17, 31430:21, 31454:6, 31475:21 sending [1] - 31534:20 **senior** [1] - 31435:20 sense [8] - 31389:23, 31455:19, 31457:5, 31457:7, 31462:18, 31475:20, 31515:16, 31534:18 sensible [1] - 31509:6 sensitive [1] - 31524:7 sent [4] - 31475:7, 31500:7, 31526:15, 31532:25 sentence [4] -31444:20, 31446:2, 31446:10, 31449:3 September [8] -31503:20, 31514:2, 31520:17, 31522:10, 31532:11, 31532:15, 31533:17, 31534:13 **Serge**[2] - 31373:6, 31528:9 serial [1] - 31448:20 served [2] - 31456:20, 31466:18 **Service**[1] - 31373:7 services [2] - 31425:1, 31482:21

set [7] - 31375:19,

31380:12, 31417:13,

31387:11, 31387:18,



31434:23, 31442:16,
31456:16, 31529:16
sets [1] - 31386:24
setting [2] - 31422:5,
31479:11
several [1] - 31478:19
sexual [1] - 31443:3
shape [2] - 31412:2,
31451:5
shared [1] - 31474:1
shocked [1] - 31436:7
shocking [1] - 31434:25
shorthand [1] - 31538:5
shortly [2] - 31458:23,
31480:5
show [7] - 31389:8,
31416:24, 31417:2,
31420:2, 31532:18,
31533:16, 31535:23
showed [3] - 31399:6,
31480:7, 31537:4
showing [1] - 31460:13
shown [1] - 31383:13
shows [3] - 31382:2,
31466:15, 31526:13
shredder [2] - 31524:4,
31524:12
shutting [2] - 31486:17,
31486:21
sic [1] - 31384:2
sick [1] - 31452:15
side [3] - 31517:21,
31536:24, 31536:25
significance [9] -
31384:24, 31385:5,
31406:12, 31406:16,
31416:4, 31434:3,
31434:7, 31436:10,
31437:21
significant [5] -
_
31415:6, 31462:20, 31465:5, 31500:16,
31515:4
significantly [1] -
31440:18
Sigrid [1] - 31533:11
Simard [1] - 31513:15
similar [3] - 31415:3,
31449:3, 31533:2
similarly [1] - 31427:13
Similarly [1] - 31488:6
simply [3] - 31388:18,
31428:7, 31447:8
sit [3] - 31413:4,
31497:4, 31499:5
sitting [1] - 31371:15
situation [4] - 31396:1,
31488:10, 31510:21,
31529:8
skill [1] - 31538:6

```
Skip[1] - 31425:11
slept [1] - 31415:9
slowed [2] - 31498:10,
31498:11
society [2] - 31410:14,
31411:5
solicited [1] - 31386:8
solid [1] - 31385:7
solitary [1] - 31412:3
someone [17] -
31387:5, 31426:17,
31433:10, 31476:4,
31476:9, 31493:25,
31494:20, 31504:25,
31509:6, 31516:25,
31517:1, 31517:2,
31518:3, 31518:10,
31523:3, 31524:25,
31531:23
Sometimes [1] -
31412:3
sometimes [2] -
31412:25, 31518:1
somewhat [4] -
31386:13, 31412:20,
31472:12, 31473:11
somewhere [1] -
31492:19
son [27] - 31380:14,
31380:16, 31384:25,
31386:1, 31389:5,
31390:21, 31391:15,
31393:6, 31393:11,
31394:21, 31395:4,
31395:10, 31395:19,
31396:5, 31396:10,
31397:5, 31399:1,
31399:8, 31407:16,
31411:23, 31438:4,
31441:11, 31459:17,
31475:1, 31490:3,
31517:3, 31517:19
son's [2] - 31385:11,
31403.8
soon [1] - 31443:24
sooner [1] - 31532:14
sophistication [1] -
31382:1
sorry [15] - 31384:9,
31395:13, 31413:13,
31423:20, 31431:21,
31437:12, 31442:6,
31469:21, 31481:5,
31500:14, 31507:2,
31513:22, 31526:8,
31531:16
Sorry[1] - 31402:5
sort [11] - 31409:9,
31428:12, 31437:19,
```

31440:8, 31451:9,

Page 18 31459:15, 31459:23, 31460:6, 31482:4, 31502:5, 31504:23 Sort[1] - 31524:24 **sounds** [4] - 31448:13, 31461:6, 31478:24, 31480.18 source [2] - 31406:23, 31527:2 **sources** [1] - 31414:22 speaking [1] - 31422:15 **specific** [3] - 31422:9, 31512:11, 31512:18 specifically [2] -31402:12, 31484:6 speculate [1] -31447:13 speculating [1] -31528:25 speculative [1] -31512:20 **spelled** [1] - 31502:6 spent [1] - 31482:12 spoken [2] - 31410:12, 31507:7 spokesperson [1] -31393:10 sporadic [1] - 31432:18 **spot** [3] - 31459:5, 31504:22, 31537:18 Staff[2] - 31372:1, 31372:6 stage [2] - 31495:25, 31496:2 **stamped** [1] - 31500:6 stand [2] - 31385:7, 31435:16 standard [2] - 31484:9, 31484:10 standing [2] -31411:10, 31490:2 stands [1] - 31511:6 Stanley[1] - 31411:8 Starphoenix [1] -31535:3 start [6] - 31375:11, 31384:20, 31397:12, 31401:5, 31407:5, 31411:3 started [12] - 31399:18, 31399:21, 31405:9, 31405:12, 31406:2, 31406:4, 31406:6, 31406:7, 31408:24, 31434:11, 31436:21, 31460:6

state [7] - 31408:14, 31408:19, 31409:3, 31411:16, 31413:10, 31413:13, 31521:15 Statement [1] - 31520:1 statement [19] -31400:2, 31415:15, 31431:19, 31431:22, 31431:25, 31435:24, 31442:21, 31443:24, 31444:10, 31520:2, 31520:6, 31520:7, 31520:8, 31521:5, 31523:9, 31523:10, 31525:9, 31526:15, 31532:7 statements [5] -31394:1, 31420:13, 31435:5, 31483:20, 31516:15 stating [2] - 31442:18, 31466:19 status [18] - 31377:8, 31378:2, 31378:14, 31378:23, 31379:14, 31379:22. 31382:11. 31383:1, 31385:24, 31386:20, 31387:12, 31387:18, 31388:15, 31389:1, 31391:2, 31407:16, 31410:23, 31461:8 stay [6] - 31457:11, 31466:9, 31466:23, 31469:23, 31474:12, 31523:24 step [4] - 31437:20, 31442:3 steps [4] - 31378:22, 31494:4, 31518:7, 31528:16 stevely [1] - 31373:4 still [6] - 31402:2, 31419:15, 31453:12, 31464:12, 31485:9, 31495:20 stop [1] - 31498:10 stopped [1] - 31518:18 stories [1] - 31537:12 story [3] - 31519:1, 31519:16, 31535:13 **street** [1] - 31511:8 strictest [1] - 31507:11 strong [4] - 31414:11, 31515:1, 31517:1, 31517:20 **struck** [1] - 31434:16 stuff [2] - 31433:8, 31448:18 subject [1] - 31444:24

submissions [3] -31375:22, 31376:1, 31391:25 submit [2] - 31388:16, 31428:2 submitted [1] -31386:14 subsequent [2] -31490:14, 31509:16 subsequently [2] -31378:5, 31490:12 substance [2] -31508:7, 31508:13 Substantiated [1] -31494:9 substantiated [1] -31494:10 suddenly [4] -31502:15, 31504:25, 31512:7, 31517:4 suffice [2] - 31456:7, 31458:12 sufficiently [1] -31439:5 suggest [3] - 31392:22, 31399:1, 31470:17 suggested [1] -31529:9 suggesting [5] -31400:1, 31431:7, 31474:2, 31493:9, 31535:4 suggestion [1] -31400:19 suggests [2] -31513:23, 31513:24 summarize [1] -31488:15 summarizing [1] -31526:1 summary [4] -31399:14, 31433:20, 31433:21, 31436:15 summer [2] - 31534:1, 31536:22 supplied [2] -31510:10, 31519:25 Support[1] - 31372:6 **support** [2] - 31386:15, 31533:15 supported [2] -31412:19, 31436:16 supporters [1] -31532:25 supporting [1] -31495:19 **suppose** [1] - 31381:5 Supreme [136] -31376:8, 31376:13, 31377:24, 31379:21,



starting [1] - 31401:20

startled [1] - 31434:1

starts [2] - 31375:18,

31407:25

31372:11

telephone [4] - 31388:1, 31425:14,

31425:15, 31426:3

31382:17, 31384:20, 31385:9, 31388:3, 31390:25, 31391:1, 31391:9, 31391:15, 31394:2, 31394:6, 31395:6, 31395:16, 31395:24, 31396:5, 31396:9. 31397:9. 31397:13, 31397:17, 31398:7, 31398:11, 31398:22, 31401:9, 31402:6, 31404:8, 31404:13, 31404:20, 31405:10, 31405:22, 31406:20, 31407:5, 31407:24, 31418:13, 31421:12, 31422:5, 31422:16, 31423:25, 31429:9, 31429:23, 31431:4, 31431:11, 31432:3, 31432:11, 31432:16, 31437:23, 31438:6, 31438:18, 31439:9, 31439:17, 31439:24, 31440:15, 31445:15, 31446:3, 31446:19, 31447:2, 31451:5, 31455:6, 31455:16, 31457:22, 31459:10, 31460:5, 31461:12, 31461:13, 31461:24, 31462:8, 31462:12, 31462:18, 31463:9, 31464:1, 31464:3, 31464:20, 31465:1, 31466:14, 31466:17, 31466:24, 31467:20, 31468:12, 31468:18, 31469:4, 31469:10, 31470:2, 31470:14, 31470:19, 31470:21, 31471:5, 31471:16, 31471:25, 31475:6, 31475:7, 31475:16, 31475:24, 31476:12, 31477:6, 31477:22, 31478:3, 31478:10, 31478:16, 31479:1, 31483:25, 31484:14, 31484:20, 31486:8, 31486:22, 31487:4, 31487:11, 31487:15, 31488:1, 31488:9, 31488:18, 31488:23, 31489:12, 31497:17, 31497:18, 31497:19, 31497:22, 31498:6, 31498:9, 31499:18, 31499:23, 31500:3, 31500:11, 31501:2, 31501:6,

31501:8, 31501:19, 31533:3, 31533:7, 31533:22, 31534:7, 31536:13, 31536:17, 31537:10, 31537:15 surfaced [1] - 31399:18 surprise [3] - 31384:16, 31384:18, 31386:13 surprised 151 -31382:10, 31382:25, 31383:3, 31383:21, 31409:20 **suspected** [1] - 31515:2 suspicion [3] -31494:10, 31494:13, 31494:14 suspicions [5] -31502:13, 31502:15, 31512:21, 31516:3, 31528:18 suspicious [1] -31504:6 swear [1] - 31462:25 switch [1] - 31478:1 system [2] - 31411:1, 31502:23

Т

table [1] - 31497:5 talks [10] - 31377:17, 31407:5, 31407:25, 31436:19, 31450:13, 31479:14, 31507:4, 31511:16, 31520:13, 31530:19 Tallis[11] - 31373:13, 31429:17, 31429:25, 31430:4, 31430:15, 31448:11, 31448:19, 31458:14, 31458:21, 31459:2, 31531:11 **Tallis** [1] - 31428:16 tape [1] - 31388:6 tape-recorded [1] -31388:6 taped [1] - 31427:17 tapes [3] - 31393:20, 31393:25, 31394:20 target [2] - 31489:22, 31498:19 targeted [1] - 31435:18 tax [1] - 31488:14 Tcu[1] - 31371:16 **Tdr**[1] - 31373:5 technical [1] - 31457:22 technically [1] -31393:8 Technician[1] -

tendency [1] - 31389:22 tendered [1] - 31407:18 tendering [1] - 31432:4 tent [1] - 31482:4 term [3] - 31465:25, 31466:19, 31496:14 terms [2] - 31388:24, 31402:15 terrible [2] - 31405:15, 31416:9 terrific [1] - 31525:20 Terry[1] - 31378:8 test [19] - 31378:4, 31379:1, 31379:9, 31380:12, 31381:20, 31381:22, 31381:23, 31381:25, 31383:5, 31383:8, 31386:21, 31390:8, 31390:20, 31391:24, 31392:3, 31421:3, 31423:6, 31442:8, 31442:16 tested [6] - 31378:13, 31384:6, 31385:23, 31386:1, 31388:25, 31389:7 testified [11] -31376:18, 31390:23, 31390:24, 31391:1, 31408:13, 31430:24, 31445:15, 31447:2, 31458:25, 31463:1, 31484:1 testify [21] - 31390:5, 31390:8, 31390:14, 31402:20, 31407:12, 31408:17, 31408:24, 31409:2, 31409:11, 31409:22, 31424:2, 31424:3, 31424:4, 31424:13, 31424:23, 31430:23, 31431:1, 31431:3, 31431:15, 31467:23, 31485:13 testifying [6] -31375:11, 31408:15, 31424:21, 31446:23, 31446:25, 31447:1 testimony [3] -31458:13, 31463:19, 31493:6 Testimony[1] -31371:14 testing [3] - 31382:2, 31383:11, 31383:15 tests [10] - 31378:16,

31386:16, 31388:17, 31388:19, 31389:9, 31416:2, 31417:14, 31420:4, 31422:5, 31423:1 thanking [1] - 31478:6 theory [4] - 31435:6, 31435:8, 31435:21, 31436:14 there'd [1] - 31433:11 thereby [1] - 31524:10 therefore [9] -31378:11, 31417:18, 31423:5, 31438:25, 31439:11, 31453:25, 31463:17, 31487:15, 31489:6 Theyve [1] - 31458:5 thinking [3] - 31389:20, 31402:15, 31509:3 third [2] - 31421:2, 31439:22 thirdly [1] - 31415:22 thoroughly [2] -31395:25, 31488:10 thoughts [1] - 31519:22 thousand [1] -31434:15 thousands [2] -31437:15, 31496:1 threats [1] - 31402:18 three [9] - 31410:20. 31432:18. 31438:3. 31438:5, 31440:8, 31440:18, 31480:8, 31480:15, 31535:10 throwing [1] - 31405:1 Thursday[1] - 31472:8 timely [1] - 31478:15 timing [1] - 31434:25 today [2] - 31388:8, 31388:10 today's [1] - 31468:7 todays [1] - 31382:2 Toews[1] - 31373:12 together [4] - 31450:16, 31490:20, 31494:3, 31525:2 **Tommy**[1] - 31521:8 tomorrow [4] -31375:15, 31375:19, 31375:22, 31375:24 took [10] - 31437:21, 31446:18, 31452:8, 31452:14, 31453:5, 31475:25, 31487:2, 31487:10, 31494:4, 31528:16 top [3] - 31415:15, 31417:17, 31453:22

topics [3] - 31511:23, 31512:4, 31512:9 totally [3] - 31396:19, 31398:4, 31485:22 touch [1] - 31460:8 touched [4] - 31408:2, 31408:12, 31414:14, 31433:19 towards [1] - 31482:25 tracers [1] - 31425:12 Transcript[2] -31371:12, 31375:1 transcript [6] -31391:11, 31394:1, 31426:18, 31427:17, 31427:18, 31428:6 transcription [1] -31538:5 transcripts [4] -31393:21, 31394:20, 31426:7, 31426:8 travelled [1] - 31482:10 travelling [1] -31533:14 trial [47] - 31399:17, 31400:11, 31420:1, 31420:25, 31421:10, 31421:19, 31421:22, 31421:23, 31442:19, 31444:16, 31446:4, 31450:2, 31451:7, 31456:16, 31457:7, 31457:14, 31457:15, 31460:11, 31460:12, 31460:14, 31462:10, 31466:11, 31467:2, 31467:8, 31467:15, 31467:17, 31467:19, 31467:21, 31468:1, 31468:14, 31472:10, 31472:16, 31472:19, 31473:1, 31473:3, 31473:9, 31473:20, 31474:11, 31474:16, 31475:3. 31475:5. 31475:8, 31475:9, 31483:19, 31485:15, 31495:22, 31501:15 tried [3] - 31460:18, 31513:21, 31517:19 trigger [1] - 31530:3 triggers [1] - 31530:5 troubled [3] - 31442:22, 31456:12, 31458:25 troublesome [2] -31472:12, 31473:11 troubling [1] - 31441:17 true [10] - 31492:18, 31514:6, 31514:18, 31514:19, 31516:17,



31524:17

31519:7, 31523:14, 31525:25, 31527:19, 31538:5 truth [12] - 31474:8, 31490:22, 31491:5. 31495:14. 31504:9. 31504:11. 31517:21. 31517:25, 31518:2, 31518:6, 31518:15 truthful [1] - 31493:1 try [7] - 31375:16, 31403:22, 31469:3, 31484:23, 31484:25, 31514:9, 31534:1 trying [22] - 31396:7, 31403:1, 31413:8, 31414:25, 31424:15, 31427:7, 31441:14, 31448:24, 31457:20, 31460:4, 31460:6, 31474:21, 31481:1, 31482:4, 31483:9, 31492:1, 31492:24, 31506:7, 31509:1, 31537:8, 31537:17 turn [2] - 31416:13, 31526:18 turned [4] - 31382:18, 31479:7, 31482:21, 31501:15 twenty [1] - 31410:20 twenty-three [1] -31410:20 two [22] - 31387:8, 31389:7, 31398:13, 31399:7, 31407:24, 31425:23, 31438:12, 31440:8, 31440:18, 31459:21, 31466:23, 31471:21, 31479:23, 31481:15, 31482:22, 31488:1, 31515:3, 31525:1, 31526:14, 31527:16, 31527:18, 31532:4 type [5] - 31402:23, 31409:18, 31414:3, 31500:15, 31505:9

U

ultimate [2] - 31392:11, 31393:1 ultimately [5] -31396:25, 31397:4, 31421:13, 31423:2, 31466:6 unable [1] - 31512:17

unclear [1] - 31520:14

undergo [2] - 31475:3, 31475:5 understandably [1] -31410:25 understood [3] -31403:16, 31431:14, 31476:3 undertook [1] -31386:16 underwent [1] -31410:3 undo [3] - 31469:3, 31470:1, 31470:4 Unfortunately [1] -31410:24 unless [3] - 31509:3, 31521:25, 31532:16 unreasonable [1] -31485:23 unreliable [1] - 31378:6 unstable [1] - 31464:8 **up** [59] - 31376:7, 31376:25, 31379:21, 31380:5, 31380:12, 31383:22, 31385:4, 31390:13, 31393:18, 31402:10, 31405:1, 31407:7, 31411:25, 31412:6, 31416:5, 31416:6, 31417:17, 31437:23, 31440:24, 31441:7, 31441:9, 31444:20, 31448:21, 31450:12, 31457:21, 31459:9, 31460:22, 31463:4, 31469:21, 31472:3, 31473:5, 31475:7, 31475:16, 31476:12, 31477:3, 31478:9, 31491:19, 31493:23, 31494:5, 31494:18, 31494:24, 31495:16, 31497:1,

31497:4, 31499:5,

31503:21, 31508:23,

31519:13, 31521:12,

31524:19, 31527:11,

31527:13, 31528:16,

31529:16, 31531:3,

31531:13, 31531:17,

31532:24, 31534:22

upset [2] - 31440:25,

V

vague [3] - 31512:9,

31530:23, 31530:25

Up[1] - 31476:25

31459:12

wagon [1] - 31516:8 waited [1] - 31435:12 waiting [2] - 31441:12, 31460:10 wall [2] - 31396:18 wall-to-wall [1] -31396:18 wandered [1] - 31456:2 wants [1] - 31410:21 war [1] - 31415:10 waste [1] - 31435:14 week [4] - 31375:9, 31375:11, 31411:14,

vaguely [1] - 31522:18 valuable [3] - 31389:24, 31407:19, 31432:2 value [1] - 31383:16 valued [1] - 31528:12 Vancouver [1] -31533:13 various [10] - 31391:11, 31391:18, 31411:5, 31441:18, 31481:8, 31493:9, 31493:14, 31529:7, 31529:9, 31537:13 venue [1] - 31458:3 verdict [5] - 31416:1, 31421:8, 31421:19, 31450:5, 31450:20 verified [2] - 31384:6, 31522:13 verify [6] - 31514:10, 31516:19, 31518:7, 31522:4, 31527:17 verifying [1] - 31507:9 Vic[1] - 31373:12 victim [1] - 31470:16 victims [3] - 31535:8, 31535:21, 31536:6 view [11] - 31426:12, 31442:18, 31450:11, 31450:16. 31455:16. 31455:18, 31471:19, 31474:1. 31474:10. 31486:24, 31493:15 views [4] - 31447:4, 31472:20, 31475:2, 31521:4 vindicated [1] -31495:20 violated [1] - 31412:20 visit [1] - 31412:1 volume [2] - 31415:6, 31448:9

W

Volume[1] - 31371:22

weeks [7] - 31384:20, 31385:9, 31387:8, 31425:21, 31438:1, 31481:15, 31535:11 weight [2] - 31519:6, 31521:18 whatsoever [1] -31386:16 What's [1] - 31535:5 whereas [1] - 31465:1 whereby [1] - 31487:5 white [1] - 31436:12 whitewashing [1] -31462:17 whole [8] - 31385:20, 31386:5, 31433:5, 31435:21, 31453:18, 31464:7, 31488:10, 31527:10 Williams[2] - 31375:10, 31446:25 willing [1] - 31431:15 Wilson[12] - 31373:6, 31400:10, 31420:7, 31425:13, 31426:14, 31427:21, 31427:23, 31443:12, 31445:15, 31450:13, 31464:7, 31485:7 Wilson's [2] - 31400:1, 31427:5 wise [1] - 31380:24 wish [2] - 31411:21, 31470:23 wished [2] - 31439:23, 31475:2 withholding [1] -31482:11 witness [9] - 31390:12, 31392:20, 31411:15, 31423:25, 31424:6, 31424:17, 31435:16, 31499:22, 31527:6 witnesses [20] -31392:16, 31392:18, 31393:1, 31407:6, 31408:1, 31408:9, 31425:7, 31431:8, 31431:9, 31431:11, 31435:1, 31436:17, 31443:5, 31445:17, 31445:24, 31448:1, 31466:13, 31473:12, 31485:15 Wolbaum[4] -31525:11, 31525:16,

31525:21, 31526:6

31377:2, 31377:25,

Wolch[62] - 31373:2,

31384:14, 31391:17, 31395:20, 31401:12, 31406:21, 31407:9, 31407:23, 31408:6, 31408:15, 31410:2, 31411:18, 31412:22, 31414:2, 31414:15, 31429:14. 31429:22. 31430:12, 31432:25, 31445:16, 31447:20, 31449:4, 31468:22, 31471:9, 31471:13, 31472:3, 31472:7, 31472:14, 31472:20, 31473:18, 31474:18, 31474:20, 31477:19, 31478:6, 31480:8, 31481:20, 31483:12, 31485:22, 31486:2, 31486:5, 31490:9, 31499:9, 31499:13, 31499:21, 31500:8, 31500:21, 31501:10, 31501:24. 31503:16. 31505:2, 31505:12, 31505:23, 31508:16, 31513:1, 31526:15, 31527:1, 31529:15, 31529:24, 31533:2, 31533:8 Wolch's [1] - 31481:7 Wollbaum[7] -31526:19, 31527:2, 31527:6, 31527:8, 31527:16, 31527:24 Wollbaum's [1] -31526:11 women [1] - 31496:1 wonderful [1] -31460:15 wondering [2] -31445:21, 31513:20 word [5] - 31393:10, 31461:23, 31490:1, 31496:15, 31496:18 words [23] - 31394:15, 31394:24, 31401:14, 31401:16, 31404:22, 31405:22, 31421:15, 31440:9, 31440:16, 31454:9, 31459:25, 31463:16, 31479:6, 31481:1, 31489:23, 31496:20, 31498:2, 31498:24, 31514:24, 31516:1, 31518:9, 31527:5, 31536:17 workers [3] - 31512:22,

31513:7, 31513:10

worse [5] - 31462:13,



31462:14, 31462:18, 31464:1, 31471:5 worth [1] - 31530:1 wow [1] - 31525:19 write [1] - 31433:23 writes [2] - 31477:20, 31529:5 writing [2] - 31483:12, 31532:23 writings [1] - 31533:12 written [1] - 31479:10 wrong-doing [1] -31521:12 wrongdoing [4] -31404:23, 31480:10, 31488:8, 31490:19 Wrongful[1] - 31371:3 wrongful [2] -31399:17, 31440:1 wrongfully [3] -31436:17, 31440:4, 31454:11 wrongly [3] - 31399:2, 31399:16, 31399:20 wrongs [1] - 31399:25 wrote [4] - 31468:22, 31471:9, 31483:7,

Υ

31486:2

Yannow[1] - 31535:2
Yaren[3] - 31411:8,
31411:12, 31411:14
years [12] - 31383:2,
31403:22, 31410:6,
31410:20, 31429:20,
31435:12, 31456:2,
31466:13, 31512:1,
31512:11, 31516:6,
31516:25
young [2] - 31401:3,
31435:4
Young[1] - 31425:1
yup [1] - 31496:15

Z

zeal [1] - 31521:13

