

Commission of Inquiry
Into the Wrongful
Conviction of David Milgaard
before
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE
EDWARD P. MacCALLUM

Transcript of Proceedings
and
Testimony before the Commission
sitting at the
TCU Place at
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

On Wednesday, June 28th, 2006

Volume 171

Inquiry Proceedings



Commission Staff:

Mr. Douglas C. Hodson, Commission Counsel
Ms. Candace D. Congram, Executive Director
Ms. Sandra Boswell, Document Manager
Ms. Cheryl Ellerman, Document Assistant

Support Staff:

Ms. Irene Beitel, Clerk to the Commission
Ms. Karen Hinz, CSR, and Official Q.B. Court Reporters
Mr. Don Meyer, RPR, CSR,
Mr. Hugh Esson, Security Officer
Mr. Jay Boechler, Inland Audio Technician



Appearances :

Mr. Hersh Wolch, Q.C., **for** Mr. David Milgaard
Ms. Joanne McLean, **for** Ms. Joyce Milgaard
Ms. Lana Krogan-Stevely, **for** Government of Saskatchewan
Ms. Catherine Knox, **for** Mr. T.D.R. (Bobs) Caldwell
Mr. Garrett Wilson, Q.C., **for** Mr. Serge Kujawa
Mr. Rick Elson, Esq., **for** the Saskatoon Police Service
Mr. Aaron Fox, Q.C., **for** Mr. Eddie Karst
Mr. Bruce Gibson, Esq. and Ms. Rochelle Wempe,
 for the RCMP
Mr. David Frayer, Q.C., **for** Minister of Justice
 (Canada), The Hon. Vic Toews
Mr. Marshall Hopkins, Esq., **for** Justice Calvin Tallis
 (Retired)



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

DESCRIPTION:

PAGE:

MURRAY JOHN SAWATSKY, CONTINUED

- BY MR. HODSON

35271



Transcript of Proceedings

(Reconvened at 9:04 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good morning.

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.

MURRAY JOHN SAWATSKY, continued:

BY MR. HODSON:

09:04 10 Q Good morning, Mr. Sawatsky. Yesterday you told
11 us, you talked about how you would handle evidence
12 and information respecting the guilt or innocence
13 of David Milgaard, Larry Fisher, or anyone else
14 for that matter, with respect to Gail Miller,
15 correct, and you went through how that impacted on
16 your criminal investigation; correct?

17 A That's correct.

09:04 18 Q Can you tell us, if you would have come up with
19 information that, or evidence that would have
20 provided the basis for a criminal charge against
21 someone, be it Mr. Fisher or Mr. Milgaard or
22 anybody, can you tell us, given your mandate, what
23 would you have done with that?

09:05 24 A We would have referred that information back
25 through Alberta Justice for investigation by
26 whichever police service would have jurisdiction.
27 For example, if we uncovered evidence of an
28 offence that occurred within the City of



1 Saskatoon, we would have referred that back
2 through Mr. Fraser and Mr. McCrank probably with a
3 recommendation that this be passed on to Saskatoon
4 Police Service for investigation and they would
09:05 5 have worked with Saskatchewan Justice to have that
6 done.

7 Q And do I take it from that answer that -- let's
8 talk about the murder of Gail Miller, that the
9 Saskatoon City Police force would be the force
09:05 10 that would have the jurisdiction to investigate
11 that murder; is that correct?

12 A That's correct, and that was the direction that I
13 gave to the investigative team, was that anything
14 like that would be referred back to Alberta
09:05 15 Justice who would then deal with it.

16 Q And so can we take it from that, that although you
17 were gathering information with respect to Gail
18 Miller's murder, that you weren't formally
19 investigating the murder of Gail Miller to
09:06 20 identify a culprit with the view of laying
21 charges?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And just on this jurisdiction bit, because I think
24 we see in 1997 after the DNA results come out,
09:06 25 that a decision was made by, I believe, the



1 Saskatchewan Justice and/or the Saskatoon City
2 Police, that due to a number of circumstances, it
3 would be appropriate that the RCMP investigate Mr.
4 Fisher for the crime as opposed to the Saskatoon
09:06 5 City Police?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q And so am I correct that although the Saskatoon
8 City Police has jurisdiction, in some cases
9 municipal police forces will cede their
09:06 10 jurisdiction to the RCMP and the RCMP will come in
11 and do it?

12 A That's correct, and that's what happened in that
13 case.

14 Q And so back in your case, if you found information
09:06 15 that you thought might give rise to a charge, you
16 go back to Alberta Justice, say here, here's some
17 information, we think it's the basis for a charge
18 in the murder of Gail Miller, we suggest you give
19 this either to Saskatchewan -- or to Saskatchewan
09:07 20 Justice so that the appropriate police authority
21 can investigate?

22 A That's exactly the way it would be.

23 Q And so can we conclude from that that you would
24 not have been in a position to lay a charge absent
09:07 25 going through the authorities and having someone



1 come back and say okay, we would now like you to
2 re-open the investigation into Gail Miller's death
3 and do what you think is appropriate?

4 A That's correct.

09:07 5 Q If you can call up 067343, please. Yesterday we
6 went through, we finished up going through the
7 interview with Mr. Wolch, Mr. Rodin and Bob Bruce
8 and I think that interview date was November 26th
9 if I'm not mistaken. Let me check. November
09:08 10 26th, 1992. There was a tape, a transcript, and
11 this is a document December 9, '92. Can you tell
12 us what this document is, and I'll be going
13 through it, parts of it with you, it's about a six
14 page document if you want me to go through it.
09:08 15 Are you familiar with this document?

16 A I am, and this is a document that I would have
17 prepared probably, you know, very soon after the
18 meeting with Mr. Wolch and just tried to highlight
19 most of the issues that had been covered during
09:08 20 that meeting with Mr. Wolch, and later on of
21 course, once a transcript was made of the
22 interview, then that transcript would be reviewed
23 in its entirety and any issues that I may have
24 missed in preparing this document would have been
09:08 25 added, so this document, I tried to make it as



1 comprehensive as possible, but it may not contain
2 every single thing that came out during that
3 meeting.

09:09 4 Q If we look at the Flicker report, if I can call it
5 that, your investigation report that you
6 ultimately prepared as a result of your
7 investigation, it would appear that the, at least
8 the issues and allegations in the Flicker report
9 are very similar to what's put forward in this
09:09 10 memo; is that correct?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And so would this be the starting point of
13 identifying the issues and allegations you are
14 investigating?

09:09 15 A Yes, this would be the starting point.

16 Q And a couple of comments here, you said the
17 meeting with Mr. Wolch, I think six or seven
18 hours. Does that sound right? I think the tapes
19 reflect that, in that time frame. So it was a
09:09 20 lengthy meeting?

21 A Yes, it was a very lengthy meeting.

22 Q And then it appears that you identified the
23 cover-up issues sort of by virtue of three
24 different targets, the police, the prosecutor and
09:09 25 the Attorney General's Department; is that right,



1 the three areas?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And I believe if we look at the report, which we
4 will later, that that is essentially your focus,
09:09 5 looking at the extent to which any of these three
6 groups of people may have been involved in
7 criminal conduct?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q And the Saskatoon Police Department I think you
09:10 10 told us, is it fair to say that every police
11 officer was fair game in your investigation as far
12 as a possible suspect in criminal obstruction?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And the Crown counsel's office, I think
09:10 15 principally Mr. Caldwell, that would be the focus
16 of that investigation; correct?

17 A Correct.

18 Q And then the Saskatchewan Attorney General's
19 Department, you've got Mr. Kujawa, but this would
09:10 20 include Mr. Romanow, Mr. Lysyk and others that we
21 identified yesterday?

22 A Yes, it would.

23 Q And then I think in addition you told us as well
24 Mr. Tallis, Mr. Blakeney, Mr. Hnatyshyn and a few
09:10 25 other people were brought in too as possibly being



1 involved in criminal conduct and they would be
2 part of one of these three cover-up areas; is that
3 right?

4 A That's correct.

09:10 5 Q We then go down, if we scroll down to the bottom,
6 we see these issues, numbered issues, and I think,
7 I don't want to go to the report right now, but if
8 you compare them to the issues -- I think in the
9 Flicker report there's 68 issues or allegations
09:11 10 that you investigated; correct?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And I believe these are fairly similar, or if not
13 identical, from what's in this document to what
14 ends up in the report. Do you agree with that?

09:11 15 A Yes, I do.

16 Q And if we can go to the next page, just touch on a
17 couple of these here. Under issue 11, I think
18 what's suggested from your meeting with Mr. Wolch
19 is:

09:11 20 "That when Wilson and John are
21 reinterviewed, they will say Saskatoon
22 Police Department told them what to say
23 in their statement and at trial. (I
24 don't know how much credibility either
09:11 25 one will have at this late date and



1 after the circumstances of their court
2 appearances)."

3 Can you explain that issue and where it came from
4 and your comment?

09:12 5 A Yeah, that's just my own thoughts and feelings on
6 that, but having known that both of them were
7 questioned extensively, had both gone through a
8 court process and that there had been a
9 recantation on the part of Wilson and I knew that
09:12 10 John had suffered sort of an adult lifetime of
11 some emotional problems, I guess I was just sort
12 of trying to suggest that we may have a
13 credibility issue with both of them.

14 Q Okay. And is the issue stated, and I believe this
09:12 15 came out of the interview with Mr. Wolch, and am I
16 correct that what was put forward by him is that
17 lookit, when you go and re-interview Wilson and
18 John, what they will or should tell you is that
19 the police told them what to say at trial; is that
09:12 20 correct?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And your comment here, I think you are telling us,
23 is given what they -- I take it you knew at this
24 time that both Wilson and John had testified at
09:12 25 the Supreme Court?



1 A I did.

2 Q And would a consideration be that if they were
3 going to say that to you, they likely would have
4 said it at the Supreme Court, or previously?

09:13 5 A That's possible, yes.

6 Q And then again, paragraph here:

7 "That someone in the Saskatoon Police
8 Department destroyed several of the
9 files dealing with the Fisher
09:13 10 investigations of rape."

11 Can you recall, what was the basis of that
12 allegation, what was the support for that?

13 A I think that stemmed back to missing files as
14 well. I believe Mr. Wolch had given us a document
09:13 15 that he, a partial report that he had that he said
16 was not in the Saskatoon Police Department files
17 at this time, I guess indicating that somewhere
18 this had been located, but it wasn't currently
19 housed within the Saskatoon police files.

09:13 20 Q And so was that -- sorry, would that be the
21 confidential informant, was that the source then
22 of that?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Go to the next page, it appears that we have -- so
09:14 25 19, and if we can call them police issues I guess,



1 those are the first 19, is that correct, and I
2 think those maybe got amended and supplemented a
3 bit later; is that right?

4 A That's right.

09:14 5 Q And then next:

6 "Mr. Wolch alleges the Crown counsel is
7 involved in this cover up, in
8 particular..."

9 And then you go on, and so the first allegation
09:14 10 is:

11 "The Crown had available all police
12 facts and files and was well aware that
13 the police saw similarities between the
14 rapes and the murder of Gail Miller."

09:14 15 And I take it -- I think you told us yesterday
16 one issue was to find out whether or not, or what
17 Mr. Caldwell had on his file from the police?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q And Mr. Bruce and Mr. Wolch said he had everything
09:14 20 the police had and, therefore, given that we know
21 what the police had, he should have or did connect
22 the rapes to the murder and committed misconduct
23 by not disclosing that to Mr. Tallis or covering
24 it up?

09:15 25 A That's correct.



1 Q And then as well, and again this is I guess the
2 same point, the suggestion that material from Mr.
3 Caldwell's file had been destroyed, and did you
4 take that to be deliberate destruction by way of
09:15 5 misconduct?

6 A Yes, I think that was the allegation, but
7 certainly something we would look into and make a
8 determination upon.

9 Q Go to the next page. We talked a bit about this
09:15 10 yesterday, this Mackie summary, and I think the
11 theory that Mr. Wolch put forward was the cansay
12 or the Mackie summary was used to tell Wilson and
13 John to give false evidence; in other words, this
14 is the story the police wanted, they put it on
09:15 15 paper, they then went out and got Wilson and John
16 to adopt it?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q Falsely?

19 A That's correct.

09:15 20 Q And would that have been -- and again, it's maybe
21 a tough question to -- I'd like your comment on
22 how much time, with respect to your investigation,
23 did you focus on that allegation? Are you able to
24 -- I'm not looking for percentages because I don't
09:16 25 think that's a fair question, but would that have



1 been let's use the word "significant"; would the
2 pursuit of whether or not the Mackie summary was
3 part of an effort by the police to improperly
4 influence the evidence of Wilson and John, would
09:16 5 that have been a significant part of your team's
6 investigative efforts?

7 A Yes, it would have been. I recall there was quite
8 a bit of time dedicated to that particular point,
9 a number of interviews, document reviews, file
09:16 10 reviews, etcetera, so that was a significant point
11 in our investigation.

12 Q And I think we see, from some of the documents
13 we've already seen, efforts made to try and
14 determine who authored the document, when they
09:16 15 authored the document; those were matters that
16 were pursued?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And to what extent that document, the Mackie
19 summary, was used in the interviews of Wilson
09:16 20 and/or John and who knew about it?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And then I think -- and I'll take you through this
23 in some detail later -- I think your investigators
24 sat down and analysed what they said both before
09:17 25 and after the Mackie summary in the statements to



1 see if the Mackie summary was, in fact, a
2 prediction of what turned out in the statements?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q There is the comment here, in number 9, about the
09:17 5 disclosure. It states that:

6 "... the Supreme Court stated disclosure
7 for trial purposes was adequate, but the
8 ...",

9 Supreme Court:

09:17 10 "... did not address matters of
11 disclosure outside of the trial
12 setting."

13 What was your understanding of what disclosure
14 issues were still in play in light of what the
09:17 15 Supreme Court of Canada said?

16 A I think at that point I wasn't sure, so that was
17 something we needed to look into, but I think Mr.
18 Wolch was suggesting that, although the Supreme
19 Court had addressed what was disclosed for trial
09:17 20 purposes, that there was other matters that Mr.
21 Wolch was suggesting would have impacted had they
22 been disclosed, and I'm assuming things like what
23 knowledge they had about what else was occurring
24 in the City of Saskatoon at the time.

09:18 25 Q Okay. And I guess what I am trying to understand



1 is, outside of trial setting, I'm not sure I
2 understand what --

3 A My understanding, the trial setting would have
4 been the first 1969 trial and what was disclosed
09:18 5 at that time.

6 Q And so was it your understanding that Mr. Wolch
7 was saying, lookit, even though the police and
8 Crown had information at the time of trial that
9 was not disclosed, even though the Supreme Court
09:18 10 said disclosure was adequate for the time, that
11 somehow that was not dealt with by the Court? I'm
12 trying to get what you understood?

13 A What I understood I think, Mr. Hodson, is that Mr.
14 Wolch was suggesting that there were other
09:18 15 documents that although they were not, maybe not
16 disclosed at trial, because the disclosure would
17 have been the police file, that there was other
18 things happening at the time that, had they been
19 provided or been disclosed, would have made a
09:19 20 difference.

21 Q Okay. Let me try this. Was it a case of that the
22 rules at the time of disclosure may have been met,
23 but the standard was pretty low, and the Crown had
24 information or the police had information that
09:19 25 they deliberately withheld, that even though it



1 wasn't a breach in the eyes of the Supreme Court
2 it was still criminal conduct, and therefore you
3 could look at it; does that --

4 A Yes, yes.

09:19 5 Q Okay. So ultimately, on the disclosure issue, did
6 you pursue that to look at all aspects of that?

7 A Yes, we did.

8 Q And then when we see -- at the end of the day, I
9 believe, the Supreme Court decision and what they
09:19 10 decided was a factor in your conclusions; is that
11 right?

12 A That's right.

13 Q But it didn't, I think you told us yesterday -- or
14 asked -- did it preclude you from making any
09:19 15 inquiries about disclosure-related issues?

16 A No, it did not.

17 Q We then go down to the set of allegations relating
18 to the Attorney General's Department and the
19 suggestion that both of these files were on the
09:20 20 chief prosecutor's desk, Mr. Kujawa. And I -- we
21 have seen this allegation in a number of different
22 forms, that Mr. Kujawa had this -- both files on
23 his desk at the same time. And did you take that
24 as to be literally or was it the sense of, lookit,
09:20 25 he was handling, he was in charge of both files,



1 or was it more specific, that lookit, if you went
2 into his office on a given day you would have the
3 Milgaard file there, and either on top or beside
4 it the Fisher file, in other words they were both
09:20 5 literally on his desk, or did you view that as
6 being a figure of speech that, lookit, he was
7 handling them both?

8 A Yes, I didn't view that as -- I viewed it a bit
9 more broadly than that they were both on his desk
09:21 10 at the same time.

11 Q So, sorry, you didn't think that -- the fact that
12 he had them both at the same time, that's what you
13 took it to be, as opposed to that they were
14 physically staring him in the eyes on his desk on
09:21 15 a given day or days?

16 A Correct. I think I took into that that Mr. Wolch
17 was saying he ought to have known, he ought to
18 have made a connection.

19 Q Now Mr. Breckenridge certainly said they were both
09:21 20 in his office at the same time because he said he
21 delivered them there?

22 A Correct.

23 Q So I guess the broader, your broader view, and as
24 well, although the Breckenridge allegations were
09:21 25 not discussed in detail in your meeting with Mr.



1 Wolch, I take it that notwithstanding that Mr.
2 Wolch said it wasn't significant and didn't touch
3 upon it in the interview, that was the original
4 complaint in his letter to the minister and a
09:21 5 press conference, so that information would form
6 part of the issues and allegations you pursued?

7 A That's correct. I think it would be safe to say
8 that we would wish to pursue further than just the
9 fact as to whether or not they were physically
09:22 10 laying on his desk at the same time, our
11 investigators would have taken it further than
12 that.

13 Q And the next page. And I don't think I touched on
14 this yesterday, just at the top, I went through
09:22 15 the scenario, I think the allegation in general
16 terms about what the police and Crown did with the
17 Fisher information and the direct indictment; I
18 think another component of that was the delay,
19 that they deliberately delayed Fisher's
09:22 20 proceedings until after David Milgaard's appeals
21 had expired, and that that was part of the
22 coverup; correct?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q So in addition to what I said was the delay. If
09:22 25 we could then scroll down, in paragraph 5 you say:



1 "As you can see, Mr. Wolch has been
2 thorough in this review. He freely
3 admits he did not have complete access
4 to material and some of his
09:22 5 documentation is excerpts from files
6 which may be out of context. However,
7 when viewed these documents cause
8 questions that must be addressed, even
9 though it is possible some of them are
09:23 10 out of context."

11 Can you elaborate on, or tell us what prompted
12 you to make that remark?

13 A Well, first off, I'm sure Mr. Wolch would have
14 advised me of that while we were there. But,
09:23 15 secondly, I wanted to ensure that investigators
16 knew that they probably would have to do some
17 digging and look for documentation that supported
18 what we already had, and that they would have to
19 try and put things in context.

09:23 20 Q And then paragraph 6:

21 "The above position shows Mr. Wolch is
22 maintaining Saskatoon Police Department
23 and the Crown Prosecutor, along with the
24 Attorney General's Department, are
09:23 25 responsible for obstruction of justice,



1 and that the conviction of David
2 Milgaard could have been questioned as a
3 result of this evidence and
4 information."

09:23 5 Can you elaborate on that?

6 A Yes. I think what I am suggesting there to the
7 investigators as a guide for the investigation is
8 it would be up to us to try and investigate this
9 as thoroughly as possible so that we could either
09:24 10 provide some substance to Mr. Wolch's claim or
11 show it not to be valid.

12 Q Would it be correct to say, Mr. Sawatsky, that
13 regardless of whether or not David Milgaard was
14 guilty or innocent of the murder of Gail Miller,
09:24 15 there still could be obstruction of justice,
16 criminal obstruction of justice by police, Crown,
17 and the Attorney General's office?

18 A Yes, I believe there could.

19 Q And so in other words, if he was convicted and had
09:24 20 committed the crime, there still could be the
21 basis to say that police and Crown obstructed
22 justice?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And would you also agree that in the second
09:24 25 scenario, at the time, if you would have assumed



1 that David Milgaard was innocent back at this
2 time, that he could have been convicted for a
3 crime that he did not commit without there being
4 criminal obstruction of justice?

09:25 5 A Yes, there could.

6 Q And so, in other words, that it didn't necessarily
7 follow that a wrongful conviction meant that there
8 was criminal obstruction of justice?

9 A Correct.

09:25 10 Q And is then the converse, from your perspective,
11 in finding evidence of a criminal obstruction of
12 justice, that may or may not solve the question of
13 whether or not, at the time, David Milgaard was
14 guilty or innocent of the crime?

09:25 15 A That's correct.

16 Q And I think it goes without saying at this time,
17 Mr. Sawatsky -- and we'll deal with this later --
18 but there was no DNA results at this time, at the
19 time of your investigation?

09:25 20 A No, there was not.

21 Q And if the DNA result had been known, that were
22 found out in 1997 had been known in 1992, would
23 that have been information that may have affected
24 the manner in which you investigated this matter?

09:25 25 A It's possible, however, we would have still



1 pursued each and every one of these leads to the
2 very end because the allegation of obstruction of
3 justice was still out there and still alive.

4 **Q** Go down to paragraph 7. You describe your
09:26 5 meeting:

6 "During our meeting with Mr. Wolch, he
7 was very open and discussed freely the
8 investigation undertaken on behalf of
9 David Milgaard. He stated the Milgaard
09:26 10 family was fully prepared to cooperate
11 and that if the investigators wished,
12 David Milgaard was prepared to be
13 interviewed and would voluntarily
14 provide any evidence or samples
09:26 15 requested by the investigators. He
16 stated we would be provided with the
17 full details of the investigation
18 undertaken by Centurion Ministries and
19 that we would be provided access to any
09:26 20 material that would support his
21 position. Mr. Wolch provided us with
22 seven or eight volumes of material
23 containing newspaper clippings, file
24 excerpts, and investigational notes.
09:26 25 Much of what Mr. Wolch claims simply is



1 not substantiated and is speculative.
2 However, each concern will be
3 investigated as thoroughly as possible.
4 Due to the length of time since this
09:27 5 original occurrence and the
6 unreliability of witnesses, our best
7 evidence is in the form of documents and
8 reports."

9 I'm wondering if you can just comment on that
09:27 10 remark there about:

11 "Much of what Mr. Wolch claims simply is
12 not substantiated and is speculative."

13 A Yeah. Mr. Wolch certainly was open, as I've
14 indicated, and there were items that he passed to
09:27 15 us and said, "lookit, I'm not sure what value this
16 will be to you but I believe it's something you
17 should certainly explore as part of your
18 investigation", so I think what I was trying to
19 suggest to the investigators there was they would
09:27 20 have to sort of look into those as -- in as much
21 detail as they could, get as much supporting
22 documentation as possible. However, as I said,
23 Mr. Wolch, although he maintained a very firm
24 position on some points, on others he would say
09:27 25 simply "I give this to you and you make what you



1 can of it".

2 Q Okay. And, when you say "it is not
3 substantiated", what would you consider to be
4 substan --

09:28 5 A Well, substantiated at that time that it was
6 provided. It could be that our investigation
7 would substantiate it one way or the other but, at
8 that time, he was suggesting that it's not
9 necessarily -- may not be evidence of anything.

09:28 10 Q Okay. Let me just back up though. I think is
11 what you are saying is a number of allegations of
12 criminal wrongdoing were made by Mr. Wolch,
13 correct, --

14 A Correct.

09:28 15 Q -- that you were going to investigate? And when
16 you say that "much of what he claimed simply is
17 not substantiated" are you saying that he made the
18 allegation but he did not provide the evidence to
19 substantiate the allegation?

09:28 20 A That's correct.

21 Q And do you recall, were there any allegations that
22 you felt he substantiated at the time, in other
23 words that evidence was provided to say "here is
24 the allegation and here is the evidence that
09:28 25 substantiates it"?



1 A There was information he provided where he
2 provided stronger documentation to support that,
3 or stronger information, than others. I don't
4 know that, at the time, that anything was
09:29 5 substantiated to the degree where one could
6 suggest charges should be laid.

7 Q Okay. And would that be your task, then, to go
8 out and review the allegation, and not only what
9 he put forward in support of his suggestion that
09:29 10 the allegations were substantiated, but find out
11 whatever else you could to try and substantiate
12 the allegation or eliminate it as an allegation;
13 is that fair?

14 A That's fair.

09:29 15 Q You then, I think, go down and talk about a bit of
16 an action plan, Investigation:

17 "Our first step will be to fully review
18 the entire Milgaard file. The Fisher
19 files will be examined fully, along with
09:29 20 Saskatchewan Justice's own files which
21 pertain to any aspect of this
22 investigation including policy on
23 disclosures, 1970 to date."

24 Next page:

09:29 25 "After this review, the investigators



1 will focus on addressing the allegations
2 raised by Mr. Wolch and any other areas
3 of concern which surface during this
4 investigation."

09:30 5 "The investigators' intend to start from
6 the year 1969 and follow the events
7 through to the current date. This will
8 involve the interview of witnesses to
9 the Fisher and Milgaard cases. As well,
09:30 10 it will also involve the interview of
11 employees of Saskatoon Police
12 Department, the Crown Counsel office,
13 and Saskatchewan Justice. Any suspect
14 will also be interviewed."

09:30 15 So would that set forth the plan that you had put
16 in place?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And would that -- it appears, and you touched on
19 this yesterday, that that involved the interview
09:30 20 of witnesses to the Fisher and Milgaard cases, and
21 am I correct to take from that that sort of
22 anybody and everybody who had anything that might
23 be relevant to Gail Miller's murder, David
24 Milgaard's guilt or innocence, Larry Fisher's
09:30 25 guilt or innocence, were on your radar screen?



1 A That's correct.

2 Q Paragraph 12:

3 "If this investigation fails to reveal
4 any evidence of criminal behaviour but
5 shows an indication of misconduct, this
6 will be brought to the attention of the
7 Attorney General for the Province of
8 Alberta."

9 And I think you confirmed that yesterday, that
10 even if it fell short of criminal misconduct, if
11 it was misconduct, you would alert the Attorney
12 General of Alberta for that to see what, if any,
13 steps would be taken with respect to that; is
14 that correct?

15 A That's correct.

16 Q When you use the term "misconduct" can you give us
17 some examples of what would be misconduct that
18 would fall short of criminal wrongdoing?

19 A Well I think some of the allegations out there
20 were that perhaps, even though the activities or
21 actions of some persons may fall short of
22 criminal, they could be professional breaches of
23 ethics or whatever, and it would be those types of
24 areas that we would probe and then provide the
25 details to Alberta Justice so that they could make



1 a decision as to whether or not some professional
2 follow-up should happen with regards to conduct.

3 Q And so you talk -- let's talk about the police for
4 a moment. Would you -- what would be some
09:32 5 examples of misconduct in the conduct of police
6 that would be something that you think you would
7 report to the Alberta Justice Department in
8 accordance with what you have set out here?

9 A Well, certainly, if the investigation had shown
09:32 10 that the police were guilty of misconduct, for
11 example failed to discharge their duties properly
12 or falsified a report or something like that,
13 those are breaches of conduct that could be
14 referred for further investigation.

09:32 15 Q So aggressive questioning of witnesses, would that
16 be something that might be misconduct, or --

17 A It's something that could be investigated and then
18 a determination made.

19 Q So 'improper', I'm just trying to get a handle on
09:32 20 what's, what you were putting in place as to what
21 you looked for. Would a proper way to say it
22 would be for misconduct that, with respect to the
23 police, that it would be conduct that would be
24 viewed as inappropriate for their profession?

09:33 25 A Correct.



1 Q And, with respect to the lawyers involved, conduct
2 that would be considered unethical or didn't meet
3 the standards of their profession?

4 A Correct.

09:33 5 Q What about, what about mistakes? If, in the
6 course of your investigation, you found that,
7 lookit, that things were not done maybe as well as
8 they could have with hindsight, so in other words
9 that your group of people were experienced
09:33 10 investigators, when you go back and say, okay,
11 back in 1969 here's how they coordinated these
12 interviews or did these interviews, we think that
13 they weren't done as good as they could have been,
14 would that have been something that you would
09:33 15 report on or consider?

16 A Yes, and I certainly see that something like that
17 could possibly form, you know, the basis of a
18 recommendation at the end of a report, something
19 that would certainly be discussed with our legal
09:34 20 advisors to ensure -- or to determine whether or
21 not there's any way that any culpability could be
22 attached to it. But certainly, if it's a mistake
23 like you are talking about, we would certainly
24 want to accent that in our report.

09:34 25 Q And so even though -- and let's just back up a



1 bit -- even though the end objective is to try and
2 determine whether or not there's any criminal
3 wrongdoing or any misconduct, as you've described,
4 are you telling us that you would review
09:34 5 everybody's conduct because you don't know, until
6 you reach the conclusion, where and to what extent
7 it might fit into more serious breaches; is that
8 correct?

9 A That's correct.

09:34 10 Q So that if you found, for example, ten cases of
11 negligent work by investigators, you would
12 highlight that in your report, because that might
13 be part of a bigger picture to be considered by
14 Mr. Fraser and Mr. McCrank; is that correct?

09:35 15 A That's correct.

16 Q And so can we take it from that, Mr. Sawatsky,
17 that even though your investigation was a criminal
18 investigation, that it would have, and did, call
19 upon you and your people to examine the conduct of
09:35 20 the Saskatoon police force and the manner in which
21 they conducted their investigation in every
22 respect?

23 A Correct.

24 Q And similarly, with the Crown authorities as well,
09:35 25 that their conduct would be reviewed not only for



1 the criminal or misconduct standard, but anything.
2 If you felt that lookit, I don't think, or we
3 don't think they did this right for the following
4 reason, put it in your report, and then either at
09:35 5 the end either you or others figure out where that
6 fits in?

7 A Correct.

8 Q And then what about the other people involved?

9 And let me take a couple of groups, the witnesses,
09:35 10 the lay witnesses, Mr. Wilson, Ms. John, Mr.
11 Cadrain; would you also take a look at their role
12 in this and say okay, what do we make of what they
13 say, in other words did they maybe have some role
14 in this, in wrongdoing?

09:36 15 A Yes.

16 Q And so, again, you would look at their conduct and
17 whether what they did may have been part of
18 criminal wrongdoing or misconduct?

19 A That's correct.

09:36 20 Q And then what about, apart from that, what about
21 other people who had investigated the matter,
22 namely Mr. McCloskey, Mr. Henderson, I think back
23 in the early '80s Mrs. Milgaard, those things;
24 again, would you look at what effect, if any,
09:36 25 their role in interviewing witnesses and gathering



1 information may have had in the mix?

2 A Yes, I recall that we did look at that.

3 Q And so am I correct that everybody who kind of
4 touched this matter would be fair game for you
09:36 5 people to say what was their conduct, do we think
6 their conduct was right, wrong, was it misconduct,
7 was it criminal, was it part of a bigger picture,
8 sort of everything would be fair game for you to
9 report on?

09:37 10 A Correct.

11 Q And you did, in fact, do so?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Your memo also talked about, I think there is a
14 part in there -- but let me ask you this. Was
09:37 15 your -- did you have concerns about the fact that
16 in 1992-1993 you are going back and trying to get
17 people's recollection of what may have happened in
18 '69-'70-'71, that time frame? Can you tell us a
19 bit about how your team dealt with that and
09:37 20 whether that was a challenge or a concern in your
21 investigation, namely you are asking people to
22 remember events from many years earlier, --

23 A Undoubtedly --

24 Q -- as I'm doing right now?

09:38 25 A Exactly. Undoubtedly, it was a challenge, because



1 of the time that had passed, the number of times
2 that witnesses had been interviewed or contacted
3 by other people. Certainly the opportunity was
4 there for their memories to be, you know, tainted
09:38 5 or certainly influenced, so the length of time was
6 definitely difficult.

7 On one side we were able to
8 gather, you know, there was a lot of file material
9 and stuff with regards to David Milgaard, so we
09:38 10 certainly had the benefit of a lot of
11 documentation. On the other side, when you looked
12 at Mr. Fisher, there was very limit documentation
13 and very little material that we could refer to.

14 Q And so would it be correct to say that the
09:38 15 documents or the record, if I can call it that,
16 the documents that existed, in order words where
17 they recorded people's recollections back in
18 '69-'70, those document were relied upon by your
19 investigators?

09:38 20 A Very much so, yes.

21 Q And we've heard the comment in this Commission
22 many, many times that people's memory is likely
23 better at the time of the event and, if it's
24 recorded properly at the time of the event, that
09:39 25 may be the best record of what happened, as



1 opposed to what someone tries to remember later
2 on. And would that have been a principle that,
3 generally, your people adhered to?

4 A Yes.

09:39 5 Q And let's -- we talked a bit about this yesterday,
6 you mentioned it again, the fact that there was
7 not much there from '69-'70 about Larry Fisher;
8 correct?

9 A That's correct.

09:39 10 Q And there was for David Milgaard, and would it be
11 fair to say that that may be -- how do I put this.
12 If you are looking at Larry Fisher versus David
13 Milgaard in 1993, versus what were they doing in
14 '69-'70, you had far more information to form a
09:39 15 case against David Milgaard than you did against
16 Larry Fisher?

17 A That would be correct.

18 Q And, for example, Larry Fisher's work records,
19 we've heard evidence of that, whether he was at
09:40 20 work that morning, whether people observed him at
21 the time. I take it that in 1970, '69-'70, if you
22 or another police force investigated Larry Fisher
23 to gather information to determine whether or not
24 he was responsible for Gail Miller's murder, there
09:40 25 was a whole host of steps they could have and



1 would have taken; correct?

2 A Correct.

3 Q In other words, they would have talked to Linda
4 Fisher at the time, they would have talked to the
09:40 5 Cadrains at the time, they would have talked to
6 friends, employers, they would have gathered
7 information with respect to him, the same way the
8 police did with respect to Mr. Milgaard?

9 A That's correct.

09:40 10 Q And so in 1992, 1993, is it correct to say that,
11 in going back, you had maybe a disproportionate
12 amount of historical evidence on Mr. Milgaard than
13 you did on Mr. Fisher, but just due to the fact
14 that he had not been investigated 20 years
09:41 15 earlier?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q And I think we heard this from Mr. Pearson in his
18 evidence that, I think essentially what he said,
19 to try and link Larry Fisher to Gail Miller's
09:41 20 murder in 1990 when he was doing it became a real
21 challenge. Although he was a suspect, to actually
22 link him to the murder, the types of evidence that
23 were available in 1990 to try and establish that
24 link were not as good as they would have been in
09:41 25 1970 and '69 if he had been tried then. Would you



1 agree with that?

2 A Yes, I think that's a fair assessment.

3 Q And I think Mr. Pearson ended up saying but for

4 DNA, that the avenues of investigation were

09:41 5 exhausted and there really wasn't much more that

6 could be obtained in part because of the fact they

7 are doing it 20 years later to try and link Mr.

8 Fisher to Gail Miller. Would you agree with that

9 statement?

09:42 10 A I would agree with that.

11 Q And so I take it, though, that in your

12 investigation, that's what you had and that's what

13 you are faced with and you couldn't change that;

14 is that fair?

09:42 15 A That's fair.

16 Q If we can go to 038264, and these appear to be the

17 minutes I think of a December 14th, 1992 meeting

18 with your team, or at least part of your team; is

19 that right?

09:42 20 A Yes, that's correct.

21 Q And we'll just go through parts of these, but can

22 you just give us a general idea -- you told us

23 that you were in constant, or frequent contact

24 with Mr. McCrank and Mr. Fraser and others and it

09:42 25 appears that there was also some meetings where



1 you physically got together, had a bit of an
2 agenda and went through matters; is that right?

3 A That's correct. Mr. Fraser and McCrank came to
4 Saskatchewan a number of times, I believe myself
09:43 5 and a couple of the investigators went to Alberta
6 once, possibly twice, and Mr. McCrank and Fraser
7 visited the crime scene here in Saskatoon, in one
8 of the trips to Saskatoon, plus the investigators
9 contacted them on a number of occasions around,
09:43 10 you know, legal issues, and we were in touch with
11 them fairly frequently just to provide verbal
12 updates in some cases and in other cases written
13 updates of the investigation as it progressed.

14 Q What -- are you able to tell us, as between
09:43 15 Mr. McCrank and Mr. Fraser, Mr. McCrank was the
16 Deputy Attorney General, Mr. Fraser was the Chief
17 Crown Prosecutor. What role did each of them
18 play, were they playing similar roles or did one
19 take a different role than the other?

09:43 20 A I think generally Mr. Fraser was contacted on, you
21 know, a point of law when it came to procedures or
22 legal issues, when it came to sort of every day,
23 day-to-day police work, knowing that he certainly,
24 you know, lived in that world daily. Mr. McCrank,
09:44 25 you know, I know he was involved by Fraser to the



1 extent he felt appropriate on some decisions, but
2 I think decisions around procedure and dealing
3 with senior officials, generally they were
4 referred to Mr. McCrank and operational issues
09:44 5 were generally referred to Mr. Fraser.

6 Q Okay. And then a couple of points here, the
7 minutes, and these would be minutes more or less
8 or a summary of the meeting prepared by you or
9 somebody?

09:44 10 A A summary of the meeting, that's correct.

11 Q And here, confirmation from Mr. Cotter to carry on
12 with the broadest investigation required, and then
13 here:

14 "During the course of Insp. Sawatsky
09:44 15 outlining the concerns expressed by
16 Hersh Wolch "et al". The following
17 areas of concern have to be addressed or
18 answered if at all possible to put the
19 actual investigation into perspective."

09:44 20 And then there's I think 29 questions or flags
21 there. Can you tell us what and how these came
22 about?

23 A These probably came about as a result of
24 discussions we had, we discussed the information
09:45 25 that had been provided by Mr. Wolch and any other



1 issues that would have arisen, and then from that,
2 certain areas were determined to be needed to be
3 followed up upon, and in this document, this
4 document attempts to capture all of those.

09:45 5 Q Would this be you reciting what you learned from
6 Mr. Wolch? Would it be correct to say that your
7 previous memo that we went through with the
8 issues, that's December 9, '92, would have been
9 circulated to these people?

09:45 10 A In advance I would imagine, and then we would have
11 sat down as a group and sort of discussed those
12 and said as a result of this discussion, here are
13 areas that we need to clarify or do some work on.

14 Q And would this be brainstorming and here's a bunch
09:46 15 of -- the group, here's some questions that need
16 to be followed up on, would that be --

17 A This is exactly what that would have been.

18 Q And I take it at this time, December 14, 1992,
19 would you and Sergeant Williams still be on the
09:46 20 learning curve as far as trying to digest the vast
21 amount of information that was out there with
22 respect to the trial, the 690, the Supreme Court
23 matters, etcetera?

24 A Certainly, yes.

09:46 25 Q And so some of these questions might be ones that



1 were answered in the materials, but you hadn't got
2 there yet?

3 A Correct.

4 Q I just want to touch on a couple of these:

09:46 5 "Exhibits - semen (blood) swabs from
6 Gail Miller.

7 Where are they?

8 Are they still suitable?

9 Or even available for forensic
09:46 10 analysis?"

11 Do you recall, would this have been a DNA related
12 inquiry, was that something that was discussed at
13 this stage do you think?

14 A I assume that's what that was.

09:47 15 Q And then at the bottom we see:

16 "Attempt to interview Winnipeg ...
17 officers who arrested Fisher..."

18 To get these details. Go to the next page:

19 "Did in fact Nicole John actually see
09:47 20 David Milgaard stab Gail Miller.

21 Obviously John, Ronald Wilson and Albert
22 Cadrain, have to be re-interviewed."

23 Can you elaborate on that at all, where that came
24 from and why that was brought up?

09:47 25 A Yeah, I think, you know, for a number of reasons



1 they needed to be re-interviewed just to try and
2 get as much information from them as they had to
3 offer, but certainly that would, re-interviewing
4 them would provide evidence that could be of
09:47 5 assistance to the investigation.

6 Q And I take it how Nichol John interacted with the
7 police would be a matter of interest?

8 A Yes, it certainly would.

9 Q And then paragraph 8:

09:48 10 "Attempt to verify the author of the
11 so-called documents which is alleged to
12 have outlined what the various witnesses
13 would be prepared to say - prior to any
14 of them being interviewed."

09:48 15 That's the Mackie summary?

16 A I believe that's Mackie's summary, yes.

17 Q And:

18 "Obviously Wolch placed a great amount
19 of emphasis on this document and its
09:48 20 origin/author would greatly assist this
21 investigation one way or another."

22 And was that accurate, that this was a document
23 that Mr. Wolch had placed a great deal of
24 emphasis on?

09:48 25 A Yes, yes, it was.



1 Q As part of the allegation of wrongdoing?

2 A Correct.

3 Q Go to the next page, you mention here:

4 "Obtain if possible the complete file on
09:48 5 the investigation completed by the
6 Centurion Ministries. This may be
7 available through Hersh Wolch."

8 Why was it important to get that file?

9 A Well, we had been informed that there was material
09:48 10 in that file that could be helpful to our
11 investigation, so certainly we wanted to get as
12 much as possible of that so that we could review
13 it and make a determination as to its value to our
14 investigation.

09:49 15 Q And what was your understanding at the time as to
16 who Centurion Ministries were and what they had
17 done?

18 A My understanding is that they were an organization
19 that had been contacted by Mrs. Milgaard and they
09:49 20 were assisting her with trying to show that
21 there's evidence there that David Milgaard was
22 innocent and that they had done a considerable
23 amount of investigation and had material that
24 could be available to us that would assist us in
09:49 25 our investigation.



1 Q And so that to the extent that these people had
2 interaction with the key witnesses, you were
3 looking for that information?

4 A That's correct.

09:49 5 Q And, for example, let's take -- would you have
6 been aware at this time that it was Mr. Henderson
7 that interviewed Ron Wilson and obtained the
8 statement of June 4th, 1990?

9 A I'm not sure if I was aware at this time, but I
09:49 10 certainly was aware very early in the
11 investigation that that had happened.

12 Q And so can you tell us, what would be the
13 importance or significance of gathering
14 information with respect to Mr. Henderson's
09:50 15 dealings with Mr. Wilson?

16 A It would be important to know exactly what Mr.
17 Wilson had said to Mr. Henderson, the
18 circumstances under which the information was
19 obtained, what the actions of both, the
09:50 20 interviewer and the interviewee, were at the time.

21 Q Would it be correct to say, Mr. Sawatsky, if we --
22 let's just go back to the police investigation and
23 their dealings with Ron Wilson, and I think we
24 have seen in the documents that, and you may have
09:50 25 told us as well, that you would want to go and



1 probe and find out, to the extent you could, what
2 the interaction was between Saskatoon police
3 members and Ron Wilson?

4 A That's correct.

09:50 5 Q And Mr. Caldwell's interaction with Ron Wilson --

6 A Correct.

7 Q -- during the course of trial. So in other words,
8 find out everything you can as to what was said
9 and how Mr. Wilson was treated and what he said
09:50 10 and what the police said during the course of
11 their interactions during the investigation and
12 trial?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And that would shed some light on, I suppose, Mr.
09:51 15 Wilson's credibility of his evidence at trial
16 perhaps?

17 A Perhaps, yes.

18 Q And whether or not the police treated him
19 improperly or influenced his evidence?

09:51 20 A Yes.

21 Q And we then go ahead post conviction. Would you
22 also be interested then in who talked to Mr.
23 Wilson after that in the same way as you would the
24 '69/'70?

09:51 25 A Yes.



1 Q So in other words, let's talk about Mr. Henderson,
2 are you telling us that you would make the same
3 inquiries about the Henderson/Wilson interaction
4 as you would the '69/'70 police and prosecutor
09:51 5 interaction with Wilson?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q And would it be for the same purpose, to try and
8 figure out what happened and --

9 A Yes.

09:51 10 Q Go to the next page, you talk about a walk-through
11 of the crime scene. Did your people, or some of
12 them or all of them do that?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And can you tell us why and what purpose that
09:52 15 served?

16 A It certainly is of assistance when you are
17 reviewing file material and file reports if you
18 have a good understanding of the crime scene so
19 that you can put things into perspective. I think
09:52 20 visiting the crime scene is probably one of the
21 most important things when you are investigating,
22 or certainly reinvestigating, that you can do, and
23 I know both Mr. McCrank and Fraser were very
24 interested in seeing it for themselves so they
09:52 25 could do exactly the same thing.



1 Q And so who do you know went and visited the crime
2 scene?

3 A Well, I know that McCrank, Fraser, myself, I
4 believe Mr. Dozenberger and Lee Tost were present
09:52 5 when we, when us as a group went, but I'm
6 satisfied that all of the investigators at some
7 point in time during the investigation would have
8 gone to the crime scene and taken a look.

9 Q And would you have been aware, either at this time
09:52 10 or in the course of your investigation, about the
11 suggestions by the Milgaard group that it's not
12 possible David Milgaard could have committed the
13 crime as alleged at trial? In other words, due to
14 where the car was supposed to be, where the body
09:53 15 was and a whole host of reasons, that it just
16 couldn't have happened or was not possible to have
17 happened, would you have been aware of that type
18 of allegation?

19 A Yes.

09:53 20 Q And we did hear evidence from I think Mr. Rossmo
21 and Mr. Boyd, one or both of them, who sort of
22 indicated after reading the transcripts and
23 attending the scene, that their view was that
24 after having gone there they concluded that, I
09:53 25 think their words were to the effect that lookit,



1 we don't think he could have committed the crime
2 based upon the evidence at trial and just visiting
3 the scene, saying it just doesn't fit. Did you or
4 any of the people with you when you visited the
09:53 5 scene have that conclusion?

6 A No.

7 Q Can you tell us what conclusions, if any, you took
8 out of our visit to the crime scene? Was there
9 anything that stood out as being remarkable that
09:54 10 informed you about what may have happened January
11 31, 1969?

12 A I think the benefit for me of visiting the crime
13 scene was more the ability to put things into
14 perspective and to understand possibly better the
09:54 15 initial reports, the crime scene reports,
16 etcetera, so it certainly allowed me to put things
17 into perspective. I know we did a considerable
18 amount of work on the theories that were put
19 forward and we were never able to sort of make a
09:54 20 sound determination that we felt we could say that
21 the murder couldn't have happened the way everyone
22 believed it did. There was a lot of questions
23 certainly that come from the crime scene because,
24 you know, there's a lot about what happened there
09:54 25 that we will never know, but I don't recall at any



1 time that any of the investigators doubted what
2 happened or what was alleged to have happened at
3 trial.

4 Q Okay. So that it wasn't a case of having an
09:55 5 epiphany there saying it now doesn't fit or
6 anything like that?

7 A No.

8 Q Did it go the other way and say, okay, now that
9 I've seen it, I can now understand the
09:55 10 incriminating evidence better?

11 A Yes, for me I think it did.

12 Q And just on this impossibility argument, can you
13 tell us, was that something that was, that you
14 became aware of, this argument that based on the
09:55 15 evidence at trial, I think it was Mr. Asper who
16 put this forward perhaps earlier, that lookit,
17 David Milgaard could not possibly have committed
18 the crime?

19 A I was aware of that, but I didn't feel there was
09:55 20 any sound basis for that.

21 Q And why not?

22 A Because the evidence put forward at trial was
23 consistent in my view with the crime scene and
24 consistent with the fact that David Milgaard could
09:55 25 have committed this crime.



1 Q Okay. And what about the fact that the jury
2 concluded that at the time, did that factor into
3 your decision?

4 A I don't think so, but I guess it's hard to sort of
09:56 5 say that, you know, the number of reviews and
6 appeals that had happened, certainly that's in the
7 back of your mind, but I don't think so. I think
8 I formed my own conclusions.

9 Q And if you had reached the other conclusion, in
09:56 10 other words, going out there and saying lookit,
11 now that I've looked at this I, as an
12 investigator, have trouble seeing how the evidence
13 at trial could have happened, there must be
14 something wrong with that, is that something that
09:56 15 you would have then pursued?

16 A Certainly.

17 Q In other words, that -- and I think going in, the
18 allegation is lookit, what Wilson and John said at
19 trial is a lie, what Cadrain said is a lie and a
09:56 20 number of other things. If you would have gone to
21 the scene and looked at it based on the evidence
22 at trial, if something would have jumped out
23 saying you know what, how could this have
24 happened, you know, Ron Wilson says they got stuck
09:56 25 here and they went here, now that I'm here that



1 doesn't ring true, I take it that would be a
2 factor in your investigation, saying you know
3 what, some of his evidence at trial doesn't make
4 sense when looked at later?

09:57 5 A Correct, and that's something we would have
6 pursued as far as we could and then certainly
7 reported on it when we were finished.

8 Q And what about the flip side, that if you got
9 there and said, okay, well, even though Nichol
09:57 10 John and Ron Wilson had sort of different evidence
11 about exactly where, what's at the crime scene
12 could be consistent and could fit with what their
13 evidence was at trial, that I suppose would
14 counter the impossibility allegation?

09:57 15 A Correct.

16 Q Go to 060939, please. This is a letter December
17 15, 1992 from Chief Superintendent Egan to Chief
18 Maguire. Would this be protocol; in other words,
19 as opposed to you writing to the chief of police
09:58 20 in Saskatoon, your chief would write to their
21 chief? Am I reading that right?

22 A Yes. Yes, certainly the first piece of
23 correspondence, it's more appropriate that it come
24 from where it did.

09:58 25 Q And so here we are December 15th confirming that:



1 "...Inspector Sawatsky and Sergeant
2 Williams are being tasked with
3 investigating the allegation that there
4 was criminal wrongdoing on the part of
09:58 5 Saskatchewan Justice and the police
6 during the initial Milgaard
7 investigation."

8 So it appears here that your superior is telling
9 the chief of police in Saskatoon your police
09:58 10 force is under criminal investigation; is that a
11 correct read of that?

12 A Correct.

13 Q And:

14 "At the request of the Saskatchewan
09:58 15 Minister of Justice, Mr. Neil McCrank,
16 Deputy Attorney General for the Province
17 of Alberta, and Mr. Bruce Fraser ...
18 have agreed to provide consultation..."

19 Etcetera.

09:58 20 "It is the intention of the
21 investigators to interview serving and
22 retired members of the Saskatoon Police
23 Service who may have information which
24 will assist. It would be most
09:59 25 appreciated if you are able to designate



1 someone within your department who will
2 serve as the contact person with our
3 investigators."

4 And can you just maybe shed a bit of light on
09:59 5 that? What was the purpose of this and what were
6 you trying to achieve?

7 A What we were trying to achieve here was a way to
8 get the information we required from Saskatoon
9 Police Service through a contact within the police
09:59 10 service and that person would then be responsible
11 for assisting us with getting file material,
12 contacting members who may, we may require to be
13 interviewed.

14 Q Now, would it be unusual, or comment on this,
09:59 15 about the target of a criminal investigation, to
16 go to them and say lookit, we're investigating you
17 for criminal wrongdoing, please appoint someone to
18 assist us and provide us all of this information?

19 A Sorry, your question?

09:59 20 Q Well, let me put it in -- if you are investigating
21 the Hell's Angels, would you write to their
22 president and say lookit, we're investigating you
23 for criminal wrongdoing, can you get your members
24 to gather everything together and arrange for
10:00 25 interviews? I'm just trying to figure out in this



1 case what -- why you would go to them and expect
2 them to simply co-operate and turn everything over
3 when you are investigating them for criminal
4 wrongdoing.

10:00 5 A Yeah, no, this would be a usual protocol, to
6 advise them and ask someone. A lot of the
7 documents, a lot of the material we had was
8 documentation. I suppose the circumstances could
9 arise where you may not inform them, you know,
10:00 10 some pressing reason, but in this case there was
11 no reason for us not to sort of invoke a formal
12 protocol.

13 Q But -- and again, putting aside the protocol, I
14 suppose the Saskatoon Police Service could have
10:00 15 said, well, lookit, if you are investigating us
16 for criminal conduct, close the ranks and say go
17 ahead, we're not going to give you anything unless
18 you've got a subpoena and do what you have to do,
19 that could have been a response?

10:01 20 A Yes, it certainly could have been.

21 Q And with respect to other criminal investigations,
22 is that sometimes the response you receive from
23 organizations that you are investigating for
24 criminal conduct?

10:01 25 A Yes.



1 Q In fact, is that more the norm?

2 A Possibly. I wouldn't suggest that it is, but it's
3 possible.

4 Q Okay. So in this case, your protocol was chief to
10:01 5 chief, we're investigating your service and your
6 members, former members, current members for
7 criminal conduct, will you appoint someone to
8 coordinate co-operation with us?

9 A Yes.

10:01 10 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: At this time would
11 it be the case that you had no reason to expect
12 lack of co-operation?

13 A No, we had no reason to suspect lack of
14 co-operation.

10:01 15 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: So your first
16 approach would be on a friendly basis, a
17 co-operative basis, and then if you had perceived
18 a lack of co-operation, you would take whatever
19 steps needed, would that be how you operate as a
10:01 20 rule?

21 A Yes, that's a fair comment.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right, thanks.

23 BY MR. HODSON:

24 Q And would there be an expectation -- maybe that's
10:01 25 too strong a word, but given that your police



1 service has certain statutory duties to uphold the
2 law as is the Saskatoon Police Service, that it
3 would be expected as police officers that they
4 would co-operate with a criminal investigation
10:02 5 even if it involved them as suspects?

6 A Generally speaking, yes.

7 Q And can you tell us, and I'll go through some
8 later documents, I think Inspector Quinn was
9 designated; is that correct?

10:02 10 A That's correct.

11 Q And can you describe for us the level, degree and
12 nature of co-operation you received from the
13 Saskatoon Police Service and its members?

14 A The co-operation was very good. We were given,
10:02 15 provided with anything we asked for, contact
16 names, addresses, phone numbers was all provided,
17 file material; in fact, we were given the entire
18 file on David Milgaard, but other files we asked
19 for regarding Larry Fisher and other investigative
10:02 20 material was all provided. I only recall one
21 Saskatoon police member who I felt was
22 unco-operative and that's someone who we tried to,
23 attempted to interview, but other than that, and
24 certainly that's that person's right, you know,
10:03 25 not to consent to an interview, but other than



1 that, complete co-operation.

2 Q And who was that officer?

3 A Tom Vanin.

4 Q And so we'll get to him a bit later, he was the
10:03 5 confidential informant of the Milgaards and he
6 refused to talk to you; is that correct?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And so apart from him, let's talk about the other
9 officers, did every other present -- every other
10:03 10 employed officer or retired officer at the time
11 co-operate with you in interviews and providing
12 information?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q In every respect?

10:03 15 A In every respect.

16 Q And as far as providing documents, did the
17 witnesses you interviewed and the police service
18 itself provide you everything you asked for?

19 A Yes, they did.

10:03 20 Q If we can go to 060941, this is a letter from
21 Mr. McCrank to Mr. Cotter, just going
22 chronologically, and I think this is just a
23 report, he talks about the grounds of cover-up and
24 says:

10:04 25 "The R.C.M.P. investigators have been



1 instructed to further their criminal
2 investigation in all respects on each
3 and every allegation that has been made
4 and to take such steps as are deemed
10:04 5 necessary to complete this
6 investigation, such as examining
7 transcripts of previous proceedings,
8 examining and testing exhibits,
9 reviewing files, interviewing witnesses
10:04 10 and any other steps that are required."

11 And that would be an accurate account of what
12 your marching orders were?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q If we can go to 060945, please. I think the doc.
10:04 15 ID is 943, but go to page 945. This is a December
16 21, 1992 letter from you to Mr. Quinney who I
17 think at the time was with the Department of
18 Justice and I think may have been director of
19 public -- or, I'm sorry, he was the assistant
10:05 20 executive director I think, but he was a senior
21 person with Saskatchewan Justice; is that what you
22 understood?

23 A That's correct, and I think he was the acting
24 executive director.

10:05 25 Q Acting, I'm sorry, acting executive director. And



1 then again a similar letter:

2 "I understand your department
3 holds the entire file into the David
4 Milgaard murder investigation. As part
10:05 5 of our investigation, we would like to
6 examine this file along with any files
7 Sask. Justice may hold on Larry Fisher.

8 As the investigation
9 progresses, there may be certain other
10:05 10 policy files or prosecution files which
11 may assist us to answer this issue.

12 I would appreciate a contact
13 from your department being appointed to
14 assist us in obtaining the necessary
10:05 15 information we require."

16 And this would be the same type of letter you
17 wrote to the Saskatoon police; correct?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q So again, Saskatchewan Justice, maybe not the
10:06 20 institution itself, but certainly the premier and
21 other government members as well as former
22 employees of the Department of Justice were the
23 target of your criminal investigation; correct?

24 A Correct.

10:06 25 Q And you were asking them to co-operate by



1 providing you with documents and a point person to
2 assist; is that correct?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q And I think we saw that Murray Brown was the
10:06 5 person appointed ultimately; is that right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And can you tell us, what was the degree of
8 co-operation you received from Saskatchewan
9 Justice or Saskatchewan government in your efforts
10:06 10 to investigate their criminal wrongdoing or their
11 employees' or former employees' criminal
12 wrongdoing?

13 A There was full co-operation. Everything we asked
14 for we received.

10:06 15 Q And so by way of documents and information,
16 everything you asked for was provided?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q What about interviews of witnesses of employees
19 and former employees?

10:07 20 A I don't know if we interviewed any current
21 employees, but certainly former employees, we did
22 interview some former employees.

23 Q And was there anybody associated with the
24 Government of Saskatchewan or Saskatchewan Justice
10:07 25 that did not co-operate with you by way of



1 interview or providing information?

2 A No. I do recall that we did get another file from
3 Saskatchewan Justice sometime into the
4 investigation.

10:07 5 Q Yes.

6 A A file that had been, I think, located in their
7 office that they were not aware of at the time we
8 originally got all the file material.

9 Q Yeah. That's I think September 9th, 1993, and
10:07 10 I'll deal with that, I think that was the file
11 that contained some 1969 RCMP reports; is that
12 correct?

13 A Yes, that's correct.

14 Q 004436, this is the reply from Mr. Quinney,
10:07 15 saying:

16 "...we are prepared to provide you with
17 access to both the Milgaard and Fisher
18 files.

19 As Murray Brown handled this
10:08 20 matter in the Supreme Court I would
21 normally appoint him to assist however,
22 he will be on holiday after the end of
23 the year. Anyone else should be able to
24 assist - just call before you come."

10:08 25 And would that have been what happened, you



1 called Mr. Brown, Mr. Quinney or whoever to get
2 access?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q The record 060947, and this is Chief Maguire's
10:08 5 letter back to you saying:

6 "Inspector John Quinn ... has been
7 tasked with providing all assistance
8 required to your investigators."

9 And that's in fact who you dealt with; correct?

10:08 10 A Correct.

11 Q 238548, please, and these appear to be minutes of
12 a January 22, 1993 meeting; is that correct?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And from the documents it looks like this might be
10:09 15 the first at least formal meeting involving all
16 the members of your task force; is that correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And so from, we just went through, end of
19 November, '92 you meet with Mr. Wolch, December we
10:09 20 saw some contact with the Government of
21 Saskatchewan, justice, the police, some contact
22 with, a meeting with Mr. McCrank and Mr. Fraser in
23 December to go over the information. Would that
24 have also been the time when you started to
10:10 25 recruit these people to be on your task force?



1 A That's correct.

2 Q Would you have started witness interviews by this
3 time do you know, or -- I mean, the files will
4 show us that, but --

10:10 5 A No.

6 Q Do you think it would be after this?

7 A I don't believe there would be any witness
8 interviews yet.

9 Q And can you tell us --

10:10 10 A Sorry, other than Mr. Wolch and I believe Mrs.
11 Milgaard.

12 Q Right.

13 A But beyond that, no.

14 Q I'm sorry, I think Mrs. Milgaard was a little bit,
10:10 15 a week later in January.

16 A Okay.

17 Q Okay. But as far as starting the actual -- I
18 suppose you started the investigation in the sense
19 you were gathering information?

10:10 20 A That's correct.

21 Q But you had not gone out to start interviewing
22 witnesses other than Mr. Wolch, Mr. Bruce and Mr.
23 Rodin?

24 A Correct.

10:10 25 Q And so I take it you would not have gone out to



1 interview Mr. Breckenridge yet or any, or gather
2 any information with respect to him?

3 A No.

4 Q And the other members present, Griffith,
10:10 5 Urbanofski and Lane, did they play a role in the
6 matter or what --

7 A They were people who were assigned to assist more
8 on the computer end of things, the digitised end
9 of things, case management electronically.

10:11 10 Q And so here, we'll go through this, would this
11 have been your marching orders, meeting people,
12 this is what this is about and this is how we're
13 going to do it?

14 A Yes.

10:11 15 Q And so, here, would it -- it appears that you
16 would have said to them, 'should any other' -- you
17 are investigating wrongdoing, but, 'should any
18 other information come to light which can further
19 the investigation against Milgaard, Fisher, or
10:11 20 anyone else, it is to be investigated only after
21 consultation with the OIC Criminal Operations.'

22 Who was the OIC Criminal
23 Investigations?

24 A That was Superintendent Egan.

10:12 25 Q And can you explain what this was intended to do?



1 A That was to give us the opportunity to determine
2 how it should be dealt with internally, and of
3 course Mr. Egan would very likely call Mr. Fraser
4 and McCrank and advise them of the information we
10:12 5 had, and from that I'm assuming that a meeting
6 would likely be set up and a determination made as
7 to how we would handle that information, whether
8 it would be passed off to another police
9 department, as we spoke about a little while ago,
10:12 10 or whether we would be asked to investigate it, or
11 just how it would go. So this was just me saying
12 to the team that, if we did uncover information
13 like that, it would have to be decided who would
14 continue the investigation of it.

10:12 15 Q Right. And so that if, in the course of your
16 investigation, information came to light that
17 might incriminate Mr. Fisher, that had not
18 previously been investigated by someone else, that
19 might be something, the direction here is lookit,
10:12 20 go to the chief and say "how do we proceed"?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And would that be the case, that it may be
23 appropriate for your group to continue the
24 investigation for a while, or it might be
10:13 25 appropriate to send it to the Saskatoon City



1 Police who had jurisdiction to investigate the
2 crime?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Then down at the bottom, under Protocol, you say,
10:13 5 'ensure proper protocol at all times as
6 investigation involves another police force,
7 politicians and the justice system. During the
8 course of investigations, please keep PDs informed
9 of our presence when in their jurisdiction and
10:13 10 advise the purpose of investigation.

11 "PDs" would be police
12 departments, I take it?

13 A Yes.

14 Q 'The impact on the investigation is political and
10:13 15 sensitive. Conduct is to be completely
16 professional as we will be subject to extreme
17 media coverage.

18 ** Do not discuss this
19 investigation amongst other members. **'

10:13 20 And can you elaborate on why
21 that was told to your team?

22 A Yes. It was to ensure that there weren't leaks of
23 information, so when I said "other members", I
24 meant outside of the team.

10:14 25 Q So other RCMP officers not involved?



1 A Exactly.

2 Q Next page. You indicate here, and I take it these
3 would be summarizing what you or your other senior
4 people said to the team; is that right?

10:14 5 A That's correct.

6 Q 'Begin a new notebook. Keep file comments
7 objective; any subjective comments to be backed
8 with justification; our documentation must be
9 professional and withstand scrutiny - a Public
10 Enquiry could result. Task force members to be
11 completely informal and communicative, with
12 regular debriefings.'

13 And, again, I think that maybe
14 speaks for itself. Is there anything there that
15 you could elaborate on?

16 A No, I don't think so. I just didn't want rank, or
17 anything like that, to get in the way of good
18 communication, so what I was saying was "let's
19 keep things informal and talk openly".

10:14 20 Q And, as far as the comments in the notes, it
21 appears what you are saying is lookit, someone is
22 going to look at your interview notes at a later
23 date, so therefore make sure they are objective,
24 and if they are subjective have something to back
10:15 25 them up?



1 A Correct.

2 Q And, again, down at the bottom, I don't think we
3 need to go through, but this sets out the
4 responsibilities of the various people involved;
10:15 5 is that correct?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q Then, the next page, you talk about 'ensure
8 complete and thorough investigation, with
9 documentation.'

10:15 10 What was the importance of the
11 documentation of the work that your people were
12 doing?

13 A Well I wanted to be able to support everything we
14 were saying and doing and I felt, you know, there
10:15 15 is a very good possibility that the file, at some
16 point in time, would be reviewed for any number of
17 reasons, this being one of them.

18 Q And so this Inquiry is something you had in mind
19 at the time that says lookit, the conduct of our
10:15 20 officers and the work we do may be the subject of
21 public scrutiny in another forum?

22 A Certainly. And if we were looking at the conduct
23 of others, I guess we need to make sure that our
24 conduct was completely above board.

10:16 25 Q And the A22s and C75Ds?



1 A That's simply administrative stuff.

2 Q Okay.

3 A Time sheets, transfers, those types of things.

4 Q Okay. If we can go to 036699, just go through a

10:16 5 couple of documents here. This is January 22,

6 '93, it's a memo I think from you to OIC, and it

7 appears that there was some comment somewhere that

8 you said here:

9 "My understanding is that the Minister

10:16 10 of Justice feels we should only be

11 investigating the specific allegation

12 against Mr. Kujawa."

13 And then:

14 "Our investigators are about to commence

10:16 15 investigation. As they interview

16 members of the public, the issue and

17 scope of our investigation will become

18 known. The news media are well aware of

19 the names of witnesses. Undoubtedly,

10:17 20 the news media will be speaking with

21 these people before and after our

22 investigators conduct their interviews."

23 "To date, we have not commented publicly

24 on the scope of this investigation. I

10:17 25 feel in order to satisfy new enquiries,



1 a statement from the RCMP concerning
2 this investigation and its mandate
3 should made."

4 Can you explain what your concerns were here or
10:17 5 what you were getting at here?

6 A I wanted to ensure that we had adequate coverage
7 when it came to media inquiries, so that we could
8 certainly answer those.

9 Q And I think we saw earlier, in a news report back
10:17 10 in November, Mr. Mitchell may have, I think, made
11 a comment that -- to the effect that this was an
12 investigation limited to the Breckenridge
13 allegations, and I think when we went through that
14 yesterday --

10:17 15 A That's right.

16 Q -- it appeared to be initially that's what it was,
17 and it became much broader. And is your point
18 here saying lookit, let's make sure the public
19 knows this isn't just Breckenridge, this is much
10:18 20 broader?

21 A Yes, that's correct.

22 Q And why did you want the public to know that?

23 A Well I think it's -- I mean they -- first off, it
24 would become known very quickly that we are out
10:18 25 there making inquiries, and we certainly didn't



1 want to make it appear that we were trying to hide
2 something here, we wanted to be as open as we
3 possibly could.

10:18 4 Q You also make a comment about saying, lookit, the
5 news media are well aware of the names of
6 witnesses and they will be speaking with these
7 people before and after our investigators. We
8 have seen from the record here that, prior to your
9 investigation, many of the witnesses were, in
10:18 10 fact, made comments in the media, were interviewed
11 in the media extensively, and I take it that's
12 something you were aware of at the time? You
13 would have been familiar, at least generally, that
14 many of the key people you were going to interview
10:18 15 had been in the media already?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q And can you tell us what, what challenges or
18 concerns, if any, would that pose to your
19 investigators in the sense of -- let's take Ron
10:19 20 Wilson for example, you -- we know that he, after
21 he gave his recantation statement, talked to Dan
22 Lett and there is a report in the newspaper.
23 Albert Cadrain, I think, was on CBC *Fifth Estate*
24 talking about his experience. Were you concerned
10:19 25 that these people that would be -- would be



1 talking to the media about what they told you or
2 maybe what you questioned them about? Can you
3 tell us what this -- what you're stating here
4 about the fact that you're identifying that the
5 news people are going to be talking to the
6 witnesses before and after your interviews?

10:19 7 A Yeah. I guess, you know, undoubtedly we were -- I
8 was hoping that we could conduct our investigation
9 with as little sort of public misinformed --
10:19 10 misinformation as possible, so we certainly wanted
11 to -- you know, we certainly knew that any of
12 these witnesses could, at any time, talk to the
13 media, and I guess I just wanted the investigators
14 to sort of be very much aware of that, and I
10:20 15 thought that, if we developed a strategy to
16 provide information on a routine or a regular
17 basis, that we may not have some of those issues.

18 Q Did the fear of these witnesses going to the media
19 after your interviews, interviews with your
10:20 20 investigators, did that affect the manner in which
21 your investigators conducted the interviews?

22 A I don't think so. I don't -- you know, if what
23 you are getting at, did we tell people not to talk
24 to the media, I don't think that happened, but
10:20 25 certainly it may have been that during an



1 investigation one of the investigators may have
2 said "it would be helpful if you, you know, don't
3 disclose what we talked about".

4 Q I'm sorry, yeah. No, I was wondering whether, to
10:20 5 the extent that information might be imparted by
6 your investigators to a witness, in other words
7 "we are here investigating whether the Premier of
8 the province was involved in criminal misconduct,
9 can you tell us about A, B, C", whether there'd be
10:21 10 a concern that information from your investigators
11 to witnesses would end up getting reported in the
12 media?

13 A I wasn't overly concerned with that because, you
14 know, we had very senior, experienced
10:21 15 investigators who knew their roles and I'm -- I
16 was quite comfortable they would know what to say
17 to witnesses and what to provide.

18 Q Did --

19 A So I wasn't concerned that that would -- they
10:21 20 would provide anything they shouldn't provide.

21 Q Did you have a concern that information that
22 witnesses may provide to the media or others may
23 provide to the media about the witnesses
24 information being different than the information
10:21 25 the witnesses provided to your investigators? You



1 talked a moment ago about misinformation, were you
2 concerned about, were you concerned about that?

3 A To a degree, probably.

4 Q And why, or can you explain that?

10:21 5 A Well quite often, you know, things, there is
6 always a potential that things could be taken out
7 of context and that, you know, something could be,
8 you know, printed in the public domain that may
9 not be quite accurate or may be misleading.

10:22 10 Q And what would the concern be to you and your
11 investigation if that were to happen?

12 A It certainly could influence, you know, someone's
13 willingness to talk to us, someone's willingness
14 to come forward and provide us with information.

10:22 15 Q Go to 060956. It appears this is a note of your
16 conversation, I think these -- is this your
17 handwriting?

18 A No, it's not.

19 Q This is -- do you know whose it is at the bottom
10:23 20 here, would that be --

21 A The first part, I believe, is written by Chief
22 Superintendent Egan. Umm, that's mine, where the
23 "93/02/05".

24 Q I'm sorry, that's what I meant.

10:23 25 A That's my writing, yes.



1 Q If we can just scroll down a bit. It says here:
2 "Spoke with D/Montague. I advised our
3 members would be in Saskatoon next week
4 and interviewing witnesses. If we need
10:23 5 anything from SPD we are to called Insp
6 Quinn. Montague stated his Dept is wide
7 open for us to view anything we need to
8 fulfil this matter."

9 And that would have been what Montague told you
10:23 10 at the time then?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And was that consistent with what happened in your
13 dealings with him?

14 A Yes.

10:23 15 Q 038450. This is a document File Protocol, and I
16 don't want to spend too much time on this, I think
17 this sets out how the files were recorded. But
18 can you give us a bit of an overview about how --
19 how the info -- and I take it your investigators,
10:24 20 you've identified the issues, we've talked about
21 that, and I think that's a bit of a work in
22 progress, the allegations you are pursuing;
23 correct?

24 A Correct.

10:24 25 Q And then who and how would you decide who needs to



1 be interviewed with respect to those issues?

2 A Well, generally speaking, Lee Tost and Joe
3 Dozenberger would have reviewed all material that
4 came in. They would, as they review it, they
10:24 5 would pick out issues that needed to be
6 investigated and then would assign those to an
7 investigator, a file would be created and provided
8 to that investigator, so the investigator may have
9 a stack of files depending on the number of issues
10:24 10 that needed to be investigated. The investigator
11 would then be responsible for investigating as
12 directed, completing a report, doing all the
13 research that's necessary to gather the
14 information to conduct the interview, to perform
10:25 15 the task, and then at the conclusion of that would
16 then write a report back which would then be
17 reviewed, once again, by Joe Dozenberger, Lee
18 Tost, and in some cases myself. And then any
19 additional direction that they had for the
10:25 20 investigator would be -- the file could be
21 assigned back, or if the matter was completely
22 investigated, then that particular file could be
23 concluded. It would, the information would be
24 analysed, synthesized, and a paragraph or two
10:25 25 regarding the findings would be generated and put



1 onto an electronic format that we had sort of a
2 running commentary, day-to-day commentary, as to
3 the investigation.

4 Q So let's take the hotel room incident for an
10:25 5 example. If that comes in, and I think the
6 allegation there is that -- or one of them was
7 that Melnyk and Lapchuk lied at trial and
8 fabricated the story in part because they had
9 criminal charges pending and gave false evidence
10:26 10 at trial, that was one aspect of the allegation;
11 correct?

12 A Correct.

13 Q So would Mr. Dozenberger, Mr. Dozenberger and
14 Mr. Tost, then, I think you told us yesterday the
10:26 15 initial thing was to gather all the documents and
16 review it, catalogue and organize it?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And so then would one or both of them sit down and
19 say "okay, for this issue or this allegation here
10:26 20 are eight or ten issues that come to mind, and
21 here are 12 people that we think we need to
22 interview, and here's the areas we've got to
23 cover"; is that where that would happen?

24 A That's correct.

10:26 25 Q Okay.



1 A And then they would generate a file and assign
2 that to be investigated.

3 Q And we have seen, I think there was over, there
4 was hundreds of files, if I'm not mistaken; is
10:26 5 that right?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q And so a file would be created, and that would be
8 basically a task for a team of investigators to
9 pursue?

10:26 10 A Correct.

11 Q And so if we look at -- and trust me we won't --
12 but if we take a look at all these files, for
13 every file, that would be a task that
14 Mr. Dozenberger or Mr. Tost identified that needed
10:27 15 to be pursued?

16 A That's right.

17 Q And so that would come from their analysis, their
18 initial review, or review of other information
19 that came in?

10:27 20 A That's correct.

21 Q And so that a file, and what we see is the file
22 might be to follow up with Ute Frank and interview
23 Ute Frank and get all of her information, that
24 might be a task?

10:27 25 A That's correct.



1 Q And so that's assigned a file, it's assigned to
2 two officers, and they go out and do -- they are
3 given some instruction to "go out, here's the
4 background information, go out and do X"; is that
10:27 5 correct?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q They then go out and do it, they prepare a report
8 back to Mr. Dozenberger/Mr. Tost, and they then
9 record it in with whatever else they have gathered
10:27 10 then and decide whether further information,
11 whether it gives rise to further information, for
12 example?

13 A That's correct. It could be that that information
14 assists in another area, so they may assign that
10:27 15 to another team who is already working on similar
16 information, or there may be more investigation
17 required on that particular tip or that particular
18 file, or it may be that it's -- they have done,
19 you know, what was required and it's finished.

10:28 20 Q And I think, and I stand to be corrected on that,
21 but I think Barbara Wispinski, that interview
22 which we'll look at a bit later, may have been
23 something that either came from Ute Frank or
24 someone else, that in the course of investigation
10:28 25 someone says "lookit, talk to person X, they may



1 have information", so that gets in a report back
2 to Mr. Dozenberger and Mr. Tost, they review it
3 and decide what further steps need to be taken?

4 A That's correct.

10:28 5 Q And so generally speaking can we conclude, from
6 that, that the investigators were performing tasks
7 that they were directed to do by Mr. Tost, Mr.
8 Dozenberger, and you?

9 A That's correct.

10:28 10 Q They report back on the tasks and the -- those
11 people, the two of them and you on occasion, would
12 review and analyse and decide what further tasks
13 needed to be done or whether the tasks were
14 completed?

10:28 15 A That's correct. Generally, at the end of the
16 investigation, the investigator would provide
17 comments, you know, in sort of a general format as
18 to what had occurred, they would then review that
19 and then make comment on it themselves, and then
10:29 20 provide that to the daily log so that we --
21 everyone had sort of a running commentary as to
22 what was happening.

23 Q And then as far as coordinating who found what,
24 again, would that be Mr. Tost and Mr. Dozenberger,
10:29 25 then, who would be -- would they be the two that



1 would be all-knowing about what's going on?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And then, so that if one group of investigators
4 found a piece of information that might affect a
5 task assigned to another group of investigators,
6 it would all get funneled up and sorted out there?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And this File Protocol was simply part of how
9 these files were going to be organized?

10:29 10 A That's correct, it's part of the Major Case
11 Management Method.

12 Q This is probably an appropriate spot to break for
13 the morning.

14 (Adjourned at 10:29 a.m.)

10:29 15 (Reconvened at 10:55 a.m.)

16 MR. HODSON: Mr. Commissioner, just before
17 I resume questions with Mr. Sawatsky, I just
18 wanted to inform you and the parties as well that
19 I have been advised this morning by counsel for
10:55 20 Federal Justice -- not Mr. Frayer but other
21 counsel -- of their intention to bring an
22 application to the Court for a judicial review of
23 your June 1 decision on constitutional limits.

24 And, as we know, on June 1 the
10:56 25 issue of, if I can put it this way, "where do you



1 draw the line on federal matters in our inquiry,
2 and in particular the *Keable* or *Keable* decision
3 about to what extent can we, this Inquiry, get
4 into matters involving Federal Justice people,
10:56 5 you'll recall Federal Justice's position was you
6 can get into some, but not all, and there was an
7 issue about where to draw the line, and I can't
8 shed any light on what their position is other
9 than I have been notified that an application
10:56 10 will be brought in the summer.

11 I can say that, based on the
12 information that I have, I do not think that in
13 any way affects what we're doing today, this
14 week, or, indeed, next fall. It's a question, it
10:56 15 appears, about the line to be drawn on certain
16 witnesses.

17 So I simply wish to put that on
18 the record, advise you and all parties, because I
19 was notified this morning, I think some other
10:57 20 counsel may have been notified as well, but I
21 will certainly ensure that whatever I get, I pass
22 on. But I did want to indicate that it is not --
23 it is not of the nature that would affect the
24 work we're doing this week or in the fall.

10:57 25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks.



1 BY MR. HODSON:

2 Q Mr. Sawatsky, if we could get to 038268, would
3 these be your Points to Ponder?

4 A I'm not sure who prepared that. I suspect it may
10:57 5 have been Joe Dozenberger.

6 Q If we can just go to the next page, maybe:

7 "PS: This is my ...",
8 is that Mr. Dozenberger's writing or yours? I
9 think this is yours at the bottom?

10:57 10 A Yes.

11 Q And so you think this may have been Mr.
12 Dozenberger's? I think it's around this time
13 frame. Do you recall this document, if we can go
14 back to the first page?

10:58 15 A Yes, I do, I recall reading it and discussing it
16 with the group.

17 Q And this would be your -- is this your handwriting
18 on the side?

19 A That's correct.

10:58 20 Q On the front page? So just go through a couple of
21 these. It appears that Mr. Dozenberger raises:
22 "Given this a criminal investigation
23 concerning obstruction of justice should
24 not we have a signed written statement
10:58 25 from the complainant/witness Hersh



1 Wolch? If we are to rely on the taped
2 conversation, should we not have it
3 transcribed and have him attest to a
4 summary. He is making very serious
10:58 5 accusations (publicly and if he is not
6 willing to comment to this then..."),

7 Can you -- and then your note is:

8 "Not required. I will forward to Wolch
9 a copy of issues and ask him to
10:58 10 comment."

11 Can you tell us, what were the considerations
12 being discussed here?

13 A I think the suggestion being that, if you
14 generally take a statement from a witness you ask
10:58 15 the witness to sign it, and therefore there's some
16 authentication that -- the fact that the witness
17 sort of bears responsibility for what was said or
18 indicates that his, that was his or her words, and
19 I think the suggestion being that should we do
10:59 20 that, should we in fact send a transcript to him
21 and have him sign it. I think, after discussion
22 with the group, our thoughts were -- and as I've
23 indicated there -- that we would forward him a
24 copy of all the issues and ask him to provide any
10:59 25 additional issues or additional comment he may



1 wish to make on the issues rather than sending him
2 the entire transcribed statement.

3 Q Okay. And why? Let's just talk a bit about the
4 process or the objective of getting a complainant
10:59 5 to sign or to attest to a statement; why would you
6 do that normally?

7 A I think just to sort of indicate on the record
8 that this is what the complainant has in fact told
9 you, it's factual, and the complainant has had the
10:59 10 opportunity to sort of be aware of what's in that
11 and supports what's in that as sort of accurate.

12 Q And if a complainant is not prepared to attest to
13 or to back up what he or she says for a complaint,
14 what would the police do with that, or where does
11:00 15 that fit in in the investigation thing?

16 A It's quite rare that a complainant won't sign, but
17 quite often what could happen is you could simply
18 decide to investigate based on what you have,
19 perhaps you may sit down and review the material
11:00 20 with the complainant one more time to -- and offer
21 the complainant the opportunity to comment on the
22 information, then simply make a record of the fact
23 that it was reviewed with the complainant who
24 wished not to sign.

11:00 25 Q And I suppose, let me just throw out a couple of



1 questions or issues here, one -- one reason to get
2 the complainant to attest to or verify the
3 complaint, if I can call it that -- and is it
4 correct to say that the transcribed interview with
11:00 5 Mr. Wolch would be considered the complaint, or at
6 least a significant component of the complaint?

7 A Certainly, that was the discussion that we had
8 with Mr. Wolch, that -- the transcript.

9 Q And so one reason to get him to attest to it, or
11:00 10 back it up, would be to ensure that it's complete,
11 in other words that this is everything and you've
12 given us everything?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And I suppose, I think what Mr. Dozenberger may be
11:01 15 getting here as a second component is that,
16 lookit, if you are going to make these allegations
17 and ask the police to investigate the allegations,
18 they are very serious, and if you're going to
19 state them publicly you should at least commit to
11:01 20 them yourself and attest to them?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And would that be something you would normally do
23 in a criminal investigation?

24 A Yes.

11:01 25 Q And so why didn't you do it in this case?



1 A Well, in this case I thought it was the issues
2 that were more important rather than what was
3 said, so what we wanted to have him do was commit
4 to the issues as being true or to verify the
11:01 5 issues.

6 Q And so what did you do, then, to follow up; do you
7 remember?

8 A Yeah. I believe, I believe I sent a letter to him
9 sort of indicating that here's what we sort of
11:01 10 gleaned from the discussion, was there anything
11 else he wished to add.

12 Q And, again, the reason you decided to dispense
13 with the normal practice -- your normal practice
14 would be to say "here, Mr. Wolch, sign this
11:02 15 transcript and verify it as being truthful"?

16 A Yes.

17 Q "Certify or attest to us that what you are telling
18 us is truthful because we don't want to
19 investigate something that you are not prepared to
11:02 20 stand behind"?

21 A Correct.

22 Q Was that the sentiment that Mr. Dozenberger is
23 expressing?

24 A Yes, exactly.

11:02 25 Q And so you modified that here and said "no, just



1 confirm that there are -- that these are the
2 issues"?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Were you concerned that he would not attest to
5 them?

6 A No. No. I think my concern was more that we be
7 complete and have everything and have -- and that
8 our analysis of the statement or our examination
9 of the statement, in fact, did glean all the
10 issues that he was raising.

11 Q Okay. And I think the rest of the front page, if
12 you can just quickly scan through that, I think is
13 relating to concerns about cataloguing and getting
14 documents; was that right?

15 A That's correct.

16 Q And how they should be catalogued and numbered?

17 A Yes.

18 Q If we can then go to 038239, and these are your
19 notes of an interview with Mrs. Milgaard; is that
20 correct?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And if we can just quickly call up 331214. And we
23 have referred to this document early -- earlier,
24 and this is a transcript of the interview with
25 Mrs. Milgaard that took place over two days,



1 February 25-26, 1993 involving you, Sergeant
2 Williams, and Constable Jorgenson; is that
3 correct?

4 A That's correct.

11:04 5 Q And I believe there's some suggestion that her son
6 Chris may have appeared in some or all of the
7 interview?

8 A Yes, that's correct.

9 Q And can you tell us, I think that there's nine
11:04 10 tapes, it would be a fairly lengthy interview over
11 two days; was it?

12 A Yes, yes it was.

13 Q And what was the purpose of this interview?

14 A The purpose of this interview was to give
11:04 15 Mrs. Milgaard an opportunity to provide us with
16 any additional information that we should be
17 examining above and beyond what had already been
18 provided by Mr. Wolch.

19 Q And did you explain to her what it was, the
11:04 20 purpose of your investigating, of what you were
21 investigating?

22 A Yes, I did.

23 Q You told us yesterday that she initially seemed to
24 have some, I can't remember your word, but concern
11:04 25 about what you were doing, and then by the end of



1 the meeting she seemed to have come around to the
2 fact that you were looking at what she wanted to
3 look at; is that correct?

4 A That's the sense I got is I think at first she,
11:05 5 you know, was just perhaps just a wee bit
6 apprehensive, and then as the interview progressed
7 and we had the opportunity to explain what our
8 investigation was about she seemed to become much
9 more relaxed with what we were doing.

11:05 10 Q And would it be the same objective, then, as your
11 meeting with Mr. Wolch, "tell me anything and
12 everything you wish us to investigate and we will
13 investigate"?

14 A Correct.

11:05 15 Q And by this time, February 25, 1993, would it be
16 correct to say you'd had about three months on
17 this project, that you would have had a bit more
18 information about sort of the underlying facts of
19 the case and may be a bit more knowledgeable about
11:05 20 certain issues by this time?

21 A I think that's safe to say, yes.

22 Q And why would you have then three of you there,
23 Sergeant Williams, Constable Jorgenson and you,
24 what would be the reason for that?

11:05 25 A I know that we had done considerable preparation



1 for this interview and had done some reviewing of,
2 certainly reviewed all the material provided by
3 Mr. Wolch, so I guess I just thought that the more
4 opportunity we have to ensure that we gather,
5 capture everything and ask the appropriate
6 questions the better, so rather than have one
7 person or two, I thought it better that we have
8 enough there.

11:05 9 Q Okay. And if we can go back to 038239, I propose
11:06 10 to go through your interview summary, and I may go
11 back to refer to parts of the transcript, but this
12 interview, would these be your notes, or tell us
13 when and how you prepared these notes and what
14 they represent?

11:06 15 A I think I prepared these, you know, fairly recent
16 after the interview with Mrs. Milgaard, so when I
17 prepared this, you know, I prepared it sort of
18 with the knowledge that there may be other issues
19 that come out once a transcript was made of the
11:06 20 statement, so these were the best, my best recall
21 from the interview we had with her.

22 Q And would this note and these issues then be
23 matters that would then get folded into the
24 Flicker report; in other words, be added to the
11:06 25 issues that Mr. Wolch had previously raised?



1 A That's correct, and some of these issues, you
2 know, certainly were issues that we were already
3 aware of and others were new.

4 Q And so here we see in paragraph 3:

11:07 5 "Much of what Mrs. Milgaard identified
6 are issues which have been raised by Mr.
7 Wolch. In some areas she was able to
8 add her views and provide us with
9 further information. However, the
11:07 10 general thrust of her concerns parallels
11 that of Mr. Wolch."

12 And:

13 "Mrs. Milgaard advises that in dealing
14 with some agencies, she feels she and
11:07 15 her investigative assistants were not
16 able to get all the documentation they
17 requested. Admittedly, this may account
18 for some of her concerns."

19 Can you shed any light on that? What did you
11:07 20 sense out of that or what were you thinking then?

21 A I think in a number of areas Mrs. Milgaard
22 certainly provided us with what she had, but she
23 maybe didn't have, you know, the full
24 documentation or the full materials, so she
11:07 25 perhaps only was able to make a decision or come



1 up with an opinion based on part of the files, so
2 it was certainly my thought that we needed to try
3 and get all of the documentation in those areas.

4 Q Were you saying here when you said "admittedly
11:08 5 this may account for some of her concerns", are
6 you saying that she felt there was stuff or
7 documents she didn't have and she was suspicious
8 about that?

9 A Yes.

11:08 10 Q That's why she was concerned?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And did you -- are you saying here that lookit, it
13 may well be that some of her suspicions can be
14 answered by getting more information?

11:08 15 A Correct.

16 Q And that they were, as opposed to allegations,
17 some of them were, lookit, I'm suspicious about
18 this and here's why and I don't have an answer to
19 the following questions because I don't have that
11:08 20 information?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q As opposed to saying this is something that was
23 done that was wrong?

24 A Correct.

11:08 25 Q Okay. And again, I take it in looking at the



1 transcript, though, that there were a number of
2 cases as well where specific allegations of
3 wrongdoing were made?

4 A Correct.

11:08 5 Q It says here:

6 "She advises that a man named Dan Lett
7 of the Winnipeg Free Press tried to get
8 information on the Fisher matter and
9 came upon missing documentation. This
11:09 10 issue has already been raised and will
11 be covered in two areas: 1) the
12 examination of the missing Saskatoon
13 police files, and 2) our investigation
14 into the handling of the Fisher files."

11:09 15 Do I take it from that that the allegation there
16 was that Dan Lett had information about the
17 missing police files?

18 A Yes, that's what I took from that, is that she was
19 aware that he had tried to get some information
11:09 20 and failed and it was my view that that likely fit
21 into the area, the two areas there that I've
22 mentioned.

23 Q If we can go to the next page, and again I'll just
24 go through some of these here, number 9:

11:09 25 "Mrs. Milgaard advises that Detective



1 Karst had a very poor relationship with
2 other detectives and did not share
3 information with them."

4 Can you -- how would that fit into your
11:09 5 investigation or why and where would that be
6 relevant?

7 A I think, generally speaking, there was, the
8 allegation was around the fact that relationships
9 within Saskatoon Police Service at that time may
11:10 10 have not been very good and that resulted in a
11 lack of communication amongst members and
12 therefore material that, or information that
13 perhaps could have been known to the original
14 investigators was not known to them.

11:10 15 Q Okay.

16 A And we certainly wanted to look into that.

17 Q And here, paragraph 12, if we can -- are these
18 initials officers that you ended under assigning
19 these to or that someone did?

11:10 20 A Yes, someone did.

21 Q So GH is Glen Homeniuk?

22 A Yeah, it would have been the Homeniuk team, Gagne
23 and Homeniuk.

24 Q Oh, Gagne and Homeniuk, I'm sorry.

25 A Yeah.



1 Q And so here, paragraph 12:

2 "Mrs. Milgaard alleges that Saskatoon
3 Police did very little or nothing with
4 this information even though one of the
5 investigators noted that Linda Fisher
6 was a credible witness. Mrs. Fisher --"

11:10

7 I think that should be Mrs. Milgaard,

8 "-- provided us with a tape of an
9 interview conducted between Mrs. Fisher
10 and one of the Milgaard investigators."

11:10

11 A Right. Yeah, that should be Mrs. Milgaard,
12 correct.

13 Q And did you get a number of audio tapes from Mrs.
14 Milgaard of various conversations that she had
15 with various people?

11:11

16 A Yes, she provided us with some material at that
17 time and I recall, you know, throughout the
18 investigation she provided us with additional
19 material.

11:11

20 Q And what was the -- can you recall, as far as the
21 audio tapes, did you ask her, was it a case of
22 saying lookit, give us all of your documents and
23 all of your audio tapes or was it a case of
24 lookit, you give us whatever you think you would
25 like us to investigate and sort of leave the

11:11



1 decision up to her as to what she gave you?

2 A I think it was more the second, that we kind of
3 asked her to provide us what she thought would be
4 of assistance.

11:11 5 Q And so that if she had audio tapes that she did
6 not provide to you, which I think is now, appears
7 to be the case at least before the Commission,
8 that we received some audio tapes that you may not
9 have had, are you telling us that that would be
11:12 10 the case, that she chose not to give them to you
11 as opposed to you asked and she refused?

12 A You know, I don't have an independent recall of
13 that, whether I asked her to provide us with
14 everything or just with what she thought, you
11:12 15 know, would be of assistance to us, so I don't
16 know that I can answer that question honestly.

17 Q I think if we look at the transcripts, it's a
18 question of, okay, well, tell us everything, give
19 us everything you have that might be of assistance
11:12 20 in our investigation or words to that effect;
21 would that be correct?

22 A I certainly wouldn't dispute that.

23 Q But I take it it's not a case where you are saying
24 lookit, as officers, here's a subpoena, give us
11:12 25 everything you have, it was more of a voluntarily



1 disclosure; would that be correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And did you think you had all of the tapes that
4 she had had in her possession, did you think you
11:12 5 had all the information that was relevant that she
6 had?

7 A I think I felt that she probably would have
8 provided us with everything, I couldn't see any
9 reason why she wouldn't, so I guess I assumed we
11:13 10 probably had everything.

11 Q Okay.

12 A But I don't know that I ever asked that question I
13 think is what I'm trying to suggest.

14 Q Sure. And just on the tape, I think what's
11:13 15 referenced here is a tape recording between Linda
16 Fisher and Paul Henderson and Mrs. Milgaard, I
17 think that's the one referred to here. Can you
18 tell us just generally what use if any did you
19 make of the interview tapes that Mrs. Milgaard had
11:13 20 of her interviews with witnesses or Mr.

21 Henderson's interview with witnesses, where did
22 those fit into your investigation?

23 A They would have been assigned to investigators and
24 the investigators would have been told to follow
11:13 25 up on them by either conducting additional



1 interviews, reviewing previous transcripts,
2 reviewing documentation, file reports, and like I
3 say, interviewing the witnesses themselves if need
4 be.

11:13 5 Q And -- but what use would you make of the fact
6 that, we know Linda Fisher gave a statement, to
7 have the tape of the interview between Mr.
8 Henderson, Mrs. Milgaard and Linda Fisher leading
9 up to the statement, what would be the value to
11:14 10 that, to having that to your investigative team?

11 A It would certainly assist our investigation by
12 having that available.

13 Q In what way though, I guess that's what I'm trying
14 to get at.

11:14 15 A Assist us in making a determination on perhaps
16 Linda's credibility or certainly on what she had
17 to offer.

18 Q And can you elaborate a bit further, what would
19 you be looking for in the interview that would
11:14 20 assist you in assessing her credibility, and I'm
21 talking just generally, I don't want to go to the
22 specific document, but just generally, if you have
23 an interview with a witness recorded prior to the
24 statement, what are the sorts of things you would
11:14 25 look at in the interview to assist you in



1 assessing the credibility of the statement?

2 A I think to try and find out whether suggestions
3 were made, whether the recall was independent or
4 whether it was influenced in any way.

11:14 5 Q And so would the -- I take it in many cases you
6 were looking at information given by witnesses in
7 1990, in that time frame, with respect to events
8 that happened in 1969 and '70; correct?

9 A Correct.

11:15 10 Q And would you then be looking at what was said to
11 these people and what they may have said leading
12 up to written statements or written interviews; is
13 that right, to see what happened before?

14 A Yes, that's correct.

11:15 15 Q And that would be the important -- or a factor in
16 assessing the credibility of what they had to say?

17 A Certainly.

18 Q Go to the next page, and again we've gone through
19 these previously in Mr. Wolch's interview, but
11:15 20 here the bone-handled hunting knife again becomes
21 an issue, Mrs. Milgaard says it was likely the
22 murder weapon, is that right, and that it was
23 disposed of inappropriately?

24 A That's correct.

11:16 25 Q And then paragraph 14 again talks about Fisher and



1 his dealing on the Saskatchewan charges, however,
2 she adds here:

3 "...that the judge in Regina who was at
4 the time the Hon. F.W. Johnson ... did
11:16 5 not know about the Winnipeg crimes and
6 the sentence he received. Therefore
7 enquiries should be conducted with
8 Justice F.W. Johnson ... to determine if
9 he recalls or has access to his
11:16 10 notes..."

11 So that would be a new matter that Mrs. Milgaard
12 raised, that the judge in Saskatchewan didn't
13 have, wasn't aware of the Winnipeg rapes?

14 A Yes, that's correct.

11:16 15 Q Now, in this case the judge gave concurrent time
16 and so I think on its face would have to have
17 known about Mr. Fisher's Winnipeg convictions;
18 right? You follow?

19 A Yes.

11:16 20 Q Like, logically?

21 A Yes.

22 Q So again on something like this, it appears that
23 you made efforts to contact Justice Johnson to get
24 his -- or followed up on this notwithstanding --
11:17 25 did you consider that scenario, that lookit, how



1 could he not know about the Winnipeg convictions
2 when he gave concurrent time?

3 A I know that was assigned to a team to investigate.
4 I don't have a recall, an independent recall of
11:17 5 just exactly what the results of that were, but
6 what you suggest makes sense.

7 Q Yeah. I think there was inquiries made. I guess
8 the point I'm making is I suppose one response to
9 this could be, well, lookit, that can't possibly
11:17 10 lead anywhere, the judge would have had to have
11 known about the Winnipeg offences based on the
12 fact that it was concurrent time. Now, I suppose
13 there could be scenarios where he didn't, but
14 instead of making that conclusion, you would
11:17 15 assign it to an investigator, follow up and do
16 what you were asked to do?

17 A Exactly, yeah. It certainly was not my intention
18 to challenge Mrs. Milgaard at any time. I was
19 prepared to accept everything she had to offer and
11:17 20 look into it.

21 Q Paragraph 15:

22 "Mrs. Milgaard advises that Caldwell
23 says in one of his letters to the Parole
24 Board that he and Tallis put "another
11:18 25 guy away". She advises that this term



1 indicates collusion on the part of
2 Caldwell and Tallis, possibly the
3 assumption of Milgaard's guilt and
4 therefore he was only given a token
11:18 5 defence."

6 And then goes on to talk about the Parole Board
7 letters. What was your reaction to that, the
8 suggestion that Mr. Caldwell and Mr. Tallis
9 colluded and sort of deliberately took steps to
11:18 10 ensure that David Milgaard was convicted?

11 A Well, certainly had that been true, there
12 certainly would have been something there that
13 would have been worthwhile following up on, you
14 know, through our justice advisors, but certainly
11:18 15 I took it on its face value and assigned it to be
16 investigated.

17 Q And so you would have had your investigators
18 investigate Mr. Tallis to see whether he committed
19 a *Criminal Code* offence of obstruction of justice
11:18 20 by colluding with the Crown prosecutor and not
21 giving a defence to Mr. Milgaard at trial; would
22 that be the nature --

23 A Correct, that's correct.

24 Q Next page, and again here's where:

11:19 25 "Mrs. Milgaard also alleges that Tallis



1 went to court for the preliminary
2 hearing without any file material. That
3 it was later that week that he got some
4 disclosure from Caldwell and that Tallis
11:19 5 was not able to provide Milgaard with a
6 proper defence."

7 And again if we can just probe a bit to see where
8 this fits in. What types of criminal conduct
9 might this allegation be tied to?

11:19 10 A Certainly there's, it's worth looking into to see
11 if there's obstruction of justice and there may be
12 other issues with that as well.

13 Q And so again, that if, as a part of criminal
14 conduct, either by Mr. Caldwell because he didn't
11:19 15 give the statements or Mr. Tallis because he was
16 in collusion, is that --

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And then I suppose we talked earlier about the
19 lesser standard, that negligence or incompetence,
11:19 20 things of that nature, although you may not be
21 ultimately deciding on that, you would gather
22 information to follow up on that allegation?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q So that if the allegation is made that lookit, we
11:20 25 thought that Mr. Tallis was incompetent at trial



1 and didn't do a very good job, you are telling us
2 that, I think, was relevant in your investigation
3 because of where it might lead?

4 A That's correct.

11:20 5 Q Down at the bottom, I won't read this, I can
6 summarize it quickly, I think this is the
7 allegation where Mrs. Milgaard says that Howard
8 Shannon, who approached her in the early '80s to
9 hire Tony Merchant to act for David Milgaard and
11:20 10 pay for it, and I think Mrs. Milgaard then told
11 you people she thought that Colin Thatcher was the
12 killer of Gail Miller and that Tony Merchant was
13 using this ruse of Howard Shannon to be Joyce
14 Milgaard or David Milgaard's counsel to find out
11:21 15 whether or not they had information about Colin
16 Thatcher. Do you recall that type of allegation
17 being made?

18 A I do recall that.

19 Q And so I suppose in a couple of respects, one, if
11:21 20 Colin Thatcher were the killer of Gail Miller,
21 that would be a relevant matter?

22 A Yes, it would.

23 Q As a result of this allegation of Mrs. Milgaard,
24 did your investigators pursue to see whether or
11:21 25 not there was any information that would link



1 Colin Thatcher to the murder of Gail Miller?

2 A Yes, they did.

3 Q And as far as the reason put forward for Mr.

4 Merchant's representing Mrs. Milgaard, again,

11:21 5 would that have been something your investigators

6 pursued by way of criminal wrongdoing in the sense

7 that -- did you look at whether or not there was

8 an obstruction of justice by virtue of the fact

9 that Mrs. Milgaard says Tony Merchant used false

11:22 10 pretences to represent her son or something of

11 that nature, was that something you looked at?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Go to the next page, and again, paragraph 19, I

14 don't need to go through it, but that's where I

11:22 15 think you recite her allegation that Colin

16 Thatcher may have committed the murder. How did

17 you square that with her suggestion saying, well,

18 Larry Fisher committed the murder?

19 A I think, you know, Mrs. Milgaard was certainly

11:22 20 grasping at anything she could find that she felt,

21 you know, should be looked into, so we certainly

22 took it down and investigated it as far as we

23 could take it.

24 Q And so it doesn't appear from the transcript that

11:22 25 you went back and said, well, lookit, how can you



1 say Colin Thatcher did it when you say Larry
2 Fisher did it, which one are you picking?

3 A No. Like I said, I wasn't about to challenge her
4 here. This was simply gathering information.

11:23 5 Q Paragraph 20 talks about the allegation that:

6 "...in the 1980s when the Milgaard
7 family was conducting a review of the
8 evidence and interviewing witnesses in
9 Regina, such as Wilson, Lapchuck, Nichol
11:23 10 John, etc. the Saskatoon Police came to
11 Regina. The purpose of the Saskatoon
12 Police coming to Regina was to tell the
13 witnesses not to speak with the Milgaard
14 family and investigators."

11:23 15 And that would be a matter that you would pursue?

16 A Yes, that was pursued.

17 Q And again, would that be part of a broader
18 allegation that the police were maybe trying to
19 cover up?

11:23 20 A Yes.

21 Q And keep her from getting information?

22 A We certainly wanted to look at it to determine,
23 make that determination.

24 Q Again, down at the bottom, Mrs. Milgaard -- it
11:23 25 says:



1 "Like Mr. Wolch, Mrs. Milgaard is
2 alleging the Crown's prime witnesses
3 Cadrain, Nichol John and Wilson were
4 influenced by the Saskatoon Police to
11:23 5 say what they did."

6 And then provides a tape of Peter
7 Carlyle-Gordge's interview with Albert Cadrain
8 saying:

9 "...this tape contains conversation
11:24 10 indicating Cadrain was coached to say
11 what he did."

12 So again here would be another tape that Mrs.
13 Milgaard provided of a witness interview done
14 previously for your use?

11:24 15 A That's correct.

16 Q Next page, I'll just highlight, I don't need to go
17 through it, I think she repeated the Father Murphy
18 allegation that Mr. Wolch did, that Father Murphy
19 was responsible in conjunction with the police for
11:24 20 directing Albert Cadrain to the police station
21 prior to March 2nd, 1969; is that correct?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q Now, here you say:

24 "Mrs. Milgaard goes on to say that
11:24 25 things that Cadrain said during the



1 preliminary hearing and at trial were
2 not the truth in that the Regina Police
3 told him he could "end up in an alley."
4 And that the Regina Police questioned
5 him aggressively about the Gail Miller
6 murder. This is in conflict with Regina
7 Police files, however the contents of
8 Cadrain's comments should be examined."

9 So at this point would you have had some
10 information from the Regina police files?

11 A Yes, I must have had material that I had reviewed.

12 Q Do you have a recollection of that specific issue?

13 A No, I don't. I recall the specific issue, I just
14 don't recall the finer details of the examination
15 of it.

16 Q Do you have a recollection, and again I will take
17 you to the report later, but do you have -- and we
18 can certainly defer it if you like, but a
19 recollection of what it was your investigators
20 concluded happened when Albert Cadrain was in
21 Regina police custody on the vagrancy charge and
22 the extent to which he may or may not have been
23 questioned by the police about the Gail Miller
24 murder?

25 A If I recall correctly, I think there was just a



1 passing comment made by one of the investigators
2 about him being, the Saskatoon police being
3 interested in talking to him. I don't recall
4 anything beyond that.

11:26 5 Q Okay. We'll come back to that point when we get
6 to the report. And down at the bottom, this issue
7 about:

8 "...David was interviewed under the drug
9 sodium pentothal by Dr. Denson in the
11:26 10 mid-eighties and that under the
11 influence of this drug, David maintained
12 his innocence throughout."

13 Did you ever, or your investigators ever consider
14 administering a polygraph -- I don't think you --
11:26 15 you talked about one for Ron Wilson I think; is
16 that right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q What about David Milgaard, did you ever consider
19 that do you recall?

11:26 20 A I think probably one of the reasons that that was
21 never ever sort of taken beyond the point of
22 perhaps some thought was that Mr. Milgaard was so
23 difficult to interview, I found him very, very
24 difficult to focus, and in my view likely had one
11:26 25 not been able to get him to focus would have not



1 been suitable for a polygraph test.

2 **Q** And I think you told us you had significant
3 experience as a polygraph operator; is that
4 correct?

11:27 5 **A** Yes, I did.

6 **Q** And so if he had been a suitable candidate, is
7 that something that you might have considered or
8 do you have a recollection of whether that was
9 something your team considered doing?

11:27 10 **A** We may have considered it, although, you know, as
11 I mentioned, the focus of the investigation wasn't
12 on his guilt or innocence, so therefore I think I
13 was more interested in trying to find out from him
14 where he would allege, you know, a cover-up
15 occurred or obstruction of justice occurred as
16 opposed to whether or not he was truthful or
17 deceptive to the issue of Gail Miller's murder.

18 **Q** Okay. Go to the next page:

19 "Mrs. Milgaard advises that when Paul
11:27 20 Henderson interviewed Wilson, Wilson
21 stated that after discussing it with
22 Nichol John, he and Nichol made the
23 decision to give them David. This will
24 require clarification. Mrs. Milgaard
11:27 25 also says the motel room reenactment was



1 made up by Lapchuck and Melnyk because
2 Melnyk was facing charges of armed
3 robbery in Regina and he was given a
4 lighter sentence in exchange for his
11:28 5 testimony."

6 And then you've got:

7 "Examine tape made by Paul Henderson,
8 interview Wilson, Lapchuck, Melnyk and
9 any other..."

11:28 10 And at this point or at some point did you become
11 aware that Paul Henderson had taped his interview
12 with Ron Wilson right prior to the recanting
13 statement of June 4th, 1990?

14 A Yes, and if I wasn't aware of it, Mrs. Milgaard
11:28 15 probably told me during this interview.

16 Q And again, you've talked about this generally,
17 what would be the importance to you of finding out
18 what Mr. Henderson and Mr. Wilson discussed on
19 June 4th, 1990 before Mr. Wilson gave his
11:28 20 statement?

21 A Well, it certainly would provide some evidence as
22 to whether or not there was some influence
23 provided, whether the recall was independent and
24 truthful and would give us an opportunity to
11:28 25 assess him as a witness.



1 Q Did you become aware of an interview or interviews
2 between Mrs. Milgaard and Ron Wilson, I think in
3 1981, I believe there were some tapes of those
4 interviews?

11:29 5 A Yes.

6 Q And again, would those tapes -- tell us how those
7 tapes would have been used by your team in
8 assessing Ron Wilson and the allegations relating
9 to Ron Wilson?

11:29 10 A Certainly. It would have been helpful to have
11 known what was said to Mr. Wilson, what he
12 provided as recall and what was provided to him by
13 way of suggestion or influence.

14 Q Okay. Now, I think you had the '81 tapes; is that
11:29 15 right, of the interviews of Ron Wilson?

16 A I believe we do, yes.

17 Q And again, so would you be looking for what Mr.
18 Henderson might have said to Mr. Wilson by way of
19 what information would have gone from interviewer
11:29 20 to interviewee?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And why would that be important?

23 A Once again, to see whether or not there was some
24 influence provided or whether he was coerced or
11:30 25 whether his statement was free and voluntary.



1 Q And would you do that with any witness, would that
2 be an issue you would look at with any witness
3 statement?

4 A Yes.

11:30 5 Q And would it be correct to say, Mr. Sawatsky, that
6 when you and police take witnesses from statements
7 (sic) and matters proceed to court, that's what
8 you and your people are put through as well; in
9 other words, what was discussed with the witness
11:30 10 that gave rise to the statement?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And so would that be a pretty important matter for
13 police officers to look into, what were the
14 circumstances under which this person gave a
11:30 15 statement?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And the fact that it's recanting sworn evidence
18 given at a trial, did that -- did that make it
19 more of a concern or more of a need to get that
11:30 20 information?

21 A Yes, it certainly in my view heightened the reason
22 for us to get it and to try and make a
23 determination as to its authenticity.

24 Q And had you dealt with recanting witnesses in the
11:31 25 past or had experience with witnesses of that



1 nature?

2 A I don't recall anything. Certainly nothing of
3 this magnitude.

4 Q Okay. And I'm just wondering if there's anything
11:31 5 unique about a statement from a recanting witness
6 compared to a statement from a regular witness?

7 A Well, you certainly, you know, with any regular
8 witness, you try and corroborate what they are
9 telling you through what other people say, what
11:31 10 other people do and the evidence, and it would
11 certainly be the same with the recantation, you
12 would want to try and verify what the person said
13 in the recantation to try and make a determination
14 as to whether that recantation is genuine or not.

11:31 15 Q Then if we can scroll down here:

16 "Further, Mrs. Milgaard advises that
17 both John and Wilson admitted at the
18 Supreme Court of Canada that they had a
19 conversation and decided to give them
11:31 20 David."

21 Now, I take it if that were true, would that be
22 something that would be -- tell us what
23 significance that would have?

24 A Well, it certainly could indicate that there was
11:32 25 influence or pressure put upon them to get



1 together and make up evidence or a statement.

2 Q And I think you say "action required", you have to
3 review the Supreme Court evidence, so this
4 allegation would prompt you to go and review what
11:32 5 was said at the Supreme Court of Canada to find
6 out whether or not Ron Wilson and Nichol John
7 testified that they had a conversation and decided
8 to give them David?

9 A Correct.

11:32 10 Q I'll talk a bit about the cosmetic bag or compact
11 bag:

12 "Mrs. Milgaard went into detail about
13 the cosmetic bag. She states that David
14 denied ever seeing a cosmetic bag or a
11:32 15 cosmetic compact. The notes that
16 Milgaard wrote for Mr. Tallis supposedly
17 contain reference to a compact."

18 Is it fair to say there was conflicting evidence
19 about whether or not this event happened?

11:32 20 A Yes.

21 Q And if the evidence at trial was that the event
22 did happen and it turned out that it really didn't
23 happen, that might be evidence to support police
24 misconduct, Crown misconduct and influencing
11:33 25 witnesses?



1 A Yes, it would.

2 Q Now, just comment on this question about witnesses
3 giving false evidence. The suggestion put to you
4 I think by Mr. Wolch and Mrs. Milgaard was that
11:33 5 lookit, these witnesses lied at trial and they
6 were influenced by the police to lie; correct?

7 A Correct.

8 Q And in your experience, is there a scenario or is
9 it a possibility that witnesses may lie at trial,
11:33 10 but not because of any improper police conduct,
11 but rather for other reasons, i.e., their own
12 personal reasons?

13 A Yes.

14 Q In other words, if a witness lies at trial -- let
11:34 15 me put it to you this way. A reasonable starting
16 point might be to say okay, well, did the police
17 or the Crown do something that caused them to get
18 to that point?

19 A Correct.

11:34 20 Q And particularly where initially they may have
21 said nothing happened?

22 A Correct.

23 Q In your experience in dealing with witnesses
24 investigating crime, particularly whether it be
11:34 25 suspects or acquaintances of suspects, can you



1 tell us generally, do witnesses generally tell you
2 everything the first time you meet with them?

3 A Rarely. Most of the time you have to, you know,
4 conduct an interview and then try and verify what
11:34 5 they've told you, try and determine whether or not
6 all the elements of the statement are truthful and
7 then quite often have to go back, and it could be
8 for any number of reasons, it could be because
9 they have something to hide, there could be an
11:34 10 influence, they may be fearful, maybe mistrustful,
11 there may be any number of reasons, but rarely to
12 particularly accused persons forthright volunteer
13 the entire truth.

14 Q So putting aside everything with respect to this
11:35 15 case but for the fact that Ron Wilson and Nichol
16 John gave initial statements in early March that
17 did not appear to say much, if anything,
18 incriminating against David Milgaard, and then
19 later after a number of police interviews gave
11:35 20 statements that were incriminating, did that in
21 and of itself cause you to be suspicious about
22 police conduct?

23 A No, not that in and of itself, because what we did
24 was sort of trace how those statements were
11:35 25 provided and whether or not there was other



1 factors that corroborated what they said as the
2 statements unfolded and became more detailed.

3 Q Okay. So that it didn't jump out at you and say,
4 okay, how did they get to this point, the police
11:35 5 must have done something wrong, I think you are
6 telling us in your experience as a police officer
7 that might have been the norm?

8 A Yes, and often was the norm.

9 Q And so again in looking at the, if you are looking
11:36 10 at evidence at trial of witnesses who -- let's
11 take this scenario, where Nichol John and Ron
12 Wilson, their evidence at trial, for whatever
13 reason, you find out maybe isn't truthful, I think
14 what you are telling us is that that doesn't
11:36 15 necessarily mean that it was a result of wrongful
16 police conduct?

17 A No, it doesn't.

18 Q It could be, it could be the result of police
19 pressure, proper pressure, and weak witnesses
11:36 20 might be one scenario?

21 A Yes, yes.

22 Q Could be police misconduct, weak witnesses;
23 correct?

24 A Correct.

11:36 25 Q Could be witnesses who had a different agenda and



1 just decided to lie?

2 A Correct.

3 Q And so, again, would those be all matters, in
4 trying to sort out this issue of what Wilson and
11:37 5 John and Cadrain said at trial, whether it's
6 truthful or not, related to the issue of
7 misconduct but wasn't determinative; is that a
8 fair way to put it?

9 A That's a fair way to put it.

11:37 10 Q And I suppose the cosmetic bag or compact, if we
11 pause there for a moment, if it's suggested that,
12 lookit, it's alleged to you that the reason that
13 John, Wilson and Cadrain are lying is because they
14 say they saw the cosmetic or compact being thrown
11:37 15 out the window and that fact isn't true, that's a
16 fabrication, then to investigate that, and again
17 if it was a fabrication you would go back to what
18 you just told me, either lookit, did the police
19 plant it there or cause them to say that, or did
11:38 20 they have their own reasons, and try and sort that
21 out; correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q On the other hand, if it's true that it did happen
24 and it's alleged that that's part of the wrongful
11:38 25 influence, I suppose does it go the other way and



1 say, okay, well to the extent that police
2 questioning after the first statements, after
3 March 3, 1969, resulted in the disclosure of a
4 true fact that's corroborated elsewhere, tell me
5 how that might influence your thinking about
6 whether or not there was police misconduct in the
7 interviewing of the witnesses?

11:38 8 A Well it would certainly, you know, make me think
9 that perhaps there wasn't police misconduct.

11:38 10 Q So in other words, if the post-initial statement
11 questioning resulted in information from these
12 witnesses that at least in some respects was
13 truthful and had not been obtained before and
14 could be corroborated, tell us how, again, that
15 would -- that would influence your thinking about
16 the police conduct?

11:39 17 A Yes, it would. It would, if you were able to
18 corroborate what eventually had come out in the
19 statements, you would then think that there was no
20 police misconduct that at least influenced them to
21 say something happened that didn't happen, or that
22 a piece of evidence was there that wasn't there,
23 and certainly it would be important to also see
24 what the witness, him or herself, had to say about
11:39 25 the way that he or she was treated.



1 Q And can you just comment a bit about that, about
2 police investigation. If you've got a situation
3 where a witness, where an officer believes a
4 witness has not provided all of the information
11:39 5 that the officer thinks he or she has, and let's
6 talk about young people, let's talk about 16 or
7 17-year-old people. Again we've heard some
8 evidence that yes, aggressive questioning is
9 required, but there is a line out there somewhere
11:39 10 that you can't cross; is that fair?

11 A That's fair.

12 Q And that, if you don't question further, you may
13 not get evidence that's truthful and required;
14 correct?

11:40 15 A Correct.

16 Q And so how -- how, when you go look at that, I
17 mean how do you assess? Is it a case of looking
18 at the end product and say "okay, well if the
19 questioning got the truth, then it's okay", or are
11:40 20 there still some cases where the questioning is
21 inappropriate even if it results in the truth?

22 A Well, certainly, there are some areas where the
23 questioning is inappropriate, and certainly police
24 officers are guided by the courts, guided by what,
11:40 25 you know, the courts will allow for admissibility



1 and non-admissibility, but the ultimate test, I
2 guess, rests with the courts whether or not they
3 admit the statement.

4 Q And we've heard some evidence from some officers
11:40 5 that I guess in cases where, after the initial
6 statement is provided, to the extent that further
7 information is then later obtained from the
8 witness; what signal, if any, does that give to an
9 interviewer? Do you follow my question?

11:41 10 A Yeah. Perhaps you could just go over it again?

11 Q Sure. That if you've got a witness who says
12 "lookit, nothing happened" -- and let's talk about
13 Wilson and John.

14 A Okay.

11:41 15 Q And then a bit later some further information is
16 provided that was not provided in the initial
17 statement, for whatever reason, and officers
18 obtain further information, and I think what the
19 evidence we heard from some officers is that
11:41 20 lookit, that caused me to think that they were
21 holding back or that there was more; I just want
22 your comment on that?

23 A I think that's a fair assessment of the way it
24 usually happens, that, you know, sometimes
11:41 25 statements are added to or more information is



1 provided over time as opposed to right at the
2 initial interview.

3 Q And again, if we can just go a bit further, I
4 think the allegation here was that -- almost a bit
11:41 5 further, not that they aggressively pushed these
6 witnesses, but they did so and then said, "lookit,
7 here is the story we would like you to tell, and
8 here's what it is", and give it to the witness and
9 have them adopt it; that -- was that your
11:42 10 understanding?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And again, I guess just your comment on that,
13 about how, based on your experience as a police
14 officer, how can that be -- is that something that
11:42 15 could be done, or just I wouldn't mind your
16 thoughts on how it can be done and how you would
17 detect it?

18 A I think how would you -- you would detect it would
19 be to try and verify elements of that as truthful
11:42 20 or not truthful, and certainly interviewing the
21 witness to find out whether or not that happened
22 would be -- would certainly help.

23 Q So let's say we have that allegation, are you
24 telling me the two ways to test that would be to
11:42 25 say "okay, witness, did the police tell you to say



1 this story" --

2 A Yes.

3 Q -- would be one way, and if they said "yes, they
4 did, they said lookit, here's what happened, adopt
11:42 5 it"; that would be one way?

6 A That would be one way.

7 Q A second way would be to look at the content of
8 the statement and check whether those facts are
9 corroborated elsewhere?

11:43 10 A Correct.

11 Q And, if some of the facts are corroborated
12 elsewhere, what does that mean?

13 A Well that would certainly give one a pretty good
14 guide as to whether or not someone was put up to
11:43 15 saying something or was forced to say something --

16 Q Okay.

17 A -- or was coerced into saying something that
18 didn't occur.

19 Q And if some of the, I guess, final statement turns
11:43 20 out not to be corroborated or not to be true, you
21 are then left with the question of, okay, well how
22 did the witness end up saying that?

23 A Exactly.

24 Q Is it an easy task to sort that out?

11:43 25 A No.



1 Q And would you --

2 A Particularly after, you know, 20 some years.

3 Q And, in doing so, would you have to rely upon the
4 credibility of the very witnesses that were said
11:43 5 to have been manipulated?

6 A In some cases, to a degree, yes.

7 Q And so in this case, with Ron Wilson and Nichol
8 John, would you have to depend to some extent upon
9 the credibility of what they could tell you in
11:44 10 1992 and 1993 about what happened in 1969; is that
11 --

12 A Yes, yes, and certainly on the feelings of the
13 investigator who re-interviewed them as to what,
14 you know, his assessment was of their ability to
11:44 15 sort of recall truthfully.

16 Q If we can go down to paragraph 29, and this is the
17 comment about the Avenue N and Avenue O issue, and
18 we see a fair bit of this in the documents around
19 this time. What was your understanding of what --
11:44 20 where this allegation fit in, what was it, and
21 what did you make of it?

22 A Well I think, you know, in perhaps some of the
23 work Mrs. Milgaard had done along with those who
24 were assisting her was to try and look at the
11:44 25 crime scene and see whether or not it could happen



1 the way that was suggested, or the Crown's theory,
2 and I think what she was suggesting to us here was
3 that it simply couldn't have happened that way.

4 Q If we can then go to the next page. Again, there
11:45 5 is a remark here about the Danchuks and
6 Mr. Rasmussen being in contact with David Milgaard
7 after, and they both, I think all three of them
8 testified at trial. Can you tell us -- and I
9 think those people were investigated by your
11:45 10 investigators; is that right?

11 A Yes, they were.

12 Q And what, I'm trying to understand, I guess, why
13 would you follow up on that if they testified at
14 trial that lookit, we, here's what we saw, we
11:45 15 didn't observe blood, and here the allegation is
16 lookit, they were stopped and these people didn't
17 see any blood; I'm trying to understand, how did
18 you see this fitting into the investigation that
19 you were doing?

11:45 20 A Well, certainly, I think it was something that
21 caused us to feel we should re-interview those
22 witnesses, regardless of what they had said,
23 because there could have been -- they may have
24 seen something that we should be aware of, and
11:46 25 it's -- certainly could be helpful to our overall



1 investigation.

2 Q And, again, maybe I'm asking these questions with
3 the hindsight of what they have said, but at the
4 time when this was presented to you would it be
11:46 5 correct to say that, well, you would have a
6 general idea of who the Danchuks and Rasmussens
7 were but, until you went and talked to them, you
8 wouldn't know whether they or not they had any
9 information that would be of assistance in your
11:46 10 investigation?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q Yeah. Go to the next page. We get to the comment
13 of Sidney Wilson, and I'm not sure that that topic
14 was raised in a significant way in the interview
11:46 15 with Mr. Wolch, it may well have been, but here:

16 "Mrs. Milgaard spoke of a Sidney Wilson
17 who in 1990 contacted Mr. Wolch
18 anonymously advising that he (Sidney
19 Wilson) was aware that Larry Fisher had
11:47 20 killed Gail Miller. Wilson stated he
21 was aware of this because he saw Larry
22 Fisher covered with blood the morning of
23 the murder. The Milgaard investigators
24 have been unable to locate Sidney
11:47 25 Wilson."



1 And here you say:

2 "At this time I feel it is imperative
3 that we make every effort to try and
4 locate Sidney Wilson. Sidney Wilson,
11:47 5 obviously a pseudonym, contacted Mr.
6 Wolch and said that he could be reached
7 through a radio station in Saskatoon.
8 Enquiries should be conducted in an
9 attempt to contact Sidney Wilson."

10 Can you tell us, elaborate a bit on this, what
11 was the significance you saw about this anonymous
12 phone call?

13 A Well if, in fact, what she was saying was true
14 then we certainly wanted to try and obtain that
11:47 15 evidence as part of our investigation, so I know
16 we made attempts to find Mr. Wilson and it was
17 quite a lengthy process before we were finally
18 able to locate that person.

19 Q And I think one of the issues, if I'm not
11:48 20 mistaken, is that what Sidney Wilson told Mr.
21 Wolch, or the person who said -- was said to be
22 Sidney Wilson told Mr. Wolch, the incriminating
23 evidence about Larry Fisher was attributed to
24 Linda Fisher, it was that Linda saw Larry come
11:48 25 home with blood all over his clothes; correct?



1 A Correct.

2 Q And Linda Fisher did not corroborate that?

3 A She did not.

4 Q She had other reasons why she thought Larry had
11:48 5 committed the crime, but seeing him with blood on
6 his clothes was not something she corroborated?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And if somebody had witnessed Larry Fisher with
9 blood on his clothes on the morning of Gail
11:48 10 Miller's murder, would that have been evidence or
11 information of significance in your investigation?

12 A It certainly would have been.

13 Q And I take it that, obviously, that it might be
14 evidence tending to point towards Mr. Fisher's
11:48 15 responsibility for the crime?

16 A Yes, it would have.

17 Q Here:

18 "Mrs. Milgaard advises that Breckenridge
19 may not have been in the Justice Dept.
11:49 20 at the time that the Milgaard/Fisher
21 files were on the Chief Prosecutor's
22 desk. However, Breckenridge has heard
23 comments and conversation to support his
24 claim that Mr. Kujawa suppressed
11:49 25 evidence."



1 And then you go on to say:

2 "Interview Breckenridge to determine
3 what he heard and interview other
4 employees employed at the time including
11:49 5 secretaries to determine if there is any
6 evidence to indicate Kujawa suppressed
7 or covered up evidence."

8 Can you tell us what your reaction was to this
9 information about Mr. Breckenridge and your
11:49 10 knowledge, at the time, about whether he did or
11 didn't work in the department in 1970 or '71.

12 A Well, I certainly hadn't had the opportunity to
13 sort of fully investigate that myself. I was
14 aware that the original claim was that he had
11:49 15 worked there at the time and saw certain things,
16 and that was sort of lessened, now, to the fact
17 that he simply had knowledge or had heard
18 comments. But in -- either way, I think it was up
19 to us to follow that up and try and determine if
11:49 20 there was anything to that.

21 Q And, again, did you understand it to be this; that
22 lookit, okay, maybe he didn't work there at the
23 time and maybe he didn't see it, but someone else
24 must have seen it and told him, and so his
11:50 25 evidence is now hearsay evidence?



1 A Exactly.

2 Q And that's sort of what you understood the, if I
3 can call it the revived Breckenridge allegation
4 was, that --

11:50 5 A Yes.

6 Q And I think initially it was Breckenridge
7 witnessed it, he saw the two files go in there and
8 he participated in this event that he said gave
9 rise to a miscarriage of justice, that was your
11:50 10 understanding of the initial complaint?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And now Mrs. Milgaard is saying, okay, maybe he
13 wasn't there at the time, and if he wasn't, then
14 he heard comments and conversation to support his
11:50 15 claim?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q And would it follow, then, that that would mean
18 his original statement would be false? In other
19 words, if someone says "lookit, I delivered the
11:50 20 files there and I saw it" and then later says
21 "okay, well maybe I didn't, I just heard that
22 somebody did that", tell me what -- how do you
23 then assess the credibility of the first
24 statement, being the allegation that I was present
11:51 25 and I saw it?



1 A Well the -- exactly. I mean, it has no value at
2 all. However, certainly there's areas there that
3 could be followed up on to try and find him, talk
4 to him, and find out whether or not he could add
11:51 5 any information about the comments he heard, who
6 those people were.

7 Q What would --

8 A But certainly as far as Breckenridge, the original
9 statement was concerned, it would be of little
11:51 10 value.

11 Q What was your reaction to this? And, again, I
12 appreciate at this point you had not, and your
13 team, had not investigated any specific matter
14 related to Breckenridge; is that right? This is
11:51 15 the end of January.

16 A Yeah.

17 Q And so, before you've even started into what I
18 think you told us was the primary, initially the
19 primary focus of your investigation, you are now
11:51 20 being told by Mr. Wolch "lookit, this is the least
21 important bit of evidence", and now Mrs. Milgaard
22 is saying "he may not have worked there at the
23 time he said he did", in other words his statement
24 isn't true because if he didn't work there it's
11:52 25 not true, right, "but maybe he heard it from



1 somebody else"? So before you started that
2 investigation it appears that it has been
3 downplayed and changed; is that fair?

4 A That's fair.

11:52 5 Q And what was your sense of that; did you have any
6 concerns about that?

7 A Well I guess I didn't know whether -- where the
8 problem lied, and certainly wanted to find out
9 from Mr. Breckenridge what he could contribute.

11:52 10 Q And did your team follow up on this amended
11 Breckenridge complaint, that if -- that, first of
12 all, the allegation is he saw the two files in the
13 office and he witnessed and heard these words from
14 Mr. Kujawa that were uttered about the time about
11:52 15 "mind your own business", and things of that
16 nature, and saw the two files go in there when
17 Mr. Romanow was in there, and in the alternative,
18 if he didn't see it, then someone else must have
19 seen it and must have later told him when he
11:52 20 started there in 1973, and therefore whether he
21 saw it or not he can establish that it happened,
22 so that was your -- that's what you ended up
23 investigating?

24 A That's right.

11:53 25 Q And so you investigated both aspects of that?



1 A That's correct.

2 Q Go to the next page. And here, again, the
3 allegation about Lapchuk and the motel room
4 incident. I think, here, some evidence at the
11:53 5 Supreme Court about Wilson saying:

6 "... Lapchuck went to get a gun when he
7 heard David had escaped from jail. Mrs.
8 Milgaard claims this is because Lapchuck
9 had lied and framed David and he felt
11:53 10 David may be coming after him."

11 What was your understanding at the time,
12 initially, about this motel room incident? And
13 let me put two scenarios to you; one, and what
14 appears here, the allegation that was made on a
11:53 15 number of occasions was that the incident in the
16 room didn't happen, namely David grabbing a
17 pillow and uttering the words that "I stabbed
18 her, I killed her", etcetera, one allegation was
19 that event never happened and that Melnyk and
11:54 20 Lapchuk fabricated the evidence at trial as a
21 result of some arrangements or getting paid or
22 something with the prosecutors, and that that was
23 the obstruction of justice and the incident
24 didn't happen; do you recall that being the
11:54 25 allegation that was put forward?



1 A Yes, I do.

2 Q And was that your understanding of the allegation
3 that was being made?

4 A Yes.

11:54 5 Q Yeah. And then, at times, there is a -- an
6 allegation that says, okay, well if those words
7 were spoken and the conduct occurred, then it was
8 a joke?

9 A Yes, I was aware of that as well.

11:54 10 Q And so, again in the alternative, if it did happen
11 it was a joke. And the wrongdoing then, I think
12 what we see in the documents, is -- is that Melnyk
13 and Lapchuk lied about their perception of it?

14 A That's correct.

11:54 15 Q And so, again, does that -- did you investigate
16 sort of both of those, or what was your -- what
17 was your take on this incident?

18 A Yes, we certainly investigated both of those to
19 determine, if we could, to try and find out what
11:55 20 exactly happened, what the truth was, and whether
21 or not Lapchuk and Melnyk did lie and whether they
22 were put up to it.

23 Q Paragraph 41, it talks about:

24 "Mrs. Milgaard not understanding legal
11:55 25 processes ... that the Crown only



1 introduced exhibits into Court which
2 indicated David may be involved in the
3 crime and that they excluded those which
4 tended to exonerate him. However, she
11:55 5 was specific in that she stated the lump
6 of yellow substance found in the snow
7 was dog urine."

8 And so I take it that was one of the allegations
9 you pursued, we talked a bit about this
11:55 10 yesterday, that -- the dog urine?

11 A Yes, that was one of the allegations we pursued.

12 Q And what was your understanding, what was the
13 allegation about how the dog urine was used at
14 trial against David Milgaard?

11:55 15 A It was my understanding that it was introduced as
16 semen.

17 Q And whose semen?

18 A Well, it was introduced as semen, and they tried
19 to identify it as, I believe, to blood type A.

11:56 20 Q Yes?

21 A And that I think it was introduced as blood type A
22 non-secretor, and I think David was an A,
23 identified as an A-type secretor.

24 Q I think it was a flip side.

11:56 25 A Flip side? Okay.



1 Q And so, again, my question is was it your
2 understanding that the Milgaard position was that
3 the dog urine was put in at trial and said to
4 belong to David Milgaard and connected him to Gail
11:56 5 Miller?

6 A Correct.

7 Q To the murder?

8 A Correct.

9 Q And so, in other words, that he was convicted by
11:56 10 dog urine?

11 A Well it certainly was one of the, or one of the
12 pieces of evidence that may have contributed to
13 him being convicted.

14 Q And again, if that was the case and it was done
11:56 15 deliberately or knowingly, then that would be
16 something that would give rise to criminal
17 conduct?

18 A Correct.

19 Q And if it was done not knowingly or deliberately,
11:56 20 it might be incompetent, it might again get into
21 the standards of the people involved in securing
22 that?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q Next page. This is the missing police documents,
11:57 25 paragraph 45:



1 "Mrs. Milgaard brought up the issue of
2 the Saskatchewan Police Commission
3 investigation into the missing Saskatoon
4 Police Department files. She advises
11:57 5 that their source who is within the
6 Saskatoon Police Dept. saw material in
7 the Saskatoon Police files which was
8 later removed. This information
9 related, of course, to the Fisher rape
11:57 10 files. For this reason, I now feel that
11 it is imperative that the identity of
12 the source in Saskatoon Police be
13 revealed to us. For the purposes of
14 this investigation, it is necessary that
11:57 15 we are able to get firsthand what
16 evidence this source is able to supply."

17 And do I read that correctly, Mr. Sawatsky, that
18 the allegation was that -- you talked earlier
19 that the allegation that the Larry Fisher rape
11:58 20 files were deliberately destroyed by the
21 Saskatoon police as part of a coverup; that was
22 the allegation?

23 A That was the allegation.

24 Q And this allegation is that our confidential
11:58 25 informant within the Saskatoon Police Service can



1 substantiate that, because he saw the files, and
2 then later they were destroyed; is that your
3 understanding?

4 A That's my understanding.

11:58 5 Q And that's what you investigated?

6 A That's what we investigated.

7 Q And I think you told us earlier, we know that's
8 Tom Vanin, and he would not talk to you or not
9 discuss the details; is that right?

11:58 10 A That's right.

11 Q I see it's 12:00, Mr. Commissioner, probably
12 appropriate to break.

13 (*Adjourned at 11:58 a.m.*)

14 (*Reconvened at 1:34 p.m.*)

01:34 15 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Hodson, I
16 wonder if I could ask your indulgence just for a
17 minute.

18 MR. HODSON: Sure.

19 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Last week,
01:35 20 counsel, I think it was on Thursday, I said a few
21 words about remaining witnesses and so on and I
22 didn't say very many words because it suddenly
23 occurred to me that I was speaking to an empty
24 room, it being Thursday, so that's why I'm trying
01:35 25 again today while somebody is still here, and I



1 just wanted to let you know, and this is from my
2 point of view, don't blame Commission Counsel for
3 my choice of witnesses, but from my point of
4 view, I would like to hear from the following
01:35 5 ordinary witnesses, and we'll have to ask Mr.
6 Sawatsky back I'm afraid, and Mr. Asper and Mr.
7 Williams to complete their evidence.

8 From Justice Canada I want to
9 here from Mr. Fainstein and from Saskatchewan
01:35 10 Justice Mr. Brown.

11 As to expert witnesses, and I
12 can only be tentative here of course because the
13 expert witnesses that I want to hear will be
14 those whose expertise is engaged by the evidence
01:36 15 I've heard, and I haven't heard all the evidence
16 yet, but tentatively speaking, I would like to
17 hear from a media expert, one forensic scientist
18 and somebody knowledgeable on the issue of a
19 conviction review panel.

01:36 20 Certain systemic issues, as we
21 know, have been overtaken by events and there are
22 many issues which have been raised by the facts
23 of this case, but we know, for example, that the
24 subject has now become academic because of
01:36 25 jurisprudence for, to name one thing, *Stinchcombe*



1 on production, or disclosure, so there's no point
2 in going into matters which have been settled
3 since the Milgaard conviction.

4 As you know, under our rules,
01:37 5 parties with standing may apply to have witnesses
6 called and so if any of you remains unsatisfied
7 with the choice of witnesses we have heard to
8 date and whom we propose to call, you may apply,
9 and that applies to either ordinary or expert
01:37 10 witnesses, but your requests must be made in
11 writing to the Commission to the attention of Mr.
12 Hodson by the 15th of July and I undertake to,
13 after consultation with Mr. Hodson, to notify you
14 in writing as to whether the witness will be
01:37 15 called or not and I will do that before the end
16 of July.

17 Now, regarding expert
18 witnesses, as I intimated, as a general rule I
19 will not hear expert evidence on matters not
01:38 20 engaged by the evidence I've heard so far, by the
21 facts of our case, we're simply not going to
22 engage in an academic exercise for the sake of
23 doing that. And, of course, as we all know, I
24 must observe constitutional constraints in terms
01:38 25 of recommendations I make and hence the choice of



1 experts that I make as well.

2 Go ahead, Mr. Hodson, please.

3 Thanks.

4 MR. WOLCH: Mr. Commissioner, if I may
01:38 5 raise one point, I raise it primarily for myself,
6 but I know that other counsel have some concern,
7 and your, the list of witnesses I think likely
8 can be done by the end of September I believe.

9 It's just that for a number of
01:38 10 us, we have some concerns about whether we should
11 be keeping any contingency dates available beyond
12 the end of September. It's very difficult
13 planning one's life and not knowing what's
14 happening. I can only say from my point of view,
01:39 15 and I speak for obviously myself, that the third
16 and fourth week of October I have some personal
17 matters, possibly a wedding and things like that
18 that I would like to plan, but I would give first
19 preference to the Commission, so it would be very
01:39 20 useful for myself and for probably everybody here
21 that if we knew that there were some dates we
22 should keep open for contingencies, for perhaps
23 argument or whatever, that would be very helpful
24 to us, if I can bring that to your attention.

01:39 25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, yes, I'm



1 sure you would like to know, as would I.

2 Let me say this, it is my firm
3 intention to be finished all the evidence and all
4 oral representations by the end of September.

01:40 5 How we're going to accomplish that I'm not just
6 sure at the moment. I think if all we have to
7 hear are as indicated, that we won't have a
8 difficulty, but if it comes to that, I am quite
9 prepared to sacrifice oral submissions to

01:40 10 written -- at least to do, dispense with oral
11 submissions and receive written submissions in
12 lieu thereof on argument so that we don't have to
13 come back and it can be done at a more leisurely,
14 on a more leisurely timetable, but like you, I
01:40 15 certainly have plans for October, Mr. Wolch, one
16 of which is writing this report, and I can't do
17 that until all the evidence is finished.

18 MR. WOLCH: So I can plan a wedding at the
19 end of October then?

01:40 20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I think you can be
21 assured that you won't be here in October.

22 MR. WOLCH: That's fine.

23 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Or November or
24 December.

01:41 25 MR. WOLCH: I think I can say for most



1 counsel that certainly if we had to, we would be,
2 it's just that it's helpful to know and I
3 appreciate that.

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you.

01:41 5 BY MR. HODSON:

6 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Sawatsky.

7 A Good afternoon.

8 Q If we could call up 038249 is where we finished
9 off, and just to finish this up, this was your
01:41 10 notes of the interview with Mrs. Milgaard, and I
11 think here, this is on the source which we now
12 know to be Mr. Vanin. Did you have concerns, just
13 comment on that about, did you appreciate that an
14 officer with the Saskatoon Police Department who
01:41 15 gave information on a confidential basis to Mr.
16 Asper Mrs. Milgaard might have concerns about
17 being disclosed?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And we may have touched on this yesterday. Is it
01:42 20 correct to say that you would have explored ways
21 to protect the informant's identity and still get
22 your information?

23 A Certainly, yes, we would have.

24 Q Or does there come a point that says lookit, this
01:42 25 is a criminal investigation and we need to get



1 what we need to get, you are the complainant,
2 you've got evidence, you've made an allegation,
3 you say it's substantiated by someone but you
4 won't tell me who it is, so -- and I think it
01:42 5 ended up being in your report you said we never
6 could substantiate the evidence from this
7 individual; is that right?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q And so even once you found out who he was, and I
01:42 10 think we'll get to that a bit later, I think it
11 was through some documents you received from
12 Centurion Ministries; is that right, you got an
13 unredacted version of a memo?

14 A That's correct, and the name was, appeared on one
01:42 15 of the documents, was unvetted.

16 Q And then when you did talk to Mr. Vanin, he
17 refused to co-operate with you?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q This last item here:

01:43 20 "Mrs. Milgaard stated that the Yorkton
21 Psychiatric Centre has notes on David
22 and that during their investigation they
23 have never been given access to these
24 notes. She feels the notes may contain
01:43 25 something of value to this



1 investigation."

2 And we see later on where efforts are made to get
3 these notes and I think you might have even been
4 criticized for seeking to get David Milgaard's
01:43 5 psychiatric records. Do you recall that?

6 A Yes, I do.

7 Q And would this be the genesis or the reason you
8 went and got those records, is because Mrs.
9 Milgaard said she couldn't get them and she
01:43 10 thought they were relevant to your investigation?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And do you recall what the nature of the criticism
13 was, did it relate to the relevance of them?

14 A I think so, and perhaps as to the confidentiality
01:43 15 of the medical information and, you know, how we
16 would protect it and use it.

17 Q And that's the end of that document. Can you tell
18 us, just generally, after your meeting with Mrs.
19 Milgaard, what was your sense of her comfort, and
01:44 20 I'm just looking for your sense based on your
21 discussions with her about her comfort with you
22 and your team and what you were doing?

23 A I got a sense that she was comfortable that she
24 had provided us with everything and I also think
01:44 25 that she was comfortable that we were going to do



1 our best to investigate all of the issues she
2 rose, she raised with us.

3 Q And are you satisfied that you would have conveyed
4 to her, perhaps maybe not in as much detail as
01:44 5 you've told us the last day or two, but the
6 horsepower, if I can call it that, and the scope
7 of your investigation and what you were doing,
8 that that would be conveyed to her?

9 A I certainly know that I covered clearly with her
01:44 10 the scope of the investigation, our mandate and
11 how it would be reviewed. I'm not so sure if I
12 told her the number of resources, although I
13 suspect I likely would have.

14 Q And did you hear from her any concern or objection
01:45 15 that lookit, I don't -- I don't trust the police
16 investigating this matter, I want an inquiry,
17 things of that nature?

18 A No.

19 Q 036667, I believe this is a report that, an
01:45 20 investigation report that you prepared?

21 A That's correct. That was prepared by the
22 investigative team.

23 Q And so this is March 9, 1993, and I don't propose
24 to go through this in much detail, but maybe you
01:45 25 can tell us, what was the purpose -- just give us



1 the background of what reports did you prepare,
2 who did you prepare them for and what did they
3 generally contain?

4 A Well, there is a requirement within the RCMP to
01:46 5 report at a regular basis on major investigations
6 and although we may not have followed those
7 reporting dates, we certainly made every attempt
8 to provide regular updates as the investigation
9 progressed, so there was two interests being
01:46 10 served here, number one was to report to our
11 executive as to what was happening, the second was
12 to provide updated reports that could be used by
13 Mr. McCrank and Mr. Fraser so that they would be
14 aware of the activity sort of in a written and
01:46 15 reported, chronologically-reported fashion.

16 Q And would these reports then generally reflect the
17 work that had been done by the team to around the
18 date of the report?

19 A That's correct, and in some cases likely where the
01:46 20 team intended to go, you know, through the next
21 phase.

22 Q And this would have been a group effort; is that
23 right, the report?

24 A The report was likely written by Joe Dozenberger,
01:47 25 and perhaps Lee Tost, but Joe likely would have



1 been the author.

2 **Q** And you would review it and endorse it then?

3 **A** I would review it and sign it, yup.

4 **Q** If we can go through executive summary, go through

01:47 5 the next page, and maybe we'll use this document

6 as just a bit of a guide to assist the Commission

7 with respect to the rest of these reports, which I

8 don't propose to go through in detail, but the key

9 persons mentioned in this report, we see that in a

01:47 10 number of your reports. What's the purpose of

11 that?

12 **A** That's just a standard reporting format that's

13 generally used by the RCMP.

14 **Q** So an indication of who these people are and --

01:47 15 **A** Exactly, just so that the reader has a quick

16 reference about any of the subjects.

17 **Q** And go to page 673, we have *Circumstances of*

18 *Miller Murder* and then a footnote from the

19 Canadian Criminal Cases, the Court of Appeal

01:48 20 decision, and it appears that what's recited here

21 is the facts as set out in that Court of Appeal

22 decision; is that correct?

23 **A** Yes.

24 **Q** And so can you tell us, why would you be using

01:48 25 that as the basis of putting the circumstances of



1 the Miller murder?

2 A Probably was a very easy way to sort of collect
3 all the facts together from the original
4 circumstances and provide them in report format to
01:48 5 our headquarters.

6 Q Now, what about the fact that what Mr. Wolch is
7 alleging to you is that lookit, those facts are
8 wrong, that's not how it happened, we think the
9 evidence is false, did you have a concern about
01:48 10 putting the Court of Appeal version into your
11 report, were you saying lookit, this is what
12 happened and these are the facts?

13 A No, we weren't, because I think that is the best
14 information we had about the case at the time and
01:48 15 certainly that was subject to change in future
16 reports should we find, should our findings differ
17 in any way from what was reported.

18 Q Was it intended, when you put *Circumstances of*
19 *Miller Murder*, what were you intending to convey
01:49 20 by that to the reader then?

21 A I think just to provide the reader with some
22 background about the case itself.

23 Q If we can then go to page 675, and *History of*
24 *Saskatoon City Police Investigation & Court*
01:49 25 *Proceedings*, and then a chronology, and would that



1 have been taken from the records; is that right?

2 A Yes, probably from the records, and from whatever
3 information we had, and again would probably serve
4 the same purpose, to just provide the reader with
01:49 5 background information.

6 Q Go to 036677, and here you've got the decision by
7 the Supreme Court of Canada and a summary and I
8 want to go through those and get your comments,
9 and I think this is point form taken I think
01:49 10 verbatim from the decision. You told us yesterday
11 and again this morning that the Supreme Court
12 decision would have played a role in your
13 investigation?

14 A Yes.

01:50 15 Q And what role, can you tell us, what impact did it
16 have on the work you were doing?

17 A Well, certainly there was findings of fact made by
18 the Supreme Court and we would always bear that in
19 mind as we were investigating, but as I indicated,
01:50 20 if we found additional evidence or other evidence
21 that perhaps wasn't talked about or wasn't
22 mentioned or would add to this, we would certainly
23 explore that and be prepared to add it at some
24 point in time.

01:50 25 Q So let's take the first one, and I'll use your



1 summary rather than the decision itself, they are
2 pretty close, but:

3 "- No probative evidence that the police
4 acted improperly in the investigation of
01:50 5 the robbery, sexual assault and murder
6 of Gail Miller, or in their interviews
7 with any of the witnesses."

8 And were you aware of what evidence had been
9 before the Supreme Court of Canada on that issue
01:50 10 or to what extent they had ruled on it?

11 A I know I would have become aware at some point in
12 time, you know, having read whatever was available
13 to me, but generally speaking, the role of our
14 investigation was to go out and look at that again
01:51 15 and to see if there was anything else there that
16 perhaps wasn't available to the court.

17 Q So that here when the court says six months
18 earlier there's no evidence, the police acted
19 improperly in the investigation, your criminal
01:51 20 investigation was focused squarely on that issue,
21 wasn't it, whether they acted improperly?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And again, sorry to repeat this, but the fact that
24 the Supreme Court had ruled earlier that year that
01:51 25 the police had not acted improperly, or there was



1 no probative evidence that they had, did that have
2 any effect on the scope of your investigation or
3 your findings?

4 A No, no, I think we investigated it as thoroughly
01:51 5 as we could have, notwithstanding the Supreme
6 Court decision.

7 Q And as well:

8 "- No evidence has been presented that
9 there was inadequate disclosure in
01:51 10 accordance with the practice prevailing
11 at the time."

12 Same question with that, did that finding by the
13 court in any way affect the scope of your
14 investigation or your conclusions on this point?

01:52 15 A No.

16 Q "- There was ample evidence upon which
17 the jury, which had been properly
18 instructed, could return a verdict of
19 guilty."

01:52 20 Can you tell us what significance if any that
21 ruling or that finding had in your investigation?

22 A I think our, as I mentioned, the scope of our
23 investigation really wasn't about the guilt or
24 innocence of David Milgaard, but certainly we were
01:52 25 aware of the Supreme Court's finding or ruling in



1 that matter, but I think our investigation at the
2 end of the day did bear out that there was no
3 other evidence that would change what the Supreme
4 Court had said in our view.

01:52 5 **Q** And so I suppose at one extreme you could have
6 gone and said, well, lookit, before you even start
7 the investigation, the Supreme Court has said no
8 police misconduct, and I'm paraphrasing, no acting
9 improperly, proper disclosure and Mr. Milgaard got
01:53 10 a fair trial, therefore there couldn't be criminal
11 obstruction, end of story, the Supreme Court
12 decision answers your allegations, Mr. Wolch, and
13 you didn't do that; is that correct?

14 **A** No, we didn't do that.

01:53 15 **Q** And in fact was it the other extreme, that you
16 went out and reinvestigated everything?

17 **A** That's correct.

18 **Q** Here:

19 "- Fresh evidence presented. Ronald
01:53 20 Wilson a key witness recanted part of
21 his testimony. Additional evidence
22 respecting alleged motel room
23 confession."

24 And then information about the Larry Fisher
01:53 25 assaults. And can you tell us, I think what the



1 Supreme Court said in granting the remedy is that
2 these three grounds of fresh evidence might have
3 affected the verdict and therefore set aside the
4 conviction and give Mr. Milgaard an opportunity
01:53 5 to present this new evidence before a new jury.
6 Was that your understanding basically of that
7 part of the decision?

8 A Yes, it was.

9 Q And so what significance if any did that give to
01:54 10 these three grounds in your investigation?

11 A Well, certainly the Supreme Court had touched in a
12 way on what we were looking at because some of the
13 areas there, we were going to be looking at the
14 motel room incident and to see whether or not
01:54 15 there was anything there that could be pursued.

16 Q And then down here:

17 "- The court was not satisfied beyond a
18 reasonable doubt, or on a preponderance
19 of all the evidence, that David Milgaard
01:54 20 is innocent of the murder of Gail
21 Miller."

22 Can you tell us what significance if any that
23 finding played in your investigation?

24 A Well, certainly we were aware of it, but again, as
01:54 25 we were gathering evidence, had we come upon



1 something that would change that, we would
2 certainly have explored that as far as we could.

3 Q So that even though David Milgaard couldn't prove
4 his innocence to the Supreme Court, did that mean
01:54 5 there wasn't an obstruction of justice?

6 A No, it did not.

7 Q Then go to page 679, here again this is a bit
8 repetitive, but *Focus of Investigation*, and this
9 would be accurate, number 2:

01:55 10 "- to examine all the issues to
11 determine whether or not, or to what
12 extent..."

13 the three parties,

14 "...obstructed justice..."

01:55 15 And secondly:

16 "- to investigate and document all
17 further evidence or leads that could
18 implicate Milgaard, Larry Fisher or
19 other person."

01:55 20 And that would have been what you did?

21 A Exactly, that was the investigative plan.

22 Q And go to page 036681, here's the point here
23 where:

24 "The investigation team devotes five
01:55 25 weeks to the review, indexing, and



1 cataloguing of this material for the
2 purposes of document retrieval. Further
3 time is allocated to research of the
4 issues being addressed and each
01:55 5 subject's role relative to the
6 particular issue."

7 So that would be, I think you told us earlier,
8 the team of 10 or 12 spent five weeks reviewing
9 paper and organizing it?

01:56 10 A

That's correct.

11 Q

And then here, February 15th:

12 "Robert Bruce, Investigator for lawyer
13 David Asper forwards Asper's analysis of
14 the Crowns case. He outlines a number
01:56 15 of issues which are evidence of
16 wrongdoing by authorities and other
17 issues which he would like clarified."

18 And I think that information, or those issues
19 found its way into the allegations in the report;
01:56 20 is that correct?

21 A

Yes, it did.

22 Q

23 And just on that point, I think we touched a bit
24 on this yesterday, I think Mr. Asper, through
01:57 25 Mr. Bruce, provided you with some further points
for your team to consider; is that right?



1 A Yes, that's correct.

2 Q And if we can call up 046167, and I think this is
3 a February 4, '93 letter from Mr. Bruce to you
4 that says:

01:57 5 "Here is some if not all of the stuff I
6 promised to send you two months ago
7 related to Milgaard."

8 And I think what was attached, we're still trying
9 to piece it together, but it contained the
01:57 10 Saskatchewan Justice argument before the Supreme
11 Court of Canada with Mr. Asper's notes on it or
12 comments on the side that raise some issues.
13 Does that ring a bell?

14 A Yes, it does, yes.

01:57 15 Q And I think that, and I'll show you later when we
16 get to the report the identification of the issues
17 he raised, but that was the source of information
18 from Mr. Asper. Mr. Bruce said lookit, here's
19 what Mr. Asper wrote at the time and here's some
01:58 20 more information; is that correct?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And then next if we can go to 046386, the doc. ID
23 is 048385, and this is a discussion with Mr.
24 Asper. Do you know if that's your handwriting or
01:58 25 not?



1 A That's my handwriting.

2 Q Yeah. And so it looks like February 8th:

3 "- Spoke with David Asper.

4 I asked him about the
01:58 5 identification made by the victim
6 (V5)---. Asper advises the following.

7 During the investigation of the
8 offence, Weir showed (V5)--- a
9 photographic line-up. From that
01:58 10 line-up, (V5)--- identified Larry
11 Fisher. At some point, (V5)--- was told
12 that the guy (Fisher) would never be
13 prosecuted because he was in an asylum.

14 Asper states that the
01:59 15 Prosecutor, Caldwell was responsible for
16 correspondence that states without a
17 confession from Fisher the Crown had no
18 case. Also this memo states there was
19 no ID of Fisher, Asper states that this
01:59 20 is incorrect because (V5)--- ID'd
21 Fisher.

22 Asper is concluding that Weir
23 was given orders not to further this
24 file and this is part of the overall
01:59 25 coverup. And, that most of this file is



1 missing."

2 Next page, and:

3 S/Sgt. Tost - assigned for
4 investigation.

01:59 5 Asper claims this information
6 came from Dave Roberts, Globe & Mail.
7 Sgt. Williams and I will be speaking
8 with him."

9 And would this have been then the follow-up you
01:59 10 had with Mr. Asper about the information you
11 received?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q And this appears to relate to the issue of -- and
14 I think it is identified in that argument -- that
02:00 15 Mr. Asper was saying that Weir was a part of the
16 coverup, or maybe not participated in it but that
17 the police ordered him to stop investigating
18 (V5)---, and this was part of the overall coverup,
19 and that most of the (V5)--- file is missing?

02:00 20 A That's correct.

21 Q And so that ended up getting assigned out?

22 A It did.

23 Q Again, just while we're on the subject, if we can
24 go to 061075. It's a letter from you to Mr. Asper
02:01 25 at CanWest Global, April 1, '93, and you talk



1 about the interview with Mr. Wolch, the interview
2 with Mrs. Milgaard. You say:

3 "As a result of this recent disclosure,
4 a letter was forwarded to Hersh Wolch
02:01 5 for the purpose of identifying the
6 source."

7 And this is Mr. Vanin you are trying to find out
8 about. And Mr. -- --

9 A At that time, yes.

02:01 10 Q Yeah, you didn't know who it was at the time, but
11 that's --

12 A Right.

13 Q Yeah, sorry.

14 "Mr. Wolch was ..."

02:01 15 A But what I was getting at was there was another
16 incident with a source as well, and the source I
17 was after here was Tom Vanin, you are right.

18 Q I'm sorry?

19 A I think, later on, you'll see correspondence on
02:01 20 Sidney Wilson where we tried to find out who that
21 person was.

22 Q Right, okay, I'm sorry. So there is two
23 informants that are unknown?

24 A Correct.

02:02 25 Q One of them is known by Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch,



1 namely Tom Vanin, correct, --

2 A Correct.

3 Q -- you find out later? The other one is unknown
4 by them, and you until you find out, and that's

02:02 5 Bruce LaFreniere who we have heard here before the
6 Commission?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q So here -- I'm sorry, I'll try and be a little
9 more clear -- but this letter, though, relates to

02:02 10 your efforts to Mr. Asper saying 'the confidential
11 informant who works for the Saskatoon police who

12 gave you information about the missing files';

13 that's what you are following up here?

14 A That's correct.

02:02 15 Q So:

16 "Mr. Wolch replied that he was unable to
17 assist us in this regard as he had never
18 spoken to or knew the source's name.

19 Mr. Wolch indicated that you
02:02 20 had been in touch with the source on
21 numerous occasions, but could not speak
22 for any contact Mrs. Milgaard may have
23 had."

24 Did Mr. Wolch tell you that he didn't even know
02:02 25 the name of Mr. -- the name of the informant?



1 A I believe so, yes, I don't think he knew who he
2 was.

3 Q And then, if we go to the next page.

4 "I now feel it is crucial
02:03 5 that this source of information within
6 the Saskatoon Police Department be
7 identified to us so that we can attempt
8 to authenticate this information."

9 And it appears, then, that you've tried through
02:03 10 Mr. Wolch who says "lookit, I never dealt with
11 him, I don't even know who it is, I passed it
12 on", but you are not getting any answers; is that
13 fair?

14 A That's fair.

02:03 15 Q So you write to Mr. Asper, who you know knows the
16 informant?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And then 061689. This is Mr. Asper's April 7th,
19 1993 reply. He says:

02:03 20 "Thank you for your letter
21 dated April 1, 1993. As I had
22 previously indicated to you, the source
23 of our information indicated that there
24 was to be no disclosure whatsoever as to
02:03 25 this person's identity. Within the past



1 few days I have spoken with the
2 informant regarding your request and the
3 position has not changed. I must
4 respect that request and I hope that you
02:04 5 understand this difficult situation.

6 I am forwarding a copy of this
7 letter to Mr. Wolch, suggesting to him
8 that either he or Mrs. Milgaard pursue
9 this matter inasmuch as I am no longer
02:04 10 connected with this case."

11 So what did you take it, from this reply from Mr.
12 Asper, as far as his further involvement in your
13 investigation?

14 A That he was no longer involved, and that Mr. Wolch
02:04 15 or Mrs. Milgaard would be the appropriate people
16 to deal with in the future with trying to identify
17 this person.

18 Q And that his position was, or the position of the
19 informant is, "we're not gonna tell you who he
02:04 20 is"?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And would it be correct to describe the manner in
23 which you discovered Tom Vanin's name to be a
24 fortuitous discovery in that I believe the records
02:04 25 suggest that Centurion Ministries sent some



1 documents that may have contained a version of Mr.
2 Henderson's memo that was not redacted; is that
3 right?

4 A That's correct. And I think a very thorough
02:05 5 review of that material is how that name was
6 discovered.

7 Q And I think the evidence we heard from Mr.
8 Henderson is that it was not done intentionally,
9 in other words they did not intend to disclose
02:05 10 that name to you, was that your understanding?

11 A Yes, because it had been blacked out in several
12 other areas.

13 Q If we can go back to 036681, please. So just to
14 finish up on this report, March 8th, '93, you say:

02:05 15 "To date, of the approximately two
16 hundred subjects identified for
17 interview, fifty of the less significant
18 ones have been interviewed."

19 So it would appear as, in early March, your team
02:05 20 had started to interview witnesses?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q 038281. This is March 11th, '93. Just following
23 up with the chronology, it appears here that that
24 document you prepared with respect to your
02:06 25 interview with Joyce Milgaard and the various



1 issues she raised, that you assigned those to team
2 members to pursue; is that right?

3 A Yes. Yes. I believe this is Sergeant, Staff
4 Sergeant Tost or Lee Tost.

02:06 5 Q That's his notes?

6 A Notes, yes.

7 Q 041997. This is March 16th, '93, and is this your
8 handwriting, are these your notes?

9 A No, those aren't my notes.

02:06 10 Q Do you know whose they are?

11 A I think they are Lee Tost's. Maybe if I read
12 through them quickly, I may be able to be more
13 accurate, but I think it is.

14 Q You know, I'm not sure that it's -- it would be
02:07 15 one of your team members?

16 A Yes, it is.

17 Q There's just an item here, and this is where I
18 think Mr. McCrank and Mr. Fraser raise the issue
19 about the exhibits and semen and available for
02:07 20 forensic analysis for DNA, and I'm wondering -- I
21 suppose as we go through the chronology to come
22 back to this issue of DNA testing -- but I'm
23 wondering if you are just able to just give, from
24 your memory, your recollection -- and I will take
02:07 25 you through some documents later -- but a



1 chronology of how this DNA issue was dealt with up
2 until 1994-'95, until the end of your report?

3 A Well it was my understanding that there had been a
4 number of attempts made to try and extract DNA
02:07 5 from some of the exhibits earlier, and prior to
6 our investigation, and that the exhibits had also
7 been used in the reference to the Supreme Court,
8 that the exhibits had been, I believe, given to
9 the custody of Federal Justice, who were to hold
02:08 10 those exhibits or control them, and were actually
11 physically in the custody of the RCMP lab system
12 and with, I believe, the understanding that they
13 would be, as the science developed they would be,
14 at some point in time, re-examined to see if DNA
02:08 15 analysis could be done.

16 I also am aware that Mr. Ferris
17 in Vancouver had also been provided with some
18 portion of some of the exhibits, I believe the
19 panties -- I don't know if he was provided with
02:08 20 anything else, I'm not certain -- and he had also
21 attempted some analysis, and I believe was
22 unsuccessful, and those panties were then returned
23 back to the RCMP lab.

24 Q And then ultimately, when you issued your report
02:08 25 or provided your report to Mr. McCrank and Mr.



1 Fraser in January-February '94, at that time the
2 DNA testing had not been done; is that correct?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q And if I may, just from the various documents, let
02:09 5 me put this to you. It would appear that the
6 position taken initially was your investigative
7 team and Mr. McCrank and Mr. Fraser wanted to
8 pursue the possibility of doing DNA testing of
9 Gail Miller's garments; correct?

02:09 10 A Correct.

11 Q And to try and determine whether it would either
12 inculcate or exculpate David Milgaard, Larry
13 Fisher, and/or others?

14 A That's correct.

02:09 15 Q And the issue was, I guess, twofold; one, what was
16 the status of the source materials of the
17 garments, what could be obtained, what -- as
18 bodily fluids from Gail Miller's clothing?

19 A That's correct.

02:09 20 Q And secondly, what was the status of the science
21 at the time, could a DNA test be done?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And I think we've heard some evidence that both
24 Dr. Ferris and at the Supreme Court attempts were
02:09 25 made to do DNA testing and they were not



1 successful, I think in both cases because the
2 sample had been degraded, or they couldn't get a
3 sufficient source; was that your understanding?

4 A That was my understanding.

02:10 5 Q And so, at the time of your investigation, is it
6 correct to say you were waiting for science to
7 advance further to get a reliable test done?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q And, as well, one issue that seems to be in play
02:10 10 from the documents is that -- is that you might
11 only have one more chance to do the test? In
12 other words if you do the test, it doesn't work,
13 you may destroy the source and preclude further
14 tests?

02:10 15 A That's correct. It was a very, very small amount,
16 within the thousandths of a gram, that was being
17 examined on one of the garments.

18 Q And so one of the issues -- and I think, I'm just
19 taking this from the documents and wondering if
02:10 20 you would accept this -- that if there was going
21 to be a DNA test done, it better be or it should
22 be under a situation where you're likely going to
23 get, be able to do the test and get a reliable
24 test?

02:10 25 A Yes, that's correct, and that's certainly the



1 advice we were being provided with by the science.

2 Q And again this, on the DNA analysis, who were
3 involved in some of the discussions and decisions
4 in '93 and '94 about whether to get the DNA
02:11 5 testing done, what type of testing, and things of
6 that nature?

7 A Well, certainly, Mr. McCrank was involved in some
8 of the discussions, and I believe Mr. Richardson,
9 Forney from the lab, the RCMP lab.

02:11 10 Q And so we'll see their names a bit later; they
11 would be RCMP lab people who would be experienced
12 in the DNA area?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And did you rely on those people to give you
02:11 15 advice as to when and whether you should be doing
16 DNA analysis?

17 A Yes, I did.

18 Q If we can go to 041913. This is March 17th, 1993,
19 and I believe this is your memorandum, I don't
02:12 20 have a second page, but it's to Cathy MacMillan in
21 the RCMP lab, and it has a number of questions
22 relating to the secretor issue?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q Is that --

02:12 25 A That's my memo.



1 Q This is your memo?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And it's asking her, saying a number of issues and
4 concerns, to check the secretor status, and the
02:12 5 question here about trying to get some explanation
6 about how David Milgaard could be a non-secretor
7 at trial but later on be determined to be a
8 secretor, and you were making some inquiries
9 there?

02:12 10 A That's correct.

11 Q And then here, 'Two* yellow frozen lumps were
12 found at the murder scene by then Lieutenant
13 Penkala, a subsequent analysis of these lumps
14 indicates that they were human semen of blood
02:12 15 grouping A, in recent years it has been suggested
16 that these lumps were something other than human
17 semen. In your opinion, can you say that the
18 results obtained at the time of analysis could
19 have been incorrect? Could the lumps have been
02:13 20 something other than human semen?'

21 And would this stem from Mr.
22 Wolch or Mrs. Milgaard's allegation that it was
23 dog urine; is this what you are following up on?

24 A Yes, it is.

02:13 25 Q And so you are going to your lab saying "answer,



1 scientifically, answer these questions for me"?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And then if we can go to 041914, please. This is

4 Ms. MacMillan's reply, it confirms that Larry

02:13 5 Fisher and David Milgaard are secretors, and she

6 says, 'It is my understanding, the lab did not

7 conclude that David Milgaard was a non-secretor.

8 The lab did; however, conclude that Milgaard's

9 saliva did not contain any detectable antigens.

02:13 10 This does not mean that Milgaard is a

11 non-secretor. Several situations can arise ...',

12 etcetera.

13 And I think what we've heard

14 from Staff Sergeant Paynter, that essentially is

02:14 15 that he may have -- or his statement of

16 non-secretor at the time of trial may be

17 misconstrued and that the proper way to state it

18 is that "our test did not disclose antigens"?

19 A That's correct.

02:14 20 Q Which means he made -- in other words, to explain

21 why he tested as a non-secretor in '69 and a

22 secretor in '92, it's because in '69 he was not

23 tested as a non-secretor but, rather, the antigens

24 didn't show up on the test for a number of

02:14 25 reasons?



1 A That's correct.

2 Q That's your understanding?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And, if we can go to the next page, Cathy

02:14 5 MacMillan says, 'In my opinion the results

6 obtained at the time of the Miller investigation

7 indicating the two frozen lumps were human semen

8 are correct. The tests used at that time have not

9 changed significantly and are currently in use in

02:14 10 the biology section.'

11 And then it goes on to identify

12 the two tests carried out to determine -- to

13 confirm human spermatozoa. And would it be

14 correct to say that you would have relied on this

02:15 15 information from your lab to address that issue,

16 or the allegation that it was dog urine as opposed

17 to human semen?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q If we can go back, go to 036814, sorry, the doc.

02:15 20 ID is 036813 and if we can go to page 814. And

21 this is your second report, and there's some

22 new -- the synopsis of interviews here, and I just

23 want you to comment on that. The report indicates

24 that you are providing, as an attachment, with

02:16 25 interview synopsis, and if we could just go to



1 036818. I don't propose to go through the
2 substance of any of these but just have you
3 explain for us, it appears that the issues are
4 stated, and then interviews and synopsis or
02:16 5 analysis; can you explain how these documents came
6 about and what it is they represented?

7 A Yes. The synopsis would be the sort of
8 conclusions drawn by the investigative team, in
9 concert with the file reviewers, after reviewing
02:16 10 the statements, reports, documentation, whatever
11 was available, and it would simply be a very short
12 analysis of what evidence, or lack of evidence,
13 was -- could be attributed to that particular
14 person --

02:16 15 Q And so --

16 A -- or issue.

17 Q And so who would prepare these interview synopses?

18 A Generally speaking, the investigator at the end of
19 the report would do a bit of an investigative
02:17 20 summary, and then the synopsis would likely be
21 completed by either Sergeant Dozenberger or Staff
22 Sergeant Tost.

23 Q And so when we see these synopses, that would be
24 the culmination of the work of various
02:17 25 investigators, it would get extracted and then put



1 in with the names of the witnesses --

2 A That's correct.

3 Q -- and slotted under the issues that they
4 addressed?

02:17 5 A That's correct.

6 Q And would this then become a running -- or a
7 document that you amended and added to from time
8 to time?

9 A That's correct. And it was certainly a very quick
10 reference to sort of bring one up to date on the
11 activity of the investigations.

12 Q So then if you wanted to go and find out where you
13 were at on Issue 1, who had been interviewed and
14 what they said, this would be the source document
02:17 15 for that?

16 A Yes, it certainly would provide you with the
17 analysis. You may have to go, if you want further
18 information you would have to go a bit deeper, but
19 this would certainly give you an idea of where
02:17 20 that investigation had gone.

21 Q Go to 036840, I'm sorry, the doc. ID is 036838, if
22 we can go to page 840. A March 26th, 1993 letter
23 to Mr. Wolch about Mr. Tallis, and if I can just
24 summarize in this letter, I think what you are
02:18 25 saying is that Mr. Tallis or Justice Tallis was



1 prepared to meet with you, but wanted a waiver of
2 privilege, is that right, signed?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Did he, Mr. Tallis, have some concerns, did you
02:18 5 sense any concerns in meeting with you related to
6 this issue of privilege?

7 A He didn't have any concerns in meeting with us
8 provided that waiver was obtained.

9 Q And I think, as well, there was reference to a
02:18 10 waiver that was given at the Court, but Mr. Tallis
11 wanted another waiver; is that right?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q And then, as well, you again ask Mr. Wolch here
14 for a:

02:18 15 "... copy of the report filed ... by
16 Centurion Ministries and its
17 representatives, ... McCloskey and ...
18 Henderson. Our files reflect numerous
19 references to Centurion Ministries and
02:19 20 their enquiries, however a complete
21 package of their file would be
22 beneficial."

23 And would this be the result of further file
24 review identifying that, lookit, here is some
02:19 25 more information that we maybe don't have?



1 A Yes. Plus we had been, it had been indicated to
2 us earlier on that we would have access to this
3 material, and did not have it yet.

4 Q Then to the next page. There is a subject here,
02:19 5 and just by way of background, I think when Eugene
6 Williams interviewed Mr. Tallis Federal Justice
7 gave an undertaking that they would not disclose
8 the notes of their interview with Mr. Tallis, and
9 then I think there became some issues later, at
02:19 10 the time of the reference, as to who could get
11 copies of that, and it appears, here, are you
12 trying to get your hands on that, as to what Mr.
13 Tallis may have said to Mr. Williams; is that
14 right?

02:19 15 A Yes.

16 Q 038270. These appear to be minutes, are they, of
17 a March 30, 1993 meeting of your team members
18 including Mr. McCrank and Mr. Fraser?

19 A That's correct.

02:20 20 Q And these --

21 A I --

22 Q I'm sorry?

23 A It doesn't appear that all the team members are
24 there, but certainly most of them were there.

02:20 25 Q Okay. And you were certainly present?



1 A Perhaps they were all there.

2 Q Yeah.

3 A I just counted the names, they may have been all
4 there.

02:20 5 Q And, again, this is similar to a previous document
6 that I think you described as brainstorming, in a
7 way, that would be an exchange of information and
8 someone would come up with further items that
9 needed to be followed up on; is that right?

02:20 10 A That's correct.

11 Q And I don't propose to call it up, but I think
12 Issue 1, when we see these issue numbers they were
13 the issue numbers that ended up in the Flicker
14 report?

02:21 15 A Yes.

16 Q And that's how you tracked these. And, Mr.
17 Commissioner, I will be going to the report later.
18 I think Issue 1 is whether the police connected
19 the rapes to the Miller murder, and so I don't
02:21 20 think -- I don't propose to go through this
21 document in detail, but for your information,
22 where it says Issue 1, it is -- we can go to the
23 Flicker report and find out what it's referring
24 to; is that correct?

02:21 25 A That's correct.



1 Q So here I just have a couple of questions, if I
2 could go to the next page, there is a couple
3 follow-up items here. And, again, this is under
4 Issue 1, which is the connection between the rapes
02:21 5 and the murder, you say:

6 "- Obtain ...",

7 or the author writes:

8 "- Obtain all available files sex
9 offences between Jan/69 and Sept/70 from
02:21 10 SCP which may have similarities to
11 Fisher and give them to an Analyst -
12 suspect descriptions/ area of offence
13 /M.O. /weapons /degree of violence.

14 - The lack of follow up done by SCP on
02:22 15 (V4)--- assault may be peculiar in light
16 of the number of sexual assaults
17 occurring at the time."

18 And can you tell us what this refers to here?

19 A Yeah. I think we wanted to look at the files to
02:22 20 try and establish a pattern or an M.O. so that
21 that could be compared to whatever we had
22 available by way of any suspects that would
23 surface.

24 Q But why would you be going to get the offences in
02:22 25 '69-'70, in other words the non-Fisher sexual



1 assault offences?

2 A Just to see if there's anything else in those that
3 would be of assistance to us in the investigation.

4 Q So, if there were other offences, what would be
02:22 5 the significance if there were other sexual
6 offences going on in '69-'70 that were not Mr.
7 Fisher's responsibility?

8 A It could be that there was somebody else out there
9 that we should also be aware of.

02:22 10 Q Were you looking, as well, as to whether the
11 circumstances of the Fisher rapes were similar to
12 other rapes that were not done by him?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And why would that be significant?

02:23 15 A It would be helpful in, like I say, determining if
16 there was someone else there that may have been
17 committing offences at the time, and that
18 information may have been helpful to our
19 investigation.

02:23 20 Q Can you tell us in your experience, then -- and
21 we've heard some evidence on this, on similar act
22 or similar fact -- as an investigator, what -- and
23 again just generally, I will be dealing with the
24 profiling information later -- but is that an
02:23 25 investigative tool to look at, if you have a



1 suspect for a crime, what are the other crimes
2 that that person has committed, and looking for
3 similarities?

02:23 4 A Yes, certainly it is, it is useful in establishing
5 the behaviour and the M.O. of the offender. I
6 certainly want to say that I am not an expert in
7 that area, but certainly would consult with those
8 who did have expertise to assist me.

02:24 9 Q And can you give us your understanding at the
10 time, was it a subjective and objective criteria
11 test, or what -- how reliable and how useful was
12 this tool at the time?

02:24 13 A I think it's probably both subjective and
14 objective, but certainly it does assist in
15 linking, and I know it perhaps in the 1970s, you
16 know, it wasn't used and used very often, but I
17 know now it's become very accurate and they are
18 able to link, through various M.O.'s they are able
19 to link suspects to crimes once their M.O. is
02:24 20 known.

21 Q And if we can go to the next page, issues -- Issue
22 6, which relates to the Albert Cadrain statement,
23 you've got a couple of notes here:

24 "- Obtain any documentation RPS may have
02:24 25 regarding Cadrain's arrest for vagrancy.



1 - What enticed Cadrain to go to the SCP?
2 (Father Murphy, parents, reward, etc.)

3 - All documentation relating to
4 interviews Cadrain had with police.

02:25 5 - Is it natural to interview and
6 re-interview?

7 - Final report - point out his testimony
8 did not change after he first went to
9 SCP."

02:25 10 And can you just comment on those, what those
11 relate to, why were these items of importance or
12 significance?

13 A Well certainly they pointed out that Mr. Cadrain
14 may have lied, may have been involved in some way
02:25 15 in providing false evidence, or of obstructing
16 justice, and we needed to get as much information
17 as we could of all aspects of the involvement of
18 the police with him, anyone that spoke to him, any
19 way he could have been influenced, etcetera, so
02:25 20 that we could complete our investigation with
21 regards to that allegation or those allegations.

22 Q And this:

23 "- Is it natural to interview and
24 reinterview?",

02:25 25 what is that getting at?



1 A I think we were just trying to determine whether
2 or not there was any changes when he was
3 interviewed a number of times, and whether
4 interviews and re-interviews would be necessary as
02:26 5 a natural evolution of trying -- posed to trying
6 to get to the truth.

7 Q And the:

8 "Final report - point out his testimony
9 did not change after he first went to
10 ..."

11 Saskatoon City Police; what was the significance,
12 if any, of that?

13 A I think there had been a bit of an analysis done,
14 and I'm not sure that I'm intimate with that
02:26 15 point, but I believe there had been a bit of an
16 analysis done on him, and I think the opinion of
17 the investigator who looked into that was that
18 his, after he was interviewed by the police, his
19 evidence really didn't change, and I think that's
02:26 20 what that report was suggesting, that that, there
21 should be a note made of that.

22 Q And I think one of the allegations is that the
23 police pressured, the Saskatoon City Police --
24 let's leave Regina aside for a moment -- but one
02:26 25 of the allegations is that the Saskatoon City



1 Police committed misconduct in the manner in which
2 they investigated and questioned Albert Cadrain;
3 is that correct?

4 A That's correct.

02:26 5 Q And so can you tell us what, what did this
6 conclusion here mean, namely that his testimony
7 did not change after he first went to Saskatoon
8 City Police?

9 A Well, generally speaking, I guess one would expect
02:27 10 that there would be elements of his -- if the
11 story was fabricated, there would be elements of
12 that story that wouldn't stand up over time.
13 Generally speaking, when someone is telling the
14 truth it is usually consistent, so it would be
02:27 15 interesting to look at it from that perspective.

16 Q Go down to Issues 7 and 8, and it is here listed
17 as:

18 "The 'Can Say Document' ",

19 which is the Mackie summary, and you've got:

02:27 20 "Where did this information come from?

21 Date of this document?

22 Author of this document?

23 Is it logical to prepare a summary of

24 this type between two interviews?

02:27 25 ** Is there anything in the summary that



1 is not in the first statement and is in
2 the second statement? **"

3 What, can you just shed some light on that, what
4 would be the purpose of that analysis?

02:27 5 A I think this is just a suggestion that here are
6 some of the issues that need to be looked at when
7 the investigator is performing an analysis of this
8 document, things to bear in mind in going through
9 the document itself.

02:28 10 Q And so, to compare on the summary, is there
11 anything in the summary that is not in the first
12 statement of Wilson and John but is in the second
13 statement. And, namely, that would be consistent,
14 I guess, with the allegation that the can say or
02:28 15 the Mackie summary prompted inclusion of
16 information in the second statement?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q Next page. Under Issue 15 you talk about:

19 "- Did Linda Fisher have any reason to
02:28 20 want to 'get back at' Larry Fisher?

21 - What was Linda's motive for coming
22 forward in 1980?

23 - If the ...",

24 Saskatoon City Police:

02:28 25 "... had done a follow up on Linda's



1 statement, what could possibly have
2 done, other than interview Larry?"

3 Can you just comment on that?

02:28 4 A I think those were just questions relative to that
5 issue, in other words to look into and try and
6 test Linda Fisher's veracity there, how -- you
7 know, these are points to consider.

8 Q Okay. And issue 17:

9 "- All police reports are not on the
02:29 10 prosecution file, provide explanation."

11 And that's an issue we addressed a bit earlier,
12 the allegation that Mr. Caldwell had destroyed
13 some of the police reports on his prosecution
14 file, the question here is why weren't they
02:29 15 there; is that correct?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q And then here:

18 "- Does the connection between Fisher
19 and (V4)--- come from any documentation
02:29 20 on any files? Or is this strictly from
21 (V4)---?"

22 Can you comment on that? What, did you have
23 concerns about (V4)---'s -- when I say "you",
24 your investigation team -- about (V4)---'s
02:29 25 identification of Larry Fisher 21 years later?



1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay.

3 A Yes, I think it seemed unusual, we certainly
4 wanted to look into that as quickly as we could.

02:29 5 Q And so were you looking for information, other
6 than (V4))---- (V4)---'s version of events, that
7 would corroborate, I guess, her suggestion that
8 Mr. Fisher was her attacker?

9 A That's correct.

02:30 10 Q Next page, Issue 33:

11 "- Is there any possibility of obtaining
12 DNA results in less than one year?"

13 And I saw a reference in another document, was
14 this geared towards 'can you get the DNA test
02:30 15 done before our report is done', is that the one
16 year's significance?

17 A Yes. And I, yeah, I think that's probably what
18 was an estimated time frame at that time.

19 Q 0610 -- 061061, please. This is April 1, 1993,
02:30 20 and you've sent to Mr. McCrank transcripts of the
21 evidence given by Mr. Tallis at the Supreme Court,
22 and there was earlier a reference, I think a
23 request; did this relate to the issues about Mr.
24 Tallis' competence and conduct as defence trial?

02:31 25 A Yes.



1 Q Or defence counsel, sorry?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And was that something that Mr. McCrank and Mr.
4 Fraser would have had input on in looking at that?

02:30 5 A Yes.

6 Q Go to 061078, this is April 13th, '93 and again
7 deals with the police informant. I'll try and use
8 police informant to describe Mr. Vanin as opposed
9 to the Sidney Wilson informant. So here you are
10 referring to Mr. Asper's letter:

11 "Since Mr. Asper has placed all onus of
12 disclosure of the Saskatoon Police
13 Department source squarely on your
14 shoulders, I am again refer you to my
02:31 15 previous correspondence...

16 The identity of this source is
17 tantamount to the authenticity that
18 certain files and material relevant to
19 the Fisher rape investigations went
02:31 20 missing."

21 And was that your view at the time?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And that in order to establish missing files, the
24 police informant was the only person who could
02:31 25 substantiate that allegation?



1 A Certainly it was alleged that that person had
2 direct evidence to give us and we felt that it
3 would certainly be helpful.

4 Q And did you have other evidence that suggested
02:31 5 otherwise at this time or at some later point?

6 A I'm not certain. We may have had the Police
7 Commission report into the findings, but I'm --

8 Q Yeah, the Police Commission report was in '91, so
9 presumably you would have had that; is that --

02:32 10 A Yes, notwithstanding that it was still important
11 that we speak with this person.

12 Q Go to 041987, and this is April, 1993, and is that
13 your handwriting at the bottom?

14 A No, it's not.

15 Q Is this --

16 A It is at the top.

17 Q Sorry, at the top?

18 A That's right. That's Tost's writing at the
19 bottom.

02:32 20 Q So this is:

21 "During our meeting with the D/A.G.
22 Alberta, he requested we check the
23 status of DNA testing to determine if
24 the CDL can give us an answer regarding
02:32 25 the stain on Gail Miller's



1 panties/compared to blood from David
2 Milgaard."

3 You spoke to Dr. Richardson:

4 "He states that he feels an answer is
02:33 5 still 6-12 months away. The lab is
6 working on a procedure but requires
7 validation time before they can render a
8 conclusive opinion."

9 Down at the bottom, I think this is Mr. Tost's
02:33 10 writing is it, at the bottom?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q "Since this avenue of investigation is
13 not available to this team at present,
14 it will be concluded. This
02:33 15 investigation will probably be complete
16 before the above procedure is perfected.
17 Helpfully, an answer one way or the
18 other will be forthcoming eventually."

19 So at this point, April of 1993, the DNA issue
02:33 20 was put aside on the basis that it couldn't be
21 done before your report was done?

22 A Correct.

23 Q Then I think we see later some suggestion that
24 maybe it could be done, you go down the path again
02:33 25 and find out once again that it can't be done



1 before your report is done and the report is
2 issued and the DNA is ultimately done by Federal
3 Justice, I think starting in 1995, 1996; is that
4 correct?

02:33 5 A That's correct.

6 Q 036521, this is an April 30th, 1993 report,
7 investigation report, and it talks about on April
8 16, '93:

9 "...investigators met with David
02:34 10 Milgaard in Regina. We asked David to
11 provide a waiver of solicitor/client
12 privilege so that we could interview his
13 former counsel, Mr. Justice Tallis and
14 to provide us consent to access his
02:34 15 medical records held at the Yorkton
16 Psychiatric Centre. He agreed to the
17 waiver of solicitor/client privilege and
18 declined the second request stating he
19 had the records and would make them
02:34 20 available to us."

21 And I think on this issue of the records, we had
22 just seen the earlier document where Mrs.
23 Milgaard said we didn't have them and that she
24 thought they might be relevant and she asked you
02:34 25 to get them. Is it correct you went to Mr.



1 Milgaard to get his consent, he said no, that he
2 has them?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q And did you ever get his consent and ever get the
02:35 5 records?

6 A No.

7 Q And the reason for making those requests I think,
8 would it be fair to say that it was because Joyce
9 Milgaard raised it as opposed to some other
02:35 10 investigative purpose?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q Scroll down, and there's a mention here -- you are
13 familiar with Barbara Wispinski, or Barbara
14 Berard, that name?

02:35 15 A I am, yes.

16 Q So here, on April 18, 1993:

17 "...investigators uncovered new evidence
18 which relates to the evidence against
19 David Milgaard. The evidence is to the
02:35 20 effect that when Nichol John returned to
21 Regina following her trip with Milgaard
22 to Saskatoon and Alberta she told a
23 close friend, Barbara Ann Wispinski (nee
24 Berard), that Milgaard killed a girl in
02:35 25 Saskatoon. John stated Milgaard left



1 their vehicle with the intention of
2 committing a break and enter and when he
3 returned, he was covered with blood.
4 Milgaard threatened John not to say
02:36 5 anything. This conversation took place
6 prior to John's first contact with the
7 police officers investigating David
8 Milgaard. This is Wispinski's first
9 disclosure of this information to
02:36 10 authorities."

11 And I take it this would be something that was
12 brought to your attention at the time?

13 A Yes, yes, it was.

14 Q And can you tell us the significance of this
02:36 15 information?

16 A Well, the significance of it is that it, I think,
17 begins to corroborate some of the statements that
18 Nichol John eventually provided to the police in
19 that she's suggesting to her friend, prior to any
02:36 20 contact with the police, that she witnessed a
21 murder and that David Milgaard was covered with
22 blood.

23 Q And I think if we can go to 023036, and we've been
24 through this before, this is the statement of
02:37 25 Barbara Wispinski, April 18, 1993, to Corporal



1 Templeton and Constable Dyck. Do you have a
2 recollection of your team or your group discussing
3 Barbara Wispinski's evidence, and in particular
4 what I'm looking at is whether assessments of
02:37 5 credibility were made by Templeton and Dyck and
6 conveyed to you?

7 A Yes, yeah, I recall reading the statement, I
8 recall discussing it with the investigators, and I
9 recall that they were of the view that Ms.
02:37 10 Wispinski was truthful with them when they
11 interviewed her.

12 Q If you can just give me a moment. I'll maybe come
13 back to that. So again, if this evidence or
14 statement is true, then I take it it would bear on
02:38 15 the issue of Nichol John and police pressure,
16 namely, if she had said to a friend information
17 incriminating David Milgaard before she even
18 talked to the police, that would be relevant in
19 looking at police conduct; is that right?

02:38 20 A Yes, it certainly would be.

21 Q Do you recall any concerns from either Mr.
22 Templeton or Mr. Dyck about why this woman had not
23 come forward 20 years earlier or why this
24 information now?

02:38 25 A If I recall correctly, I don't believe she



1 attached any importance to the information she
2 had.

3 Q And so again --

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Are you talking
02:38 5 about Wispinski?

6 A Yes.

7 BY MR. HODSON:

8 Q And if we can go back to 036521. Just give me a
9 moment here. Actually, sorry, if we can just go
02:39 10 back to 023036, and just go to the next page, and
11 I think this is the questioning here about whether
12 she knew Nichol John at the time, which manner did
13 you know:

14 "She lived with myself and my parents in
02:39 15 Regina.

16 ...did you and Nichol John ever talk
17 about the murder, itself?"

18 Answer:

19 "Yes."

02:40 20 And next page.

21 "...when did she talk to you about
22 that?"

23 "...it was after and it was in bed one
24 night. We shared a bedroom."

02:40 25 Etcetera.



1 "And what did Nichol John tell you at
2 that time?"

3 "She was afraid that Hoppy, who was
4 David Milgaard, we knew him as Hoppy,
02:40 5 would kill her if she ever said
6 anything."

7 Question:

8 "Did she... did she say what he had told
9 her, like specific words or anything
02:40 10 that you can recall?"

11 She answers:

12 "When he got back to the vehicle that
13 day, what they were going to do was a
14 house break and enter... they were going
02:40 15 to B & E a house."

16 "...which day are you referring to now?"

17 "The day that Gail was killed."

18 "O.K."

19 "He went to check out the house and when
02:40 20 he came back to the vehicle, he was full
21 of blood."

22 "Did he make any comments to her? Did
23 she say anything?"

24 "At that time I can't remember. I
02:40 25 real... like that was a long time ago."



1 And then to go onto the next page, I think
2 there's a reference later to the timing being
3 that she then was laying low to -- was it your
4 understanding that this discussion, based on this
02:41 5 statement between Ms. Wispinski and Nichol John
6 back in 1969, was before Nichol John had been
7 interviewed by the police?

8 A Yes.

9 Q So what do you do with this type of information as
02:41 10 far as trying to check its credibility or
11 corroborate it, and just before you answer, if we
12 can go back to 036522, you'll see right after you
13 recite the Wispinski information, you say:

14 "Further investigation concerning this
02:41 15 evidence is underway."

16 Can you tell us, how do you -- what do police do
17 with this type of information?

18 A What they would do is look at the statement and
19 all the elements and try and find areas of the
02:41 20 statement that could be verified either by
21 speaking with other persons, by other evidence,
22 etcetera, and in this case I believe Nichol John
23 was asked whether she recalled making this comment
24 to Barbara Berard or Barbara Wispinski.

02:42 25 Q And again, so try and corroborate from other



1 sources to see whether it fits into the known
2 facts?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q And did this information place -- can you tell us
02:42 5 what significance this information ended up having
6 in sort of your team's assessment of the evidence?

7 A I think certainly it seemed to sort of begin to
8 get a pattern that the evidence that we were
9 uncovering was sort of consistent with the
02:42 10 evidence that had been uncovered before and was,
11 appeared to be incriminating David Milgaard.

12 Q And again, let's just for the moment assume that
13 Barbara Wispinski's statement is true, would this
14 be -- again, I think the record reflects that this
02:42 15 evidence was not before the trial court back in
16 1970?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And again, would this then be the type of
19 information that would be on the side of the
02:43 20 ledger that said, tend to incriminate David
21 Milgaard, but was not known at the time of trial?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And you talked earlier about gathering information
24 that bears on the issue of his guilt or innocence
02:43 25 and Larry Fisher's guilt or innocence and are you



1 telling us that the Wispinski information would
2 tend to corroborate Nichol John's, I guess,
3 unadopted statement?

4 A That's correct.

02:43 5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You said that you
6 asked John about it, had she told that to
7 Wispinski, but you didn't tell us what her reply
8 was.

9 A My Lord, I'm not sure that I recall, but I do know
02:43 10 that it was one of the questions that was directed
11 to the investigators. I'm not sure if Nichol
12 recalled that or didn't recall that.

13 MR. HODSON: Yeah. I was going to get --
14 when we get that transcript I believe, this is
02:44 15 just from my memory, I think Ms. John -- I don't
16 know that Ms. John ever corroborated the version
17 or events other than she did know Ms. Wispinski,
18 but perhaps I will get Nichol John's interview
19 done by the RCMP and --

02:44 20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: That would be
21 helpful.

22 MR. HODSON: And then tomorrow I can ask
23 you about that.

24 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You also said that
02:44 25 Wispinski -- or at least Templeton and Dyck would



1 have asked Wispinski why she waited so long to
2 tell this and your impression was that, her reply
3 was she didn't think it had any importance. Did
4 they go any further than that? Why wouldn't
02:44 5 they -- did they just take that as an answer?

6 A I believe also, My Lord, that she I think was
7 having some other problems and family problems and
8 perhaps wasn't, didn't really want to be around at
9 the time and sort of raise her profile in any way.

02:44 10 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, I mean, it
11 was either important -- so it wasn't lack of
12 importance in your mind that kept her quiet, it
13 was other problems that she had?

14 A Possibly, yes.

02:45 15 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh.

16 BY MR. HODSON:

17 Q And again, just on the importance of her evidence,
18 would it also be a factor then in considering the
19 question as to whether or not Nichol John's May
02:45 20 24th, '69 incriminating statement was the result
21 of improper police questioning?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And namely, that if what Ms. Wispinski says is
24 true, then in early March Nichol John would
02:45 25 have -- I take it it would support the theory that



1 what the police got out of Nichol John on May 24th
2 is something that was true and something she had
3 known back in March but simply had not been
4 forthcoming about?

02:45 5 A Yes, it would certainly add more strength to that.

6 Q If we can go to 042184. Sorry, 365. Sorry,
7 036521. Yeah, this would be a March -- sorry, an
8 April 30th, 1993 progress report. Again, if we
9 can go to page 036525. It would appear here that
10 starting at the end of April, it says here

02:47 11 following our preliminary results of investigation
12 concerning the various issues, and so is it
13 correct that as the investigation progressed, you
14 would start to make preliminary findings on issues
02:47 15 and address it ultimately to where you get your
16 report in January of 1994?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q I don't propose to go through the preliminary
19 results, I will go through the final results with
02:47 20 you. When you got to the final report, though,
21 would that be basically these earlier reports and
22 the preliminary results, would they get amended
23 along the way until you finally get to your final
24 report and confirm them?

02:47 25 A That's correct.



1 Q Then go to 041911, this is now into May, '93, a
2 letter to Dr. Ferris, and you write to him, this
3 is about the secretor issue:

4 "In this material, there is a report
5 from you in which you draw certain
6 conclusions concerning David Milgaard.
7 I feel that at the time you rendered
8 your conclusions, some of the
9 information you had been given was
10 incorrect. The purpose of this letter
11 is to provide you with the correct
12 information should you wish to
13 reconsider and reevaluate the scientific
14 conclusions you have drawn in this
15 matter."

16 And I think attached is the letter, or the
17 memorandum from Cathy MacMillan, talks about
18 David Milgaard's secretor status; is that right?

19 A That's correct.

20 Q Now, did you think at this time, and I may have
21 touched on this yesterday, did you have -- we know
22 from Eugene Williams -- you are familiar with who
23 Eugene Williams is and the role he played in the
24 federal investigation under Section 690?

25 A Yes, I am.



1 Q And we know that he, from his evidence, that he in
2 1990 followed up with Dr. Ferris on an issue
3 similar to this and has a memorandum on his file
4 that I think, according to his evidence, had Dr.
02:49 5 Ferris backing away from his earlier opinions. Do
6 you think you would have had Mr. Williams' file in
7 the course of your investigative work?

8 A I know we had some material from him, but I'm not
9 sure if we had the material that answered this
02:49 10 question.

11 Q What material did you think you had from him?

12 A Well, I recall he paid a visit to us and he, we
13 spoke about the case and he did provide us with
14 some documentation, but I would have to go back to
02:49 15 the file just to make a determination as to what
16 that was.

17 Q There was -- this might assist. I think Sergeant
18 Pearson prepared a chronology relating to Larry
19 Fisher as a suspect. Would it have been that
02:50 20 information or do you recall getting any of Mr.
21 Williams' internal memorandums and his reviews?

22 A That could be the document you are referring to.
23 I don't recall any internal memorandums or
24 anything like that from Mr. Williams.

02:50 25 Q Okay. So you remember getting something, some



1 documents. Do you remember how much?

2 A Around the 690 process, yes.

3 Q Do you remember what quantity of documents?

4 A I don't, no.

02:50 5 Q And can we conclude from the fact that if you
6 would have received the memorandums that dealt
7 with the secretor issue, that if you would have
8 had them, you may not have written to Dr. Ferris
9 or you might have referred to them in the
02:50 10 correspondence?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And so does that assist -- just again back to the
13 Williams' issue, is it your evidence that you
14 don't recall or don't think you got any of his
02:50 15 internal memorandums?

16 A I certainly don't think we had any internal
17 memorandums with regards to this issue and I don't
18 recall any other internal memorandums we had.

19 Q Next if we can go to 041876. I don't propose to
02:51 20 go through this, this is a conference call May
21 6th, '93 involving Neil McCrank, Bruce Fraser,
22 Brian Richardson's office, Barry Gaudette and Ron
23 Forney, and they are all, Richardson, Forney and
24 Gaudette are RCMP DNA lab people; is that right?

02:51 25 A Yes.



1 Q And then I think there was one other -- and this
2 transcript is on the record, but having gone
3 through it, it appears to be a summary, or a
4 discussion about, as we discussed earlier, can we
02:51 5 do DNA testing now, what type of testing is
6 available and, if so, will it damage it, and the
7 net result of this was to defer the DNA testing;
8 is that right?

9 A That's right.

02:52 10 Q If we can go to 061222 again, go to the next page,
11 this is a letter from you, May 11th, 1993. Sorry,
12 go to page 061236, a letter May 11th, '93 to
13 Mr. Halyk, counsel for Mr. Caldwell, and we've
14 been through this document before, but I think
02:53 15 Mr. Halyk had asked, you were going to interview
16 Mr. Caldwell as part of your criminal
17 investigation and as his counsel he said, well,
18 put out for me the particulars of what you are
19 investigating or the grounds; is that right?

02:53 20 A That's correct.

21 Q And then if we go through this, we've been through
22 it before, if we can just go to the last page,
23 here you simply identify a number of allegations
24 and indicate that they were derived from meetings
02:53 25 with Mr. Wolch and Mrs. Milgaard, so that you



1 would be essentially putting forward to Mr.
2 Caldwell here's everything that Mr. Wolch and Mrs.
3 Milgaard say you did, did wrong or that may lead
4 to a wrong and that's what we want to interview
02:53 5 you about; is that correct?

6 A That's correct.

7 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Hodson, can we
8 break now?

9 MR. HODSON: Sure.

02:53 10 (*Adjourned at 2:53 p.m.*)

11 (*Reconvened at 3:17 p.m.*)

12 BY MR. HODSON:

13 Q Call up 061243, please, and this is May 31, '93,
14 it looks as though another meeting then with
03:18 15 McCrank, Fraser and your team?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q Just go to the next page, please, there's just a
18 discussion here about polygraph, and maybe you can
19 elaborate a bit on this. Number 1:

03:18 20 "Reenactment persons..."

21 Which presumably are the motel room incident,

22 "...would not be good polygraph subjects
23 because all the stories all similar and
24 the differences are interpretive."

03:18 25 Can you elaborate on that?



1 A Yes. I mean, as you know, witnesses see things
2 differently and interpret things differently, so I
3 don't think we felt that there was any reason to
4 sort of polygraph them as to their truthfulness
03:18 5 because they drew certain conclusions based on
6 what they saw and I don't think there was any
7 dispute on that.

8 Q I think what was put forward to you, at least in
9 Mrs. Milgaard's 1993 interview, is that Melnyk and
03:19 10 Lapchuk lied and the incident didn't happen; is
11 that right?

12 A Exactly.

13 Q And at the Supreme Court, I think the evidence of
14 Deborah Hall, and that earlier had been on the
03:19 15 basis of what Deborah Hall and then Ute Frank had
16 said, but I think at the Supreme Court they both
17 testified, and certainly in an earlier sworn
18 deposition Deborah Hall said that the incident
19 happened and words were spoken, she interpreted
03:19 20 them differently. Did you become aware of that
21 sort of in the course of your investigation?

22 A I did.

23 Q Did you become aware that prior to your
24 investigation starting that she had already
03:19 25 changed her story from it didn't happen, or



1 changed -- maybe I better be careful here, because
2 she had, in an affidavit, said they lied and it
3 was put forward, at least in the application to
4 the minister on the basis that the incident didn't
03:19 5 happen and she -- and she later said no, it did
6 happen, but it was a joke.

7 A I'm aware of that, yes.

8 Q And I'm trying to understand, did you -- would you
9 agree that it wasn't your investigation that
03:20 10 resulted in Deborah Hall saying -- she had already
11 changed her version of events before you got to
12 her; is that correct?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q So here, is it correct that the only issue on the
03:20 15 motel room incident is that people interpreted it
16 differently?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And that the polygraph wouldn't help on that?

19 A Exactly.

03:20 20 Q And then:

21 "- Wilson - his lawyer is offering
22 polygraph

23 - the fear of detection is present

24 - Polygraph of this subject only could
03:20 25 be justified in that he is the only



1 witness who has changed his story. In
2 the police community polygraph is used
3 on persons who are not believable. He
4 perjured himself at..."

03:20 5 Supreme Court of Canada.

6 "- Decision on polygraph will be left
7 until he is interviewed."

8 Can you just explain what your thinking was with
9 Wilson and the polygraph?

03:20 10 A Well, I think sometimes people may think that the
11 polygraph can do more than it really can, and
12 simply put, I didn't think, and I think it was
13 agreed by most, that Wilson would likely not be a
14 very good candidate for the polygraph. First off,
03:21 15 we needed to be able to sit down with him and try
16 and get some sort of a full accounting or full
17 statement from him and we were unable to do that,
18 so I think what we were suggesting there was it's
19 possible that at some point in time it may be
03:21 20 worth considering again, but that decision would
21 have to be made after he is re-interviewed, or
22 interviewed.

23 Q And I think you said earlier that he did not
24 co-operate fully with you; is that right?

03:21 25 A That's correct.



1 Q And would that be one of the reasons, in addition
2 to him not being a suitable candidate, that would
3 have precluded polygraph?

4 A That's correct.

03:21 5 Q Next page, here you are talking -- I take it you
6 made efforts to find out if Colin Thatcher was in
7 Saskatoon in 1969 and that would be related to
8 Mrs. Milgaard's suggestion that he committed the
9 crime?

03:21 10 A That's correct.

11 Q Then go to the next page, then you've got *Final*
12 *Report*, and it says:

13 - Report may include:

14 - Centurion conduct - *Caution to used -
03:22 15 must have strong substantiation for any
16 allegations of misconduct.*"

17 Can you tell us what that related to?

18 A Yes. I felt that if we were going to criticize
19 another group of investigators, that we would need
03:22 20 some basis for that and cautioned that if we put
21 that into the report, we would need to ensure that
22 we supported or backed up those allegations.

23 Q Was there information from your investigation team
24 that suggested there may have been issues with
03:22 25 Centurion's conduct?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And what were those?

3 A I think just the general way in which interviews
4 were conducted, different tactics, different
03:22 5 approaches that we certainly wouldn't use, or
6 perhaps maybe border on whether they are ethical
7 or not.

8 Q And I think there was a letter that followed
9 around the time of the report that addressed
03:23 10 specifically the interviews; is that right?

11 A That's right. I believe I wrote to Mr. McCrank
12 and Mr. Fraser about some of my concerns.

13 Q And would those be Paul Henderson's interviews?

14 A Yes, I believe they were principally Paul's
03:23 15 interviews.

16 Q And again, I will take you to the letter, take you
17 to the letter a bit later, but what were the types
18 of concerns that you had?

19 A Well, I think there was things like, you know,
03:23 20 feeding people alcohol, continued badgering,
21 continued questioning, those type of tactics which
22 we certainly made sure we didn't employ and I
23 wouldn't support being used.

24 Q Can you tell us generally, did your team have
03:23 25 concerns about the credibility of any statement



1 obtained by Paul Henderson?

2 A Yes, we did.

3 Q As to that?

4 A Yes, we did.

03:23 5 Q And because of what you observed in his
6 interviewing techniques?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q If we can go to 061248, this is a letter, go to
9 the next page, of June 30 -- pardon me, June 3rd,
03:24 10 '93. Sorry, 061249.

11 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Is that a
12 different doc. ID?

13 MR. HODSON: Yes. Is it a different doc.
14 ID? Yeah, it appears to be, sorry. 061248 is
03:24 15 the fax cover sheet and 249 is the actual letter.

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

17 BY MR. HODSON:

18 Q But they are listed as separate documents. And
19 this is a letter of yours to Mr. Wolch referring
03:24 20 to your earlier letters of March 9th, March 26th,
21 and April 13th requesting information; is that
22 right?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And were you having -- was there a delay in
03:24 25 getting information from Mr. Wolch?



1 A Yes, there was.

2 Q And this relates to Sidney Wilson, and I think we
3 saw the earlier letter, so again trying to find
4 out more information about who Sidney Wilson is,
03:25 5 and you told us the significance of that; correct?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q Then the next page, the *Saskatoon City Police*
8 *Source*, we've talked about that, and again:

9 "I feel it is crucial that you disclose
03:25 10 the source of information within the
11 Saskatoon Police Department so we can
12 attempt to authenticate this
13 information."

14 And three, *Access to Psychiatric Reports*:

03:25 15 "Mrs. Milgaard indicated the Yorkton
16 Psychiatric Centre is in possession of
17 notes pertaining to David Milgaard and
18 that she has never had access to those
19 notes. She feels they contain something
03:25 20 of value to this investigation."

21 You go on to talk about the fact you requested
22 consent from David Milgaard and he's refused,
23 and:

24 "Access to the psychiatric records is
03:25 25 necessary for us to address Mrs.



1 Milgaard's concerns. Can you assist?"

2 And then on the next page, *Centurion Ministries*
3 *Report/Tapes*. You've got the report from Robert
4 Bruce with blacked out name, or names:

03:26 5 "There was no accompanying
6 correspondence from you acknowledging
7 our request for this information, nor
8 was there correspondence from R. Bruce
9 indicating he was acting on your
03:26 10 behalf."

11 So do I take it from that that you had requested
12 a copy of the Centurion Ministries' file and you
13 received from Robert Bruce a report that's been
14 edited and names and addresses blackened out and
03:26 15 no accompanying correspondence -- you weren't
16 sure that it was in response to your request to
17 Mr. Wolch. Am I reading that right?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q And that:

03:26 20 "A number of taped interviews were
21 conducted by Centurion Ministries and we
22 require copies of these taped
23 interviews."

24 And would one of those be the Ron Wilson
03:26 25 interview?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And:

3 "Further, since our files reflect
4 numerous references to Centurion
03:26 5 Ministries and their interviews, it is
6 our intention to speak to Mr. McCloskey
7 and/or Mr. Henderson about their
8 investigation. If you have a
9 solicitor/client relationship with them,
03:27 10 your consent to their interview would be
11 appreciated."

12 And:

13 "Copies of the tapes mentioned above are
14 required prior to our interviews with
03:27 15 McCloskey and Henderson."

16 Were you, in reading this letter, were you having
17 concerns that you were not getting information in
18 a timely manner?

19 A Yes.

03:27 20 Q And why was that?

21 A I think you'll see at the beginning I note three
22 dates where requests were made and we weren't
23 being provided with the information and we require
24 this information to further our investigation.

03:27 25 Q And so I think in going through the letter, these



1 would all be pieces of information that either
2 were part of an allegation or support for an
3 allegation that Mr. Wolch or Mrs. Milgaard had
4 given you; is that correct?

03:27 5 A That's correct.

6 Q So Sidney Wilson -- go back to page 1. Sidney
7 Wilson we've talked about, was the informant.
8 Now, in fairness, I think Mr. Wolch indicated we
9 don't know who he is?

03:27 10 A That's correct.

11 Q Secondly, the next page is the police informant
12 which they did know who he was but wouldn't tell
13 you the name and you are again pressing to say
14 lookit, we need this to investigate an allegation;
03:28 15 correct?

16 A Correct.

17 Q And third, Mrs. Milgaard said get the psychiatric
18 records, they may be of assistance. You tried to
19 get them, David Milgaard said no, you are going
03:28 20 back to Mr. Wolch so say lookit, we can't -- we
21 can't follow up on what Mrs. Milgaard has asked us
22 to do unless we get co-operation from David
23 Milgaard; correct?

24 A Correct.

03:28 25 Q And then next, the Centurion Ministries report,



1 you had asked them for that I think earlier on,
2 for that record on the basis they had investigated
3 people, and in fact, I think, is it fair to say
4 that some of the allegations of wrongdoing were
03:28 5 based in part upon information Centurion
6 Ministries obtained?

7 A Correct.

8 Q And namely, the Ron Wilson recantation was
9 basically the police manipulated, coerced and
03:28 10 bullied me and planted ideas in my mind, that
11 would be the genesis, that would be one of the
12 sources of information for police misconduct?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And so, again, you were having concerns about
03:29 15 getting that information?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Now we -- and I'll touch on this a bit later with
18 Paul Henderson -- I think his evidence was that, I
19 think there was a document indicating
03:30 20 Mr. Cunningham phoned him and a couple letters
21 were sent with questions that he did not reply to;
22 would that be your understanding? And you never
23 did get the tapes, nor did he ever answer the
24 written questions you sent; is that your
03:30 25 understanding?



1 A That's right.

2 Q Now --

3 A Yes.

4 Q Now Mr. Henderson tells us that he thinks he may

03:30 5 not have got the letters, the addresses may be

6 wrong; do you have any recollection of your team

7 reporting to you, or you being aware, as to

8 whether or not either the letters came back and

9 you couldn't find Mr. Henderson, or whether your

03:30 10 people's view was that he was not prepared to

11 answer your questions?

12 A I think if -- I'm sort of left with the sense that

13 he simply wasn't prepared to provide the

14 information, that I suspect there was more than

03:30 15 simply letters, there was likely phone calls as

16 well.

17 Q And, in the discussions, I think McCloskey was

18 interviewed, is that correct, and he provided some

19 information?

03:31 20 A Yes, yes, he did provide some information, that's

21 correct.

22 Q But he was not the one that talked directly to the

23 witnesses?

24 A That's right.

03:31 25 Q As investigators, did you draw any inference from



1 the fact that Mr. Henderson wouldn't give you the
2 tape of the Ron Wilson interview and wouldn't
3 answer your questions, putting aside his
4 explanation that he maybe didn't get the letters
03:31 5 or the wrong address, but did you draw an
6 inference from that?

7 A Well it certainly surprised me that, if he claimed
8 to have the information he did through counsel,
9 that we wouldn't be provided with that information
03:31 10 so that we could assess its value and use it in
11 our investigation and as part of our findings.

12 Q Is it a case of saying "well, if it would have
13 helped their case and the allegations I would have
14 received it, and therefore if he's not giving it
03:31 15 to me maybe it isn't what they say it is"; is that
16 --

17 A Yes, certainly, that's fair to say.

18 Q Go to 041916. This is a June 4th, '93 letter from
19 Dr. Ferris to you in response to your letter about
03:32 20 where you sent him the secretor information, and
21 he goes on to say:

22 "I also spoke to Mr. Wolch,
23 lawyer for David Milgaard, indicating to
24 him that the methods used to determine
03:32 25 secretor status at the time of the



1 original investigation would not
2 necessarily exclude David Milgaard from
3 being a secretor and it is therefore no
4 particular surprise for me to learn that
03:32 5 David Milgaard is in fact a secretor."

6 What was your reaction to that response?

7 A Well I think, up until that time, I had been of
8 the belief that David was a non-secretor, and I
9 think what this did was suggest that Mr. Ferris
03:33 10 was not surprised -- is not surprised that he is a
11 secretor.

12 Q Okay. Let -- I'm sorry, I didn't ask the question
13 very well. I think -- and just a bit of
14 background -- would you have been aware that Dr.
03:33 15 Ferris' report, his original opinion was in
16 September of 1988, given to the federal minister,
17 and it was relied upon by David Milgaard in his
18 application as proving his innocence?

19 A Correct.

03:33 20 Q Yeah?

21 A Yes, I'm aware of that.

22 Q So you would have been generally aware that Dr.
23 Ferris, the role he played is that he said he
24 looked at the forensic evidence and said "this
03:33 25 frozen semen that was found proves that David



1 could not have committed the crime on the basis
2 that it was from an A secretor, and David is an A
3 non-secretor, or assumed to be a non-secretor"?

4 A Correct.

03:33 5 Q And I won't go into, I mean we've been through
6 this issue and what happened during the Justice
7 review and the Supreme Court of Canada review; in
8 February of 1992 David Milgaard was tested and
9 that's when he was determined to be a secretor,
03:34 10 during the course of the Supreme Court hearings,
11 and this issue never came before the Court; were
12 you aware of that?

13 A I don't recall that.

14 Q Okay.

03:34 15 A I may have been aware of it.

16 Q So just by way of background, so I think then in
17 the fall of '92 and again in January of '93, I
18 think when we went through the tapes and the
19 allegations the Milgaards again came back and said
03:34 20 that the forensic evidence, that there was a
21 problem with that at trial. Like Mrs. Milgaard
22 said "it's dog urine", but is it fair to say you
23 also looked at the suggestion is did Dr. Ferris,
24 did his report prove that David was innocent; was
03:34 25 that still a live issue for you?



1 A Yes, it was. It was also our understanding that
2 may, Mr. Ferris may have been given incorrect
3 information --

4 Q Right.

03:34 5 A -- to form that conclusion.

6 Q And so, again, I'm trying to understand; in your
7 investigation were you back looking at the same
8 issue that was looked at back in 1989, in other
9 words the suggestion that David Milgaard could not
03:35 10 have committed this crime because he is a
11 non-secretor and the semen belonged to someone who
12 was a secretor, was that one argument or one
13 allegation that was there?

14 A Yes.

03:35 15 Q And a similar one was if it's not semen, it's dog
16 urine, and the Crown put forward the evidence at
17 trial to say that what was found in the snowbank
18 came from the killer and David Milgaard when it
19 was really dog urine, so there are sort of two
03:35 20 similar issues?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And so here with Dr. Ferris, then, I think we saw
23 the earlier letter where you went to your experts,
24 they came back and said "David is a secretor and
03:35 25 he was always a secretor", and "they did the test



1 wrong in '69 or the test was misinterpreted in
2 '69"; correct?

3 A Correct.

4 Q You wrote to Dr. Ferris and said "lookit, does
03:35 5 this information change your conclusion"; right?

6 A Right.

7 Q And he writes back and says, I think, "yes, but it
8 doesn't surprise me, because I had -- it's no
9 particular surprise to learn that he is a
03:36 10 secretor", was my original question; did that
11 surprise you that the expert who had been relied
12 upon to say "this frozen semen proves David didn't
13 commit the crime because it's assumed he is a
14 non-secretor and the frozen semen came from a
03:36 15 secretor", and he now says "well lookit, yeah, I
16 knew it maybe wasn't reliable, it doesn't surprise
17 me"?

18 A I don't think I was so much surprised because I,
19 like I say, I think Mr. Ferris wasn't given --
03:36 20 didn't have the benefit of all of the information,
21 the scientific information, that may have provided
22 him a better opportunity to render an opinion.

23 Q So again, this response back, did it put to rest
24 the secretor issue from your perspective?

03:36 25 A Yes.



1 Q 061256. This is a letter from Mr. Wolch in reply
2 to your June 3rd letter, and he indicates that he
3 did write on May 7th but unfortunately the letter
4 had not been sent, and a copy of that letter is
03:37 5 enclosed, and it goes on to address, saying:

6 "... I am confident that Sidney Wilson
7 is an alias and I have no way of knowing
8 who this person is."

9 And, again, did you accept that at the time, that
03:37 10 although you wanted to talk to them, that Mr.
11 Wolch didn't know who he was, or did you have
12 suspicions --

13 A I had some suspicion that perhaps Mr. Wolch did
14 know and simply didn't want to tell us.

03:37 15 Q And what --

16 A I had that suspicion.

17 Q And why did you have that suspicion?

18 A Because he, I guess I thought that he would, in
19 checking out the information from this person, he
03:37 20 would have naturally obtained a name and a contact
21 number, and those sorts of things, and therefore I
22 would -- was of the view that he would likely have
23 something. Plus, I think, the fact that we hadn't
24 received replies to our letters would have made me
03:38 25 suspicious that perhaps it was something that he



1 simply didn't want to provide to us.

2 Q And I think the record reflects, or the reports
3 reflect, that the RCMP located the Sidney Wilson
4 alias by getting phone records; is that right?

03:38 5 A That's correct.

6 Q Getting a court order or a warrant or a -- for
7 phone records on February 26th, 1990 into Mr.
8 Wolch's office to see where they -- and checking
9 Saskatchewan phone numbers, that's ultimately how
03:38 10 this person was found; is that correct?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And was that something the RCMP did on its own,
13 without Mr. Wolch's participation, if I can call
14 it that?

03:38 15 A That's correct.

16 Q And did you feel it was important to find out who
17 this person was?

18 A It certainly was.

19 Q And if we can scroll down a bit, he says:

03:39 20 "Regarding psychiatric
21 reports from Yorkton, I am at a loss to
22 understand the value of same. My best
23 guess is that Mrs. Milgaard is
24 distressed that Mr. Caldwell claimed
03:39 25 that David had had some major



1 psychiatric problems, when in actual
2 fact he did not. In my view, Mr.
3 Caldwell grossly exaggerated David's
4 mental condition in his letters to the
03:39 5 Parole Board and in his approach to
6 David. The next time I am in
7 communication with David I will urge him
8 to cooperate in this regard, although as
9 I state, I do not feel it to be a major
03:39 10 factor in your investigation."

11 And I think you told us you never did get the
12 records?

13 A No.

14 Q And so did not follow up on Mrs. Milgaard's
03:39 15 allegation or suggestion that those records might
16 shed some light on some issue related to your
17 investigation?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q And he says he has written to Centurion
03:39 20 Ministries, does not have any tapes, but the
21 letter should be of some assistance. And then if
22 we can just go to the next page, just for the
23 record -- no, the next page, here is the -- next
24 page, sorry, 061258. This is the May 7th letter
03:40 25 of 1993 that Mr. Wolch refers to in his letter



1 that he said did not get sent by mistake, and this
2 deals with:

3 "Please find enclosed a copy
4 of the Centurion Ministries Report.

03:40 5 As I stated before, I do not
6 even know the name of the informant from
7 the Saskatoon Police. I have though
8 taken the liberty of speaking with Joyce
9 Milgaard and I have asked her to use her
03:40 10 persuasive powers on the informant to
11 see if he will agree to meet with you.
12 I do not know if you can guarantee him
13 confidentiality, but that might go a
14 long way to assisting Joyce. If you
03:40 15 were eventually able to arrange a
16 without prejudice informal meeting
17 between yourself and the informant, I
18 think that would be a good start. In
19 any event, I have urged her to speak to
03:41 20 the informant and please feel free to
21 contact Joyce directly to see how she is
22 progressing in this regard."

23 So that related to the police informant, and
24 again, I think you've told us that that
03:41 25 information did not come, was never provided by



1 Mrs. Milgaard or Mr. Asper and that you found it
2 through documents that inadvertently exposed his
3 name?

4 A That's correct.

03:41 5 Q 061262. This is a June 18th, 1993 report about
6 Sidney Wilson, and this indicates through
7 investigations you were able to identify him as
8 Bruce LaFreniere, Allan, Saskatchewan, and he was
9 interviewed -- and Mr. LaFreniere has, indeed,
03:41 10 testified before this Commission -- and says that
11 he:

12 "... knows Larry Fisher from his youth
13 ..."

14 and goes on to talk about how he came with the
03:42 15 information:

16 "... was speaking with a friend named
17 Arnold Poitras. ... Poitras told
18 LaFreniere that the morning of the Gail
19 Miller murder Larry Fisher was covered
03:42 20 in blood and likely the murderer."

21 And:

22 "Arnold ... had been told this by Doris
23 ... who had been told this by Linda
24 Fisher."

03:42 25 And so this is sort of the connection of how, how



1 it is that Bruce LaFreniere got in touch with Mr.
2 Wolch, and the information; is that right?

3 A That's right.

4 Q I think Mr. LaFreniere also said that he never
03:42 5 used the name Sidney Wilson; do you remember that
6 being --

7 A Yeah, I do have a recall of that.

8 Q And what did you make of that, if anything?

9 A Well, I was surprised, because we had been told
03:42 10 that that's the name that had been used in the
11 phone call to the -- to Mr. Wolch's office.

12 Q If we can go to the next page, you then go on to
13 describe:

14 "In 1986, LaFreniere was
03:42 15 working in the Shellbrook, Saskatchewan
16 area. The information that he had been
17 given by Poitras was on his mind so we
18 went into the Shellbrook Detachment and
19 spoke with Sgt. Simington. In this
03:43 20 conversation with Sgt. Simington, he
21 informed him of what he had learned from
22 Poitras."

23 And then:

24 "In 1990 ...",
03:43 25 he goes on to talk about the call. And then



1 paragraph 7:

2 "Our investigators have
3 conducted enquiries with Shellbrook
4 Detachment and it appears that no file
03:43 5 was created as a result of LaFreniere
6 speaking with Sgt. Simington in 1986 nor
7 were any enquiries conducted. Sgt.
8 Simington is now retired. He has been
9 contacted and does not recall this
03:43 10 incident. He feels that if the name
11 Milgaard had been used, he would recall
12 speaking with LaFreniere. We certainly
13 have no reason to disbelieve LaFreniere
14 when he says he reported this
03:43 15 information to Shellbrook Detachment.
16 Had Shellbrook conducted enquiries, they
17 would have learned that Linda Fisher was
18 incorrectly quoted by LaFreniere and
19 that Larry Fisher was not covered with
03:44 20 blood. Obviously there was a mistake in
21 interpretation about Larry Fisher the
22 morning of the Miller murder. Of course
23 Linda feels Larry may have been
24 responsible because she says he was out
03:44 25 all night and reacted strangely when



03:44

1 accused of the murder. But she also
2 says he did not have blood on his
3 clothing. Obviously, what Linda Fisher
4 told Doris Poitras was misinterpreted or
5 simply embellished and that the story
6 changed between Linda Fisher's version
7 and LaFreniere's version. We have the
8 facts which speak for themselves and I
9 see no reason to interview Doris or
10 Arnold Poitras because there is no
11 reason to feel the distortion of facts
12 was intentional."

13 "Undoubtedly the fact that
14 this information was reported to our
15 Shellbrook Detachment in 1986 and no
16 documented action take on it could be
17 questioned once the results of this
18 investigation are released. I am
19 pointing out this to you so that you are
20 aware of the facts."

21 And I think this memo is going to your -- to
22 Superintendent Egan; is that right?

23 A That's correct, or it may have been to the
24 commanding officer, I'm not just sure.

25 Q Just go back.



1 A You would have to go back to page 1.

2 Q Yes.

3 A Yeah, it was to the officer in charge, criminal
4 operations, that's correct.

03:44 5 Q And who was that?

6 A Chief Superintendent Egan, or perhaps it may have
7 been Chief Superintendent Leatherdale at that
8 time.

9 Q And so the heading is:

03:45 10 "During enquiries on the
11 above files, information surfaced which
12 could cause concern to the Force."

13 So was this a heads-up that, in the course of our
14 investigation, we found something that involves
03:45 15 the RCMP?

16 A That's correct, and I just simply wanted to report
17 that.

18 Q And --

19 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Were you accepting
03:45 20 that Simington actually received a complaint and
21 did nothing about it?

22 A My Lord, we attempted to establish that, and we
23 never were able to, but we didn't disbelieve
24 LaFreniere, and whether Simington simply didn't
03:45 25 recall and the file had been taken and simply



1 destroyed, you know, through normal file purging,
2 or whether he never did a report, we were never
3 able to determine.

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I see. Okay.

03:45 5 BY MR. HODSON:

6 Q But I think you said you did not disbelieve
7 Mr. LaFreniere when he said he went in and told
8 Mr. Simington that?

9 A Exactly, we didn't disbelieve Mr. LaFreniere.

03:45 10 Q So can you tell us, once you learned this
11 information about -- let's call him Mr. LaFreniere
12 now -- when you learned this information from him,
13 can you tell us what significance, if any, that
14 had in your investigations?

03:45 15 A Well I guess it certainly showed that there had
16 been no follow-up at all on what he claimed to
17 have seen, or if there was it certainly wasn't
18 provided to us, so really it turned out to be
19 information that was -- it certainly answered that
03:46 20 issue but didn't provide us with anything, you
21 know, by way of information either that would help
22 show Mr. Fisher or Mr. Milgaard were involved.

23 Q Okay. So, apart from the issue about follow-up,
24 let's just talk about the information. Did you --
03:46 25 were you satisfied, then, that the information



1 that Sidney Wilson was -- or that Mr. LaFreniere
2 provided was that Larry Fisher came home that
3 morning covered in blood, and that his wife saw
4 him covered with blood and thought he was the
03:46 5 murderer, and that was not borne out by Linda
6 Fisher's evidence; correct?

7 A No, it certainly wasn't.

8 Q And, once you found out that Bruce LaFreniere
9 heard from Arnold Poitras who heard from Doris
03:47 10 Poitras who heard from Linda Fisher, did you
11 accept that maybe in the translation somewhere the
12 story got embellished or mixed up, and that there
13 might have been an innocent explanation as to why
14 the end story didn't fit with Linda's story?

03:47 15 A Yes.

16 Q And so it wasn't a case that Linda actually did
17 see him covered with blood, or that someone saw
18 him covered with blood, but that her -- what she
19 may have said to Doris Poitras, by the time it got
03:47 20 to Bruce LaFreniere, had maybe been twisted a bit?

21 A Exactly.

22 Q Go to 033942. This is a report of June 20th,
23 1993. At the bottom it says, here:

24 "Ronald Dale Wilson, a principal
03:48 25 character in this investigation,



1 declined to be interviewed."

2 And I think there may have been a few phone
3 calls, we saw, but Mr. Wilson refused to be
4 interviewed; is that correct?

03:48 5 A That's correct.

6 Q And did you draw any conclusions or inference from
7 that fact?

8 A Well I guess I was of the view that Mr. Wilson
9 would be willing to talk to us, and certainly
03:48 10 could provide good information around the
11 recantation and could further our investigation in
12 that regard, however he simply was -- was unable
13 -- unwilling to cooperate. I know I spoke with
14 him personally for probably half an hour one
03:48 15 evening and could not persuade him to sit down
16 with us at all.

17 Q And what were his concerns; do you remember?

18 A Well, that this should be over and done with, that
19 he didn't want to talk to the police any more,
03:48 20 that he had already provided all the information
21 he could provide, those sorts of thoughts.

22 Q And you would have been aware that he was cited
23 for contempt before the Supreme Court for evidence
24 that he provided there?

03:49 25 A I was aware of that.



1 Q And was that something, do you remember if that
2 was an issue that he had raised with you, or a
3 reluctance?

4 A I do recall that he did sort of mention that, that
03:49 5 he found that an unpleasant process and, you know,
6 kind of wanted to wash his hands of all of this
7 and get on with his life.

8 Q If we can then go to 049879. This is a letter
9 July 13th, 1993 from you to Mr. Henderson, and it
03:49 10 references a conversation between Constable Garth
11 Cunningham and Paul Henderson on July 5, 1993:

12 "... we have prepared a series of
13 questions pertaining to your
14 investigation."

03:49 15 And I think the note of Mr. Cunningham that we
16 saw earlier indicated a call to Mr. Henderson
17 with an indication that some questions would be
18 sent out. Would the practice be that, even
19 though it's your letter, would this be something
03:50 20 Mr. Cunningham would prepare for you to sign?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And, in light of Mr. Henderson's evidence, I think
23 he -- I think his evidence was to the effect that
24 he doesn't remember getting the letter because, if
03:50 25 he did, he certainly would have responded, and



1 then suggested that maybe it wasn't sent to the
2 right address, because he didn't think he received
3 it. Can you tell us, just do you have any memory
4 of yourself checking the address, or getting the
03:50 5 address, or anything like that?

6 A No.

7 Q Can you tell us what the practice would be of your
8 officers, then, in this situation? We know on
9 July 5, 1993 Constable Cunningham phoned him and
03:50 10 talked to him about sending out questions. Did
11 you have a database to get addresses, or what
12 would be your procedures to find out where to
13 contact witnesses, and how much care was taken to
14 ensure that they were correct?

03:51 15 A I'm sure that Mr. Cunningham, upon not receiving
16 anything, would have followed this up again with a
17 phone call and likely would have checked with Mr.
18 Henderson. I mean he had his phone number, so it
19 would be very easy to phone him and just find out
03:51 20 if he got the letter, so I'm satisfied that,
21 knowing how we tracked investigative leads and
22 files, I'm quite confident that there would have
23 been another contact made --

24 Q Okay.

03:51 25 A -- with Mr. Henderson to try and get this



1 information.

2 Q And, as far as how your investigators would obtain
3 an address for Mr. Henderson, can you tell us,
4 generally, what techniques or where did you go?

03:51 5 A Any number of areas, it could be through directory
6 assistance, it could be through contacting, you
7 know, someone who they felt may have the address,
8 through post office records, through whatever
9 means they could to try and find an address.

03:51 10 Q Or, if you were talking to him on the telephone,
11 asking him where to send the letter?

12 A Yes, certainly.

13 Q Would that be one?

14 A That would be one.

03:51 15 Q The letter talks about -- did you ever become
16 aware, prior to me raising it with you, the
17 suggestion that Mr. Henderson -- or that the
18 letters came back, or did not get delivered to
19 him?

03:52 20 A I was not aware of that.

21 Q In the letter you say:

22 "We are interested in receiving from you
23 any audio and/or video tapes you have in
24 your possession, or those that you will
03:52 25 be able to obtain from Mr. McCloskey, as



1 well as any notes, cassettes, statements
2 and documentation."

3 And then, as well, contact numbers for Dressler,
4 Cunningham, etcetera.

03:52 5 And then the next page, these
6 would have been questions drafted, and I take it
7 this would be -- no, go to 061280, please -- and
8 I think this is the document that -- the
9 questions. And I take it your investigators
03:53 10 would have drafted this and this would represent
11 the information that you wanted to obtain from
12 Paul Henderson?

13 A Yes. This would have been, you know, second to a
14 personal interview, so certainly they would have
03:53 15 preferred to interview him personally, but because
16 they weren't able to do that, then they would have
17 condensed their questions to writing and forwarded
18 them to him for a reply.

19 Q So do I take it, from that, that the fact that
03:53 20 questions would be sent out would mean that the
21 person was not prepared to participate in an
22 interview?

23 A That could be one of the reasons. It could have
24 been distance, but in this case travel wasn't a
03:53 25 problem, so I'm assuming it was likely because he



1 didn't want to participate in an interview.

2 Q And so when you say "travel" there would be no
3 reason, I mean, your officers would fly to Seattle
4 to interview him if he was prepared to be
03:53 5 interviewed; --

6 A Yes.

7 Q -- is that correct?

8 A Certainly.

9 Q Travel wasn't an issue.

10 A No.

11 Q And by telephone, I think that's how Mr.
12 McCloskey, I think he would not agree to an
13 interview in person but would agree to one by
14 telephone; is that right?

03:54 15 A That's right.

16 Q And so the fact that questions are being sent out,
17 are you telling us that that likely means that Mr.
18 Henderson would not or could not do an in-person
19 interview or over-the-telephone interview?

03:54 20 A It certainly could mean that, yes.

21 Q Likely mean that, I'm --

22 A Likely, I would suggest likely, yes.

23 Q So at the bottom, here, if we can go down to
24 paragraph 7. So let me just scroll up. Can you
03:54 25 tell us, what would be -- up to paragraph 1 -- the



1 background and his history; why would that be
2 important?

3 A I think just to establish, perhaps, a little bit
4 about his qualification.

03:54 5 Q And then paragraph 5:

6 "Who briefed you as to the background of
7 the file?"

8 Why would that be important?

9 A It's important to know what he knew when he went
03:54 10 into the interviews as to what the circumstances
11 of the case were, and I would assume that in
12 preparing he would have had some documentation
13 that he would have taken with him, or would have
14 reviewed prior to his interview.

03:55 15 Q And was this a general question or was there
16 something in the interviews that caused you to
17 have concerns about his information?

18 A I don't have, you know, sort of independent recall
19 of that, but to me it seems like a fairly general
03:55 20 question.

21 Q Okay. And then if we can go down, paragraph 6
22 talks about his interview with Linda Fisher and
23 various details about the statements, and what
24 would be the purpose of getting that information?

03:55 25 A I think we were certainly interested in any



1 information that Linda Fisher would have provided
2 to any investigators prior to us interviewing her,
3 or to augment our interview, so certainly anything
4 that he could provide us would assist us in
03:55 5 determining the value of her evidence.

6 Q And then down, paragraph 7:

7 "Mrs. Milgaard provided us
8 with cassette tapes, one of which was a
9 telephone conversation between you and
03:55 10 Dennis Cadrain. During the
11 conversation, you were attempting to
12 locate Albert Cadrain and then continued
13 discussing the case with Dennis. You
14 made two comments of note, one being:
03:56 15 'with the emergence of Larry Fisher as
16 the killer in this case, there shouldn't
17 be anything that bothers you now.' The
18 second comment reads as follows: 'the
19 R.C.M.P. is convinced that he's the
03:56 20 person.' This was making reference to
21 Larry Fisher. Would you please identify
22 the member(s) of the R.C.M.P. to whom
23 you were referring? Any documentation
24 or other evidence to substantiate these
03:56 25 comments would be most welcome."



1 And can you comment on why you would be seeking
2 that information?

3 A Yes. For obvious reasons, I mean he is making the
4 assertion there that the RCMP had taken a position
03:56 5 on this, and I would be interested in knowing how
6 he obtained that information.

7 Q And were you concerned in finding out whether or
8 not that was true?

9 A Yes.

03:56 10 Q And why would that be important?

11 A Well it's important, I think, because if he was
12 using that during his interviews of people, and
13 suggesting that to people, that that may change
14 the way in which interviews were conducted or the
03:57 15 way that witnesses would view, perhaps, the
16 information that they had to offer. It certainly
17 could influence them.

18 Q And I think we saw in one of his interviews,
19 either with Dennis Cadrain -- I think it was
03:57 20 Dennis Cadrain, where Mr. Henderson told him that
21 Larry Fisher had confessed that day, or he thought
22 he had confessed that day; were you familiar with
23 that being in one of the interviews that Mr.
24 Henderson had with one of the witnesses?

03:57 25 A Yes, I was.



1 Q And would you have concern with that type of
2 statement?

3 A I certainly would, because it provides information
4 to the complainant that's untrue, and certainly
03:57 5 could influence the ability to get a full and
6 complete and true statement from him.

7 Q How would that happen? What would be the concern?

8 A Well it would -- certainly could lead them to have
9 some self-doubt, would certainly plant the seed
03:57 10 that perhaps I, as a witness, didn't see what I
11 thought I saw, or was wrong, and may cause them to
12 change the truth.

13 Q And I think what either Mr. Henderson said or was
14 in the tapes were words to the effect that

03:58 15 "lookit" -- to those witnesses, namely Wilson,
16 Cadrain and John, although I don't think he put it
17 to Nichol John -- was "we know Fisher is the
18 killer", or "Fisher has confessed or is about to
19 confess, and once he does, that you are going to
03:58 20 be out there sort of as the only person
21 incriminating Mr. Milgaard" -- and I'm
22 paraphrasing -- but "now is your chance to
23 recant"; did you become familiar that that was the
24 technique used by Mr. Henderson?

03:58 25 A Yes. And I think, to answer your other question,



1 it certainly could influence a witness, you know,
2 being told something like that.

3 Q And why, what would your concern be, that the
4 witness would give a false recantation?

03:58 5 A Perhaps, yes it's certainly possible, or would
6 certainly doubt their own story.

7 Q And can you tell us, then, if that were the
8 technique used by Mr. Henderson -- and I've
9 paraphrased what I think is in the tapes but I
03:59 10 think you are familiar with what, with what Mr.
11 Henderson, at least in some cases what he said to
12 some of these witnesses; is that right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Can you tell us, then, what that -- tell us what
03:59 15 that would do to your view of the credibility of
16 the statement, or the reliability and credibility
17 of the statement obtained by Mr. Henderson's
18 interview?

19 A Yes, it would certainly cause me to question the
03:59 20 value of that statement to our investigation.

21 Q Because it may have been influenced by the
22 information asked by the questioner?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And are you telling us that it might contaminate
03:59 25 the recantation or the statement then?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And --

3 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Can I interrupt
4 for a moment?

03:59 5 MR. HODSON: Sure.

6 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Sawatsky,
7 before I forget to ask this, we heard from two
8 criminologists, and I think I just won't name
9 them because I can't remember which one said
04:00 10 this, but I challenged him about untruths being
11 put to witnesses in the course of examining them
12 and he said "oh, well that's an accepted police
13 technique"; is it, in your view, an accepted
14 police technique?

04:00 15 A My Lord, I think there's times when you may use a
16 technique such as saying to one witness who you
17 believe may be responsible for something "look,
18 the other person that was with you has told us
19 everything that happened" --

20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

21 A -- hoping that that person will provide you with
22 everything, --

23 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

24 A -- but I think you are maybe going a bit too far
04:00 25 when you suggest to somebody that "look, the RCMP



1 believe or know that Larry Fisher did this" and
2 "Larry Fisher has confessed" when, in fact, that
3 didn't happen. I mean there's -- that seems to me
4 to be going just a little bit too far.

04:00 5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Hmm.

6 MR. HODSON: And -- sorry.

7 A Certainly, My Lord, I'm not holding myself out to
8 be an expert.

9 BY MR. HODSON:

04:01 10 Q Is the risk there that the witness then -- I mean
11 I suppose, if you go back to 1969 in the
12 investigation and you say to a witness something
13 of an untruth, that "lookit, David Milgaard has
14 confessed, come on now, tell me you saw it, didn't
04:01 15 you?", that you may get the same unreliable
16 statement?

17 A Yes. And I think here, you know, we are also
18 dealing, another factor that perhaps would
19 influence this is we're dealing with a long, long
04:01 20 period of time where, you know, it's not like it
21 happened yesterday and I'm, as a witness, very
22 certain today what I saw. The longer the time the
23 more, perhaps, doubtful the witness could be about
24 his or her own recollection.

04:01 25 Q And so again on a recant, let's just talk about a



1 recantation then, and that's where a witness is
2 backing off of sworn evidence given in a previous
3 proceeding, right, and that in looking at the
4 credibility of a recantation, can you tell us what
04:01 5 are some of the things that you would look at to
6 see whether a recantation is credible?

7 A We would certainly, I would try and examine all
8 aspects of the recantation and see what the
9 recantation contained by way of issues that could
04:01 10 be checked out through other means; in other
11 words, through something that perhaps another
12 witness had said that would corroborate the
13 recantation or through physical evidence or
14 through any other means that would provide me with
04:01 15 some sense of whether or not the recantation was
16 genuine. Whether it fit I guess would be perhaps
17 an easy way to explain it.

18 Q And then let's just go back to this case I think
19 with Mr. Henderson and Mr. Wilson, I think you
04:02 20 said you had concerns about what information, and
21 where we started on here is what was given to
22 Dennis Cadrain; namely, that, you know, Larry
23 Fisher has confessed or we know he's the killer,
24 and I think you said that that would be
04:02 25 inappropriate because it may influence the



1 witness?

2 A Correct.

3 Q And so if a witness gave incriminating evidence
4 against David Milgaard and is told lookit, someone
04:02 5 else just confessed to the crime, the witness
6 might say, oh, then I must have been wrong, and
7 that it becomes easier for them to change their
8 evidence?

9 A That's correct.

04:02 10 Q And the change may not be reliable; is that fair?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And so I think you told us that to the extent --
13 you were generally aware that Mr. Henderson had
14 employed some of these techniques with Mr. Wilson,
04:02 15 Dennis Cadrain and perhaps some others; is that
16 fair?

17 A That's fair.

18 Q You never did get the tape of his interview with
19 Ron Wilson?

04:03 20 A That's correct.

21 Q But one of the areas of concern were what was it
22 that was said by Mr. Henderson to Ron Wilson prior
23 to the recantation?

24 A That was certainly of interest, and secondly was
04:03 25 what did Mr. Wilson say in the recantation.



1 Q And based on the tapes that you did have of the
2 interviews of Mr. Henderson with Dennis Cadrain,
3 Linda Fisher and perhaps some others, did you have
4 concerns that if he used the same techniques with
04:03 5 Ron Wilson as he used with other witnesses that
6 you knew about, you would have concerns about Ron
7 Wilson's recantation?

8 A That's correct, and also I should add that when
9 interviewing some of these witnesses, some of them
04:03 10 told us of the pressure tactics that were used by
11 Mr. Henderson.

12 Q And do you recall who that was?

13 A Yes. I know that -- I believe Lapchuk, Cadrain, I
14 know Linda --

04:03 15 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Which Cadrain?

16 A Albert Cadrain.

17 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Albert, okay.

18 A And I know that Nichol John -- I won't say Nichol
19 John, I would need to check my report, but I
04:04 20 believe Nichol John also had some concerns.

21 BY MR. HODSON:

22 Q Okay. If we can -- just on this issue of
23 recantation, and the word contaminated maybe isn't
24 the right one, but to the extent that if Mr.
04:04 25 Henderson interviewed Ron Wilson and what gave



1 rise to the recantation was either information
2 given by Mr. Henderson to Mr. Wilson that wasn't
3 true or techniques that caused you to question the
4 credibility of the recantation; in other words,
04:04 5 improper interviewing techniques, let's take that
6 to be the case for the moment, and I think you are
7 saying that could contaminate and make the
8 recantation of no value?

9 A Correct.

04:04 10 Q Let me go a step further. Once a recanter --
11 let's take the situation that the recanter has,
12 the circumstances under which the recanter has
13 recanted and the content of the recantation you
14 assumed to be suspect for the reasons we've just
04:05 15 said, that it's of no value, what does that do to
16 subsequent efforts to try and find out from the
17 recanter what really happened; in other words,
18 does the contamination, is it permanent?

19 A It could be I would suppose, but certainly if the
04:05 20 person was prepared to sit down and sort of
21 discuss all of the circumstances around the
22 recantation and then perhaps deal with it in an
23 honest way, you may be able to resurrect something
24 from it, and I guess that was always our hope with
04:05 25 Mr. Wilson, is that we could sit down with him and



1 actually find out really what he had to offer.

2 Q And again just back to the point though, I suppose
3 once Mr. Wilson put out his statements and said
4 here's what I lied about and here's why I lied,
04:05 5 and I think we saw from an earlier witness that
6 some of those people who tested that had
7 difficulty with it, with the credibility of the
8 reasons and therefore the recantation, but my
9 question to you, you then come in after the fact,
04:06 10 Mr. Wilson has been questioned by Paul Henderson
11 and examined by Eugene Williams, he's gone to the
12 Supreme Court, he's been cited for contempt, and
13 here you are in 1993 saying okay, Ron Wilson, tell
14 us what happened in 1969 and tell us how the
04:06 15 police treated you, knowing that he's been up and
16 down through various people telling his story, and
17 my question to you is that did the first
18 recantation and sort of the first time that he
19 changed his story and what happened there, did
04:06 20 that make it more difficult for you to get at the
21 truth?

22 A Yes, because as, generally speaking, when someone
23 tells a lie, it's difficult to, quite often, to
24 back them down from that if in fact it was a lie,
04:06 25 but what our objective was here is to try and find



1 out what Mr. Wilson could offer.

2 Q And I think what Mr. Rossmo told us was to the
3 effect that by the time they interviewed Mr.
4 Wilson -- actually, they interviewed Mr. Wilson
04:07 5 after Mr. Henderson and Mr. Williams and before
6 the Supreme Court and I think they said that it
7 was difficult -- they had a different view about
8 his reasons for lying, but said that it was
9 difficult to figure out what was the truth and
04:07 10 what may have come to be believed as the truth; is
11 that --

12 A Yes, and that's always a challenge with witnesses,
13 particularly over a long period of time.

14 Q And I suppose whether it's a police officer who
04:07 15 interviewed a witness in 1969 or 1970, if
16 information was given there to a witness that
17 might have influenced the evidence, that's a
18 problem; right?

19 A Yes, it is.

04:07 20 Q And similarly, 20 years later, if a person gives
21 information to the same witness that may or may
22 not be true or is inappropriate, that might
23 influence the credibility of the witness as well?

24 A That's correct.

04:07 25 Q Now, just back, if we can just finish up on this



1 Henderson interview, you talk here about Ron
2 Wilson on June 4, 1990:

3 a) how long did your interview last with
4 Wilson?

04:08 5 b) was the interview tape recorded?

6 i) if so, would you please
7 supply us with a copy of the tape?

8 c) was anyone else present during the
9 interview?

04:08 10 d) where was the interview conducted?"

11 And we've probably covered all these things, but
12 at this point were you suspicious about the
13 circumstances of Mr. Henderson's meeting with Mr.
14 Wilson based upon what you knew about his other
04:08 15 interviews?

16 A Yes, I was.

17 Q And is it correct to say that you wanted
18 Mr. Henderson to tell you what happened to either
19 eliminate your suspicions or perhaps confirm them
04:08 20 or at least give you his side of the story and
21 what happened?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And I suppose, is it correct, that if he sat down
24 and said, well, lookit, here's the tape of
04:08 25 everything that was discussed between us and



1 there's nothing on that tape where I said anything
2 inappropriate to Mr. Wilson, I simply said tell me
3 the truth and he started talking, would that have
4 addressed your concerns?

04:09 5 A It certainly would have helped. It would have
6 been different than had suggestions been put
7 forward.

8 Q And I suppose on the flip side, if the tape
9 indicated that Mr. Henderson went in and said
04:09 10 words to the effect that lookit, we know Larry
11 Fisher is the killer and I know why you lied at
12 trial, the police manipulated, coerced and bullied
13 you and they made you lie, now tell me the truth,
14 and in the course of a day he came up with the
04:09 15 statement, the other end of the spectrum, that
16 might confirm your suspicions; is that fair?

17 A That's fair.

18 Q If we can go to the next -- sorry, the bottom of
19 the page, it looks as well that you are trying to
04:09 20 talk to Mr. Henderson about the police informant:

21 "According to your report regarding
22 (V5)-- (V5)---, you were able to obtain
23 access to police reports through a
24 source whose identity has been
04:10 25 concealed. We would be most interesting



1 in speaking with your source. He or she
2 would be of great assistance to us and
3 may shed some light on some of the
4 thoughts and actions of the Saskatoon
04:10 5 City Police."

6 And again, that's -- I think you've already told
7 us you were trying to find out who this person
8 was and Mr. Henderson had obviously met with him,
9 so maybe he could tell you, or shed some light on
04:10 10 this allegation of missing files?

11 A Correct.

12 Q You then ask:

13 "Did you contact any representative of
14 Saskatchewan Justice, Mr. Caldwell, Mr.
04:10 15 Kujawa, or Mr. Tallis?"

16 What prompted that inquiry; do you know?

17 A I don't, I don't know what prompted that.

18 Q And here you say:

19 "It is most obvious that you possess a
04:10 20 great amount of knowledge regarding this
21 file and that you did a tremendous
22 amount of work. We feel you can be of
23 great assistance to us and we appreciate
24 your cooperation. The questions
04:10 25 provided are certainly not exhaustive



1 and should you be in possession of any
2 other information which is relevant or
3 material to our investigation, may we
4 please be granted access to it? We
04:11 5 would appreciate being granted access to
6 your entire file notwithstanding the
7 fact that we may be in possession of
8 certain parts of it. In this way, it
9 can be assured that we have examined all
04:11 10 available information."

11 Did you have concerns at this time that even
12 though you got some of the Centurion Ministries'
13 file, that you didn't have it all?

14 A Yes.

04:11 15 Q You told us Mr. Henderson did not respond to this
16 letter and you never did get a chance to speak
17 with him, and I just want to then go to the Ron
18 Wilson recantation. I think you told us in order
19 for you to test Ron Wilson's recantation in two
04:11 20 respects, one, to test whether his recantation is
21 credible, which would be important on the issue of
22 David Milgaard's guilt or innocence; right?

23 A Right.

24 Q And secondly, the circumstances as to why he lied;
04:11 25 in other words, the police manipulation and the



1 coercion and the bullying was important for the
2 criminal investigation?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q In other words, if what Ron Wilson said in his
04:12 5 June 4th statement was true, that would prove, or
6 might prove that the police had committed
7 misconduct?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q And I think you told us the ways to test that
04:12 10 would be to talk to Mr. Wilson and talk to Mr.
11 Henderson to figure out what went on between them;
12 is that right?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And it appears here that neither Mr. Wilson nor
04:12 15 Mr. Henderson were prepared to talk to you?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q And did you draw any inferences from the fact that
18 neither of them were prepared to talk to you about
19 these matters?

04:12 20 A Well, I guess I certainly doubted, caused me to
21 doubt whether or not, you know, the -- that Mr.
22 Wilson had provided a genuine statement or a
23 truthful statement.

24 Q Can you tell me what -- and what about sort of
04:13 25 your view then of the credibility of Ron Wilson's



1 recantation then, what did that lead you -- what
2 did that leave you with as far as looking at what
3 he said in the June 4th statement, both on the
4 recantation and his allegations of police
04:13 5 misconduct?

6 A Well, it certainly left us with no evidence to
7 support -- to support that. We did sort of look
8 at what we had by way of information around the
9 recantation, it did make comparisons of that with
04:13 10 what we knew to be factual and found that the
11 recantation was actually recanting some things
12 that were factual, so that made me even more
13 suspicious of the recantation.

14 Q So in other words, that the recantation, although
04:13 15 he wouldn't talk to you and Mr. Henderson wouldn't
16 talk to you, you tested the recantation and found
17 that some of the recantation was inconsistent with
18 other known facts?

19 A Correct.

04:13 20 Q So in other words, that you concluded that at
21 least part of the recantation was false?

22 A Correct.

23 Q Based on what you knew of them?

24 A Correct.

04:14 25 Q And what conclusion did you draw from that?



1 A Well, certainly that we could place very little
2 weight on that recantation.

3 Q Did that elevate the credibility of Ron Wilson's
4 original trial testimony?

04:14 5 A I don't know if it elevated it, but certainly when
6 you take the recantation and aren't able to place
7 any weight on it, I guess it does probably elevate
8 the original statement.

9 Q In other words, and if there had been no
04:14 10 recantation, no subsequent contact with Ron
11 Wilson, I take it you would take a look at his
12 trial evidence and his statements and take a look
13 at the credibility of that?

14 A That's correct.

04:14 15 Q And comparing that to what happened, and namely a
16 recantation under the circumstances that you
17 viewed to be suspicious, and then when you checked
18 into the recantation you concluded that part of
19 the recantation wasn't true based on known facts
04:14 20 and some suspicious circumstances, I'm trying to
21 understand, did that cause you to, when you then
22 went back and said okay, what do we make of Ron
23 Wilson's trial evidence and was it coerced by
24 police and was it truthful, did the recantation in
04:15 25 the circumstances cause you to view it to be more



1 credible and less likely to be manipulated by the
2 police than if the recantation had not happened?

3 A Yes, but I don't think it was quite that
4 straightforward because of course what we did was
04:15 5 test that against what was known, and certainly
6 the information that we now had from Mr. Tallis
7 about what he had been told by Mr. Milgaard was
8 certainly helpful in assessing that because
9 elements of that statement fit and elements of the
04:15 10 statement from Nichol John fit with what Mr.
11 Milgaard had provided to his counsel.

12 Q So take, for example, I think one of the
13 recantation parts was the compact, that no compact
14 was thrown out, and I think at one point at the
04:15 15 Supreme -- well, I better the leave the getting
16 stuck because I think that changed, but the
17 compact, the fact that he recanted that, in
18 effect, in his June 4th, 1990 statement, although
19 he said I have no independent recollection of it,
04:16 20 but if you assume that to be a recantation, the
21 fact that Mr. Tallis confirmed David Milgaard told
22 him it happened, Nichol said it happened, Albert
23 Cadrain said it happened, you then go back and
24 say, okay, well, the recantation at least on that
04:16 25 part is wrong, his evidence at trial is therefore



1 more reliable on that point than his recantation;
2 is that what you are telling us?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q And so in summary, the Wilson recantation and
04:16 5 Wilson's allegations of police manipulation,
6 etcetera, in his statement, and the circumstances
7 under which that statement came to be, did play a
8 part in your assessment of police misconduct and
9 the truthfulness of his evidence at trial, but
04:16 10 perhaps not in accordance with what Mr. Wilson had
11 to say?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q Go to 044763, and this is a letter back from
14 Centurion Ministries to you about questions for
04:17 15 Mr. McCloskey, and I think again I won't go
16 through these -- if we can go to the next page --
17 and I think he was involved in a different aspect,
18 he didn't have any direct contact with the
19 witnesses; is that correct?

04:17 20 A That's correct.

21 Q And so again, if we can go to 044765, would you
22 give the same answer, that your people would have
23 sat down and outlined those areas that you had
24 concerns for Mr. McCloskey and set them out in
04:17 25 questions and sent them to him?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And then I believe the record shows that he did by
3 telephone participate in an interview and respond
4 to these questions; is that correct?

04:18 5 A Yes, I believe that's the case.

6 Q Go to 061302, this is July -- 061302, and again
7 this is your July 30, 1993 report. So it appears
8 that almost on a monthly basis, although maybe not
9 to the day, that these reports would be prepared
10 by you with an update of where things were at?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And just at the bottom, I think earlier on in a
13 report, I didn't call it up, but I think initially
14 Charles Short indicated he did not wish to talk to
15 you, he then changed his mind and did talk to you;
16 is that right?

17 A That's right.

18 Q If we can then go to the last page of this, and I
19 think it's got a different doc. ID, 036477, and
20 this is page 44 which I think is the tail-end of
21 that report, although it's got a different doc.
22 ID, July 29, 1993, you are indicating:

23 "The investigation continues and should
24 be completed during September, 1993."

04:20 25 And that was the target point; is that right?



1 A That's correct.

2 Q And:

3 "Messrs. Romanow, Blakeney and Caldwell
4 have all been interviewed. Contents of
04:20 5 those interviews will form part of the
6 next report. It should be mentioned
7 that nothing of a startling nature was
8 revealed during this portion of the
9 enquiries.

04:20 10 The final report is now being
11 compiled. However, we are awaiting
12 responses to several questions posed to
13 Centurion Ministries. We are also
14 intending to approach Ronald Dale
04:20 15 Wilson, with the hope that he will
16 provide an interview."

17 And so did the outstanding request to Centurion
18 Ministries and Ronald Wilson, were those -- did
19 that delay completion of your investigation?

04:20 20 A Yes. We were still waiting for -- to try and make
21 that contact.

22 Q Go to 061348, it's a letter August 31, 1993 from
23 you to Mr. Henderson, it's the same address as the
24 earlier one:

04:21 25 "Kindly advise when we might expect your



1 response to our correspondence forwarded
2 July 13, 1993."

3 Just on the point of the wrong address, if a
4 letter had been -- what procedures did you have
04:21 5 in place if a letter had been returned
6 undelivered or wrong address, who or how would
7 that be handled?

8 A Well, it would probably go back to the
9 investigator to track down the correct address and
04:21 10 try and make sure that the correspondence got to
11 its destination.

12 Q And the fact that this letter is to the same
13 address as the July 13th letter, can you draw any
14 conclusions from that as far as whether anything
04:21 15 came back from Mr. Henderson as undeliverable or
16 wrong address?

17 A No, it doesn't appear that that's the case.

18 Q If we can go to 061373, this is a letter September
19 9, 1993 from Murray Brown, it says:

04:22 20 "Enclosed is another portion of the
21 Department's file in this matter. It
22 would appear this is the missing head
23 office file put together before and
24 after the appeal. Until now it has been
04:22 25 languishing in the correspondence files.



1 Why it was there I have no idea. I
2 personally disclaim any knowledge of it
3 as the file contains material I have
4 never seen before.

04:22 5 Would you please pass this on
6 to your investigators for their
7 consideration."

8 And I think, and actually I will bring it
9 tomorrow and maybe have you take a look at it, I
04:22 10 think this was the file folder that contained the
11 three RCMP reports from 1969; is that correct?

12 A Yes, I believe that's what was in there.

13 Q And that was the Rasmussen reports and maybe an
14 Edmondson report; is that correct?

04:22 15 A Yes, I believe so.

16 Q And I think we heard evidence from, it may have
17 been Rasmussen or Edmondson, that the actual RCMP
18 files relating to this investigation, your own
19 files would have been destroyed many years prior;
04:23 20 is that right?

21 A That's right.

22 Q What was the -- what was the procedure or policy
23 for murder files, how long did you keep them for?

24 A Murder files are, at that time, and probably still
04:23 25 today, are kept indefinitely. However, this was



1 very likely an assistance file and therefore the
2 original file would have been the property of
3 Saskatoon police, so the RCMP would have been in
4 an assistance role here, so very likely it would
04:23 5 have been destroyed or purged within time lines of
6 the day that told, that guided how long assistance
7 files were kept.

8 Q And we've heard some evidence that back in 1969
9 the RCMP would have, in cases where they are
04:23 10 assisting the municipal force, would have a
11 reporting requirement to the provincial Attorney
12 General pursuant to the contract. Would you have
13 been aware of that?

14 A Yes. Not from Saskatchewan, but from my time in
04:24 15 B.C. I was aware that there was a reporting
16 requirement.

17 Q And so, and I think that's the evidence, that
18 these were reports that had been sent as part of
19 the contracting obligation, in other words,
04:24 20 reporting here, and that's how they ended up with
21 the government, and we'll hear from Mr. Brown as
22 to where they were located.

23 What was your recollection of
24 these files, did it change your investigation, or
04:24 25 what were the significance of what was in the



1 files?

2 A I'm not sure how many issues they spoke to, but I
3 know one of the issues that they did help us with
4 was the, I believe you called it the Mackie
04:24 5 summary, it did assist us with our determination
6 on that, I think there was some information
7 contained in that that was of assistance there.

8 Q And I think that was the report, and I'll call
9 these up tomorrow, but I think that was the report
04:25 10 that talked about a May 15th, 1969 meeting among
11 senior people to discuss bringing these kids in
12 for polygraph or -- I can't remember what the
13 other -- but that was the report you are referring
14 to?

04:25 15 A That's the report I'm referring to, yes.

16 Q Some of the earlier reports also talk about the
17 connection drawn between the earlier sexual
18 assaults and the Gail Miller murder. Were you
19 aware of that being in the RCMP report?

04:25 20 A Yes, yes, I was.

21 Q And was that something that was new to you in your
22 investigation, that the Saskatoon City Police had
23 connected the earlier sexual assaults to the Gail
24 Miller; in other words, for a time they were
04:25 25 looking at a common perpetrator?



1 A Yes, I was aware of that.

2 Q And so did the RCMP reports that said that, maybe
3 in more detail, was it something new or was it
4 something that confirmed what you had already
04:25 5 learned from Saskatoon City Police?

6 A Yes, it confirmed something we were already aware
7 of.

8 Q If we can go to 227194 --

9 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You were already
04:26 10 aware of knowledge within the Saskatoon Police
11 Force that the RCMP at the time of the
12 investigation in 1969 held the view that there
13 might have been a common perpetrator?

14 A No, My Lord, I believe I was aware that Saskatoon
04:26 15 police had, at some point, looked at some of the
16 Fisher offences --

17 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh.

18 A -- in connection with the Gail Miller murder and
19 then discounted that later on in their
04:26 20 investigation, and the RCMP report, if my recall
21 is correct, also spoke to that issue.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes. What prompts
23 my question is an interest in the inter-force
24 exchange of information of which it seems there
04:26 25 wasn't any here. We've heard from earlier



1 witnesses that the RCMP reported to the
2 Saskatchewan Attorney General's Department about
3 its activities, but it didn't report -- a copy of
4 its own members' reports did not go to the
04:27 5 Saskatoon Police Force who it was helping out at
6 the time.

7 A That's correct, and that's the way it was in that
8 day.

9 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Is it still that
04:27 10 way?

11 A No, it's not that way any more.

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, we want to
13 hear some evidence on that, it's an important
14 issue, and Commission Counsel will prepare you
04:27 15 properly for it, because I think you are probably
16 as expert on the subject as anyone, taking
17 account your experience with the police force as
18 well as your current occupation, so we'll
19 certainly come back to that.

04:27 20 BY MR. HODSON:

21 Q Yeah. Maybe just on that point. Today, and if
22 you are not able to answer this question please
23 tell me, but today in Saskatchewan if the RCMP
24 were called in to assist the Saskatoon City Police
04:27 25 Force on a murder investigation and the RCMP



1 prepared the reports, their own internal reports
2 for reporting up to their superiors, would those
3 reports get sent to the Saskatoon City Police?

04:28 4 A Yes, yes, and in fact right now in the Province of
5 Saskatchewan we have a number of integrated units
6 working together, drug units and intelligence
7 units, and it's very common for the major crime
8 units of Saskatoon and Regina, or the RCMP working
9 with them, it's very common for them to work
04:28 10 together on major incidents where it crosses
11 jurisdictions, so I'm quite confident that in this
12 day and age it's likely not to happen.

13 Q And just back on the question, I think the -- at
14 this point in your investigation you recall one of
04:28 15 the issues that Mr. Wolch raised with you
16 initially is the suggestion or the allegation that
17 the Saskatoon City Police did connect the sexual
18 assaults to Gail Miller's murder in the sense that
19 they, for a while, had a theory that there was a
04:28 20 common perpetrator. Do you remember that being
21 issue number one?

22 A I do remember that, yes.

23 Q Prior to you getting the RCMP reports, the 69, and
24 let me -- I'll call them the Rasmussen reports,
04:29 25 okay, prior to you getting the Rasmussen reports



1 in September of 1993, the documents seem to
2 suggest that your team had already investigated
3 that issue with the Saskatoon City Police by
4 looking at their records and talking to city
04:29 5 police officers to determine whether or not the
6 Saskatoon City Police connected the sexual
7 assaults and the murder; correct?

8 A Correct.

9 Q And what was the conclusion or the information
04:29 10 that you received about that prior to realizing
11 that there was RCMP reports in existence?

12 A I may need my report sort of to refresh me on
13 that, but if my recall is correct, and certainly
14 subject to review of my report, they did make a
04:29 15 very early connection, but then once the lead took
16 them in a different direction, they no longer, or
17 they discounted that connection.

18 Q Let me go to 061302 and go to page -- this is a
19 July 30th, 1993 report, so this is a month prior
04:30 20 to learning of the RCMP reports. Go to page
21 061306, and here under issues one to four deal
22 with Wolch's contention that the Saskatoon City
23 Police connected the Fisher rapes and the Miller
24 murder investigation from the outset, and that
04:30 25 since the police made a connection between sexual



1 assaults committed by Larry Fisher and the Miller
2 sexual assault, murder, they ought to have known
3 Fisher was responsible for all the crimes. Issue
4 one, you say, and this is as a result, the
04:30 5 Saskatoon Police Department connected the Fisher
6 rapes and the Miller murder investigations
7 together, in their investigation of the Miller
8 murder showed photograph line-ups of Fisher to the
9 victims of the Fisher rapes, and the deputy chief
04:30 10 wrote a letter. And I think if I'm reading that
11 right, would that have been a conclusion reached
12 then by you before you got the RCMP Rasmussen
13 report in September of '93, your group had already
14 determined that the city police had in fact
04:31 15 connected them; is that correct?

16 A That's correct.

17 MR. HODSON: I see it's 4:30. We can break
18 for the day.

19 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yeah. Just before
04:31 20 I go, I think counsel was finished asking you
21 about Henderson and I understood you to be saying
22 that the fact that Henderson wouldn't answer
23 written questions and wouldn't provide
24 information had it been asked of him led you to
04:31 25 believe, to use my own words, that he might have



1 had something to hide with respect to the
2 recantation statement he took from Wilson. In
3 his evidence before us he displayed, I don't
4 think animosity is too strong a word, an
04:32 5 animosity, certainly a contempt for policemen in
6 general, and the RCMP in particular, at least one
7 of them with whom he had to deal, and I wonder if
8 Constable Cunningham got that impression from
9 talking to him. You didn't talk to him
04:32 10 personally did you?

11 A I didn't, My Lord, no.

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I wonder if
13 Cunningham did, well, I know he did, and did he
14 get that impression from him and convey it to
04:32 15 you, because that would be another explanation
16 for his refusal to co-operate with you.

17 A If that was the case, I certainly wasn't aware of
18 it.

19 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You weren't aware
04:32 20 of it, okay.

21 A But I certainly wished that he would have
22 contacted me or someone else, but in any event, I
23 don't dispute that, but I wasn't aware of it.

24 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: But Constable
04:32 25 Cunningham didn't lead you to that impression?



1 A No, he never did, My Lord.

2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay, thank you
3 very much.

4 *(Adjourned at 4:32 p.m.)*

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



	35448:13	35481:14	35280:1, 35374:13	1996 [1] - 35460:3
<p>'69 [6] - 35304:25, 35441:21, 35441:22, 35469:20, 35492:1, 35492:2</p> <p>'69-'70 [6] - 35302:18, 35303:7, 35303:14, 35303:21, 35448:25, 35449:6</p> <p>'69-'70-'71 [1] - 35301:18</p> <p>'69/'70 [2] - 35313:24, 35314:4</p> <p>'70 [1] - 35368:8</p> <p>'71 [1] - 35399:11</p> <p>'80s [2] - 35300:23, 35373:8</p> <p>'81 [1] - 35381:14</p> <p>'91 [1] - 35458:8</p> <p>'92 [5] - 35274:11, 35308:8, 35330:19, 35441:22, 35490:17</p> <p>'93 [17] - 35337:6, 35427:3, 35429:25, 35434:14, 35434:22, 35435:7, 35439:4, 35457:6, 35460:8, 35471:1, 35473:21, 35474:12, 35475:13, 35481:10, 35488:18, 35490:17, 35542:13</p> <p>'94 [2] - 35437:1, 35439:4</p> <p>'begin [1] - 35335:6</p> <p>'can [2] - 35453:18, 35456:14</p> <p>'ensure [2] - 35334:5, 35336:7</p> <p>'get [1] - 35454:20</p> <p>'improper' [1] - 35297:19</p> <p>'in [1] - 35442:5</p> <p>'it [1] - 35441:6</p> <p>'s [3] - 35455:23, 35455:24, 35456:6</p> <p>'should [2] - 35332:16, 35332:17</p> <p>'the [3] - 35334:14, 35431:10, 35511:18</p> <p>'two [1] - 35440:11</p> <p>'with [1] - 35511:15</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>061249 [1] - 35481:10</p> <p>061256 [1] - 35493:1</p> <p>061258 [1] - 35495:24</p> <p>061262 [1] - 35497:5</p> <p>061280 [1] - 35508:7</p> <p>061302 [3] - 35532:6, 35541:18</p> <p>061306 [1] - 35541:21</p> <p>061348 [1] - 35533:22</p> <p>061373 [1] - 35534:18</p> <p>061689 [1] - 35432:18</p> <p>067343 [1] - 35274:5</p>	<p>1969 [18] - 35284:4, 35295:6, 35298:11, 35316:11, 35329:11, 35368:8, 35376:21, 35389:3, 35394:10, 35466:6, 35479:7, 35516:11, 35521:14, 35522:15, 35535:11, 35536:8, 35537:10, 35538:12</p> <p>1970 [6] - 35294:23, 35303:21, 35304:25, 35399:11, 35467:16, 35522:15</p> <p>1970s [1] - 35450:15</p> <p>1973 [1] - 35402:20</p> <p>1980 [1] - 35454:22</p> <p>1980s [1] - 35375:6</p> <p>1981 [1] - 35381:3</p> <p>1986 [3] - 35498:14, 35499:6, 35500:15</p> <p>1988 [1] - 35489:16</p> <p>1989 [1] - 35491:8</p> <p>1990 [12] - 35304:20, 35304:23, 35312:8, 35368:7, 35380:13, 35380:19, 35396:17, 35472:2, 35494:7, 35498:24, 35523:2, 35530:18</p> <p>1992 [9] - 35274:10, 35290:22, 35304:10, 35305:17, 35308:18, 35319:17, 35326:16, 35394:10, 35490:8</p> <p>1992-1993 [1] - 35301:16</p> <p>1993 [37] - 35303:13, 35304:10, 35329:9, 35330:12, 35357:1, 35358:15, 35394:10, 35416:23, 35432:19, 35432:21, 35439:18, 35444:22, 35446:17, 35456:19, 35458:12, 35459:19, 35460:6, 35461:16, 35462:25, 35470:8, 35474:11, 35476:9, 35495:25, 35497:5, 35503:23, 35505:9, 35505:11, 35506:9, 35521:13, 35532:7, 35532:22, 35532:24, 35533:22, 35534:2, 35534:19, 35541:1, 35541:19</p> <p>1994 [1] - 35470:16</p> <p>1994-'95 [1] - 35436:2</p> <p>1995 [1] - 35460:3</p>	<p>1997 [2] - 35272:24, 35290:22</p> <p>1:34 [1] - 35408:14</p>
		<p>1</p>	<p>1 [15] - 35349:23, 35349:24, 35362:11, 35429:25, 35432:21, 35444:13, 35447:12, 35447:18, 35447:22, 35448:4, 35456:19, 35475:19, 35485:6, 35501:1, 35509:25</p> <p>10 [1] - 35426:8</p> <p>10:29 [1] - 35349:14</p> <p>10:55 [1] - 35349:15</p> <p>11 [1] - 35277:17</p> <p>11:58 [1] - 35408:13</p> <p>11th [3] - 35434:22, 35474:11, 35474:12</p> <p>12 [5] - 35296:2, 35345:21, 35363:17, 35364:1, 35426:8</p> <p>12:00 [1] - 35408:11</p> <p>13 [1] - 35534:2</p> <p>13th [4] - 35457:6, 35481:21, 35505:9, 35534:13</p> <p>14 [2] - 35308:18, 35368:25</p> <p>14th [1] - 35305:17</p> <p>15 [3] - 35319:17, 35370:21, 35454:18</p> <p>15th [4] - 35319:25, 35410:12, 35426:11, 35537:10</p> <p>16 [2] - 35390:6, 35460:8</p> <p>16th [1] - 35435:7</p> <p>17 [1] - 35455:8</p> <p>17-year-old [1] - 35390:7</p> <p>171 [1] - 35267:22</p> <p>17th [1] - 35439:18</p> <p>18 [2] - 35461:16, 35462:25</p> <p>18th [1] - 35497:5</p> <p>19 [3] - 35279:25,</p>	<p>2</p>
	<p>004436 [1] - 35329:14</p> <p>023036 [2] - 35462:23, 35464:10</p> <p>033942 [1] - 35503:22</p> <p>036477 [1] - 35532:19</p> <p>036521 [3] - 35460:6, 35464:8, 35470:7</p> <p>036522 [1] - 35466:12</p> <p>036525 [1] - 35470:9</p> <p>036667 [1] - 35416:19</p> <p>036677 [1] - 35420:6</p> <p>036681 [2] - 35425:22, 35434:13</p> <p>036699 [1] - 35337:4</p> <p>036813 [1] - 35442:20</p> <p>036814 [1] - 35442:19</p> <p>036818 [1] - 35443:1</p> <p>036838 [1] - 35444:21</p> <p>036840 [1] - 35444:21</p> <p>038239 [2] - 35356:18, 35359:9</p> <p>038249 [1] - 35413:8</p> <p>038264 [1] - 35305:16</p> <p>038268 [1] - 35351:2</p> <p>038270 [1] - 35446:16</p> <p>038281 [1] - 35434:22</p> <p>038450 [1] - 35343:15</p> <p>041876 [1] - 35473:19</p> <p>041911 [1] - 35471:1</p> <p>041913 [1] - 35439:18</p> <p>041914 [1] - 35441:3</p> <p>041916 [1] - 35488:18</p> <p>041987 [1] - 35458:12</p> <p>041997 [1] - 35435:7</p> <p>042184 [1] - 35470:6</p> <p>044763 [1] - 35531:13</p> <p>044765 [1] - 35531:21</p> <p>046167 [1] - 35427:2</p> <p>046386 [1] - 35427:22</p> <p>048385 [1] - 35427:23</p> <p>049879 [1] - 35505:8</p> <p>060939 [1] - 35319:16</p> <p>060941 [1] - 35325:20</p> <p>060945 [1] - 35326:14</p> <p>060947 [1] - 35330:4</p> <p>060956 [1] - 35342:15</p> <p>0610 [1] - 35456:19</p> <p>061061 [1] - 35456:19</p> <p>061075 [1] - 35429:24</p> <p>061078 [1] - 35457:6</p> <p>061222 [1] - 35474:10</p> <p>061236 [1] - 35474:12</p> <p>061243 [1] - 35475:13</p> <p>061248 [2] - 35481:8,</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>2 [2] - 35362:13, 35425:9</p> <p>20 [6] - 35304:14, 35305:7, 35375:5, 35394:2, 35463:23, 35522:20</p> <p>2006 [1] - 35267:21</p> <p>20th [1] - 35503:22</p> <p>21 [2] - 35326:16, 35455:25</p> <p>22 [2] - 35330:12, 35337:5</p> <p>227194 [1] - 35538:8</p> <p>238548 [1] - 35330:11</p> <p>249 [1] - 35481:15</p> <p>24th [2] - 35469:20, 35470:1</p> <p>25 [1] - 35358:15</p> <p>25-26 [1] - 35357:1</p> <p>26th [5] - 35274:8, 35274:10, 35444:22, 35481:20, 35494:7</p> <p>28th [1] - 35267:21</p> <p>29 [3] - 35307:20, 35394:16, 35532:22</p> <p>2:53 [1] - 35475:10</p> <p>2nd [1] - 35376:21</p>	
<p>/</p>				<p>4</p>
<p>/degree [1] - 35448:13</p> <p>/m.o [1] - 35448:13</p> <p>/weapons [1] -</p>				<p>4 [2] - 35427:3, 35523:2</p> <p>41 [1] - 35404:23</p> <p>44 [1] - 35532:20</p>



<p>45 [1] - 35406:25 4:30 [1] - 35542:17 4:32 [1] - 35544:4 4th [7] - 35312:8, 35380:13, 35380:19, 35488:18, 35527:5, 35528:3, 35530:18</p>	<p>9th [2] - 35329:9, 35481:20</p>	<p>35539:17 accounting [1] - 35478:16</p>	<p>35534:6, 35534:9, 35534:13, 35534:16 addressed [8] - 35283:19, 35288:8, 35307:17, 35426:4, 35444:4, 35455:11, 35480:9, 35524:4</p>	<p>afraid [2] - 35409:6, 35465:3 afternoon [2] - 35413:6, 35413:7</p>
<p>5</p>	<p>A</p>	<p>accurate [7] - 35310:22, 35326:11, 35342:9, 35353:11, 35425:9, 35435:13, 35450:17</p>	<p>addresses [4] - 35324:16, 35483:14, 35487:5, 35506:11</p>	<p>age [1] - 35540:12 agencies [1] - 35360:14 agenda [2] - 35306:2, 35387:25</p>
<p>5 [4] - 35287:25, 35505:11, 35506:9, 35510:5</p>	<p>A-type [1] - 35405:23 A2s [1] - 35336:25 Aaron [1] - 35269:8 ability [4] - 35316:13, 35394:14, 35513:5, 35545:7 able [32] - 35281:23, 35302:7, 35306:14, 35316:19, 35320:25, 35329:23, 35336:13, 35360:7, 35360:16, 35360:25, 35372:5, 35378:25, 35389:17, 35397:18, 35407:15, 35407:16, 35435:12, 35435:23, 35438:23, 35450:18, 35478:15, 35496:15, 35497:7, 35501:23, 35502:3, 35507:25, 35508:16, 35520:23, 35524:22, 35529:6, 35539:22</p>	<p>accusations [1] - 35352:5 accused [2] - 35386:12, 35500:1 achieve [2] - 35321:6, 35321:7 acknowledging [1] - 35483:6 acquaintances [1] - 35385:25 act [2] - 35373:9, 35449:21 acted [4] - 35421:4, 35421:18, 35421:21, 35421:25 acting [4] - 35326:23, 35326:25, 35423:8, 35483:9 Acting [1] - 35326:25 action [3] - 35294:16, 35384:2, 35500:16 actions [3] - 35296:21, 35312:19, 35525:4 activities [2] - 35296:20, 35539:3 activity [2] - 35417:14, 35444:11 actual [5] - 35307:19, 35331:17, 35481:15, 35495:1, 35535:17 add [7] - 35355:11, 35360:8, 35401:4, 35420:22, 35420:23, 35470:5, 35519:8 added [4] - 35274:25, 35359:24, 35391:25, 35444:7 addition [3] - 35276:23, 35287:24, 35479:1 Additional [1] - 35423:21 additional [7] - 35344:19, 35352:25, 35357:16, 35364:18, 35366:25, 35420:20 address [18] - 35283:10, 35442:15, 35470:15, 35482:25, 35488:5, 35493:5, 35506:2, 35506:4, 35506:5, 35507:3, 35507:7, 35507:9, 35533:23, 35534:3,</p>	<p>addressing [1] - 35295:1 adds [1] - 35369:2 adequate [3] - 35283:7, 35284:10, 35338:6 adhered [1] - 35303:3 Adjourned [4] - 35349:14, 35408:13, 35475:10, 35544:4 administering [1] - 35378:14 administrative [1] - 35337:1 admissibility [2] - 35390:25, 35391:1 admit [1] - 35391:3 admits [1] - 35288:3 admitted [1] - 35383:17 Admittedly [1] - 35360:17 admittedly [1] - 35361:4 adopt [3] - 35281:16, 35392:9, 35393:4 adult [1] - 35278:10 advance [2] - 35308:10, 35438:7 advice [2] - 35439:1, 35439:15 advise [5] - 35322:6, 35333:4, 35334:10, 35350:18, 35533:25 advised [3] - 35288:14, 35343:2, 35349:19 advises [10] - 35360:13, 35362:6, 35362:25, 35370:22, 35370:25, 35379:19, 35383:16, 35398:18, 35407:4, 35428:6 advising [1] - 35396:18 advisors [2] - 35298:20, 35371:14 affect [4] - 35340:20, 35349:4, 35350:23, 35422:13 affected [2] - 35290:23, 35424:3 affects [1] - 35350:13 affidavit [1] - 35477:2</p>	<p>aggressive [2] - 35297:15, 35390:8 aggressively [2] - 35377:5, 35392:5 ago [4] - 35333:9, 35342:1, 35427:6, 35465:25 agree [9] - 35277:14, 35289:24, 35305:1, 35305:8, 35305:10, 35477:9, 35496:11, 35509:12, 35509:13 agreed [3] - 35320:18, 35460:16, 35478:13 ahead [3] - 35313:21, 35322:17, 35411:2 al [1] - 35307:16 Albert [11] - 35309:21, 35339:23, 35376:7, 35376:20, 35377:20, 35450:22, 35453:2, 35511:12, 35519:16, 35519:17, 35530:22 Alberta [11] - 35271:22, 35272:14, 35273:16, 35296:8, 35296:12, 35296:25, 35297:7, 35306:5, 35320:17, 35458:22, 35461:22 alcohol [1] - 35480:20 alert [1] - 35296:11 alias [2] - 35493:7, 35494:4 alive [1] - 35291:3 all-knowing [1] - 35349:1 Allan [1] - 35497:8 allegation [69] - 35279:12, 35280:9, 35281:6, 35281:23, 35285:21, 35287:15, 35291:2, 35293:18, 35293:19, 35293:24, 35294:8, 35294:12, 35311:1, 35315:18, 35318:18, 35319:14, 35320:3, 35326:3, 35337:11, 35345:6, 35345:10, 35345:19, 35362:15, 35363:8, 35372:9, 35372:22, 35372:24, 35373:7,</p>
<p>6</p>	<p>absent [1] - 35273:24 academic [2] - 35409:24, 35410:22 accent [1] - 35298:24 accept [4] - 35370:19, 35438:20, 35493:9, 35503:11 accepted [2] - 35515:12, 35515:13 accepting [1] - 35501:19 Access [2] - 35482:14, 35482:24 access [12] - 35288:3, 35291:19, 35329:17, 35330:2, 35369:9, 35414:23, 35446:2, 35460:14, 35482:18, 35524:23, 35526:4, 35526:5 accompanying [2] - 35483:5, 35483:15 accomplish [1] - 35412:5 accordance [3] - 35297:8, 35422:10, 35531:10 according [1] - 35472:4 According [1] - 35524:21 account [4] - 35326:11, 35360:17, 35361:5,</p>	<p>6 [3] - 35288:20, 35450:22, 35510:21 6-12 [1] - 35459:5 673 [1] - 35418:17 675 [1] - 35419:23 679 [1] - 35425:7 68 [1] - 35277:9 69 [1] - 35540:23 690 [3] - 35308:22, 35471:24, 35473:2 6th [1] - 35473:21</p>	<p>adhered [1] - 35303:3 Adjourned [4] - 35349:14, 35408:13, 35475:10, 35544:4 administering [1] - 35378:14 administrative [1] - 35337:1 admissibility [2] - 35390:25, 35391:1 admit [1] - 35391:3 admits [1] - 35288:3 admitted [1] - 35383:17 Admittedly [1] - 35360:17 admittedly [1] - 35361:4 adopt [3] - 35281:16, 35392:9, 35393:4 adult [1] - 35278:10 advance [2] - 35308:10, 35438:7 advice [2] - 35439:1, 35439:15 advise [5] - 35322:6, 35333:4, 35334:10, 35350:18, 35533:25 advised [3] - 35288:14, 35343:2, 35349:19 advises [10] - 35360:13, 35362:6, 35362:25, 35370:22, 35370:25, 35379:19, 35383:16, 35398:18, 35407:4, 35428:6 advising [1] - 35396:18 advisors [2] - 35298:20, 35371:14 affect [4] - 35340:20, 35349:4, 35350:23, 35422:13 affected [2] - 35290:23, 35424:3 affects [1] - 35350:13 affidavit [1] - 35477:2</p>	<p>ahead [3] - 35313:21, 35322:17, 35411:2 al [1] - 35307:16 Albert [11] - 35309:21, 35339:23, 35376:7, 35376:20, 35377:20, 35450:22, 35453:2, 35511:12, 35519:16, 35519:17, 35530:22 Alberta [11] - 35271:22, 35272:14, 35273:16, 35296:8, 35296:12, 35296:25, 35297:7, 35306:5, 35320:17, 35458:22, 35461:22 alcohol [1] - 35480:20 alert [1] - 35296:11 alias [2] - 35493:7, 35494:4 alive [1] - 35291:3 all-knowing [1] - 35349:1 Allan [1] - 35497:8 allegation [69] - 35279:12, 35280:9, 35281:6, 35281:23, 35285:21, 35287:15, 35291:2, 35293:18, 35293:19, 35293:24, 35294:8, 35294:12, 35311:1, 35315:18, 35318:18, 35319:14, 35320:3, 35326:3, 35337:11, 35345:6, 35345:10, 35345:19, 35362:15, 35363:8, 35372:9, 35372:22, 35372:24, 35373:7,</p>
<p>7</p>	<p>7 [5] - 35291:4, 35453:16, 35499:1, 35509:24, 35511:6 7th [3] - 35432:18, 35493:3, 35495:24</p>	<p>7 [5] - 35291:4, 35453:16, 35499:1, 35509:24, 35511:6 7th [3] - 35432:18, 35493:3, 35495:24</p>	<p>7 [5] - 35291:4, 35453:16, 35499:1, 35509:24, 35511:6 7th [3] - 35432:18, 35493:3, 35495:24</p>	<p>7 [5] - 35291:4, 35453:16, 35499:1, 35509:24, 35511:6 7th [3] - 35432:18, 35493:3, 35495:24</p>
<p>8</p>	<p>8 [2] - 35310:9, 35453:16 814 [1] - 35442:20 840 [1] - 35444:22 8th [2] - 35428:2, 35434:14</p>	<p>8 [2] - 35310:9, 35453:16 814 [1] - 35442:20 840 [1] - 35444:22 8th [2] - 35428:2, 35434:14</p>	<p>8 [2] - 35310:9, 35453:16 814 [1] - 35442:20 840 [1] - 35444:22 8th [2] - 35428:2, 35434:14</p>	<p>8 [2] - 35310:9, 35453:16 814 [1] - 35442:20 840 [1] - 35444:22 8th [2] - 35428:2, 35434:14</p>
<p>9</p>	<p>9 [6] - 35274:11, 35283:4, 35308:8, 35362:24, 35416:23, 35534:19 93/02/05 [1] - 35342:23 943 [1] - 35326:15 945 [1] - 35326:15 9:04 [1] - 35271:2</p>	<p>9 [6] - 35274:11, 35283:4, 35308:8, 35362:24, 35416:23, 35534:19 93/02/05 [1] - 35342:23 943 [1] - 35326:15 945 [1] - 35326:15 9:04 [1] - 35271:2</p>	<p>9 [6] - 35274:11, 35283:4, 35308:8, 35362:24, 35416:23, 35534:19 93/02/05 [1] - 35342:23 943 [1] - 35326:15 945 [1] - 35326:15 9:04 [1] - 35271:2</p>	<p>9 [6] - 35274:11, 35283:4, 35308:8, 35362:24, 35416:23, 35534:19 93/02/05 [1] - 35342:23 943 [1] - 35326:15 945 [1] - 35326:15 9:04 [1] - 35271:2</p>



<p>35373:16, 35373:23, 35374:15, 35375:5, 35375:18, 35376:18, 35384:4, 35392:4, 35392:23, 35394:20, 35395:15, 35400:3, 35400:24, 35402:12, 35403:3, 35403:14, 35403:18, 35403:25, 35404:2, 35404:6, 35405:13, 35407:18, 35407:19, 35407:22, 35407:23, 35407:24, 35414:2, 35440:22, 35442:16, 35451:21, 35454:14, 35455:12, 35457:25, 35485:2, 35485:3, 35485:14, 35491:13, 35495:15, 35525:10, 35540:16</p> <p>allegations [33] - 35275:8, 35275:13, 35277:9, 35285:17, 35286:24, 35287:6, 35293:11, 35293:21, 35294:10, 35295:1, 35296:19, 35338:13, 35343:22, 35354:16, 35354:17, 35361:16, 35362:2, 35381:8, 35405:8, 35405:11, 35423:12, 35426:19, 35451:21, 35452:22, 35452:25, 35474:23, 35479:16, 35479:22, 35486:4, 35488:13, 35490:19, 35528:4, 35531:5</p> <p>allege [1] - 35379:14</p> <p>alleged [7] - 35310:11, 35315:13, 35317:2, 35388:12, 35388:24, 35423:22, 35458:1</p> <p>alleges [3] - 35280:6, 35364:2, 35371:25</p> <p>alleging [2] - 35376:2, 35419:7</p> <p>alley [1] - 35377:3</p> <p>allocated [1] - 35426:3</p> <p>allow [1] - 35390:25</p> <p>allowed [1] - 35316:16</p> <p>almost [2] - 35392:4, 35532:8</p> <p>alternative [2] - 35402:17, 35404:10</p> <p>amended [4] - 35280:2, 35402:10, 35444:7, 35470:22</p> <p>amount [8] - 35304:12, 35308:21, 35310:18,</p>	<p>35311:23, 35316:18, 35438:15, 35525:20, 35525:22</p> <p>ample [1] - 35422:16</p> <p>analyse [1] - 35348:12</p> <p>analysed [2] - 35282:24, 35344:24</p> <p>analysis [18] - 35309:10, 35346:17, 35356:8, 35426:13, 35435:20, 35436:15, 35436:21, 35439:2, 35439:16, 35440:13, 35440:18, 35443:5, 35443:12, 35444:17, 35452:13, 35452:16, 35454:4, 35454:7</p> <p>Analyst [1] - 35448:11</p> <p>Angels [1] - 35321:21</p> <p>animosity [2] - 35543:4, 35543:5</p> <p>Ann [1] - 35461:23</p> <p>anonymous [1] - 35397:11</p> <p>anonymously [1] - 35396:18</p> <p>answer [19] - 35272:7, 35327:11, 35338:8, 35361:18, 35365:16, 35440:25, 35441:1, 35458:24, 35459:4, 35459:17, 35466:11, 35469:5, 35486:23, 35487:11, 35488:3, 35513:25, 35531:22, 35539:22, 35542:22</p> <p>Answer [1] - 35464:18</p> <p>answered [5] - 35307:18, 35309:1, 35361:14, 35472:9, 35502:19</p> <p>answers [3] - 35423:12, 35465:11</p> <p>antigenes [3] - 35441:9, 35441:18, 35441:23</p> <p>apart [3] - 35300:20, 35325:8, 35502:23</p> <p>Appeal [3] - 35418:19, 35418:21, 35419:10</p> <p>appeal [1] - 35534:24</p> <p>appeals [2] - 35287:20, 35318:6</p> <p>appear [13] - 35275:7, 35305:16, 35330:11, 35339:1, 35374:24, 35386:17, 35434:19, 35437:5, 35446:16, 35446:23, 35470:9, 35534:17, 35534:22</p> <p>appearances [1] -</p>	<p>35278:2</p> <p>Appearances [1] - 35269:1</p> <p>appeared [4] - 35338:16, 35357:6, 35414:14, 35467:11</p> <p>application [4] - 35349:22, 35350:9, 35477:3, 35489:18</p> <p>applies [1] - 35410:9</p> <p>apply [2] - 35410:5, 35410:8</p> <p>appoint [3] - 35321:17, 35323:7, 35329:21</p> <p>appointed [2] - 35327:13, 35328:5</p> <p>appreciate [6] - 35327:12, 35401:12, 35413:3, 35413:13, 35525:23, 35526:5</p> <p>appreciated [2] - 35320:25, 35484:11</p> <p>apprehensive [1] - 35358:6</p> <p>approach [3] - 35323:16, 35495:5, 35533:14</p> <p>approached [1] - 35373:8</p> <p>approaches [1] - 35480:5</p> <p>appropriate [11] - 35273:3, 35273:20, 35274:3, 35307:1, 35319:23, 35333:23, 35333:25, 35349:12, 35359:5, 35408:12, 35433:15</p> <p>April [14] - 35429:25, 35432:18, 35432:21, 35456:19, 35457:6, 35458:12, 35459:19, 35460:6, 35460:7, 35461:16, 35462:25, 35470:8, 35470:10, 35481:21</p> <p>area [6] - 35347:14, 35362:21, 35439:12, 35448:12, 35450:7, 35498:16</p> <p>areas [21] - 35276:1, 35277:2, 35295:2, 35296:24, 35307:17, 35308:2, 35308:13, 35345:22, 35360:7, 35360:21, 35361:3, 35362:11, 35362:21, 35390:22, 35401:2, 35424:13, 35434:12, 35466:19, 35507:5,</p>	<p>35518:21, 35531:23</p> <p>argument [7] - 35317:12, 35317:14, 35411:23, 35412:12, 35427:10, 35429:14, 35491:12</p> <p>arise [2] - 35322:9, 35441:11</p> <p>arisen [1] - 35308:1</p> <p>armed [1] - 35380:2</p> <p>Arnold [4] - 35497:17, 35497:22, 35500:10, 35503:9</p> <p>arrange [2] - 35321:24, 35496:15</p> <p>arrangements [1] - 35403:21</p> <p>arrest [1] - 35450:25</p> <p>arrested [1] - 35309:17</p> <p>aside [6] - 35322:13, 35386:14, 35424:3, 35452:24, 35459:20, 35488:3</p> <p>aspect [3] - 35294:21, 35345:10, 35531:17</p> <p>aspects [4] - 35285:6, 35402:25, 35451:17, 35517:8</p> <p>Asper [23] - 35317:15, 35409:6, 35413:16, 35426:13, 35426:23, 35427:18, 35427:19, 35427:24, 35428:3, 35428:6, 35428:14, 35428:19, 35428:22, 35429:5, 35429:10, 35429:15, 35429:24, 35430:25, 35431:10, 35432:15, 35433:12, 35457:11, 35497:1</p> <p>Asper's [4] - 35426:13, 35427:11, 35432:18, 35457:10</p> <p>assault [4] - 35421:5, 35448:15, 35449:1, 35542:2</p> <p>assaults [7] - 35423:25, 35448:16, 35537:18, 35537:23, 35540:18, 35541:7, 35542:1</p> <p>assertion [1] - 35512:4</p> <p>assess [4] - 35380:25, 35390:17, 35400:23, 35488:10</p> <p>assessing [5] - 35367:20, 35368:1, 35368:16, 35381:8, 35530:8</p> <p>assessment [5] - 35305:2, 35391:23,</p>	<p>35394:14, 35467:6, 35531:8</p> <p>assessments [1] - 35463:4</p> <p>assign [4] - 35344:6, 35346:1, 35347:14, 35370:15</p> <p>assigned [11] - 35332:7, 35344:21, 35347:1, 35349:5, 35366:23, 35370:3, 35371:15, 35429:3, 35429:21, 35435:1</p> <p>assigning [1] - 35363:18</p> <p>assist [23] - 35310:20, 35311:24, 35320:24, 35321:18, 35327:11, 35327:14, 35328:2, 35329:21, 35329:24, 35332:7, 35367:11, 35367:20, 35367:25, 35418:6, 35431:17, 35450:8, 35450:14, 35472:17, 35473:12, 35483:1, 35511:4, 35537:5, 35539:24</p> <p>Assist [1] - 35367:15</p> <p>assistance [17] - 35310:5, 35314:16, 35330:7, 35365:4, 35365:15, 35365:19, 35396:9, 35449:3, 35485:18, 35495:21, 35507:6, 35525:2, 35525:23, 35536:1, 35536:4, 35536:6, 35537:7</p> <p>Assistant [1] - 35268:5</p> <p>assistant [1] - 35326:19</p> <p>assistants [1] - 35360:15</p> <p>assisting [5] - 35311:20, 35321:11, 35394:24, 35496:14, 35536:10</p> <p>assists [1] - 35347:14</p> <p>associated [1] - 35328:23</p> <p>assume [4] - 35309:14, 35467:12, 35510:11, 35530:20</p> <p>assumed [5] - 35289:25, 35366:9, 35490:3, 35492:13, 35520:14</p> <p>assuming [3] - 35283:22, 35333:5, 35508:25</p> <p>assumption [1] -</p>
--	---	--	--	---



<p>35371:3 assured [2] - 35412:21, 35526:9 asylum [1] - 35428:13 at' [1] - 35454:20 attached [4] - 35298:22, 35427:8, 35464:1, 35471:16 attachment [1] - 35442:24 attacker [1] - 35456:8 attempt [4] - 35397:9, 35417:7, 35432:7, 35482:12 Attempt[2] - 35309:16, 35310:10 attempted [3] - 35324:23, 35436:21, 35501:22 attempting [1] - 35511:11 attempts [4] - 35308:4, 35397:16, 35436:4, 35437:24 attending [1] - 35315:23 attention [4] - 35296:6, 35410:11, 35411:24, 35462:12 attest [8] - 35352:3, 35353:5, 35353:12, 35354:2, 35354:9, 35354:20, 35355:17, 35356:4 Attorney[11] - 35275:25, 35276:18, 35285:18, 35288:24, 35289:17, 35296:7, 35296:11, 35306:16, 35320:16, 35536:11, 35539:2 attributed [2] - 35397:23, 35443:13 audio [6] - 35364:13, 35364:21, 35364:23, 35365:5, 35365:8, 35507:23 Audio[1] - 35268:12 augment [1] - 35511:3 August[1] - 35533:22 authenticate [2] - 35432:8, 35482:12 authentication [1] - 35352:16 authenticity [2] - 35382:23, 35457:17 Author[1] - 35453:22 author [3] - 35310:10, 35418:1, 35448:7 authored [2] -</p>	<p>35282:14, 35282:15 authorities [4] - 35273:25, 35299:24, 35426:16, 35462:10 authority [1] - 35273:20 available [17] - 35280:11, 35304:23, 35309:9, 35311:7, 35311:24, 35367:12, 35411:11, 35421:12, 35421:16, 35435:19, 35443:11, 35448:8, 35448:22, 35459:13, 35460:20, 35474:6, 35526:10 Avenue[2] - 35394:17 avenue [1] - 35459:12 avenues [1] - 35305:4 awaiting [1] - 35533:11 aware [57] - 35280:12, 35312:6, 35312:9, 35312:10, 35315:9, 35315:17, 35317:14, 35317:19, 35329:7, 35337:18, 35339:5, 35339:12, 35340:14, 35353:10, 35360:3, 35362:19, 35369:13, 35380:11, 35380:14, 35381:1, 35395:24, 35396:19, 35396:21, 35399:14, 35404:9, 35417:14, 35421:8, 35421:11, 35422:25, 35424:24, 35436:16, 35449:9, 35476:20, 35476:23, 35477:7, 35487:7, 35489:14, 35489:21, 35489:22, 35490:12, 35490:15, 35500:20, 35504:22, 35504:25, 35507:16, 35507:20, 35518:13, 35536:13, 35536:15, 35537:19, 35538:1, 35538:6, 35538:10, 35538:14, 35543:17, 35543:19, 35543:23</p> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <p>backed [2] - 35335:7, 35479:22 background [9] - 35347:4, 35417:1, 35419:22, 35420:5, 35446:5, 35489:14, 35490:16, 35510:1, 35510:6</p>	<p>backing [2] - 35472:5, 35517:2 badgering [1] - 35480:20 bag [5] - 35384:10, 35384:11, 35384:13, 35384:14, 35388:10 Barbara [9] - 35347:21, 35461:13, 35461:23, 35462:25, 35463:3, 35466:24, 35467:13 Barry [1] - 35473:22 Based [1] - 35528:23 based [15] - 35316:2, 35317:14, 35318:21, 35350:11, 35353:18, 35361:1, 35370:11, 35392:13, 35415:20, 35466:4, 35476:5, 35486:5, 35519:1, 35523:14, 35529:19 basis [19] - 35271:17, 35273:17, 35279:11, 35289:21, 35298:17, 35317:20, 35323:16, 35323:17, 35340:17, 35413:15, 35417:5, 35418:25, 35459:20, 35476:15, 35477:4, 35479:20, 35486:2, 35490:1, 35532:8 Bc [1] - 35536:15 bear [4] - 35420:18, 35423:2, 35454:8, 35463:14 bears [2] - 35352:17, 35467:24 became [5] - 35304:20, 35317:14, 35338:17, 35387:2, 35446:9 become [13] - 35337:17, 35338:24, 35358:8, 35380:10, 35381:1, 35409:24, 35421:11, 35444:6, 35450:17, 35476:20, 35476:23, 35507:15, 35513:23 becomes [2] - 35368:20, 35518:7 bed [1] - 35464:23 bedroom [1] - 35464:24 begin [1] - 35467:7 beginning [1] - 35484:21 begins [1] - 35462:17 behalf [2] - 35291:8, 35483:10 behaviour [2] - 35296:4, 35450:5</p>	<p>behind [1] - 35355:20 Beitel [1] - 35268:8 belief [1] - 35489:8 believable [1] - 35478:3 believes [1] - 35390:3 bell [1] - 35427:13 belong [1] - 35406:4 belonged [1] - 35491:11 Bench [4] - 35545:1, 35545:3, 35545:14, 35545:18 beneficial [1] - 35445:22 benefit [3] - 35302:10, 35316:12, 35492:20 Berard [3] - 35461:14, 35461:24, 35466:24 beside [1] - 35286:3 best [8] - 35292:6, 35302:25, 35359:20, 35416:1, 35419:13, 35494:22, 35545:6 better [9] - 35302:23, 35316:14, 35317:10, 35359:6, 35359:7, 35438:21, 35477:1, 35492:22, 35530:15 between [20] - 35280:13, 35306:14, 35313:2, 35364:9, 35366:15, 35367:7, 35381:2, 35448:4, 35448:9, 35453:24, 35455:18, 35466:5, 35496:17, 35500:6, 35505:10, 35511:9, 35523:25, 35527:11, 35537:17, 35541:25 beyond [6] - 35331:13, 35357:17, 35378:4, 35378:21, 35411:11, 35424:17 bigger [2] - 35299:13, 35301:7 biology [1] - 35442:10 bit [51] - 35272:23, 35280:3, 35281:9, 35282:8, 35286:8, 35294:15, 35299:1, 35301:19, 35303:5, 35306:1, 35321:4, 35325:4, 35331:14, 35343:1, 35343:18, 35343:21, 35347:22, 35353:3, 35358:5, 35358:17, 35358:19, 35367:18, 35372:7, 35384:10, 35390:1, 35391:15, 35392:3,</p>	<p>35392:4, 35394:18, 35397:10, 35401:21, 35405:9, 35414:10, 35418:6, 35425:7, 35426:22, 35439:10, 35443:19, 35444:18, 35452:13, 35452:15, 35455:11, 35475:19, 35480:17, 35486:17, 35489:13, 35494:19, 35503:20, 35510:3, 35515:24, 35516:4 blacked [2] - 35434:11, 35483:4 blackened [1] - 35483:14 Blakeney[2] - 35276:24, 35533:3 blame [1] - 35409:2 blood [21] - 35309:5, 35395:15, 35395:17, 35396:22, 35397:25, 35398:5, 35398:9, 35405:19, 35405:21, 35440:14, 35459:1, 35462:3, 35462:22, 35465:21, 35497:20, 35499:20, 35500:2, 35503:3, 35503:4, 35503:17, 35503:18 board [1] - 35336:24 Board [3] - 35370:24, 35371:6, 35495:5 Bob [1] - 35274:7 Bobs [1] - 35269:5 bodily [1] - 35437:18 body [1] - 35315:14 Boechler [1] - 35268:12 bone [1] - 35368:20 bone-handled [1] - 35368:20 border [1] - 35480:6 borne [1] - 35503:5 Boswell[1] - 35268:4 bothers [1] - 35511:17 bottom [17] - 35277:5, 35309:15, 35334:4, 35336:2, 35342:19, 35351:9, 35373:5, 35375:24, 35378:6, 35458:13, 35458:19, 35459:9, 35459:10, 35503:23, 35509:23, 35524:18, 35532:12 Boyd [1] - 35315:21 brainstorming [2] - 35308:14, 35447:6 breach [1] - 35285:1 breaches [3] - 35296:22, 35297:13,</p>
--	---	---	---	--



<p>35299:7 break [6] - 35349:12, 35408:12, 35462:2, 35465:14, 35475:8, 35542:17 Breckenridge [15] - 35286:19, 35286:24, 35332:1, 35338:12, 35338:19, 35398:18, 35398:22, 35399:2, 35399:9, 35400:3, 35400:6, 35401:8, 35401:14, 35402:9, 35402:11 Brian [1] - 35473:22 briefed [1] - 35510:6 bring [4] - 35349:21, 35411:24, 35444:10, 35535:8 bringing [1] - 35537:11 broader [5] - 35286:23, 35338:17, 35338:20, 35375:17 broadest [1] - 35307:12 broadly [1] - 35286:9 brought [6] - 35276:25, 35296:6, 35309:24, 35350:10, 35407:1, 35462:12 Brown [6] - 35328:4, 35329:19, 35330:1, 35409:10, 35534:19, 35536:21 Bruce [18] - 35269:9, 35274:7, 35280:19, 35320:17, 35331:22, 35426:12, 35426:24, 35427:3, 35427:18, 35431:5, 35473:21, 35483:4, 35483:8, 35483:13, 35497:8, 35498:1, 35503:8, 35503:20 bullied [2] - 35486:10, 35524:12 bullying [1] - 35527:1 bunch [1] - 35308:14 business [1] - 35402:15</p>	<p>35451:1, 35451:4, 35451:13, 35453:2, 35511:10, 35511:12, 35512:19, 35512:20, 35513:16, 35517:22, 35518:15, 35519:2, 35519:13, 35519:15, 35519:16, 35530:23 Cadrain's [2] - 35377:8, 35450:25 Cadrains [1] - 35304:5 Caldwell [17] - 35269:5, 35276:15, 35280:17, 35370:22, 35371:2, 35371:8, 35372:4, 35372:14, 35428:15, 35455:12, 35474:13, 35474:16, 35475:2, 35494:24, 35495:3, 35525:14, 35533:3 Caldwells [2] - 35281:3, 35313:5 Calvin [1] - 35269:13 Canada [10] - 35269:12, 35283:15, 35383:18, 35384:5, 35409:8, 35420:7, 35421:9, 35427:11, 35478:5, 35490:7 Canadian [1] - 35418:19 Candace [1] - 35268:3 candidate [3] - 35379:6, 35478:14, 35479:2 cansay [1] - 35281:11 Canwest [1] - 35429:25 capture [2] - 35308:4, 35359:5 car [1] - 35315:14 care [1] - 35506:13 careful [1] - 35477:1 Carlyle [1] - 35376:7 Carlyle-gordge's [1] - 35376:7 carried [1] - 35442:12 carry [1] - 35307:11 Case [1] - 35349:10 case [45] - 35273:13, 35273:14, 35284:21, 35303:15, 35317:4, 35322:1, 35322:10, 35323:4, 35323:11, 35332:9, 35333:22, 35354:25, 35355:1, 35358:19, 35364:21, 35364:23, 35365:7, 35365:10, 35365:23, 35369:15, 35386:15, 35390:17, 35394:7, 35406:14, 35409:23, 35410:21, 35419:14,</p>	<p>35419:22, 35426:14, 35428:18, 35433:10, 35466:22, 35472:13, 35488:12, 35488:13, 35503:16, 35508:24, 35510:11, 35511:13, 35511:16, 35517:18, 35520:6, 35532:5, 35534:17, 35543:17 cases [16] - 35273:8, 35295:9, 35295:20, 35299:10, 35306:12, 35344:18, 35362:2, 35368:5, 35390:20, 35391:5, 35394:6, 35417:19, 35438:1, 35514:11, 35536:9 Cases [1] - 35418:19 cassette [1] - 35511:8 cassettes [1] - 35508:1 catalogue [1] - 35345:16 catalogued [1] - 35356:16 cataloguing [2] - 35356:13, 35426:1 Catherine [1] - 35269:5 Cathy [3] - 35439:20, 35442:4, 35471:17 caused [6] - 35385:17, 35391:20, 35395:21, 35510:16, 35520:3, 35527:20 Caution [1] - 35479:14 cautioned [1] - 35479:20 Cbc [1] - 35339:23 Cdl [1] - 35458:24 cede [1] - 35273:9 Centre [3] - 35414:21, 35460:16, 35482:16 Centurion [20] - 35291:18, 35311:6, 35311:16, 35414:12, 35433:25, 35445:16, 35445:19, 35479:14, 35483:2, 35483:12, 35483:21, 35484:4, 35485:25, 35486:5, 35495:19, 35496:4, 35526:12, 35531:14, 35533:13, 35533:17 Centurion's [1] - 35479:25 Certain [1] - 35409:20 certain [13] - 35308:2, 35324:1, 35327:9, 35350:15, 35358:20, 35399:15, 35436:20, 35457:18, 35458:6,</p>	<p>35471:5, 35476:5, 35516:22, 35526:8 Certainly [13] - 35302:3, 35308:24, 35318:16, 35336:22, 35354:7, 35368:17, 35372:10, 35381:10, 35383:2, 35413:23, 35458:1, 35509:8, 35516:7 certainly [145] - 35281:7, 35286:19, 35292:13, 35292:17, 35297:9, 35298:16, 35298:19, 35298:22, 35298:23, 35302:5, 35302:10, 35306:23, 35310:3, 35310:8, 35311:11, 35312:10, 35314:16, 35314:22, 35316:16, 35316:23, 35318:6, 35319:6, 35319:22, 35322:20, 35324:24, 35327:20, 35328:21, 35338:8, 35338:25, 35340:10, 35340:11, 35340:25, 35342:12, 35350:21, 35359:2, 35360:2, 35360:22, 35361:2, 35363:16, 35365:22, 35367:11, 35367:16, 35370:17, 35371:11, 35371:12, 35371:14, 35374:19, 35374:21, 35375:22, 35377:18, 35380:21, 35382:21, 35383:7, 35383:11, 35383:24, 35389:8, 35389:23, 35390:22, 35390:23, 35392:20, 35392:22, 35393:13, 35394:12, 35395:20, 35395:25, 35397:14, 35398:12, 35399:12, 35401:2, 35401:8, 35402:8, 35404:18, 35406:11, 35412:15, 35413:1, 35416:9, 35417:7, 35419:15, 35420:17, 35420:22, 35422:24, 35424:11, 35424:24, 35425:2, 35438:25, 35439:7, 35444:9, 35444:16, 35444:19, 35446:24, 35446:25, 35450:4, 35450:6, 35450:7, 35450:14, 35451:13, 35456:3, 35458:3, 35463:20, 35467:7, 35470:5, 35473:16,</p>	<p>35476:17, 35480:5, 35480:22, 35488:7, 35488:17, 35494:18, 35499:12, 35502:15, 35502:17, 35502:19, 35503:7, 35504:9, 35505:25, 35507:12, 35508:14, 35509:20, 35510:25, 35511:3, 35512:16, 35513:3, 35513:4, 35513:8, 35513:9, 35514:1, 35514:5, 35514:6, 35514:19, 35517:7, 35518:24, 35520:19, 35524:5, 35525:25, 35527:20, 35528:6, 35529:1, 35529:5, 35530:5, 35530:8, 35539:19, 35541:13, 35543:5, 35543:17, 35543:21 Certificate [1] - 35545:1 Certify [1] - 35355:17 certify [1] - 35545:4 challenge [6] - 35301:20, 35301:25, 35304:21, 35370:18, 35375:3, 35522:12 challenged [1] - 35515:10 challenges [1] - 35339:17 chance [3] - 35438:11, 35513:22, 35526:16 change [14] - 35305:13, 35419:15, 35423:3, 35425:1, 35451:8, 35452:9, 35452:19, 35453:7, 35492:5, 35512:13, 35513:12, 35518:7, 35518:10, 35536:24 changed [11] - 35402:3, 35433:3, 35442:9, 35476:25, 35477:1, 35477:11, 35478:1, 35500:6, 35521:19, 35530:16, 35532:15 changes [1] - 35452:2 character [1] - 35503:25 charge [7] - 35271:17, 35273:15, 35273:17, 35273:24, 35285:25, 35377:21, 35501:3 charges [5] - 35272:21, 35294:6, 35345:9, 35369:1, 35380:2 Charles [1] - 35532:14</p>
<p>C</p>				
<p>C75ds [1] - 35336:25 Cadrain [29] - 35300:11, 35309:22, 35318:19, 35339:23, 35376:3, 35376:7, 35376:10, 35376:20, 35376:25, 35377:20, 35388:5, 35388:13, 35450:22,</p>				



<p>check [7] - 35274:9, 35393:8, 35440:4, 35458:22, 35465:19, 35466:10, 35519:19</p> <p>checked [3] - 35506:17, 35517:10, 35529:17</p> <p>checking [3] - 35493:19, 35494:8, 35506:4</p> <p>Cheryl [1] - 35268:5</p> <p>chief [9] - 35285:20, 35319:19, 35319:20, 35319:21, 35320:9, 35323:4, 35323:5, 35333:20, 35542:9</p> <p>Chief [8] - 35306:16, 35319:17, 35330:4, 35342:21, 35398:21, 35501:6, 35501:7</p> <p>choice [3] - 35409:3, 35410:7, 35410:25</p> <p>chose [1] - 35365:10</p> <p>Chris [1] - 35357:6</p> <p>chronologically [2] - 35325:22, 35417:15</p> <p>chronologically-reported [1] - 35417:15</p> <p>chronology [5] - 35419:25, 35434:23, 35435:21, 35436:1, 35472:18</p> <p>circulated [1] - 35308:9</p> <p>circumstances [17] - 35273:2, 35278:1, 35312:18, 35322:8, 35382:14, 35418:25, 35419:4, 35449:11, 35510:10, 35520:12, 35520:21, 35523:13, 35526:24, 35529:16, 35529:20, 35529:25, 35531:6</p> <p>Circumstances [2] - 35418:17, 35419:18</p> <p>cited [2] - 35504:22, 35521:12</p> <p>city [2] - 35541:4, 35542:14</p> <p>City [23] - 35271:25, 35272:9, 35273:1, 35273:5, 35273:8, 35283:24, 35333:25, 35419:24, 35452:11, 35452:23, 35452:25, 35453:8, 35454:24, 35482:7, 35525:5, 35537:22, 35538:5, 35539:24, 35540:3, 35540:17, 35541:3, 35541:6, 35541:22</p>	<p>claim [4] - 35289:10, 35398:24, 35399:14, 35400:15</p> <p>claimed [4] - 35293:16, 35488:7, 35494:24, 35502:16</p> <p>claims [4] - 35291:25, 35292:11, 35403:8, 35429:5</p> <p>clarification [1] - 35379:24</p> <p>clarified [1] - 35426:17</p> <p>clarify [1] - 35308:13</p> <p>clear [1] - 35431:9</p> <p>clearly [1] - 35416:9</p> <p>Clerk [1] - 35268:8</p> <p>clippings [1] - 35291:23</p> <p>close [3] - 35322:16, 35421:2, 35461:23</p> <p>clothes [3] - 35397:25, 35398:6, 35398:9</p> <p>clothing [2] - 35437:18, 35500:3</p> <p>co [19] - 35322:2, 35323:8, 35323:12, 35323:14, 35323:17, 35323:18, 35324:4, 35324:12, 35324:14, 35325:1, 35325:11, 35327:25, 35328:8, 35328:13, 35328:25, 35414:17, 35478:24, 35485:22, 35543:16</p> <p>co-operate [8] - 35322:2, 35324:4, 35325:11, 35327:25, 35328:25, 35414:17, 35478:24, 35543:16</p> <p>co-operation [10] - 35323:8, 35323:12, 35323:14, 35323:18, 35324:12, 35324:14, 35325:1, 35328:8, 35328:13, 35485:22</p> <p>co-operative [1] - 35323:17</p> <p>coached [1] - 35376:10</p> <p>Code [1] - 35371:19</p> <p>coerced [5] - 35381:24, 35393:17, 35486:9, 35524:12, 35529:23</p> <p>coercion [1] - 35527:1</p> <p>Colin [7] - 35373:11, 35373:15, 35373:20, 35374:1, 35374:15, 35375:1, 35479:6</p> <p>collect [1] - 35419:2</p> <p>colluded [1] - 35371:9</p> <p>colluding [1] -</p>	<p>35371:20</p> <p>collusion [2] - 35371:1, 35372:16</p> <p>comfort [2] - 35415:19, 35415:21</p> <p>comfortable [3] - 35341:16, 35415:23, 35415:25</p> <p>coming [3] - 35375:12, 35403:10, 35454:21</p> <p>commanding [1] - 35500:24</p> <p>commence [1] - 35337:14</p> <p>comment [31] - 35278:4, 35278:22, 35281:21, 35283:4, 35292:9, 35302:21, 35321:14, 35323:21, 35337:7, 35338:11, 35339:4, 35348:19, 35352:6, 35352:10, 35352:25, 35353:21, 35378:1, 35385:2, 35390:1, 35391:22, 35392:12, 35394:17, 35396:12, 35413:13, 35442:23, 35451:10, 35455:3, 35455:22, 35466:23, 35511:18, 35512:1</p> <p>commentary [3] - 35345:2, 35348:21</p> <p>commented [1] - 35337:23</p> <p>comments [16] - 35275:16, 35335:6, 35335:7, 35335:20, 35339:10, 35348:17, 35377:8, 35398:23, 35399:18, 35400:14, 35401:5, 35420:8, 35427:12, 35465:22, 35511:14, 35511:25</p> <p>Commission [17] - 35267:2, 35267:14, 35268:1, 35268:2, 35268:8, 35302:21, 35365:7, 35407:2, 35409:2, 35410:11, 35411:19, 35418:6, 35431:6, 35458:7, 35458:8, 35497:10, 35539:14</p> <p>Commissioner [43] - 35271:3, 35323:10, 35323:15, 35323:22, 35349:16, 35350:25, 35408:11, 35408:15, 35408:19, 35411:4,</p>	<p>35411:25, 35412:20, 35412:23, 35413:4, 35447:17, 35464:4, 35468:5, 35468:20, 35468:24, 35469:10, 35469:15, 35475:7, 35481:11, 35481:16, 35501:19, 35502:4, 35515:3, 35515:6, 35515:20, 35515:23, 35516:5, 35519:15, 35519:17, 35538:9, 35538:17, 35538:22, 35539:9, 35539:12, 35542:19, 35543:12, 35543:19, 35543:24, 35544:2</p> <p>commit [4] - 35290:3, 35354:19, 35355:3, 35492:13</p> <p>committed [17] - 35280:22, 35289:20, 35315:12, 35316:1, 35317:17, 35317:25, 35371:18, 35374:16, 35374:18, 35398:5, 35450:2, 35453:1, 35479:8, 35490:1, 35491:10, 35527:6, 35542:1</p> <p>committing [2] - 35449:17, 35462:2</p> <p>common [5] - 35537:25, 35538:13, 35540:7, 35540:9, 35540:20</p> <p>communication [3] - 35335:18, 35363:11, 35495:7</p> <p>communicative [1] - 35335:11</p> <p>community [1] - 35478:2</p> <p>compact [8] - 35384:10, 35384:15, 35384:17, 35388:10, 35388:14, 35530:13, 35530:17</p> <p>compare [2] - 35277:8, 35454:10</p> <p>compared [2] - 35383:6, 35448:21</p> <p>comparing [1] - 35529:15</p> <p>comparisons [1] - 35528:9</p> <p>competence [1] - 35456:24</p> <p>compiled [1] - 35533:11</p> <p>complainant [11] - 35353:4, 35353:8,</p>	<p>35353:9, 35353:12, 35353:16, 35353:20, 35353:21, 35353:23, 35354:2, 35414:1, 35513:4</p> <p>complainant/witness [1] - 35351:25</p> <p>complaint [8] - 35287:4, 35353:13, 35354:3, 35354:5, 35354:6, 35400:10, 35402:11, 35501:20</p> <p>complete [12] - 35288:3, 35311:4, 35325:1, 35326:5, 35336:8, 35354:10, 35356:7, 35409:7, 35445:20, 35451:20, 35459:15, 35513:6</p> <p>completed [4] - 35311:5, 35348:14, 35443:21, 35532:24</p> <p>completely [4] - 35334:15, 35335:11, 35336:24, 35344:21</p> <p>completing [1] - 35344:12</p> <p>completion [1] - 35533:19</p> <p>component [3] - 35287:18, 35354:6, 35354:15</p> <p>comprehensive [1] - 35275:1</p> <p>computer [1] - 35332:8</p> <p>concealed [1] - 35524:25</p> <p>concern [18] - 35292:2, 35295:3, 35301:20, 35307:17, 35341:10, 35341:21, 35342:10, 35356:6, 35357:24, 35382:19, 35411:6, 35416:14, 35419:9, 35501:12, 35513:1, 35513:7, 35514:3, 35518:21</p> <p>concerned [9] - 35339:24, 35341:13, 35341:19, 35342:2, 35356:4, 35361:10, 35401:9, 35512:7</p> <p>concerning [5] - 35338:1, 35351:23, 35466:14, 35470:12, 35471:6</p> <p>concerns [33] - 35301:15, 35307:15, 35338:4, 35339:18, 35356:13, 35360:10,</p>
--	---	--	--	--



<p>35360:18, 35361:5, 35402:6, 35411:10, 35413:12, 35413:16, 35440:4, 35445:4, 35445:5, 35445:7, 35455:23, 35463:21, 35480:12, 35480:18, 35480:25, 35483:1, 35484:17, 35486:14, 35504:17, 35510:17, 35517:20, 35519:4, 35519:6, 35519:20, 35524:4, 35526:11, 35531:24 concert [1] - 35443:9 conclude [5] - 35273:23, 35348:5, 35441:7, 35441:8, 35473:5 concluded [7] - 35315:24, 35318:2, 35344:23, 35377:20, 35459:14, 35528:20, 35529:18 concluding [1] - 35428:22 conclusion [11] - 35299:6, 35316:5, 35318:9, 35344:15, 35370:14, 35453:6, 35491:5, 35492:5, 35528:25, 35541:9, 35542:11 conclusions [11] - 35285:10, 35316:7, 35318:8, 35422:14, 35443:8, 35471:6, 35471:8, 35471:14, 35476:5, 35504:6, 35534:14 conclusive [1] - 35459:8 concurrent [3] - 35369:15, 35370:2, 35370:12 condensed [1] - 35508:17 condition [1] - 35495:4 conduct [36] - 35276:7, 35277:1, 35285:2, 35297:2, 35297:5, 35297:13, 35297:23, 35298:1, 35299:5, 35299:19, 35299:25, 35300:16, 35301:5, 35301:6, 35322:16, 35322:24, 35323:7, 35336:19, 35336:22, 35336:24, 35337:22, 35340:8, 35344:14,</p>	<p>35372:8, 35372:14, 35385:10, 35386:4, 35386:22, 35387:16, 35389:16, 35404:7, 35406:17, 35456:24, 35463:19, 35479:14, 35479:25 Conduct [1] - 35334:15 conducted [12] - 35299:21, 35340:21, 35364:9, 35369:7, 35397:8, 35480:4, 35483:21, 35499:3, 35499:7, 35499:16, 35512:14, 35523:10 conducting [2] - 35366:25, 35375:7 conference [2] - 35287:5, 35473:20 confess [1] - 35513:19 confessed [7] - 35512:21, 35512:22, 35513:18, 35516:2, 35516:14, 35517:23, 35518:5 confession [2] - 35423:23, 35428:17 confident [3] - 35493:6, 35506:22, 35540:11 confidential [5] - 35279:21, 35325:5, 35407:24, 35413:15, 35431:10 confidentiality [2] - 35415:14, 35496:13 confirm [5] - 35356:1, 35442:13, 35470:24, 35523:19, 35524:16 confirmation [1] - 35307:11 confirmed [4] - 35296:9, 35530:21, 35538:4, 35538:6 confirming [1] - 35319:25 confirms [1] - 35441:4 conflict [1] - 35377:6 conflicting [1] - 35384:18 Congram [1] - 35268:3 conjunction [1] - 35376:19 connect [2] - 35280:21, 35540:17 connected [8] - 35406:4, 35433:10, 35447:18, 35537:23, 35541:6, 35541:23, 35542:5, 35542:15 connection [9] -</p>	<p>35286:18, 35448:4, 35455:18, 35497:25, 35537:17, 35538:18, 35541:15, 35541:17, 35541:25 consent [6] - 35324:25, 35460:14, 35461:1, 35461:4, 35482:22, 35484:10 consider [7] - 35293:3, 35298:15, 35369:25, 35378:13, 35378:18, 35426:25, 35455:7 considerable [3] - 35311:22, 35316:17, 35358:25 consideration [2] - 35279:2, 35535:7 considerations [1] - 35352:11 considered [6] - 35298:2, 35299:13, 35354:5, 35379:7, 35379:9, 35379:10 considering [2] - 35469:18, 35478:20 consistent [7] - 35317:23, 35317:24, 35319:12, 35343:12, 35453:14, 35454:13, 35467:9 Consistent [7] - 35357:2, 35358:23, 35463:1, 35505:10, 35506:9, 35543:8, 35543:24 constant [1] - 35305:23 constitutional [2] - 35349:23, 35410:24 constraints [1] - 35410:24 consult [1] - 35450:7 consultation [3] - 35320:18, 35332:21, 35410:13 contact [22] - 35305:23, 35321:2, 35321:9, 35324:15, 35327:12, 35330:20, 35330:21, 35369:23, 35395:6, 35397:9, 35431:22, 35462:6, 35462:20, 35493:20, 35496:21, 35506:13, 35506:23, 35508:3, 35525:13, 35529:10, 35531:18, 35533:21 contacted [8] - 35302:2, 35306:9, 35306:20, 35311:19, 35396:17, 35397:5,</p>	<p>35499:9, 35543:22 contacting [2] - 35321:12, 35507:6 contain [7] - 35275:1, 35384:17, 35414:24, 35417:3, 35441:9, 35482:19, 35545:5 contained [6] - 35329:11, 35427:9, 35434:1, 35517:9, 35535:10, 35537:7 containing [1] - 35291:23 contains [2] - 35376:9, 35535:3 contaminate [2] - 35514:24, 35520:7 contaminated [1] - 35519:23 contamination [1] - 35520:18 contempt [3] - 35504:23, 35521:12, 35543:5 content [2] - 35393:7, 35520:13 contention [1] - 35541:22 Contents [1] - 35533:4 contents [1] - 35377:7 context [4] - 35288:6, 35288:10, 35288:19, 35342:7 contingencies [1] - 35411:22 contingency [1] - 35411:11 continue [2] - 35333:14, 35333:23 Continued [1] - 35270:3 continued [4] - 35271:5, 35480:20, 35480:21, 35511:12 continues [1] - 35532:23 contract [1] - 35536:12 contracting [1] - 35536:19 contribute [1] - 35402:9 contributed [1] - 35406:12 control [1] - 35436:10 conversation [12] - 35342:16, 35352:2, 35376:9, 35383:19, 35384:7, 35398:23, 35400:14, 35462:5, 35498:20, 35505:10, 35511:9, 35511:11 conversations [1] -</p>	<p>35364:14 converse [1] - 35290:10 convey [2] - 35419:19, 35543:14 conveyed [3] - 35416:3, 35416:8, 35463:6 convicted [5] - 35289:19, 35290:2, 35371:10, 35406:9, 35406:13 Conviction [1] - 35267:4 conviction [6] - 35289:1, 35290:7, 35313:21, 35409:19, 35410:3, 35424:4 convictions [2] - 35369:17, 35370:1 convinced [1] - 35511:19 cooperate [3] - 35291:10, 35495:8, 35504:13 cooperation [1] - 35525:24 coordinate [1] - 35323:8 coordinated [1] - 35298:11 coordinating [1] - 35348:23 copies [2] - 35446:11, 35483:22 Copies [1] - 35484:13 copy [9] - 35352:9, 35352:24, 35433:6, 35445:15, 35483:12, 35493:4, 35496:3, 35523:7, 35539:3 Corporal [1] - 35462:25 correct [295] - 35271:12, 35271:13, 35271:14, 35272:11, 35272:12, 35272:22, 35273:6, 35273:7, 35273:12, 35274:4, 35275:10, 35275:11, 35276:2, 35276:8, 35276:16, 35277:4, 35277:10, 35277:11, 35278:16, 35278:20, 35278:21, 35280:1, 35280:18, 35280:25, 35281:17, 35281:19, 35282:17, 35283:3, 35287:7, 35287:22, 35287:23, 35289:12, 35289:23, 35290:15, 35293:13, 35293:20, 35295:21, 35296:1,</p>
--	---	--	--	--



<p>35296:14, 35296:15, 35299:8, 35299:9, 35299:14, 35299:15, 35300:19, 35301:3, 35302:14, 35303:8, 35303:9, 35303:17, 35304:1, 35304:9, 35304:10, 35304:16, 35305:20, 35306:3, 35307:10, 35308:6, 35312:4, 35312:21, 35313:4, 35313:13, 35314:6, 35320:11, 35324:9, 35324:10, 35325:6, 35325:7, 35325:13, 35326:13, 35326:23, 35327:17, 35327:18, 35327:23, 35328:2, 35328:3, 35328:17, 35329:12, 35329:13, 35330:3, 35330:9, 35330:12, 35330:13, 35330:16, 35331:1, 35331:20, 35334:3, 35335:5, 35336:5, 35336:6, 35338:21, 35339:16, 35343:11, 35343:23, 35345:11, 35345:17, 35345:24, 35346:6, 35346:20, 35346:25, 35347:5, 35347:6, 35347:13, 35348:4, 35348:9, 35348:15, 35349:7, 35349:10, 35351:19, 35354:4, 35354:13, 35354:21, 35356:15, 35356:20, 35356:21, 35357:3, 35357:4, 35357:8, 35358:3, 35358:16, 35360:1, 35361:21, 35364:12, 35365:21, 35366:1, 35368:8, 35368:14, 35368:24, 35369:14, 35371:23, 35372:17, 35372:23, 35373:4, 35376:15, 35376:21, 35376:22, 35379:4, 35382:5, 35385:6, 35387:23, 35388:21, 35390:14, 35396:5, 35396:11, 35397:25, 35398:7, 35400:11, 35400:16, 35403:1, 35404:14, 35406:23, 35413:20, 35414:8, 35414:14, 35414:18, 35415:11, 35416:21, 35417:19, 35418:22, 35421:22,</p>	<p>35423:13, 35423:17, 35426:10, 35426:20, 35427:1, 35427:20, 35427:21, 35429:12, 35429:20, 35431:1, 35431:7, 35431:14, 35432:17, 35433:22, 35434:4, 35434:21, 35437:2, 35437:3, 35437:9, 35437:14, 35437:19, 35437:22, 35438:6, 35438:8, 35438:15, 35438:25, 35439:13, 35439:23, 35440:10, 35441:2, 35441:19, 35442:1, 35442:8, 35442:14, 35442:18, 35444:2, 35444:5, 35444:9, 35445:3, 35445:12, 35446:19, 35447:10, 35447:24, 35447:25, 35453:3, 35453:4, 35454:17, 35455:15, 35455:16, 35456:9, 35459:11, 35460:4, 35460:5, 35460:25, 35461:3, 35461:11, 35467:3, 35467:17, 35467:22, 35468:4, 35470:13, 35470:17, 35470:25, 35471:11, 35471:19, 35473:11, 35474:20, 35475:5, 35475:6, 35475:16, 35477:12, 35477:13, 35477:14, 35477:17, 35478:25, 35479:4, 35479:10, 35481:7, 35481:23, 35482:5, 35482:6, 35483:18, 35485:4, 35485:5, 35485:10, 35485:15, 35485:23, 35486:13, 35487:18, 35487:21, 35492:2, 35494:5, 35494:10, 35494:11, 35494:15, 35495:18, 35497:4, 35500:23, 35501:4, 35501:16, 35503:6, 35504:4, 35504:5, 35506:14, 35509:7, 35514:23, 35518:9, 35518:11, 35518:20, 35519:8, 35522:24, 35523:17, 35523:23, 35527:3, 35527:8, 35527:13, 35527:16, 35529:14, 35531:3, 35531:12, 35531:19, 35531:20,</p>	<p>35532:4, 35532:11, 35533:1, 35534:9, 35535:11, 35535:14, 35538:21, 35539:7, 35541:7, 35541:13, 35542:15, 35542:16, 35545:5 Correct^[64] - 35276:17, 35282:21, 35286:16, 35286:22, 35290:9, 35293:14, 35297:25, 35298:4, 35299:23, 35300:7, 35301:10, 35304:2, 35309:3, 35311:2, 35313:6, 35319:5, 35319:15, 35320:12, 35327:24, 35330:10, 35331:24, 35333:21, 35336:1, 35343:24, 35345:12, 35346:10, 35355:21, 35358:14, 35361:15, 35361:24, 35362:4, 35368:9, 35371:23, 35384:9, 35385:7, 35385:19, 35385:22, 35387:24, 35388:2, 35390:15, 35393:10, 35398:1, 35406:6, 35406:8, 35406:18, 35430:24, 35431:2, 35437:10, 35459:22, 35485:16, 35485:24, 35486:7, 35489:19, 35490:4, 35491:21, 35492:3, 35505:21, 35518:2, 35520:9, 35525:11, 35528:19, 35528:22, 35528:24, 35541:8 corrected^[1] - 35347:20 correctly^[3] - 35377:25, 35407:17, 35463:25 correspondence^[11] - 35319:23, 35428:16, 35430:19, 35457:15, 35473:10, 35483:6, 35483:8, 35483:15, 35534:1, 35534:10, 35534:25 corroborate^[9] - 35383:8, 35389:18, 35398:2, 35456:7, 35462:17, 35466:11, 35466:25, 35468:2, 35517:12 corroborated^[8] - 35387:1, 35389:4,</p>	<p>35389:14, 35393:9, 35393:11, 35393:20, 35398:6, 35468:16 cosmetic^[6] - 35384:10, 35384:13, 35384:14, 35384:15, 35388:10, 35388:14 Cotter^[2] - 35307:11, 35325:21 counsel^[15] - 35280:6, 35349:19, 35349:21, 35350:20, 35373:14, 35408:20, 35411:6, 35413:1, 35457:1, 35460:13, 35474:13, 35474:17, 35488:8, 35530:11, 35542:20 Counsel^[5] - 35268:2, 35271:4, 35295:12, 35409:2, 35539:14 counsel's^[1] - 35276:14 counted^[1] - 35447:3 counter^[1] - 35319:14 couple^[14] - 35275:16, 35277:17, 35300:9, 35306:5, 35307:6, 35309:4, 35337:5, 35351:20, 35353:25, 35373:19, 35448:1, 35448:2, 35450:23, 35486:20 course^[21] - 35274:21, 35298:6, 35307:14, 35313:7, 35313:10, 35315:10, 35333:3, 35333:15, 35334:8, 35347:24, 35407:9, 35409:12, 35410:23, 35472:7, 35476:21, 35490:10, 35499:22, 35501:13, 35515:11, 35524:14, 35530:4 court^[10] - 35278:1, 35278:8, 35372:1, 35382:7, 35421:16, 35421:17, 35422:13, 35424:17, 35467:15, 35494:6 Court^[53] - 35268:9, 35278:25, 35279:4, 35283:6, 35283:9, 35283:15, 35283:19, 35284:9, 35284:11, 35285:1, 35285:9, 35308:22, 35329:20, 35349:22, 35383:18, 35384:3, 35384:5, 35403:5, 35405:1, 35418:19, 35418:21,</p>	<p>35419:10, 35419:24, 35420:7, 35420:11, 35420:18, 35421:9, 35421:24, 35422:6, 35423:4, 35423:7, 35423:11, 35424:1, 35424:11, 35425:4, 35427:11, 35436:7, 35437:24, 35445:10, 35456:21, 35476:13, 35476:16, 35478:5, 35490:7, 35490:10, 35490:11, 35504:23, 35521:12, 35522:6, 35545:1, 35545:3, 35545:14, 35545:18 Courts^[1] - 35422:25 courts^[3] - 35390:24, 35390:25, 35391:2 cover^[8] - 35275:23, 35277:2, 35280:7, 35325:23, 35345:23, 35375:19, 35379:14, 35481:15 cover-up^[4] - 35275:23, 35277:2, 35325:23, 35379:14 coverage^[2] - 35334:17, 35338:6 covered^[14] - 35274:19, 35362:11, 35396:22, 35399:7, 35416:9, 35462:3, 35462:21, 35497:19, 35499:19, 35503:3, 35503:4, 35503:17, 35503:18, 35523:11 covering^[1] - 35280:23 coverup^[5] - 35287:22, 35407:21, 35428:25, 35429:16, 35429:18 created^[3] - 35344:7, 35346:7, 35499:5 credibility^[22] - 35277:24, 35278:13, 35313:15, 35367:16, 35367:20, 35368:1, 35368:16, 35394:4, 35394:9, 35400:23, 35463:5, 35466:10, 35480:25, 35514:15, 35514:16, 35517:4, 35520:4, 35521:7, 35522:23, 35527:25, 35529:3, 35529:13 credible^[4] - 35364:6, 35517:6, 35526:21, 35530:1 crime^[33] - 35273:4, 35289:20, 35290:3,</p>
--	---	---	--	--



<p>35290:14, 35306:7, 35314:11, 35314:18, 35314:20, 35315:1, 35315:8, 35315:13, 35316:1, 35316:8, 35316:12, 35316:15, 35316:23, 35317:18, 35317:23, 35317:25, 35319:11, 35334:2, 35385:24, 35394:25, 35398:5, 35398:15, 35405:3, 35450:1, 35479:9, 35490:1, 35491:10, 35492:13, 35518:5, 35540:7</p> <p>crimes [4] - 35369:5, 35450:1, 35450:19, 35542:3</p> <p>Criminal[4] - 35332:21, 35332:22, 35371:19, 35418:19</p> <p>criminal [49] - 35271:13, 35271:17, 35276:7, 35276:12, 35277:1, 35285:2, 35289:16, 35290:4, 35290:8, 35290:11, 35293:12, 35296:4, 35296:10, 35296:18, 35296:22, 35299:2, 35299:17, 35300:1, 35300:18, 35301:7, 35320:4, 35320:10, 35321:15, 35321:17, 35321:23, 35322:3, 35322:16, 35322:21, 35322:24, 35323:7, 35324:4, 35326:1, 35327:23, 35328:10, 35328:11, 35341:8, 35345:9, 35351:22, 35354:23, 35372:8, 35372:13, 35374:6, 35406:16, 35413:25, 35421:19, 35423:10, 35474:16, 35501:3, 35527:2</p> <p>criminologists [1] - 35515:8</p> <p>criteria [1] - 35450:10</p> <p>criticism [1] - 35415:12</p> <p>criticize [1] - 35479:18</p> <p>criticized [1] - 35415:4</p> <p>cross [1] - 35390:10</p> <p>crosses [1] - 35540:10</p> <p>Crown[18] - 35276:14, 35280:6, 35280:11, 35284:8, 35284:23, 35287:16, 35288:23, 35289:16, 35289:21,</p>	<p>35295:12, 35299:24, 35306:17, 35371:20, 35384:24, 35385:17, 35404:25, 35428:17, 35491:16</p> <p>Crown's [2] - 35376:2, 35395:1</p> <p>Crowns[1] - 35426:14</p> <p>crucial [2] - 35432:4, 35482:9</p> <p>Csr[8] - 35268:9, 35268:10, 35545:2, 35545:12, 35545:13, 35545:16, 35545:17</p> <p>culmination [1] - 35443:24</p> <p>culpability [1] - 35298:21</p> <p>culprit [1] - 35272:20</p> <p>Cunningham[10] - 35486:20, 35505:11, 35505:15, 35505:20, 35506:9, 35506:15, 35508:4, 35543:8, 35543:13, 35543:25</p> <p>current [4] - 35295:7, 35323:6, 35328:20, 35539:18</p> <p>curve [1] - 35308:20</p> <p>custody [3] - 35377:21, 35436:9, 35436:11</p>	<p>David[90] - 35267:4, 35269:2, 35269:11, 35271:10, 35287:20, 35289:1, 35289:13, 35290:1, 35290:13, 35291:9, 35291:12, 35295:23, 35302:9, 35303:10, 35303:12, 35303:15, 35309:20, 35311:21, 35315:12, 35317:17, 35317:24, 35324:18, 35327:3, 35371:10, 35373:9, 35373:14, 35378:8, 35378:11, 35378:18, 35379:23, 35383:20, 35384:8, 35384:13, 35386:18, 35395:6, 35403:7, 35403:9, 35403:10, 35403:16, 35405:2, 35405:14, 35405:22, 35406:4, 35414:21, 35415:4, 35422:24, 35424:19, 35425:3, 35426:13, 35428:3, 35437:12, 35440:6, 35441:5, 35441:7, 35459:1, 35460:9, 35460:10, 35461:19, 35462:7, 35462:21, 35463:17, 35465:4, 35467:11, 35467:20, 35471:6, 35471:18, 35482:17, 35482:22, 35485:19, 35485:22, 35488:23, 35489:2, 35489:5, 35489:8, 35489:17, 35489:25, 35490:2, 35490:8, 35490:24, 35491:9, 35491:18, 35491:24, 35492:12, 35494:25, 35495:6, 35495:7, 35516:13, 35518:4, 35526:22, 35530:21</p> <p>David's [1] - 35495:3</p> <p>day-to-day [2] - 35306:23, 35345:2</p> <p>days [4] - 35286:15, 35356:25, 35357:11, 35433:1</p> <p>deal [8] - 35272:15, 35290:17, 35310:23, 35329:10, 35433:16, 35520:22, 35541:21, 35543:7</p> <p>dealing [8] - 35279:9, 35307:2, 35360:13, 35369:1, 35385:23,</p>	<p>35449:23, 35516:18, 35516:19</p> <p>dealings [3] - 35312:15, 35312:23, 35343:13</p> <p>deals [2] - 35457:7, 35496:2</p> <p>dealt [8] - 35284:11, 35301:19, 35330:9, 35333:2, 35382:24, 35432:10, 35436:1, 35473:6</p> <p>death [1] - 35274:2</p> <p>Deborah [4] - 35476:14, 35476:15, 35476:18, 35477:10</p> <p>debriefings [1] - 35335:12</p> <p>December [10] - 35274:11, 35305:17, 35308:8, 35308:18, 35319:16, 35319:25, 35326:15, 35330:19, 35330:23, 35412:24</p> <p>deceptive [1] - 35379:17</p> <p>decide [5] - 35343:25, 35347:10, 35348:3, 35348:12, 35353:18</p> <p>decided [6] - 35285:10, 35333:13, 35355:12, 35383:19, 35384:7, 35388:1</p> <p>deciding [1] - 35372:21</p> <p>decision [19] - 35272:25, 35285:9, 35297:1, 35318:3, 35349:23, 35350:2, 35360:25, 35365:1, 35379:23, 35418:20, 35418:22, 35420:6, 35420:10, 35420:12, 35421:1, 35422:6, 35423:12, 35424:7, 35478:20</p> <p>Decision [1] - 35478:6</p> <p>decisions [3] - 35307:1, 35307:2, 35439:3</p> <p>declined [2] - 35460:18, 35504:1</p> <p>dedicated [1] - 35282:8</p> <p>deemed [1] - 35326:4</p> <p>deeper [1] - 35444:18</p> <p>defence [5] - 35371:5, 35371:21, 35372:6, 35456:24, 35457:1</p> <p>defer [2] - 35377:18, 35474:7</p> <p>definitely [1] - 35302:6</p> <p>degraded [1] - 35438:2</p> <p>degree [5] - 35294:5,</p>	<p>35324:11, 35328:7, 35342:3, 35394:6</p> <p>delay [4] - 35287:18, 35287:24, 35481:24, 35533:19</p> <p>delayed [1] - 35287:19</p> <p>deliberate [1] - 35281:4</p> <p>deliberately [6] - 35284:25, 35287:19, 35371:9, 35406:15, 35406:19, 35407:20</p> <p>delivered [3] - 35286:21, 35400:19, 35507:18</p> <p>denied [1] - 35384:14</p> <p>Dennis [7] - 35511:10, 35511:13, 35512:19, 35512:20, 35517:22, 35518:15, 35519:2</p> <p>Denson [1] - 35378:9</p> <p>Department [20] - 35275:25, 35276:9, 35276:19, 35277:22, 35279:8, 35279:16, 35285:18, 35288:22, 35288:24, 35295:12, 35297:7, 35326:17, 35327:22, 35407:4, 35413:14, 35432:6, 35457:13, 35482:11, 35539:2, 35542:5</p> <p>department [5] - 35321:1, 35327:2, 35327:13, 35333:9, 35399:11</p> <p>Department's [1] - 35534:21</p> <p>departments [1] - 35334:12</p> <p>deposition [1] - 35476:18</p> <p>Dept [3] - 35343:6, 35398:19, 35407:6</p> <p>Deputy [2] - 35306:16, 35320:16</p> <p>deputy [1] - 35542:9</p> <p>derived [1] - 35474:24</p> <p>describe [5] - 35291:4, 35324:11, 35433:22, 35457:8, 35498:13</p> <p>described [2] - 35299:3, 35447:6</p> <p>Description [1] - 35270:2</p> <p>descriptions [1] - 35448:12</p> <p>designate [1] - 35320:25</p> <p>designated [1] - 35324:9</p>
<p>D</p>		<p>D'a.g [1] - 35458:21</p> <p>D'montague [1] - 35343:2</p> <p>daily [2] - 35306:24, 35348:20</p> <p>Dale [2] - 35503:24, 35533:14</p> <p>damage [1] - 35474:6</p> <p>Dan [3] - 35339:21, 35362:6, 35362:16</p> <p>Danchuks [2] - 35395:5, 35396:6</p> <p>database [1] - 35506:11</p> <p>date [10] - 35274:8, 35277:25, 35294:23, 35295:7, 35335:23, 35337:23, 35410:8, 35417:18, 35434:15, 35444:10</p> <p>Date [1] - 35453:21</p> <p>dated [1] - 35432:21</p> <p>dates [4] - 35411:11, 35411:21, 35417:7, 35484:22</p> <p>Dave [1] - 35429:6</p>	<p>David[90] - 35267:4, 35269:2, 35269:11, 35271:10, 35287:20, 35289:1, 35289:13, 35290:1, 35290:13, 35291:9, 35291:12, 35295:23, 35302:9, 35303:10, 35303:12, 35303:15, 35309:20, 35311:21, 35315:12, 35317:17, 35317:24, 35324:18, 35327:3, 35371:10, 35373:9, 35373:14, 35378:8, 35378:11, 35378:18, 35379:23, 35383:20, 35384:8, 35384:13, 35386:18, 35395:6, 35403:7, 35403:9, 35403:10, 35403:16, 35405:2, 35405:14, 35405:22, 35406:4, 35414:21, 35415:4, 35422:24, 35424:19, 35425:3, 35426:13, 35428:3, 35437:12, 35440:6, 35441:5, 35441:7, 35459:1, 35460:9, 35460:10, 35461:19, 35462:7, 35462:21, 35463:17, 35465:4, 35467:11, 35467:20, 35471:6, 35471:18, 35482:17, 35482:22, 35485:19, 35485:22, 35488:23, 35489:2, 35489:5, 35489:8, 35489:17, 35489:25, 35490:2, 35490:8, 35490:24, 35491:9, 35491:18, 35491:24, 35492:12, 35494:25, 35495:6, 35495:7, 35516:13, 35518:4, 35526:22, 35530:21</p> <p>David's [1] - 35495:3</p> <p>day-to-day [2] - 35306:23, 35345:2</p> <p>days [4] - 35286:15, 35356:25, 35357:11, 35433:1</p> <p>deal [8] - 35272:15, 35290:17, 35310:23, 35329:10, 35433:16, 35520:22, 35541:21, 35543:7</p> <p>dealing [8] - 35279:9, 35307:2, 35360:13, 35369:1, 35385:23,</p>	<p>35449:23, 35516:18, 35516:19</p> <p>dealings [3] - 35312:15, 35312:23, 35343:13</p> <p>deals [2] - 35457:7, 35496:2</p> <p>dealt [8] - 35284:11, 35301:19, 35330:9, 35333:2, 35382:24, 35432:10, 35436:1, 35473:6</p> <p>death [1] - 35274:2</p> <p>Deborah [4] - 35476:14, 35476:15, 35476:18, 35477:10</p> <p>debriefings [1] - 35335:12</p> <p>December [10] - 35274:11, 35305:17, 35308:8, 35308:18, 35319:16, 35319:25, 35326:15, 35330:19, 35330:23, 35412:24</p> <p>deceptive [1] - 35379:17</p> <p>decide [5] - 35343:25, 35347:10, 35348:3, 35348:12, 35353:18</p> <p>decided [6] - 35285:10, 35333:13, 35355:12, 35383:19, 35384:7, 35388:1</p> <p>deciding [1] - 35372:21</p> <p>decision [19] - 35272:25, 35285:9, 35297:1, 35318:3, 35349:23, 35350:2, 35360:25, 35365:1, 35379:23, 35418:20, 35418:22, 35420:6, 35420:10, 35420:12, 35421:1, 35422:6, 35423:12, 35424:7, 35478:20</p> <p>Decision [1] - 35478:6</p> <p>decisions [3] - 35307:1, 35307:2, 35439:3</p> <p>declined [2] - 35460:18, 35504:1</p> <p>dedicated [1] - 35282:8</p> <p>deemed [1] - 35326:4</p> <p>deeper [1] - 35444:18</p> <p>defence [5] - 35371:5, 35371:21, 35372:6, 35456:24, 35457:1</p> <p>defer [2] - 35377:18, 35474:7</p> <p>definitely [1] - 35302:6</p> <p>degraded [1] - 35438:2</p> <p>degree [5] - 35294:5,</p>



<p>desk [7] - 35285:20, 35285:23, 35286:5, 35286:9, 35286:14, 35287:10, 35398:22</p> <p>destination [1] - 35534:11</p> <p>destroy [1] - 35438:13</p> <p>destroyed [8] - 35279:8, 35281:3, 35407:20, 35408:2, 35455:12, 35502:1, 35535:19, 35536:5</p> <p>destruction [1] - 35281:4</p> <p>Detachment [4] - 35498:18, 35499:4, 35499:15, 35500:15</p> <p>detail [9] - 35282:23, 35286:25, 35292:21, 35384:12, 35416:4, 35416:24, 35418:8, 35447:21, 35538:3</p> <p>detailed [1] - 35387:2</p> <p>details [6] - 35291:17, 35296:25, 35309:18, 35377:14, 35408:9, 35510:23</p> <p>detect [2] - 35392:17, 35392:18</p> <p>detectable [1] - 35441:9</p> <p>detection [1] - 35477:23</p> <p>Detective [1] - 35362:25</p> <p>detectives [1] - 35363:2</p> <p>determination [11] - 35281:8, 35297:18, 35311:13, 35316:20, 35333:6, 35367:15, 35375:23, 35382:23, 35383:13, 35472:15, 35537:5</p> <p>determinative [1] - 35388:7</p> <p>determine [20] - 35282:14, 35298:20, 35299:2, 35303:23, 35333:1, 35369:8, 35375:22, 35386:5, 35399:2, 35399:5, 35399:19, 35404:19, 35425:11, 35437:11, 35442:12, 35452:1, 35458:23, 35488:24, 35502:3, 35541:5</p> <p>determined [4] - 35308:2, 35440:7, 35490:9, 35542:14</p> <p>determining [2] - 35449:15, 35511:5</p> <p>developed [2] -</p>	<p>35340:15, 35436:13</p> <p>devotes [1] - 35425:24</p> <p>differ [1] - 35419:16</p> <p>difference [1] - 35284:20</p> <p>differences [1] - 35475:24</p> <p>different [16] - 35275:24, 35285:21, 35306:19, 35319:10, 35341:24, 35387:25, 35480:4, 35481:12, 35481:13, 35522:7, 35524:6, 35531:17, 35532:19, 35532:21, 35541:16</p> <p>differently [4] - 35476:2, 35476:20, 35477:16</p> <p>difficult [9] - 35302:6, 35378:23, 35378:24, 35411:12, 35433:5, 35521:20, 35521:23, 35522:7, 35522:9</p> <p>difficulty [2] - 35412:8, 35521:7</p> <p>digest [1] - 35308:20</p> <p>digging [1] - 35288:17</p> <p>digitised [1] - 35332:8</p> <p>direct [3] - 35287:17, 35458:2, 35531:18</p> <p>directed [3] - 35344:12, 35348:7, 35468:10</p> <p>directing [1] - 35376:20</p> <p>direction [4] - 35272:12, 35333:19, 35344:19, 35541:16</p> <p>directly [2] - 35487:22, 35496:21</p> <p>Director [1] - 35268:3</p> <p>director [4] - 35326:18, 35326:20, 35326:24, 35326:25</p> <p>directory [1] - 35507:5</p> <p>disbelieve [4] - 35499:13, 35501:23, 35502:6, 35502:9</p> <p>discharge [1] - 35297:11</p> <p>disclaim [1] - 35535:2</p> <p>disclose [5] - 35341:3, 35434:9, 35441:18, 35446:7, 35482:9</p> <p>disclosed [7] - 35283:19, 35283:22, 35284:4, 35284:9, 35284:16, 35284:19, 35413:17</p> <p>disclosing [1] - 35280:23</p>	<p>disclosure [19] - 35283:5, 35283:6, 35283:11, 35283:13, 35284:10, 35284:16, 35284:22, 35285:5, 35285:15, 35366:1, 35372:4, 35389:3, 35410:1, 35422:9, 35423:9, 35430:3, 35432:24, 35457:12, 35462:9</p> <p>disclosure-related [1] - 35285:15</p> <p>disclosures [1] - 35294:23</p> <p>discounted [2] - 35538:19, 35541:17</p> <p>discovered [2] - 35433:23, 35434:6</p> <p>discovery [1] - 35433:24</p> <p>discuss [4] - 35334:18, 35408:9, 35520:21, 35537:11</p> <p>discussing [11] - 35286:25, 35291:7, 35298:19, 35307:24, 35308:11, 35309:12, 35352:12, 35380:18, 35382:9, 35474:4, 35523:25</p> <p>discussing [5] - 35351:15, 35379:21, 35463:2, 35463:8, 35511:13</p> <p>discussion [8] - 35308:12, 35352:21, 35354:7, 35355:10, 35427:23, 35466:4, 35474:4, 35475:18</p> <p>discussions [5] - 35307:24, 35415:21, 35439:3, 35439:8, 35487:17</p> <p>dispense [2] - 35355:12, 35412:10</p> <p>displayed [1] - 35543:3</p> <p>disposed [1] - 35368:23</p> <p>disproportionate [1] - 35304:11</p> <p>dispute [3] - 35365:22, 35476:7, 35543:23</p> <p>distance [1] - 35508:24</p> <p>distortion [1] - 35500:11</p> <p>distressed [1] - 35494:24</p> <p>Dna [27] - 35272:24, 35290:18, 35290:21, 35305:4, 35309:11,</p>	<p>35435:20, 35435:22, 35436:1, 35436:4, 35436:14, 35437:2, 35437:8, 35437:21, 35437:25, 35438:21, 35439:2, 35439:4, 35439:12, 35439:16, 35456:12, 35456:14, 35458:23, 35459:19, 35460:2, 35473:24, 35474:5, 35474:7</p> <p>doc [8] - 35326:14, 35427:22, 35442:19, 35444:21, 35481:12, 35481:13, 35532:19, 35532:21</p> <p>Document [2] - 35268:4, 35268:5</p> <p>document [41] - 35274:11, 35274:12, 35274:14, 35274:15, 35274:16, 35274:24, 35274:25, 35277:13, 35279:14, 35282:9, 35282:14, 35282:15, 35282:18, 35302:18, 35308:3, 35308:4, 35310:19, 35310:22, 35343:15, 35351:13, 35356:23, 35367:22, 35415:17, 35418:5, 35425:16, 35426:2, 35434:24, 35444:7, 35444:14, 35447:5, 35447:21, 35453:21, 35453:22, 35454:8, 35454:9, 35456:13, 35460:22, 35472:22, 35474:14, 35486:19, 35508:8</p> <p>Document[*] [1] - 35453:18</p> <p>documentation [23] - 35288:5, 35288:17, 35292:22, 35294:2, 35302:11, 35302:12, 35322:8, 35335:8, 35336:9, 35336:11, 35360:16, 35360:24, 35361:3, 35362:9, 35367:2, 35443:10, 35450:24, 35451:3, 35455:19, 35472:14, 35508:2, 35510:12, 35511:23</p> <p>documented [1] - 35500:16</p> <p>documents [35] - 35282:12, 35284:15, 35288:7, 35292:7,</p>	<p>35302:15, 35302:16, 35310:11, 35312:24, 35322:7, 35324:8, 35325:16, 35328:1, 35328:15, 35330:14, 35337:5, 35345:15, 35356:14, 35361:7, 35364:22, 35394:18, 35404:12, 35406:24, 35414:11, 35414:15, 35434:1, 35435:25, 35437:4, 35438:10, 35438:19, 35443:5, 35473:1, 35473:3, 35481:18, 35497:2, 35541:1</p> <p>dog [10] - 35405:7, 35405:10, 35405:13, 35406:3, 35406:10, 35440:23, 35442:16, 35490:22, 35491:15, 35491:19</p> <p>domain [1] - 35342:8</p> <p>Don [1] - 35268:10</p> <p>Donald [2] - 35545:2, 35545:17</p> <p>done [43] - 35271:20, 35272:6, 35298:7, 35298:13, 35311:17, 35311:22, 35347:18, 35348:13, 35358:25, 35359:1, 35361:23, 35376:13, 35387:5, 35392:15, 35392:16, 35394:23, 35406:14, 35406:19, 35411:8, 35412:13, 35417:17, 35434:8, 35436:15, 35437:2, 35437:21, 35438:7, 35438:21, 35439:5, 35448:14, 35449:12, 35452:13, 35452:16, 35454:25, 35455:2, 35456:15, 35459:21, 35459:24, 35459:25, 35460:1, 35460:2, 35468:19, 35504:18</p> <p>done[*] [1] - 35456:15</p> <p>Doris [5] - 35497:22, 35500:4, 35500:9, 35503:9, 35503:19</p> <p>doubt [4] - 35424:18, 35513:9, 35514:6, 35527:21</p> <p>doubted [2] - 35317:1, 35527:20</p> <p>doubtful [1] - 35516:23</p> <p>Douglas [1] - 35268:2</p> <p>Down [2] - 35373:5,</p>
---	--	--	---	--



<p>35459:9 down [35] - 35277:5, 35282:24, 35285:17, 35287:25, 35291:4, 35294:15, 35308:11, 35334:4, 35336:2, 35343:1, 35345:18, 35353:19, 35374:22, 35375:24, 35378:6, 35383:15, 35394:16, 35424:16, 35453:16, 35459:24, 35461:12, 35478:15, 35494:19, 35504:15, 35509:23, 35510:21, 35511:6, 35520:20, 35520:25, 35521:16, 35521:24, 35523:23, 35531:23, 35534:9 downplayed [1] - 35402:3 Dozenberger [15] - 35315:4, 35344:3, 35344:17, 35345:13, 35346:14, 35348:2, 35348:8, 35348:24, 35351:5, 35351:21, 35354:14, 35355:22, 35417:24, 35443:21 Dozenberger's [2] - 35351:8, 35351:12 Dozenberger/mr [1] - 35347:8 Dr [13] - 35378:9, 35437:24, 35459:3, 35471:2, 35472:2, 35472:4, 35473:8, 35488:19, 35489:14, 35489:22, 35490:23, 35491:22, 35492:4 drafted [2] - 35508:6, 35508:10 draw [9] - 35350:1, 35350:7, 35471:5, 35487:25, 35488:5, 35504:6, 35527:17, 35528:25, 35534:13 drawn [4] - 35350:15, 35443:8, 35471:14, 35537:17 Dressler [1] - 35508:3 drew [1] - 35476:5 drug [3] - 35378:8, 35378:11, 35540:6 Due [1] - 35292:4 due [3] - 35273:2, 35304:13, 35315:13 during [18] - 35274:19, 35275:2, 35295:3, 35313:7, 35313:10,</p>	<p>35313:11, 35315:7, 35320:6, 35340:25, 35376:25, 35380:15, 35414:22, 35490:6, 35490:10, 35512:12, 35523:8, 35532:24, 35533:8 During [7] - 35291:6, 35307:14, 35334:7, 35428:7, 35458:21, 35501:10, 35511:10 duties [2] - 35297:11, 35324:1 Dyck [4] - 35463:1, 35463:5, 35463:22, 35468:25</p>	<p>35399:18, 35410:9, 35433:8, 35437:11, 35443:21, 35463:21, 35466:20, 35469:11, 35485:1, 35487:8, 35502:21, 35512:19, 35513:13, 35520:1, 35523:18 elaborate [9] - 35288:11, 35289:5, 35309:23, 35334:20, 35335:15, 35367:18, 35397:10, 35475:19, 35475:25 electronic [1] - 35345:1 electronically [1] - 35332:9 elements [7] - 35386:6, 35392:19, 35453:10, 35453:11, 35466:19, 35530:9 elevate [2] - 35529:3, 35529:7 eliminate [1] - 35529:5 eliminate [2] - 35294:12, 35523:19 Ellerman [1] - 35268:5 elsewhere [3] - 35389:4, 35393:9, 35393:12 Elson [1] - 35269:7 embellished [2] - 35500:5, 35503:12 emergence [1] - 35511:15 emotional [1] - 35278:11 emphasis [2] - 35310:19, 35310:24 employ [1] - 35480:22 employed [3] - 35325:10, 35399:4, 35518:14 employees [8] - 35295:11, 35327:22, 35328:18, 35328:19, 35328:21, 35328:22, 35399:4 employees' [2] - 35328:11 employers [1] - 35304:6 empty [1] - 35408:23 enclosed [2] - 35493:5, 35496:3 Enclosed [1] - 35534:20 end [30] - 35285:8, 35291:2, 35298:18, 35299:1, 35300:5, 35329:22, 35330:18,</p>	<p>35332:8, 35341:11, 35348:15, 35357:25, 35377:3, 35390:18, 35393:22, 35401:15, 35410:15, 35411:8, 35411:12, 35412:4, 35412:19, 35415:17, 35423:2, 35423:11, 35436:2, 35443:18, 35470:10, 35503:14, 35524:15, 35532:20 ended [8] - 35305:3, 35363:18, 35402:22, 35414:5, 35429:21, 35447:13, 35467:5, 35536:20 endorse [1] - 35418:2 ends [1] - 35277:14 engage [1] - 35410:22 engaged [2] - 35409:14, 35410:20 Enquiries [1] - 35397:8 enquiries [8] - 35337:25, 35369:7, 35445:20, 35499:3, 35499:7, 35499:16, 35501:10, 35533:9 Enquiry [1] - 35335:10 ensure [10] - 35288:15, 35298:20, 35334:22, 35338:6, 35350:21, 35354:10, 35359:4, 35371:10, 35479:21, 35506:14 enter [2] - 35462:2, 35465:14 enticed [1] - 35451:1 entire [6] - 35294:18, 35324:17, 35327:3, 35353:2, 35386:13, 35526:6 entirety [1] - 35274:23 epiphany [1] - 35317:5 escaped [1] - 35403:7 Esq [3] - 35269:7, 35269:9, 35269:13 essentially [4] - 35276:4, 35304:18, 35441:14, 35475:1 Esson [1] - 35268:11 establish [6] - 35304:23, 35402:21, 35448:20, 35457:23, 35501:22, 35510:3 establishing [1] - 35450:4 Estate [1] - 35339:23 estimated [1] - 35456:18 et [1] - 35307:16</p>	<p>etc [2] - 35375:10, 35451:2 Etcetera [2] - 35320:19, 35464:25 etcetera [9] - 35282:10, 35308:23, 35316:16, 35403:18, 35441:12, 35451:19, 35466:22, 35508:4, 35531:6 ethical [1] - 35480:6 ethics [1] - 35296:23 Eugene [4] - 35446:5, 35471:22, 35471:23, 35521:11 evening [1] - 35504:15 event [8] - 35302:23, 35302:24, 35384:19, 35384:21, 35400:8, 35403:19, 35496:19, 35543:22 events [7] - 35295:6, 35301:22, 35368:7, 35409:21, 35456:6, 35468:17, 35477:11 eventually [4] - 35389:18, 35459:18, 35462:18, 35496:15 evidence [142] - 35271:8, 35271:16, 35271:24, 35281:13, 35282:4, 35289:3, 35290:11, 35291:14, 35292:7, 35293:9, 35293:18, 35293:23, 35293:24, 35296:4, 35303:19, 35304:12, 35304:18, 35304:22, 35310:4, 35311:21, 35313:15, 35313:19, 35315:20, 35316:2, 35317:10, 35317:15, 35317:22, 35318:12, 35318:21, 35319:3, 35319:10, 35319:13, 35345:9, 35375:8, 35380:21, 35382:17, 35383:10, 35384:1, 35384:3, 35384:18, 35384:21, 35384:23, 35385:3, 35387:10, 35387:12, 35389:22, 35390:8, 35390:13, 35391:4, 35391:19, 35397:15, 35397:23, 35398:10, 35398:14, 35398:25, 35399:6, 35399:7, 35399:25, 35401:21, 35403:4, 35403:20, 35406:12, 35407:16, 35409:7,</p>
E				
<p>downplayed [1] - 35402:3 Dozenberger [15] - 35315:4, 35344:3, 35344:17, 35345:13, 35346:14, 35348:2, 35348:8, 35348:24, 35351:5, 35351:21, 35354:14, 35355:22, 35417:24, 35443:21 Dozenberger's [2] - 35351:8, 35351:12 Dozenberger/mr [1] - 35347:8 Dr [13] - 35378:9, 35437:24, 35459:3, 35471:2, 35472:2, 35472:4, 35473:8, 35488:19, 35489:14, 35489:22, 35490:23, 35491:22, 35492:4 drafted [2] - 35508:6, 35508:10 draw [9] - 35350:1, 35350:7, 35471:5, 35487:25, 35488:5, 35504:6, 35527:17, 35528:25, 35534:13 drawn [4] - 35350:15, 35443:8, 35471:14, 35537:17 Dressler [1] - 35508:3 drew [1] - 35476:5 drug [3] - 35378:8, 35378:11, 35540:6 Due [1] - 35292:4 due [3] - 35273:2, 35304:13, 35315:13 during [18] - 35274:19, 35275:2, 35295:3, 35313:7, 35313:10,</p>	<p>early [8] - 35300:23, 35312:10, 35356:23, 35373:8, 35386:16, 35434:19, 35469:24, 35541:15 easier [1] - 35518:7 easy [4] - 35393:24, 35419:2, 35506:19, 35517:17 Eddie [1] - 35269:8 edited [1] - 35483:14 Edmondson [2] - 35535:14, 35535:17 Edward [1] - 35267:7 effect [11] - 35300:24, 35315:25, 35338:11, 35365:20, 35422:2, 35461:20, 35505:23, 35513:14, 35522:3, 35524:10, 35530:18 effort [3] - 35282:3, 35397:3, 35417:22 efforts [8] - 35282:6, 35282:13, 35328:9, 35369:23, 35415:2, 35431:10, 35479:6, 35520:16 Egan [6] - 35319:17, 35332:24, 35333:3, 35342:22, 35500:22, 35501:6 eight [2] - 35291:22, 35345:20 eighties [1] - 35378:10 either [26] - 35273:19, 35277:24, 35286:3, 35289:9, 35300:4, 35300:5, 35315:9, 35347:23, 35366:25, 35372:14, 35388:18,</p>	<p>35399:18, 35410:9, 35433:8, 35437:11, 35443:21, 35463:21, 35466:20, 35469:11, 35485:1, 35487:8, 35502:21, 35512:19, 35513:13, 35520:1, 35523:18 elaborate [9] - 35288:11, 35289:5, 35309:23, 35334:20, 35335:15, 35367:18, 35397:10, 35475:19, 35475:25 electronic [1] - 35345:1 electronically [1] - 35332:9 elements [7] - 35386:6, 35392:19, 35453:10, 35453:11, 35466:19, 35530:9 elevate [2] - 35529:3, 35529:7 eliminate [1] - 35529:5 eliminate [2] - 35294:12, 35523:19 Ellerman [1] - 35268:5 elsewhere [3] - 35389:4, 35393:9, 35393:12 Elson [1] - 35269:7 embellished [2] - 35500:5, 35503:12 emergence [1] - 35511:15 emotional [1] - 35278:11 emphasis [2] - 35310:19, 35310:24 employ [1] - 35480:22 employed [3] - 35325:10, 35399:4, 35518:14 employees [8] - 35295:11, 35327:22, 35328:18, 35328:19, 35328:21, 35328:22, 35399:4 employees' [2] - 35328:11 employers [1] - 35304:6 empty [1] - 35408:23 enclosed [2] - 35493:5, 35496:3 Enclosed [1] - 35534:20 end [30] - 35285:8, 35291:2, 35298:18, 35299:1, 35300:5, 35329:22, 35330:18,</p>	<p>35332:8, 35341:11, 35348:15, 35357:25, 35377:3, 35390:18, 35393:22, 35401:15, 35410:15, 35411:8, 35411:12, 35412:4, 35412:19, 35415:17, 35423:2, 35423:11, 35436:2, 35443:18, 35470:10, 35503:14, 35524:15, 35532:20 ended [8] - 35305:3, 35363:18, 35402:22, 35414:5, 35429:21, 35447:13, 35467:5, 35536:20 endorse [1] - 35418:2 ends [1] - 35277:14 engage [1] - 35410:22 engaged [2] - 35409:14, 35410:20 Enquiries [1] - 35397:8 enquiries [8] - 35337:25, 35369:7, 35445:20, 35499:3, 35499:7, 35499:16, 35501:10, 35533:9 Enquiry [1] - 35335:10 ensure [10] - 35288:15, 35298:20, 35334:22, 35338:6, 35350:21, 35354:10, 35359:4, 35371:10, 35479:21, 35506:14 enter [2] - 35462:2, 35465:14 enticed [1] - 35451:1 entire [6] - 35294:18, 35324:17, 35327:3, 35353:2, 35386:13, 35526:6 entirety [1] - 35274:23 epiphany [1] - 35317:5 escaped [1] - 35403:7 Esq [3] - 35269:7, 35269:9, 35269:13 essentially [4] - 35276:4, 35304:18, 35441:14, 35475:1 Esson [1] - 35268:11 establish [6] - 35304:23, 35402:21, 35448:20, 35457:23, 35501:22, 35510:3 establishing [1] - 35450:4 Estate [1] - 35339:23 estimated [1] - 35456:18 et [1] - 35307:16</p>	<p>etc [2] - 35375:10, 35451:2 Etcetera [2] - 35320:19, 35464:25 etcetera [9] - 35282:10, 35308:23, 35316:16, 35403:18, 35441:12, 35451:19, 35466:22, 35508:4, 35531:6 ethical [1] - 35480:6 ethics [1] - 35296:23 Eugene [4] - 35446:5, 35471:22, 35471:23, 35521:11 evening [1] - 35504:15 event [8] - 35302:23, 35302:24, 35384:19, 35384:21, 35400:8, 35403:19, 35496:19, 35543:22 events [7] - 35295:6, 35301:22, 35368:7, 35409:21, 35456:6, 35468:17, 35477:11 eventually [4] - 35389:18, 35459:18, 35462:18, 35496:15 evidence [142] - 35271:8, 35271:16, 35271:24, 35281:13, 35282:4, 35289:3, 35290:11, 35291:14, 35292:7, 35293:9, 35293:18, 35293:23, 35293:24, 35296:4, 35303:19, 35304:12, 35304:18, 35304:22, 35310:4, 35311:21, 35313:15, 35313:19, 35315:20, 35316:2, 35317:10, 35317:15, 35317:22, 35318:12, 35318:21, 35319:3, 35319:10, 35319:13, 35345:9, 35375:8, 35380:21, 35382:17, 35383:10, 35384:1, 35384:3, 35384:18, 35384:21, 35384:23, 35385:3, 35387:10, 35387:12, 35389:22, 35390:8, 35390:13, 35391:4, 35391:19, 35397:15, 35397:23, 35398:10, 35398:14, 35398:25, 35399:6, 35399:7, 35399:25, 35401:21, 35403:4, 35403:20, 35406:12, 35407:16, 35409:7,</p>



35409:14, 35409:15, 35410:19, 35410:20, 35412:3, 35412:17, 35414:2, 35414:6, 35419:9, 35420:20, 35421:3, 35421:8, 35421:18, 35422:1, 35422:8, 35422:16, 35423:3, 35423:19, 35423:21, 35424:2, 35424:5, 35424:19, 35424:25, 35425:17, 35426:15, 35434:7, 35437:23, 35443:12, 35449:21, 35451:15, 35452:19, 35456:21, 35458:2, 35458:4, 35461:17, 35461:18, 35461:19, 35463:3, 35463:13, 35466:15, 35466:21, 35467:6, 35467:8, 35467:10, 35467:15, 35469:17, 35472:1, 35472:4, 35473:13, 35476:13, 35486:18, 35489:24, 35490:20, 35491:16, 35503:6, 35504:23, 35505:22, 35505:23, 35511:5, 35511:24, 35517:2, 35517:13, 35518:3, 35518:8, 35522:17, 35528:6, 35529:12, 35529:23, 35530:25, 35531:9, 35535:16, 35536:8, 35536:17, 35539:13, 35543:3 evolution [1] - 35452:5 Exactly [11] - 35301:25, 35335:1, 35370:17, 35393:23, 35400:1, 35418:15, 35425:21, 35476:12, 35477:19, 35502:9, 35503:21 exactly [9] - 35273:22, 35308:17, 35312:16, 35314:25, 35319:11, 35355:24, 35370:5, 35401:1, 35404:20 exaggerated [1] - 35495:3 examination [3] - 35356:8, 35362:12, 35377:14 Examine [1] - 35380:7 examine [4] - 35299:19, 35327:6, 35425:10, 35517:7 examined [6] -	35294:19, 35377:8, 35436:14, 35438:17, 35521:11, 35526:9 examining [4] - 35326:6, 35326:8, 35357:17, 35515:11 example [10] - 35271:24, 35297:11, 35299:10, 35303:18, 35312:5, 35339:20, 35345:5, 35347:12, 35409:23, 35530:12 examples [2] - 35296:17, 35297:5 excerpts [2] - 35288:5, 35291:24 exchange [3] - 35380:4, 35447:7, 35538:24 exclude [1] - 35489:2 excluded [1] - 35405:3 exculpate [1] - 35437:12 executive [5] - 35326:20, 35326:24, 35326:25, 35417:11, 35418:4 Executive [1] - 35268:3 exercise [1] - 35410:22 exhausted [1] - 35305:5 exhaustive [1] - 35525:25 exhibits [8] - 35326:8, 35405:1, 35435:19, 35436:5, 35436:6, 35436:8, 35436:10, 35436:18 Exhibits [1] - 35309:5 existed [1] - 35302:16 existence [1] - 35541:11 exonerate [1] - 35405:4 expect [4] - 35322:1, 35323:11, 35453:9, 35533:25 expectation [1] - 35323:24 expected [1] - 35324:3 experience [9] - 35339:24, 35379:3, 35382:25, 35385:8, 35385:23, 35387:6, 35392:13, 35449:20, 35539:17 experienced [3] - 35298:9, 35341:14, 35439:11 expert [10] - 35409:11, 35409:13, 35409:17, 35410:9, 35410:17, 35410:19, 35450:6,	35492:11, 35516:8, 35539:16 expertise [2] - 35409:14, 35450:8 experts [2] - 35411:1, 35491:23 expired [1] - 35287:21 explain [11] - 35278:3, 35332:25, 35338:4, 35342:4, 35357:19, 35358:7, 35441:20, 35443:3, 35443:5, 35478:8, 35517:17 explanation [5] - 35440:5, 35455:10, 35488:4, 35503:13, 35543:15 explore [2] - 35292:17, 35420:23 explored [2] - 35413:20, 35425:2 exposed [1] - 35497:2 expressed [1] - 35307:15 expressing [1] - 35355:23 extensively [2] - 35278:7, 35339:11 extent [16] - 35276:5, 35282:18, 35299:6, 35307:1, 35312:1, 35313:1, 35341:5, 35350:3, 35377:22, 35389:1, 35391:6, 35394:8, 35421:10, 35425:12, 35518:12, 35519:24 extract [1] - 35436:4 extracted [1] - 35443:25 extreme [3] - 35334:16, 35423:5, 35423:15 eyes [2] - 35285:1, 35286:14	35303:6, 35304:13, 35305:6, 35309:19, 35317:24, 35318:1, 35323:1, 35324:17, 35330:9, 35339:10, 35340:4, 35352:16, 35352:20, 35353:8, 35353:22, 35356:9, 35358:2, 35363:8, 35367:5, 35370:12, 35374:8, 35382:17, 35386:15, 35388:15, 35389:4, 35397:13, 35399:16, 35419:6, 35420:17, 35421:23, 35423:15, 35449:22, 35473:5, 35482:21, 35486:3, 35488:1, 35489:5, 35493:23, 35495:2, 35500:13, 35504:7, 35508:19, 35509:16, 35516:2, 35521:9, 35521:24, 35526:7, 35527:17, 35530:17, 35530:21, 35534:12, 35540:4, 35542:14, 35542:22 factor [7] - 35285:10, 35318:2, 35319:2, 35368:15, 35469:18, 35495:10, 35516:18 factors [1] - 35387:1 facts [16] - 35280:12, 35358:18, 35393:8, 35393:11, 35409:22, 35410:21, 35418:21, 35419:3, 35419:7, 35419:12, 35467:2, 35500:8, 35500:11, 35500:20, 35528:18, 35529:19 factual [3] - 35353:9, 35528:10, 35528:12 failed [2] - 35297:11, 35362:20 fails [1] - 35296:3 Fainstein [1] - 35409:9 fair [33] - 35276:10, 35276:11, 35281:25, 35294:13, 35294:14, 35301:4, 35301:8, 35303:11, 35305:2, 35305:14, 35305:15, 35323:21, 35384:18, 35388:8, 35388:9, 35390:10, 35390:11, 35391:23, 35394:18, 35402:3, 35402:4, 35423:10, 35432:13, 35432:14, 35461:8,	35486:3, 35488:17, 35490:22, 35518:10, 35518:16, 35518:17, 35524:16, 35524:17 fairly [5] - 35277:12, 35306:11, 35357:10, 35359:15, 35510:19 fairness [1] - 35485:8 fall [5] - 35296:18, 35296:21, 35350:14, 35350:24, 35490:17 false [9] - 35281:13, 35345:9, 35374:9, 35385:3, 35400:18, 35419:9, 35451:15, 35514:4, 35528:21 Falsely [1] - 35281:18 falsified [1] - 35297:12 familiar [7] - 35274:15, 35339:13, 35461:13, 35471:22, 35512:22, 35513:23, 35514:10 family [4] - 35291:10, 35375:7, 35375:14, 35469:7 far [21] - 35276:11, 35303:14, 35308:20, 35319:6, 35325:16, 35331:17, 35335:20, 35348:23, 35364:20, 35374:3, 35374:22, 35401:8, 35410:20, 35425:2, 35433:12, 35466:10, 35507:2, 35515:24, 35516:4, 35528:2, 35534:14 fashion [1] - 35417:15 Father [3] - 35376:17, 35376:18, 35451:2 fax [1] - 35481:15 fear [2] - 35340:18, 35477:23 fearful [1] - 35386:10 February [7] - 35357:1, 35358:15, 35426:11, 35427:3, 35428:2, 35490:8, 35494:7 february [1] - 35437:1 Federal [6] - 35349:20, 35350:4, 35350:5, 35436:9, 35446:6, 35460:2 federal [3] - 35350:1, 35471:24, 35489:16 feeding [1] - 35480:20 feelings [2] - 35278:5, 35394:12 fell [1] - 35296:10 felt [14] - 35293:22, 35300:2, 35307:1,
F				
fabricated [3] - 35345:8, 35403:20, 35453:11 fabrication [2] - 35388:16, 35388:17 face [2] - 35369:16, 35371:15 faced [1] - 35305:13 facing [1] - 35380:2 fact [59] - 35283:1, 35286:11, 35287:9, 35301:11, 35301:15,				



<p>35316:20, 35324:21, 35336:14, 35361:6, 35366:7, 35374:20, 35403:9, 35458:2, 35476:3, 35479:18, 35507:7</p> <p>Ferris^[14] - 35436:16, 35437:24, 35471:2, 35472:2, 35472:5, 35473:8, 35488:19, 35489:9, 35489:23, 35490:23, 35491:2, 35491:22, 35492:4, 35492:19</p> <p>Ferris^[1] - 35489:15</p> <p>few ^[4] - 35276:24, 35408:20, 35433:1, 35504:2</p> <p>Fifth^[1] - 35339:23</p> <p>fifty ^[1] - 35434:17</p> <p>figure ^[6] - 35286:6, 35300:5, 35314:8, 35321:25, 35522:9, 35527:11</p> <p>file ^[62] - 35280:17, 35281:3, 35282:9, 35284:17, 35286:3, 35286:4, 35291:23, 35294:18, 35302:8, 35311:4, 35311:8, 35311:10, 35314:17, 35321:11, 35324:17, 35324:18, 35327:3, 35327:6, 35329:2, 35329:6, 35329:8, 35329:10, 35335:6, 35336:15, 35344:7, 35344:20, 35344:22, 35346:1, 35346:7, 35346:13, 35346:21, 35347:1, 35347:18, 35367:2, 35372:2, 35428:24, 35428:25, 35429:19, 35443:9, 35445:21, 35445:23, 35455:10, 35455:14, 35472:3, 35472:6, 35472:15, 35483:12, 35499:4, 35501:25, 35502:1, 35510:7, 35525:21, 35526:6, 35526:13, 35534:21, 35534:23, 35535:3, 35535:10, 35536:1, 35536:2</p> <p>File^[2] - 35343:15, 35349:8</p> <p>filed ^[1] - 35445:15</p> <p>files ^[57] - 35279:9, 35279:13, 35279:16,</p>	<p>35279:19, 35280:12, 35285:19, 35285:22, 35285:25, 35288:5, 35294:19, 35294:20, 35324:18, 35326:9, 35327:6, 35327:10, 35329:18, 35331:3, 35343:17, 35344:9, 35346:4, 35346:12, 35349:9, 35361:1, 35362:13, 35362:14, 35362:17, 35377:7, 35377:10, 35398:21, 35400:7, 35400:20, 35402:12, 35402:16, 35407:4, 35407:7, 35407:10, 35407:20, 35408:1, 35445:18, 35448:8, 35448:19, 35455:20, 35457:18, 35457:23, 35484:3, 35501:11, 35506:22, 35525:10, 35534:25, 35535:18, 35535:19, 35535:23, 35535:24, 35536:7, 35536:24, 35537:1</p> <p>files' ^[1] - 35431:12</p> <p>Final^[3] - 35451:7, 35452:8, 35479:11</p> <p>final ^[5] - 35393:19, 35470:19, 35470:20, 35470:23, 35533:10</p> <p>finally ^[2] - 35397:17, 35470:23</p> <p>findings ^[7] - 35344:25, 35419:16, 35420:17, 35422:3, 35458:7, 35470:14, 35488:11</p> <p>fine ^[1] - 35412:22</p> <p>finer ^[1] - 35377:14</p> <p>finish ^[3] - 35413:9, 35434:14, 35522:25</p> <p>finished ^[7] - 35274:6, 35319:7, 35347:19, 35412:3, 35412:17, 35413:8, 35542:20</p> <p>firm ^[2] - 35292:23, 35412:2</p> <p>First^[1] - 35478:14</p> <p>first ^[27] - 35280:1, 35280:9, 35284:4, 35288:13, 35294:17, 35319:22, 35323:15, 35330:15, 35338:23, 35342:21, 35351:14, 35358:4, 35386:2, 35389:2, 35400:23, 35402:11, 35411:18, 35420:25, 35451:8,</p>	<p>35452:9, 35453:7, 35454:1, 35454:11, 35462:6, 35462:8, 35521:17, 35521:18</p> <p>firsthand ^[1] - 35407:15</p> <p>Fisher^[90] - 35271:10, 35271:18, 35273:4, 35279:9, 35286:4, 35287:17, 35294:18, 35295:9, 35295:20, 35302:12, 35303:7, 35303:12, 35303:16, 35303:22, 35304:4, 35304:13, 35304:19, 35305:8, 35309:17, 35324:19, 35327:7, 35329:17, 35332:19, 35333:17, 35362:8, 35362:14, 35364:5, 35364:6, 35364:9, 35366:16, 35367:6, 35367:8, 35368:25, 35374:18, 35375:2, 35396:19, 35396:22, 35397:23, 35397:24, 35398:2, 35398:8, 35407:9, 35407:19, 35423:24, 35425:18, 35428:11, 35428:12, 35428:17, 35428:19, 35428:21, 35437:13, 35441:5, 35448:11, 35449:11, 35454:19, 35454:20, 35455:18, 35455:25, 35456:8, 35457:19, 35472:19, 35497:12, 35497:19, 35497:24, 35499:17, 35499:19, 35499:21, 35500:3, 35502:22, 35503:2, 35503:10, 35510:22, 35511:1, 35511:15, 35511:21, 35512:21, 35513:17, 35513:18, 35516:1, 35516:2, 35517:23, 35519:3, 35524:11, 35538:16, 35541:23, 35542:1, 35542:3, 35542:5, 35542:8, 35542:9</p> <p>fisher ^[1] - 35448:25</p> <p>Fishers^[10] - 35287:19, 35295:24, 35303:18, 35369:17, 35398:14, 35449:7, 35455:6, 35467:25, 35500:6, 35503:6</p> <p>fit ^[13] - 35299:7, 35316:3, 35317:5,</p>	<p>35319:12, 35353:15, 35362:20, 35363:4, 35366:22, 35394:20, 35503:14, 35517:16, 35530:9, 35530:10</p> <p>fits ^[3] - 35300:6, 35372:8, 35467:1</p> <p>fitting ^[1] - 35395:18</p> <p>five ^[2] - 35425:24, 35426:8</p> <p>flags ^[1] - 35307:20</p> <p>Flicker^[6] - 35275:4, 35275:8, 35277:9, 35359:24, 35447:13, 35447:23</p> <p>Flip^[1] - 35405:25</p> <p>flip ^[3] - 35319:8, 35405:24, 35524:8</p> <p>fluids ^[1] - 35437:18</p> <p>fly ^[1] - 35509:3</p> <p>focus ^[8] - 35276:4, 35276:15, 35281:23, 35295:1, 35378:24, 35378:25, 35379:11, 35401:19</p> <p>Focus^[1] - 35425:8</p> <p>focused ^[1] - 35421:20</p> <p>folded ^[1] - 35359:23</p> <p>folder ^[1] - 35535:10</p> <p>follow ^[22] - 35290:7, 35295:6, 35297:2, 35346:22, 35355:6, 35366:24, 35369:18, 35370:15, 35372:22, 35391:9, 35395:13, 35399:19, 35400:17, 35402:10, 35429:9, 35448:3, 35448:14, 35454:25, 35485:21, 35495:14, 35502:16, 35502:23</p> <p>follow-up ^[5] - 35297:2, 35429:9, 35448:3, 35502:16, 35502:23</p> <p>followed ^[9] - 35308:3, 35308:16, 35369:24, 35401:3, 35417:6, 35447:9, 35472:2, 35480:8, 35506:16</p> <p>following ^[11] - 35300:3, 35307:16, 35361:19, 35371:13, 35409:4, 35428:6, 35431:13, 35434:22, 35440:23, 35461:21, 35470:11</p> <p>follows ^[1] - 35511:18</p> <p>footnote ^[1] - 35418:18</p> <p>force ^[12] - 35272:9, 35299:20, 35303:22,</p>	<p>35320:10, 35330:16, 35330:25, 35334:6, 35335:10, 35536:10, 35538:23, 35539:17</p> <p>Force^[4] - 35501:12, 35538:11, 35539:5, 35539:25</p> <p>forced ^[1] - 35393:15</p> <p>forces ^[1] - 35273:9</p> <p>foregoing ^[1] - 35545:4</p> <p>forensic ^[5] - 35309:9, 35409:17, 35435:20, 35489:24, 35490:20</p> <p>forget ^[1] - 35515:7</p> <p>form ^[7] - 35287:5, 35292:7, 35298:17, 35303:14, 35420:9, 35491:5, 35533:5</p> <p>formal ^[2] - 35322:11, 35330:15</p> <p>formally ^[1] - 35272:18</p> <p>format ^[4] - 35345:1, 35348:17, 35418:12, 35419:4</p> <p>formed ^[1] - 35318:8</p> <p>former ^[7] - 35323:6, 35327:21, 35328:11, 35328:19, 35328:21, 35328:22, 35460:13</p> <p>forms ^[1] - 35285:22</p> <p>Forney^[3] - 35439:9, 35473:23</p> <p>forth ^[1] - 35295:15</p> <p>forthcoming ^[2] - 35459:18, 35470:4</p> <p>forthright ^[1] - 35386:12</p> <p>fortuitous ^[1] - 35433:24</p> <p>forum ^[1] - 35336:21</p> <p>forward ^[19] - 35275:9, 35278:16, 35281:11, 35294:9, 35316:19, 35317:16, 35317:22, 35342:14, 35352:8, 35352:23, 35374:3, 35403:25, 35454:22, 35463:23, 35475:1, 35476:8, 35477:3, 35491:16, 35524:7</p> <p>forwarded ^[3] - 35430:4, 35508:17, 35534:1</p> <p>forwarding ^[1] - 35433:6</p> <p>forwards ^[1] - 35426:13</p> <p>four ^[1] - 35541:21</p> <p>fourth ^[1] - 35411:16</p> <p>Fox^[1] - 35269:8</p> <p>frame ^[5] - 35275:19,</p>
--	---	--	---	--



<p>35301:18, 35351:13, 35368:7, 35456:18 framed [1] - 35403:9 Frank[4] - 35346:22, 35346:23, 35347:23, 35476:15 Fraser[24] - 35272:2, 35299:14, 35305:24, 35306:3, 35306:6, 35306:15, 35306:16, 35306:20, 35306:25, 35307:5, 35314:23, 35315:3, 35320:17, 35330:22, 35333:3, 35417:13, 35435:18, 35437:1, 35437:7, 35446:18, 35457:4, 35473:21, 35475:15, 35480:12 Framer[2] - 35269:11, 35349:20 free [2] - 35381:25, 35496:20 Free[1] - 35362:7 freely [2] - 35288:2, 35291:7 frequent [1] - 35305:23 frequently [1] - 35306:11 Fresh[1] - 35423:19 fresh [1] - 35424:2 friend [4] - 35461:23, 35462:19, 35463:16, 35497:16 friendly [1] - 35323:16 friends [1] - 35304:6 front [2] - 35351:20, 35356:11 frozen [5] - 35440:11, 35442:7, 35489:25, 35492:12, 35492:14 fulfil [1] - 35343:8 full [8] - 35291:17, 35328:13, 35360:23, 35360:24, 35465:20, 35478:16, 35513:5 fully [5] - 35291:10, 35294:17, 35294:19, 35399:13, 35478:24 funneled [1] - 35349:6 future [2] - 35419:15, 35433:16 Fw[2] - 35369:4, 35369:8</p>	<p>Gail[34] - 35271:11, 35272:8, 35272:17, 35272:19, 35273:18, 35274:2, 35280:14, 35289:14, 35295:23, 35303:24, 35304:19, 35305:8, 35309:6, 35309:20, 35373:12, 35373:20, 35374:1, 35377:5, 35377:23, 35379:17, 35396:20, 35398:9, 35406:4, 35421:6, 35424:20, 35437:9, 35437:18, 35458:25, 35465:17, 35497:18, 35537:18, 35537:23, 35538:18, 35540:18 game [3] - 35276:11, 35301:4, 35301:8 garments [3] - 35437:9, 35437:17, 35438:17 Garrett[1] - 35269:6 Garth[1] - 35505:10 gather [8] - 35302:8, 35303:23, 35321:24, 35332:1, 35344:13, 35345:15, 35359:4, 35372:21 gathered [2] - 35304:6, 35347:9 gathering [7] - 35272:17, 35300:25, 35312:13, 35331:19, 35375:4, 35424:25, 35467:23 Gaudette[2] - 35473:22, 35473:24 geared [1] - 35456:14 general [10] - 35287:15, 35305:22, 35348:17, 35360:10, 35396:6, 35410:18, 35480:3, 35510:15, 35510:19, 35543:6 General[5] - 35296:7, 35296:12, 35306:16, 35320:16, 35536:12 Generals [6] - 35275:25, 35276:18, 35285:18, 35288:24, 35289:17, 35539:2 Generally[4] - 35324:6, 35348:15, 35443:18, 35453:13 generally [27] - 35303:3, 35306:20, 35307:3, 35307:5, 35339:13, 35344:2, 35348:5, 35352:14,</p>	<p>35363:7, 35366:18, 35367:21, 35367:22, 35380:16, 35386:1, 35415:18, 35417:3, 35417:16, 35418:13, 35421:13, 35449:23, 35453:9, 35480:24, 35489:22, 35507:4, 35518:13, 35521:22 generate [1] - 35346:1 generated [1] - 35344:25 genesis [2] - 35415:7, 35486:11 genuine [3] - 35383:14, 35517:16, 35527:22 Gh[1] - 35363:21 Gibson[1] - 35269:9 girl [1] - 35461:24 Given[1] - 35351:22 given [30] - 35271:19, 35278:23, 35279:14, 35280:20, 35286:2, 35286:15, 35323:25, 35324:14, 35324:17, 35347:3, 35354:12, 35368:6, 35371:4, 35380:3, 35382:18, 35414:23, 35428:23, 35436:8, 35445:10, 35456:21, 35471:9, 35485:4, 35489:16, 35491:2, 35492:19, 35498:17, 35517:2, 35517:21, 35520:2, 35522:16 glean [1] - 35356:9 gleaned [1] - 35355:10 Glen[1] - 35363:21 Global[1] - 35429:25 Globe[1] - 35429:6 gonna [1] - 35433:19 gorge's [1] - 35376:7 Government[3] - 35269:4, 35328:24, 35330:20 government [3] - 35327:21, 35328:9, 35536:21 grabbing [1] - 35403:16 gram [1] - 35438:16 granted [2] - 35526:4, 35526:5 granting [1] - 35424:1 grasping [1] - 35374:20 great [5] - 35310:18, 35310:23, 35525:2, 35525:20, 35525:23 greatly [1] - 35310:20 Griffith[1] - 35332:4</p>	<p>grossly [1] - 35495:3 grounds [4] - 35325:23, 35424:2, 35424:10, 35474:19 group [14] - 35298:9, 35308:11, 35308:15, 35315:5, 35315:11, 35333:23, 35349:3, 35349:5, 35351:16, 35352:22, 35417:22, 35463:2, 35479:19, 35542:13 grouping [1] - 35440:15 groups [2] - 35276:6, 35300:9 guarantee [1] - 35496:12 guess [33] - 35278:11, 35279:17, 35279:25, 35281:1, 35283:25, 35286:23, 35318:4, 35336:23, 35340:7, 35340:13, 35359:3, 35366:9, 35367:13, 35370:7, 35391:2, 35391:5, 35392:12, 35393:19, 35395:12, 35402:7, 35437:15, 35453:9, 35454:14, 35456:7, 35468:2, 35493:18, 35494:23, 35502:15, 35504:8, 35517:16, 35520:24, 35527:20, 35529:7 guide [3] - 35289:7, 35393:14, 35418:6 guided [3] - 35390:24, 35536:6 guilt [9] - 35271:9, 35295:24, 35295:25, 35371:3, 35379:12, 35422:23, 35467:24, 35467:25, 35526:22 guilty [4] - 35289:14, 35290:14, 35297:10, 35422:19 gun [1] - 35403:6 guy [2] - 35370:25, 35428:12</p>	<p>handle [3] - 35271:8, 35297:19, 35333:7 handled [3] - 35329:19, 35368:20, 35534:7 handling [3] - 35285:25, 35286:7, 35362:14 hands [2] - 35446:12, 35505:6 handwriting [6] - 35342:17, 35351:17, 35427:24, 35428:1, 35435:8, 35458:13 hard [1] - 35318:4 head [1] - 35534:22 heading [1] - 35501:9 headquarters [1] - 35419:5 heads [1] - 35501:13 heads-up [1] - 35501:13 hear [9] - 35315:20, 35409:4, 35409:13, 35409:17, 35410:19, 35412:7, 35416:14, 35536:21, 35539:13 heard [31] - 35302:21, 35303:19, 35304:17, 35390:7, 35391:4, 35391:19, 35398:22, 35399:3, 35399:17, 35400:14, 35400:21, 35401:5, 35401:25, 35402:13, 35403:7, 35409:15, 35410:7, 35410:20, 35431:5, 35434:7, 35437:23, 35441:13, 35449:21, 35503:9, 35503:10, 35515:7, 35535:16, 35536:8, 35538:25 hearing [2] - 35372:2, 35377:1 hearings [1] - 35490:10 hearsay [1] - 35399:25 heightened [1] - 35382:21 held [2] - 35460:15, 35538:12 Hell's [1] - 35321:21 help [4] - 35392:22, 35477:18, 35502:21, 35537:3 helped [2] - 35488:13, 35524:5 helpful [11] - 35311:10, 35341:2, 35381:10, 35395:25, 35411:23, 35413:2, 35449:15, 35449:18, 35458:3,</p>
G			H	
<p>Gagne[2] - 35363:22, 35363:24</p>			<p>half [1] - 35504:14 Hall[4] - 35476:14, 35476:15, 35476:18, 35477:10 Halyk[2] - 35474:13, 35474:15 hand [1] - 35388:23</p>	



35468:21, 35530:8 Helpfully [1] - 35459:17 helping [1] - 35539:5 hence [1] - 35410:25 Henderson [57] - 35300:22, 35312:6, 35312:17, 35314:1, 35366:16, 35367:8, 35379:20, 35380:7, 35380:11, 35380:18, 35381:18, 35434:8, 35445:18, 35481:1, 35484:7, 35484:15, 35486:18, 35487:4, 35487:9, 35488:1, 35505:9, 35505:11, 35505:16, 35506:18, 35506:25, 35507:3, 35507:17, 35508:12, 35509:18, 35512:20, 35512:24, 35513:13, 35513:24, 35514:8, 35514:11, 35517:19, 35518:13, 35518:22, 35519:2, 35519:11, 35519:25, 35520:2, 35521:10, 35522:5, 35523:1, 35523:18, 35524:9, 35524:20, 35525:8, 35526:15, 35527:11, 35527:15, 35528:15, 35533:23, 35534:15, 35542:21, 35542:22 Henderson's [7] - 35312:14, 35366:21, 35434:2, 35480:13, 35505:22, 35514:17, 35523:13 Henderson/wilson [1] - 35314:3 hereby [1] - 35545:4 herein [1] - 35545:6 herself [1] - 35389:24 Hersh [5] - 35269:2, 35307:16, 35311:7, 35351:25, 35430:4 hide [3] - 35339:1, 35386:9, 35543:1 highlight [3] - 35274:18, 35299:12, 35376:16 himself [1] - 35478:4 hindsight [2] - 35298:8, 35396:3 Hinz [3] - 35268:9, 35545:2, 35545:13 hire [1] - 35373:9 historical [1] - 35304:12	history [1] - 35510:1 History [1] - 35419:23 Hmm [1] - 35516:5 Hnatyshyn [1] - 35276:24 Hodson [29] - 35268:2, 35270:4, 35271:6, 35284:13, 35323:23, 35349:16, 35351:1, 35408:15, 35408:18, 35410:12, 35410:13, 35411:2, 35413:5, 35464:7, 35468:13, 35468:22, 35469:16, 35475:7, 35475:9, 35475:12, 35481:13, 35481:17, 35502:5, 35515:5, 35516:6, 35516:9, 35519:21, 35539:20, 35542:17 hold [2] - 35327:7, 35436:9 holding [2] - 35391:21, 35516:7 holds [1] - 35327:3 holiday [1] - 35329:22 home [2] - 35397:25, 35503:2 Homeniuk [4] - 35363:21, 35363:22, 35363:23, 35363:24 Hon [2] - 35269:12, 35369:4 honest [1] - 35520:23 honestly [1] - 35365:16 Honourable [1] - 35267:6 hope [3] - 35433:4, 35520:24, 35533:15 hoping [2] - 35340:8, 35515:21 Hopkins [1] - 35269:13 Hoppy [2] - 35465:3, 35465:4 horsepower [1] - 35416:6 host [2] - 35303:25, 35315:15 hotel [1] - 35345:4 hour [1] - 35504:14 hours [1] - 35275:18 house [3] - 35465:14, 35465:15, 35465:19 housed [1] - 35279:19 Howard [2] - 35373:7, 35373:13 Hugh [1] - 35268:11 human [6] - 35440:14, 35440:16, 35440:20, 35442:7, 35442:13,	35442:17 hundred [1] - 35434:16 hundreds [1] - 35346:4 hunting [1] - 35368:20 I Id [9] - 35326:15, 35427:22, 35428:19, 35442:20, 35444:21, 35481:12, 35481:14, 35532:19, 35532:22 Id'd [1] - 35428:20 idea [4] - 35305:22, 35396:6, 35444:19, 35535:1 ideas [1] - 35486:10 identical [1] - 35277:13 identification [3] - 35427:16, 35428:5, 35455:25 identified [10] - 35275:22, 35276:21, 35343:20, 35346:14, 35360:5, 35405:23, 35428:10, 35429:14, 35432:7, 35434:16 identify [7] - 35272:20, 35405:19, 35433:16, 35442:11, 35474:23, 35497:7, 35511:21 identifying [4] - 35275:13, 35340:4, 35430:5, 35445:24 identity [5] - 35407:11, 35413:21, 35432:25, 35457:16, 35524:24 imagine [1] - 35308:10 impact [2] - 35334:14, 35420:15 impacted [2] - 35271:12, 35283:21 imparted [1] - 35341:5 imperative [2] - 35397:2, 35407:11 implicate [1] - 35425:18 importance [8] - 35312:13, 35336:10, 35380:17, 35451:11, 35464:1, 35469:3, 35469:12, 35469:17 important [20] - 35311:8, 35312:16, 35314:21, 35355:2, 35368:15, 35381:22, 35382:12, 35389:23, 35401:21, 35458:10, 35469:11, 35494:16, 35510:2, 35510:8,	35510:9, 35512:10, 35512:11, 35526:21, 35527:1, 35539:13 impossibility [2] - 35317:12, 35319:14 impression [4] - 35469:2, 35543:8, 35543:14, 35543:25 improper [3] - 35385:10, 35469:21, 35520:5 improperly [7] - 35282:3, 35313:19, 35421:4, 35421:19, 35421:21, 35421:25, 35423:9 in-person [1] - 35509:18 inadequate [1] - 35422:9 inadvertently [1] - 35497:2 inappropriate [6] - 35297:24, 35390:21, 35390:23, 35517:25, 35522:22, 35524:2 inappropriately [1] - 35368:23 inasmuch [1] - 35433:9 incident [14] - 35345:4, 35403:4, 35403:12, 35403:15, 35403:23, 35404:17, 35424:14, 35430:16, 35475:21, 35476:10, 35476:18, 35477:4, 35477:15, 35499:10 incidents [1] - 35540:10 include [2] - 35276:20, 35479:13 including [3] - 35294:22, 35399:4, 35446:18 inclusion [1] - 35454:15 incompetence [1] - 35372:19 incompetent [2] - 35372:25, 35406:20 inconsistent [1] - 35528:17 incorrect [4] - 35428:20, 35440:19, 35471:10, 35491:2 incorrectly [1] - 35499:18 incriminate [2] - 35333:17, 35467:20 incriminating [9] - 35317:10, 35386:18,	35386:20, 35397:22, 35463:17, 35467:11, 35469:20, 35513:21, 35518:3 inculcate [1] - 35437:12 indeed [2] - 35350:14, 35497:9 indefinitely [1] - 35535:25 independent [6] - 35365:12, 35368:3, 35370:4, 35380:23, 35510:18, 35530:19 Index [1] - 35270:1 indexing [1] - 35425:25 indicate [6] - 35335:2, 35350:22, 35353:7, 35383:24, 35399:6, 35474:24 indicated [15] - 35292:14, 35315:22, 35352:23, 35405:2, 35412:7, 35420:19, 35431:19, 35432:22, 35432:23, 35446:1, 35482:15, 35485:8, 35505:16, 35524:9, 35532:14 indicates [6] - 35352:18, 35371:1, 35440:14, 35442:23, 35493:2, 35497:6 indicating [8] - 35279:17, 35355:9, 35376:10, 35442:7, 35483:9, 35486:19, 35488:23, 35532:22 indication [3] - 35296:5, 35418:14, 35505:17 indictment [1] - 35287:17 individual [1] - 35414:7 indulgence [1] - 35408:16 inference [3] - 35487:25, 35488:6, 35504:6 inferences [1] - 35527:17 influence [17] - 35282:4, 35342:12, 35378:11, 35380:22, 35381:13, 35381:24, 35383:25, 35386:10, 35388:25, 35389:5, 35389:15, 35512:17, 35513:5, 35514:1, 35516:19, 35517:25, 35522:23
--	---	--	--	--



<p>influenced [9] - 35302:5, 35313:19, 35368:4, 35376:4, 35385:6, 35389:20, 35451:19, 35514:21, 35522:17</p> <p>influencing [1] - 35384:24</p> <p>info [1] - 35343:19</p> <p>inform [2] - 35322:9, 35349:18</p> <p>informal [3] - 35335:11, 35335:19, 35496:16</p> <p>informant [20] - 35279:21, 35325:5, 35407:25, 35431:11, 35431:25, 35432:16, 35433:2, 35433:19, 35457:7, 35457:8, 35457:9, 35457:24, 35485:7, 35485:11, 35496:6, 35496:10, 35496:17, 35496:20, 35496:23, 35524:20</p> <p>informant's [1] - 35413:21</p> <p>informants [1] - 35430:23</p> <p>information [198] - 35271:9, 35271:16, 35271:21, 35272:17, 35273:14, 35273:17, 35284:8, 35284:24, 35287:5, 35287:17, 35289:4, 35290:23, 35294:1, 35294:3, 35301:1, 35303:14, 35303:23, 35304:7, 35307:24, 35308:21, 35310:2, 35312:3, 35312:14, 35312:18, 35320:23, 35321:8, 35321:18, 35325:12, 35327:15, 35328:15, 35329:1, 35330:23, 35331:19, 35332:2, 35332:18, 35333:4, 35333:7, 35333:12, 35333:16, 35334:23, 35340:16, 35341:5, 35341:10, 35341:21, 35341:24, 35342:14, 35344:14, 35344:23, 35346:18, 35346:23, 35347:4, 35347:10, 35347:11, 35347:13, 35347:16, 35348:1, 35349:4, 35350:12, 35353:22, 35357:16, 35358:18, 35360:9,</p>	<p>35361:14, 35361:20, 35362:8, 35362:16, 35362:19, 35363:3, 35363:12, 35364:4, 35366:5, 35368:6, 35372:22, 35373:15, 35373:25, 35375:4, 35375:21, 35377:10, 35381:19, 35382:20, 35389:11, 35390:4, 35391:7, 35391:15, 35391:18, 35391:25, 35396:9, 35398:11, 35399:9, 35401:5, 35407:8, 35413:15, 35413:22, 35415:15, 35419:14, 35420:3, 35420:5, 35423:24, 35426:18, 35427:17, 35427:20, 35429:5, 35429:10, 35431:12, 35432:5, 35432:8, 35432:23, 35442:15, 35444:18, 35445:25, 35447:7, 35447:21, 35449:18, 35449:24, 35451:16, 35453:20, 35454:16, 35456:5, 35462:9, 35462:15, 35463:16, 35463:24, 35464:1, 35466:9, 35466:13, 35466:17, 35467:4, 35467:5, 35467:19, 35467:23, 35468:1, 35471:9, 35471:12, 35472:20, 35479:23, 35481:21, 35481:25, 35482:4, 35482:10, 35482:13, 35483:7, 35484:17, 35484:23, 35484:24, 35485:1, 35486:5, 35486:12, 35486:15, 35487:14, 35487:19, 35487:20, 35488:8, 35488:9, 35488:20, 35491:3, 35492:5, 35492:20, 35492:21, 35493:19, 35496:25, 35497:15, 35498:2, 35498:16, 35499:15, 35500:14, 35501:11, 35502:11, 35502:12, 35502:19, 35502:21, 35502:24, 35502:25, 35504:10, 35504:20, 35507:1, 35508:11, 35510:17, 35510:24, 35511:1, 35512:2, 35512:6, 35512:16, 35513:3, 35514:22,</p>	<p>35517:20, 35520:1, 35522:16, 35522:21, 35526:2, 35526:10, 35528:8, 35530:6, 35537:6, 35538:24, 35541:9, 35542:24</p> <p>informed [4] - 35311:9, 35316:10, 35334:8, 35498:21</p> <p>initial [10] - 35316:15, 35320:6, 35345:15, 35346:18, 35386:16, 35389:10, 35391:5, 35391:16, 35392:2, 35400:10</p> <p>initials [1] - 35363:18</p> <p>Inland [1] - 35268:12</p> <p>innocence [11] - 35271:9, 35295:24, 35295:25, 35378:12, 35379:12, 35422:24, 35425:4, 35467:24, 35467:25, 35489:18, 35526:22</p> <p>innocent [7] - 35289:14, 35290:1, 35290:14, 35311:22, 35424:20, 35490:24, 35503:13</p> <p>input [1] - 35457:4</p> <p>inquiries [6] - 35285:15, 35314:3, 35338:7, 35338:25, 35370:7, 35440:8</p> <p>Inquiry [4] - 35267:2, 35267:23, 35336:18, 35350:3</p> <p>inquiry [4] - 35309:12, 35350:1, 35416:16, 35525:16</p> <p>Insp [2] - 35307:14, 35343:5</p> <p>Inspector [3] - 35320:1, 35324:8, 35330:6</p> <p>instead [1] - 35370:14</p> <p>institution [1] - 35327:20</p> <p>instructed [2] - 35326:1, 35422:18</p> <p>instruction [1] - 35347:3</p> <p>integrated [1] - 35540:5</p> <p>intelligence [1] - 35540:6</p> <p>intend [2] - 35295:5, 35434:9</p> <p>intended [3] - 35332:25, 35417:20, 35419:18</p> <p>intending [2] -</p>	<p>35419:19, 35533:14</p> <p>intention [6] - 35320:20, 35349:21, 35370:17, 35412:3, 35462:1, 35484:6</p> <p>intentional [1] - 35500:12</p> <p>intentionally [1] - 35434:8</p> <p>inter [1] - 35538:23</p> <p>inter-force [1] - 35538:23</p> <p>interacted [1] - 35310:6</p> <p>interaction [5] - 35312:2, 35313:2, 35313:5, 35314:3, 35314:5</p> <p>interactions [1] - 35313:11</p> <p>interest [3] - 35310:7, 35518:24, 35538:23</p> <p>interested [7] - 35313:22, 35314:24, 35378:3, 35379:13, 35507:22, 35510:25, 35512:5</p> <p>interesting [2] - 35453:15, 35524:25</p> <p>interests [1] - 35417:9</p> <p>internal [6] - 35472:21, 35472:23, 35473:15, 35473:16, 35473:18, 35540:1</p> <p>internally [1] - 35333:2</p> <p>interpret [1] - 35476:2</p> <p>interpretation [1] - 35499:21</p> <p>interpreted [2] - 35476:19, 35477:15</p> <p>interpretive [1] - 35475:24</p> <p>interrupt [1] - 35515:3</p> <p>Interview [1] - 35399:2</p> <p>interview [98] - 35274:7, 35274:8, 35274:22, 35278:15, 35278:17, 35287:3, 35295:8, 35295:10, 35295:19, 35309:16, 35320:21, 35324:23, 35324:25, 35328:22, 35329:1, 35332:1, 35335:22, 35337:15, 35339:14, 35344:14, 35345:22, 35346:22, 35347:21, 35354:4, 35356:19, 35356:24, 35357:7, 35357:10, 35357:13, 35357:14, 35358:6, 35359:1,</p>	<p>35359:10, 35359:12, 35359:16, 35359:21, 35364:9, 35366:19, 35366:21, 35367:7, 35367:19, 35367:23, 35367:25, 35368:19, 35376:7, 35376:13, 35378:23, 35380:8, 35380:11, 35380:15, 35381:1, 35386:4, 35392:2, 35395:21, 35396:14, 35399:3, 35413:10, 35430:1, 35434:17, 35434:20, 35434:25, 35442:25, 35443:17, 35446:8, 35451:5, 35451:6, 35451:23, 35455:2, 35460:12, 35468:18, 35474:15, 35475:4, 35476:9, 35483:25, 35484:10, 35488:2, 35500:9, 35508:14, 35508:15, 35508:22, 35509:1, 35509:4, 35509:13, 35509:19, 35510:14, 35510:22, 35511:3, 35514:18, 35518:18, 35523:1, 35523:3, 35523:5, 35523:9, 35523:10, 35532:3, 35533:16</p> <p>interviewed [35] - 35291:13, 35295:14, 35302:2, 35309:22, 35310:1, 35310:14, 35312:7, 35321:13, 35325:17, 35328:20, 35339:10, 35344:1, 35378:8, 35379:20, 35394:13, 35434:18, 35444:13, 35446:6, 35452:3, 35452:18, 35463:11, 35466:7, 35478:7, 35478:21, 35478:22, 35487:18, 35497:9, 35504:1, 35504:4, 35509:5, 35519:25, 35522:3, 35522:4, 35522:15, 35533:4</p> <p>interviewee [2] - 35312:20, 35381:20</p> <p>interviewer [3] - 35312:20, 35381:19, 35391:9</p> <p>interviewing [13] - 35300:25, 35310:3, 35326:9, 35331:21, 35343:4, 35367:3, 35375:8, 35389:7,</p>
---	--	---	---	---



<p>35392:20, 35481:6, 35511:2, 35519:9, 35520:5</p> <p>interviews [45] - 35282:9, 35282:19, 35298:12, 35321:25, 35325:11, 35328:18, 35331:2, 35331:8, 35337:22, 35340:6, 35340:19, 35340:21, 35366:20, 35367:1, 35368:12, 35381:1, 35381:4, 35381:15, 35386:19, 35421:6, 35442:22, 35443:4, 35451:4, 35452:4, 35453:24, 35480:3, 35480:10, 35480:13, 35480:15, 35483:20, 35483:23, 35484:5, 35484:14, 35510:10, 35510:16, 35512:12, 35512:14, 35512:18, 35512:23, 35519:2, 35523:15, 35533:5</p> <p>intimate [1] - 35452:14</p> <p>intimated [1] - 35410:18</p> <p>introduced [4] - 35405:1, 35405:15, 35405:18, 35405:21</p> <p>investigate [22] - 35272:10, 35273:3, 35273:21, 35289:8, 35293:15, 35328:10, 35333:10, 35334:1, 35353:18, 35354:17, 35355:19, 35358:12, 35358:13, 35364:25, 35370:3, 35371:18, 35388:16, 35399:13, 35404:15, 35416:1, 35425:16, 35485:14</p> <p>investigated [25] - 35277:10, 35290:24, 35292:3, 35297:17, 35300:21, 35303:22, 35304:14, 35332:20, 35333:18, 35344:6, 35344:10, 35344:22, 35346:2, 35371:16, 35374:22, 35395:9, 35401:13, 35402:25, 35404:18, 35408:5, 35408:6, 35422:4, 35453:2, 35486:2, 35541:2</p> <p>investigating [24] - 35272:19, 35275:14, 35314:21, 35320:3,</p>	<p>35321:16, 35321:20, 35321:22, 35322:3, 35322:15, 35322:23, 35323:5, 35332:17, 35337:11, 35341:7, 35344:11, 35357:20, 35357:21, 35385:24, 35402:23, 35416:16, 35420:19, 35429:17, 35462:7, 35474:19</p> <p>Investigation [3] - 35294:16, 35419:24, 35425:8</p> <p>investigation [173] - 35271:13, 35271:22, 35272:4, 35274:2, 35275:5, 35275:7, 35276:11, 35276:16, 35281:22, 35282:11, 35289:7, 35290:19, 35291:8, 35291:17, 35292:18, 35293:6, 35294:22, 35295:4, 35296:3, 35297:9, 35297:14, 35298:6, 35299:17, 35299:18, 35299:21, 35301:21, 35305:4, 35305:12, 35306:13, 35307:12, 35307:19, 35310:5, 35310:21, 35311:5, 35311:11, 35311:14, 35311:23, 35311:25, 35312:11, 35312:22, 35313:11, 35315:7, 35315:10, 35319:2, 35320:7, 35320:10, 35321:15, 35324:4, 35326:2, 35326:6, 35327:4, 35327:5, 35327:8, 35327:23, 35329:4, 35331:18, 35332:19, 35333:14, 35333:16, 35333:24, 35334:6, 35334:10, 35334:14, 35334:19, 35336:8, 35337:15, 35337:17, 35337:24, 35338:2, 35338:12, 35339:9, 35340:8, 35341:1, 35342:11, 35345:3, 35347:16, 35347:24, 35348:16, 35351:22, 35353:15, 35354:23, 35358:8, 35362:13, 35363:5, 35364:18, 35365:20, 35366:22, 35367:11, 35373:2, 35379:11, 35390:2, 35395:18, 35396:1, 35396:10,</p>	<p>35397:15, 35398:11, 35401:19, 35402:2, 35407:3, 35407:14, 35413:25, 35414:22, 35415:1, 35415:10, 35416:7, 35416:10, 35416:20, 35417:8, 35420:13, 35421:4, 35421:14, 35421:19, 35421:20, 35422:2, 35422:14, 35422:21, 35422:23, 35423:1, 35423:7, 35424:10, 35424:23, 35425:24, 35428:7, 35429:4, 35433:13, 35436:6, 35438:5, 35442:6, 35444:20, 35449:3, 35449:19, 35451:20, 35455:24, 35459:12, 35459:15, 35460:7, 35466:14, 35470:11, 35470:13, 35471:24, 35474:17, 35476:21, 35476:24, 35477:9, 35479:23, 35482:20, 35484:8, 35484:24, 35488:11, 35489:1, 35491:7, 35495:10, 35495:17, 35500:18, 35501:14, 35503:25, 35504:11, 35505:14, 35514:20, 35516:12, 35526:3, 35527:2, 35532:23, 35533:19, 35535:18, 35536:24, 35537:22, 35538:12, 35538:20, 35539:25, 35540:14, 35541:24, 35542:7</p> <p>investigational [1] - 35291:24</p> <p>investigations [9] - 35279:10, 35322:21, 35334:8, 35417:5, 35444:11, 35457:19, 35497:7, 35502:14, 35542:6</p> <p>Investigations [1] - 35332:23</p> <p>investigative [14] - 35272:13, 35282:6, 35324:19, 35360:15, 35367:10, 35416:22, 35425:21, 35437:6, 35443:8, 35443:19, 35449:25, 35461:10, 35472:7, 35506:21</p> <p>Investigator [1] - 35426:12</p>	<p>investigator [14] - 35318:12, 35344:7, 35344:8, 35344:10, 35344:20, 35348:16, 35370:15, 35394:13, 35443:18, 35449:22, 35452:17, 35454:7, 35534:9</p> <p>investigators [62] - 35282:23, 35287:11, 35288:15, 35289:7, 35291:11, 35291:15, 35292:19, 35294:25, 35298:10, 35299:11, 35302:19, 35306:5, 35306:8, 35315:6, 35317:1, 35320:21, 35321:3, 35325:25, 35330:8, 35337:14, 35337:22, 35339:7, 35339:19, 35340:13, 35340:20, 35340:21, 35341:1, 35341:6, 35341:10, 35341:15, 35341:25, 35343:19, 35346:8, 35348:6, 35349:3, 35349:5, 35363:14, 35364:5, 35364:10, 35366:23, 35366:24, 35371:17, 35373:24, 35374:5, 35375:14, 35377:19, 35378:1, 35378:13, 35395:10, 35396:23, 35443:25, 35460:9, 35461:17, 35463:8, 35468:11, 35479:19, 35487:25, 35499:2, 35507:2, 35508:9, 35511:2, 35535:6</p> <p>investigators' [1] - 35295:5</p> <p>invoke [1] - 35322:11</p> <p>involve [2] - 35295:8, 35295:10</p> <p>involved [19] - 35276:6, 35277:1, 35280:7, 35295:19, 35298:1, 35300:8, 35306:25, 35324:5, 35334:25, 35336:4, 35341:8, 35405:2, 35406:21, 35433:14, 35439:3, 35439:7, 35451:14, 35502:22, 35531:17</p> <p>involvement [2] - 35433:12, 35451:17</p> <p>involves [2] - 35334:6, 35501:14</p> <p>involving [4] -</p>	<p>35330:15, 35350:4, 35357:1, 35473:21</p> <p>Irene [1] - 35268:8</p> <p>Issue [9] - 35444:13, 35447:12, 35447:18, 35448:18, 35448:4, 35450:21, 35454:18, 35456:10, 35542:3</p> <p>issue [67] - 35277:17, 35278:3, 35278:13, 35278:14, 35280:16, 35285:5, 35327:11, 35337:16, 35345:19, 35349:25, 35350:7, 35362:10, 35368:21, 35377:12, 35377:13, 35378:6, 35379:17, 35382:2, 35388:4, 35388:6, 35394:17, 35407:1, 35409:18, 35421:9, 35421:20, 35426:6, 35429:13, 35435:18, 35435:22, 35436:1, 35437:15, 35438:9, 35439:22, 35442:15, 35443:16, 35445:6, 35447:12, 35447:13, 35455:5, 35455:8, 35455:11, 35459:19, 35460:21, 35463:15, 35467:24, 35471:3, 35472:2, 35473:7, 35473:13, 35473:17, 35477:14, 35490:6, 35490:11, 35490:25, 35491:8, 35492:24, 35495:16, 35502:20, 35502:23, 35505:2, 35509:9, 35519:22, 35526:21, 35538:21, 35539:14, 35540:21, 35541:3</p> <p>issued [2] - 35436:24, 35460:2</p> <p>Issues [1] - 35453:16</p> <p>issues [71] - 35274:19, 35274:23, 35275:8, 35275:13, 35275:23, 35277:6, 35277:8, 35277:9, 35279:25, 35283:14, 35285:15, 35287:6, 35306:10, 35306:22, 35307:4, 35308:1, 35308:8, 35340:17, 35343:20, 35344:1, 35344:5, 35344:9, 35345:20, 35352:9, 35352:24, 35352:25, 35353:1, 35354:1, 35355:1,</p>
---	---	---	---	---



35355:4, 35355:5, 35356:2, 35356:10, 35358:20, 35359:18, 35359:22, 35359:25, 35360:1, 35360:2, 35360:6, 35372:12, 35397:19, 35409:20, 35409:22, 35416:1, 35425:10, 35426:4, 35426:15, 35426:17, 35426:18, 35427:12, 35427:16, 35435:1, 35438:18, 35440:3, 35443:3, 35444:3, 35446:9, 35450:21, 35454:6, 35456:23, 35470:12, 35470:14, 35479:24, 35491:20, 35517:9, 35537:2, 35537:3, 35540:15, 35541:21 item [2] - 35414:19, 35435:17 items [4] - 35292:14, 35447:8, 35448:3, 35451:11 itself [9] - 35325:18, 35327:20, 35335:14, 35386:21, 35386:23, 35419:22, 35421:1, 35454:9, 35464:17	35379:22, 35383:17, 35384:6, 35386:16, 35387:11, 35388:5, 35388:13, 35391:13, 35394:8, 35454:12, 35461:20, 35461:25, 35462:4, 35462:18, 35463:15, 35464:12, 35464:16, 35465:1, 35466:5, 35466:6, 35466:22, 35468:6, 35468:15, 35468:16, 35469:24, 35470:1, 35513:16, 35513:17, 35519:18, 35519:19, 35519:20, 35530:10 Johrls [4] - 35462:6, 35468:2, 35468:18, 35469:19 Johnson [3] - 35369:4, 35369:8, 35369:23 joke [3] - 35404:8, 35404:11, 35477:6 Jorgenson [2] - 35357:2, 35358:23 Joyce [7] - 35269:3, 35373:13, 35434:25, 35461:8, 35496:8, 35496:14, 35496:21 judge [4] - 35369:3, 35369:12, 35369:15, 35370:10 judicial [1] - 35349:22 July [1] - 35410:12, 35410:16, 35505:9, 35505:11, 35506:9, 35532:6, 35532:7, 35532:22, 35534:2, 35534:13, 35541:19 jump [1] - 35387:3 jumped [1] - 35318:22 June [16] - 35267:21, 35312:8, 35349:23, 35349:24, 35380:13, 35380:19, 35481:9, 35488:18, 35493:2, 35497:5, 35503:22, 35523:2, 35527:5, 35528:3, 35530:18 jurisdiction [7] - 35271:23, 35272:10, 35272:23, 35273:8, 35273:10, 35334:1, 35334:9 jurisdictions [1] - 35540:11 jurisprudence [1] - 35409:25 jury [3] - 35318:1, 35422:17, 35424:5	Justice [38] - 35267:6, 35269:11, 35269:13, 35271:22, 35272:5, 35272:15, 35273:1, 35273:16, 35273:20, 35295:13, 35296:25, 35297:7, 35320:5, 35320:15, 35326:18, 35326:21, 35327:7, 35327:19, 35327:22, 35328:9, 35328:24, 35329:3, 35337:10, 35349:20, 35350:4, 35369:8, 35369:23, 35398:19, 35409:8, 35409:10, 35427:10, 35436:9, 35444:25, 35446:6, 35460:3, 35460:13, 35490:6, 35525:14 justice [21] - 35288:25, 35289:15, 35289:16, 35289:22, 35290:4, 35290:8, 35290:12, 35291:3, 35330:21, 35334:7, 35351:23, 35371:14, 35371:19, 35372:11, 35374:8, 35379:15, 35400:9, 35403:23, 35425:5, 35425:14, 35451:16 Justics [2] - 35294:20, 35350:5 justification [1] - 35335:8 justified [1] - 35477:25	killer [7] - 35373:12, 35373:20, 35491:18, 35511:16, 35513:18, 35517:23, 35524:11 kind [3] - 35301:3, 35365:2, 35505:6 Kindly [1] - 35533:25 knife [1] - 35368:20 knowing [7] - 35306:23, 35349:1, 35411:13, 35493:7, 35506:21, 35512:5, 35521:15 knowingly [2] - 35406:15, 35406:19 knowledge [8] - 35283:23, 35359:18, 35399:10, 35399:17, 35525:20, 35535:2, 35538:10, 35545:6 knowledgeable [2] - 35358:19, 35409:18 known [20] - 35278:6, 35286:17, 35290:21, 35290:22, 35337:18, 35338:24, 35363:13, 35363:14, 35369:17, 35370:11, 35381:11, 35430:25, 35450:20, 35467:1, 35467:21, 35470:3, 35528:18, 35529:19, 35530:5, 35542:2 knows [3] - 35338:19, 35432:15, 35497:12 Knox [1] - 35269:5 Krogan [1] - 35269:4 Krogan-stevely [1] - 35269:4 Kujawa [9] - 35269:6, 35276:19, 35285:20, 35285:22, 35337:12, 35398:24, 35399:6, 35402:14, 35525:15	35498:1, 35498:4, 35498:14, 35499:5, 35499:12, 35499:13, 35499:18, 35501:24, 35502:7, 35502:9, 35502:11, 35503:1, 35503:8, 35503:20 Lafrenieres [1] - 35500:7 laid [1] - 35294:6 Lana [1] - 35269:4 Lane [1] - 35332:5 languishing [1] - 35534:25 Lapchuck [5] - 35375:9, 35380:1, 35380:8, 35403:6, 35403:8 Lapchuk [7] - 35345:7, 35403:3, 35403:20, 35404:13, 35404:21, 35476:10, 35519:13 Larry [43] - 35271:10, 35295:24, 35303:7, 35303:12, 35303:16, 35303:18, 35303:22, 35304:19, 35324:19, 35327:7, 35374:18, 35375:1, 35396:19, 35396:21, 35397:23, 35397:24, 35398:4, 35398:8, 35407:19, 35423:24, 35425:18, 35428:10, 35437:12, 35441:4, 35454:20, 35455:2, 35455:25, 35467:25, 35472:18, 35497:12, 35497:19, 35499:19, 35499:21, 35499:23, 35503:2, 35511:15, 35511:21, 35512:21, 35516:1, 35516:2, 35517:22, 35524:10, 35542:1 last [5] - 35414:19, 35416:5, 35474:22, 35523:3, 35532:18 Last [1] - 35408:19 late [1] - 35277:25 law [2] - 35306:21, 35324:2 lawyer [3] - 35426:12, 35477:21, 35488:23 lawyers [1] - 35298:1 lay [2] - 35273:24, 35300:10 laying [3] - 35272:20, 35287:10, 35466:3 lead [7] - 35370:10, 35373:3, 35475:3, 35513:8, 35528:1,
J				
jail [1] - 35403:7 Jar69 [1] - 35448:9 January [8] - 35316:10, 35330:12, 35331:15, 35337:5, 35401:15, 35437:1, 35470:16, 35490:17 Januaryfebruary [1] - 35437:1 Jay [1] - 35268:12 Joanne [1] - 35269:3 job [1] - 35373:1 Joe [5] - 35344:2, 35344:17, 35351:5, 35417:24, 35417:25 John [51] - 35270:3, 35271:5, 35277:20, 35278:10, 35278:18, 35278:24, 35281:13, 35281:15, 35282:4, 35282:20, 35300:10, 35309:19, 35309:21, 35310:6, 35318:18, 35319:10, 35330:6, 35375:10, 35376:3,				
		K		
		Karen [3] - 35268:9, 35545:2, 35545:13 Karst [2] - 35269:8, 35363:1 Keable [2] - 35350:2 keep [5] - 35334:8, 35335:19, 35375:21, 35411:22, 35535:23 Keep [1] - 35335:6 keeping [1] - 35411:11 kept [3] - 35469:12, 35535:25, 35536:7 key [4] - 35312:2, 35339:14, 35418:8, 35423:20 kids [1] - 35537:11 kill [1] - 35465:5 killed [4] - 35396:20, 35403:18, 35461:24, 35465:17		
			L	
			lab [12] - 35436:11, 35436:23, 35439:9, 35439:11, 35439:21, 35440:25, 35441:6, 35441:8, 35442:15, 35459:5, 35473:24 lack [7] - 35323:12, 35323:13, 35323:18, 35363:11, 35443:12, 35448:14, 35469:11 Lafreniere [18] - 35431:5, 35497:8, 35497:9, 35497:18,	



<p>35541:15, 35543:25 leading [2] - 35367:8, 35368:11 leads [3] - 35291:1, 35425:17, 35506:21 leaks [1] - 35334:22 learn [2] - 35489:4, 35492:9 learned [6] - 35308:5, 35498:21, 35499:17, 35502:10, 35502:12, 35538:5 learning [2] - 35308:20, 35541:20 least [19] - 35275:7, 35305:18, 35330:15, 35339:13, 35354:6, 35354:19, 35365:7, 35389:12, 35389:20, 35401:20, 35412:10, 35468:25, 35476:8, 35477:3, 35514:11, 35523:20, 35528:21, 35530:24, 35543:6 Leatherdale[1] - 35501:7 leave [4] - 35364:25, 35452:24, 35528:2, 35530:15 led [1] - 35542:24 ledger [1] - 35467:20 Lee[6] - 35315:4, 35344:2, 35344:17, 35417:25, 35435:4, 35435:11 left [5] - 35393:21, 35461:25, 35478:6, 35487:12, 35528:6 legal [4] - 35298:19, 35306:10, 35306:22, 35404:24 leisurely [2] - 35412:13, 35412:14 length [2] - 35292:4, 35302:5 lengthy [4] - 35275:20, 35275:21, 35357:10, 35397:17 less [4] - 35307:7, 35434:17, 35456:12, 35530:1 lessened [1] - 35399:16 lesser [1] - 35372:19 Let[3] - 35339:22, 35362:6, 35362:16 letter [57] - 35287:4, 35319:16, 35325:20, 35326:16, 35327:1, 35327:16, 35330:5, 35355:8, 35427:3,</p>	<p>35429:24, 35430:4, 35431:9, 35432:20, 35433:7, 35444:22, 35444:24, 35457:10, 35471:2, 35471:10, 35471:16, 35474:11, 35474:12, 35480:8, 35480:16, 35480:17, 35481:8, 35481:15, 35481:19, 35482:3, 35484:16, 35484:25, 35488:18, 35488:19, 35491:23, 35493:1, 35493:2, 35493:3, 35493:4, 35495:21, 35495:24, 35495:25, 35505:8, 35505:19, 35505:24, 35506:20, 35507:11, 35507:15, 35507:21, 35526:16, 35531:13, 35533:22, 35534:4, 35534:5, 35534:12, 35534:13, 35534:18, 35542:10 letters [11] - 35370:23, 35371:7, 35481:20, 35486:20, 35487:5, 35487:8, 35487:15, 35488:4, 35493:24, 35495:4, 35507:18 level [1] - 35324:11 liberty [1] - 35496:8 lie [9] - 35318:19, 35385:6, 35385:9, 35388:1, 35404:21, 35521:23, 35521:24, 35524:13 lied [12] - 35345:7, 35385:5, 35402:8, 35403:9, 35404:13, 35451:14, 35476:10, 35477:2, 35521:4, 35524:11, 35526:24 lies [1] - 35385:14 lieu [1] - 35412:12 Lieutenant[1] - 35440:12 life [2] - 35411:13, 35505:7 lifetime [1] - 35278:10 light [13] - 35283:14, 35313:14, 35321:4, 35332:18, 35333:16, 35350:8, 35360:19, 35448:15, 35454:3, 35495:16, 35505:22, 35525:3, 35525:9 lighter [1] - 35380:4 likely [26] - 35279:3, 35302:22, 35333:3,</p>	<p>35333:6, 35362:20, 35368:21, 35378:24, 35411:7, 35416:13, 35417:19, 35417:24, 35417:25, 35438:22, 35443:20, 35478:13, 35487:15, 35493:22, 35497:20, 35506:17, 35508:25, 35509:17, 35509:22, 35530:1, 35536:1, 35536:4, 35540:12 Likely[2] - 35509:21, 35509:22 limit [1] - 35302:12 limited [1] - 35338:12 limits [1] - 35349:23 Linda[22] - 35304:3, 35364:5, 35366:15, 35367:6, 35367:8, 35397:24, 35398:2, 35454:19, 35455:6, 35497:23, 35499:17, 35499:23, 35500:3, 35500:6, 35503:5, 35503:10, 35503:16, 35510:22, 35511:1, 35519:3, 35519:14 Lindás [4] - 35367:16, 35454:21, 35454:25, 35503:14 line [7] - 35350:1, 35350:7, 35350:15, 35390:9, 35428:9, 35428:10, 35542:8 line-up [2] - 35428:9, 35428:10 line-ups [1] - 35542:8 lines [1] - 35536:5 link [7] - 35304:19, 35304:22, 35304:24, 35305:7, 35373:25, 35450:18, 35450:19 linking [1] - 35450:15 list [1] - 35411:7 listed [2] - 35453:16, 35481:18 literally [2] - 35285:24, 35286:5 live [1] - 35490:25 lived [2] - 35306:24, 35464:14 locate [4] - 35396:24, 35397:4, 35397:18, 35511:12 located [4] - 35279:18, 35329:6, 35494:3, 35536:22 log [1] - 35348:20 logical [1] - 35453:23</p>	<p>logically [1] - 35369:20 look [43] - 35275:4, 35276:3, 35281:7, 35283:17, 35285:3, 35285:6, 35288:17, 35292:20, 35300:11, 35300:16, 35300:24, 35301:2, 35315:8, 35335:22, 35346:11, 35346:12, 35347:22, 35358:3, 35363:16, 35365:17, 35367:25, 35370:20, 35374:7, 35375:22, 35382:2, 35382:13, 35390:16, 35393:7, 35394:24, 35421:14, 35448:19, 35449:25, 35453:15, 35455:5, 35456:4, 35466:18, 35515:17, 35515:25, 35517:5, 35528:7, 35529:11, 35529:12, 35535:9 looked [13] - 35297:21, 35302:11, 35318:11, 35318:21, 35319:4, 35374:11, 35374:21, 35452:17, 35454:6, 35489:24, 35490:23, 35491:8, 35538:15 looking [29] - 35276:5, 35281:24, 35303:12, 35312:3, 35336:22, 35358:2, 35361:25, 35367:19, 35368:6, 35368:10, 35372:10, 35381:17, 35387:9, 35390:17, 35415:20, 35424:12, 35424:13, 35449:10, 35450:2, 35456:5, 35457:4, 35463:4, 35463:19, 35491:7, 35517:3, 35528:2, 35537:25, 35541:4 lookit [60] - 35278:17, 35284:7, 35285:24, 35286:1, 35286:6, 35292:15, 35298:7, 35300:2, 35315:25, 35317:16, 35318:10, 35318:18, 35321:16, 35321:22, 35322:15, 35333:19, 35335:21, 35336:19, 35338:18, 35339:4, 35347:25, 35354:16, 35361:12, 35361:17, 35364:22, 35364:24, 35365:24, 35369:25, 35370:9,</p>	<p>35372:24, 35374:25, 35385:5, 35388:12, 35388:18, 35391:12, 35391:20, 35392:6, 35393:4, 35395:14, 35395:16, 35399:22, 35400:19, 35401:20, 35413:24, 35416:15, 35419:7, 35419:11, 35423:6, 35427:18, 35432:10, 35445:24, 35485:14, 35485:20, 35492:4, 35492:15, 35513:15, 35516:13, 35518:4, 35523:24, 35524:10 looks [4] - 35330:14, 35428:2, 35475:14, 35524:19 Lord[8] - 35468:9, 35469:6, 35501:22, 35515:15, 35516:7, 35538:14, 35543:11, 35544:1 loss [1] - 35494:21 low [2] - 35284:23, 35466:3 lump [1] - 35405:5 lumps [5] - 35440:11, 35440:13, 35440:16, 35440:19, 35442:7 lying [2] - 35388:13, 35522:8 Lysyk[1] - 35276:20</p>
M				
<p>M.o.'s [1] - 35450:18 Maccallum [40] - 35267:7, 35271:3, 35323:10, 35323:15, 35323:22, 35350:25, 35408:15, 35408:19, 35411:25, 35412:20, 35412:23, 35413:4, 35464:4, 35468:5, 35468:20, 35468:24, 35469:10, 35469:15, 35475:7, 35481:11, 35481:16, 35501:19, 35502:4, 35515:3, 35515:6, 35515:20, 35515:23, 35516:5, 35519:15, 35519:17, 35538:9, 35538:17, 35538:22, 35539:9, 35539:12, 35542:19, 35543:12, 35543:19, 35543:24, 35544:2</p>				



<p>Mackie [10] - 35281:10, 35281:12, 35282:2, 35282:18, 35282:25, 35283:1, 35310:15, 35453:19, 35454:15, 35537:4</p> <p>Mackie's [1] - 35310:16</p> <p>Macmillan [3] - 35439:20, 35442:5, 35471:17</p> <p>Macmillan's [1] - 35441:4</p> <p>magnitude [1] - 35383:3</p> <p>Maguire [1] - 35319:18</p> <p>Maguire's [1] - 35330:4</p> <p>Mail [1] - 35429:6</p> <p>maintained [2] - 35292:23, 35378:11</p> <p>maintaining [1] - 35288:22</p> <p>major [5] - 35417:5, 35494:25, 35495:9, 35540:7, 35540:10</p> <p>Major [1] - 35349:10</p> <p>man [1] - 35362:6</p> <p>Management [1] - 35349:11</p> <p>management [1] - 35332:9</p> <p>Manager [1] - 35268:4</p> <p>mandate [3] - 35271:19, 35338:2, 35416:10</p> <p>manipulated [4] - 35394:5, 35486:9, 35524:12, 35530:1</p> <p>manipulation [2] - 35526:25, 35531:5</p> <p>manner [7] - 35290:24, 35299:20, 35340:20, 35433:22, 35453:1, 35464:12, 35484:18</p> <p>March [16] - 35376:21, 35386:16, 35389:3, 35416:23, 35434:14, 35434:19, 35434:22, 35435:7, 35439:18, 35444:22, 35446:17, 35469:24, 35470:3, 35470:7, 35481:20</p> <p>marching [2] - 35326:12, 35332:11</p> <p>Marshall [1] - 35269:13</p> <p>material [33] - 35281:2, 35288:4, 35291:20, 35291:22, 35302:8, 35302:13, 35311:9, 35311:23, 35314:17, 35321:11, 35322:7, 35324:17, 35324:20,</p>	<p>35329:8, 35344:3, 35353:19, 35359:2, 35363:12, 35364:16, 35364:19, 35372:2, 35377:11, 35407:6, 35426:1, 35434:5, 35446:3, 35457:18, 35471:4, 35472:8, 35472:9, 35472:11, 35526:3, 35535:3</p> <p>materials [3] - 35309:1, 35360:24, 35437:16</p> <p>matter [20] - 35271:11, 35290:24, 35300:21, 35301:4, 35310:7, 35329:20, 35332:6, 35343:8, 35344:21, 35362:8, 35369:11, 35373:21, 35375:15, 35382:12, 35401:13, 35416:16, 35423:1, 35433:9, 35471:15, 35534:21</p> <p>matters [14] - 35282:15, 35283:10, 35283:20, 35306:2, 35308:23, 35350:1, 35350:4, 35359:23, 35382:7, 35388:3, 35410:2, 35410:19, 35411:17, 35527:19</p> <p>Mccloskey [9] - 35300:22, 35445:17, 35484:6, 35484:15, 35487:17, 35507:25, 35509:12, 35531:15, 35531:24</p> <p>Mccrank [26] - 35272:2, 35299:14, 35305:24, 35306:3, 35306:6, 35306:15, 35306:24, 35307:4, 35314:23, 35315:3, 35320:15, 35325:21, 35330:22, 35333:4, 35417:13, 35435:18, 35436:25, 35437:7, 35439:7, 35446:18, 35456:20, 35457:3, 35473:21, 35475:15, 35480:11</p> <p>Mclean [1] - 35269:3</p> <p>mean [21] - 35331:3, 35338:23, 35387:15, 35390:17, 35393:12, 35400:17, 35401:1, 35425:4, 35441:10, 35453:6, 35469:10, 35476:1, 35490:5, 35506:18, 35508:20, 35509:3, 35509:20,</p>	<p>35509:21, 35512:3, 35516:3, 35516:10</p> <p>means [5] - 35441:20, 35507:9, 35509:17, 35517:10, 35517:14</p> <p>meant [3] - 35290:7, 35334:24, 35342:24</p> <p>media [16] - 35334:17, 35337:18, 35337:20, 35338:7, 35339:5, 35339:10, 35339:11, 35339:15, 35340:1, 35340:13, 35340:18, 35340:24, 35341:12, 35341:22, 35341:23, 35409:17</p> <p>medical [2] - 35415:15, 35460:15</p> <p>meet [5] - 35298:2, 35330:19, 35386:2, 35445:1, 35496:11</p> <p>meeting [29] - 35274:18, 35274:20, 35275:3, 35275:17, 35275:20, 35275:21, 35277:18, 35286:25, 35291:5, 35291:6, 35305:17, 35307:8, 35307:10, 35330:12, 35330:15, 35330:22, 35332:11, 35333:5, 35358:1, 35358:11, 35415:18, 35445:5, 35445:7, 35446:17, 35458:21, 35475:14, 35496:16, 35523:13, 35537:10</p> <p>meetings [2] - 35305:25, 35474:24</p> <p>Melnyk [8] - 35345:7, 35380:1, 35380:2, 35380:8, 35403:19, 35404:12, 35404:21, 35476:9</p> <p>member [1] - 35324:21</p> <p>member(s) [1] - 35511:22</p> <p>members [21] - 35313:3, 35320:22, 35321:12, 35321:23, 35323:6, 35324:13, 35327:21, 35330:16, 35332:4, 35334:19, 35334:23, 35335:10, 35337:16, 35343:3, 35363:11, 35435:2, 35435:15, 35446:17, 35446:23</p> <p>members' [1] - 35539:4</p> <p>memo [10] - 35275:10,</p>	<p>35301:13, 35308:7, 35337:6, 35414:13, 35428:18, 35434:2, 35439:25, 35440:1, 35500:21</p> <p>memorandum [3] - 35439:19, 35471:17, 35472:3</p> <p>memorandums [6] - 35472:21, 35472:23, 35473:6, 35473:15, 35473:17, 35473:18</p> <p>memories [1] - 35302:4</p> <p>memory [4] - 35302:22, 35435:24, 35468:15, 35506:3</p> <p>mental [1] - 35495:4</p> <p>mention [3] - 35311:3, 35461:12, 35505:4</p> <p>mentioned [8] - 35303:6, 35362:22, 35379:11, 35418:9, 35420:22, 35422:22, 35484:13, 35533:6</p> <p>Merchant [3] - 35373:9, 35373:12, 35374:9</p> <p>Merchant's [1] - 35374:4</p> <p>Messrs [1] - 35533:3</p> <p>met [3] - 35284:22, 35460:9, 35525:8</p> <p>Method [1] - 35349:11</p> <p>methods [1] - 35488:24</p> <p>Meyer [3] - 35268:10, 35545:2, 35545:17</p> <p>mid [1] - 35378:10</p> <p>mid-eighties [1] - 35378:10</p> <p>might [46] - 35273:15, 35295:22, 35297:16, 35299:7, 35299:12, 35308:25, 35330:14, 35333:17, 35333:19, 35333:24, 35341:5, 35346:22, 35346:24, 35349:4, 35365:19, 35372:9, 35373:3, 35379:7, 35381:18, 35384:23, 35385:16, 35387:7, 35387:20, 35389:5, 35398:13, 35406:20, 35413:16, 35415:3, 35424:2, 35438:10, 35460:24, 35472:17, 35473:9, 35495:15, 35496:13, 35503:13, 35514:24, 35518:6, 35522:17, 35522:22, 35524:16, 35527:6, 35533:25,</p>	<p>35538:13, 35542:25</p> <p>Milgaard [169] - 35267:4, 35269:2, 35269:3, 35271:10, 35271:18, 35286:3, 35289:2, 35289:13, 35290:1, 35290:13, 35291:9, 35291:12, 35294:18, 35295:9, 35295:20, 35300:23, 35302:9, 35303:10, 35303:13, 35303:15, 35304:8, 35304:12, 35309:20, 35311:19, 35311:21, 35315:11, 35315:12, 35317:17, 35317:24, 35320:6, 35324:18, 35327:4, 35329:17, 35331:11, 35331:14, 35332:19, 35356:19, 35356:25, 35357:15, 35359:16, 35360:5, 35360:13, 35360:21, 35362:25, 35364:2, 35364:7, 35364:10, 35364:11, 35364:14, 35366:16, 35366:19, 35367:8, 35368:21, 35369:11, 35370:18, 35370:22, 35371:10, 35371:21, 35371:21, 35371:25, 35372:5, 35373:7, 35373:9, 35373:10, 35373:14, 35373:23, 35374:4, 35374:9, 35374:19, 35375:6, 35375:13, 35375:24, 35376:1, 35376:13, 35376:24, 35378:18, 35378:22, 35379:19, 35379:24, 35380:14, 35381:2, 35383:16, 35384:12, 35384:16, 35385:4, 35386:18, 35394:23, 35395:6, 35396:16, 35396:23, 35398:18, 35400:12, 35401:21, 35403:8, 35404:24, 35405:14, 35406:2, 35406:4, 35407:1, 35410:3, 35413:10, 35413:16, 35414:20, 35415:9, 35415:19, 35422:24, 35423:9, 35424:4, 35424:19, 35425:3, 35425:18, 35427:7, 35430:2, 35431:22, 35433:8, 35433:15, 35434:25, 35437:12, 35440:6,</p>
---	---	--	---	---



<p>35441:5, 35441:7, 35441:10, 35459:2, 35460:10, 35460:23, 35461:1, 35461:9, 35461:19, 35461:21, 35461:24, 35461:25, 35462:4, 35462:8, 35462:21, 35463:17, 35465:4, 35467:11, 35467:21, 35471:6, 35474:25, 35475:3, 35482:15, 35482:17, 35482:22, 35485:3, 35485:17, 35485:19, 35485:21, 35485:23, 35488:23, 35489:2, 35489:5, 35489:17, 35490:8, 35490:21, 35491:9, 35491:18, 35494:23, 35496:9, 35497:1, 35499:11, 35502:22, 35511:7, 35513:21, 35516:13, 35518:4, 35530:7, 35530:11, 35530:21</p> <p>Milgaard's [13] - 35287:20, 35295:24, 35371:3, 35373:14, 35415:4, 35440:22, 35441:8, 35471:18, 35476:9, 35479:8, 35483:1, 35495:14, 35526:22</p> <p>Milgaard/fisher [1] - 35398:20</p> <p>Milgaards [2] - 35325:5, 35490:19</p> <p>Miller [32] - 35271:11, 35272:8, 35272:19, 35273:18, 35280:14, 35289:14, 35305:8, 35309:6, 35309:20, 35373:12, 35373:20, 35374:1, 35377:5, 35377:23, 35396:20, 35406:5, 35418:18, 35419:1, 35419:19, 35421:6, 35424:21, 35442:6, 35447:19, 35497:19, 35499:22, 35537:18, 35537:24, 35538:18, 35541:23, 35542:1, 35542:6, 35542:7</p> <p>Miller's [11] - 35272:18, 35274:2, 35295:23, 35303:24, 35304:19, 35379:17, 35398:10, 35437:9, 35437:18, 35458:25, 35540:18</p>	<p>mind [11] - 35318:7, 35336:18, 35345:20, 35392:15, 35402:15, 35420:19, 35454:8, 35469:12, 35486:10, 35498:17, 35532:15</p> <p>mine [1] - 35342:22</p> <p>minister [3] - 35287:4, 35477:4, 35489:16</p> <p>Minister [3] - 35269:11, 35320:15, 35337:9</p> <p>Ministries [17] - 35291:18, 35311:6, 35311:16, 35414:12, 35433:25, 35445:16, 35445:19, 35483:2, 35483:21, 35484:5, 35485:25, 35486:6, 35495:20, 35496:4, 35531:14, 35533:13, 35533:18</p> <p>Ministries' [2] - 35483:12, 35526:12</p> <p>minute [1] - 35408:17</p> <p>minutes [5] - 35305:17, 35307:7, 35330:11, 35446:16</p> <p>miscarriage [1] - 35400:9</p> <p>misconduct [30] - 35280:22, 35281:5, 35296:5, 35296:10, 35296:11, 35296:16, 35296:17, 35297:5, 35297:10, 35297:16, 35297:22, 35299:3, 35300:1, 35300:18, 35301:6, 35341:8, 35384:24, 35387:22, 35388:7, 35389:6, 35389:9, 35389:20, 35423:8, 35453:1, 35479:16, 35486:12, 35527:7, 35528:5, 35531:8</p> <p>misconstrued [1] - 35441:17</p> <p>misinformation [2] - 35340:10, 35342:1</p> <p>misinformed [1] - 35340:9</p> <p>misinterpreted [2] - 35492:1, 35500:4</p> <p>misleading [1] - 35342:9</p> <p>missed [1] - 35274:24</p> <p>missing [13] - 35279:13, 35362:9, 35362:12, 35362:17, 35406:24, 35407:3,</p>	<p>35429:1, 35429:19, 35431:12, 35457:20, 35457:23, 35525:10, 35534:22</p> <p>mistake [3] - 35298:22, 35496:1, 35499:20</p> <p>mistaken [3] - 35274:9, 35346:4, 35397:20</p> <p>mistakes [1] - 35298:5</p> <p>mistrustful [1] - 35386:10</p> <p>Mitchell [1] - 35338:10</p> <p>mix [1] - 35301:1</p> <p>mixed [1] - 35503:12</p> <p>Mo [3] - 35448:20, 35450:5, 35450:19</p> <p>modified [1] - 35355:25</p> <p>moment [10] - 35297:4, 35342:1, 35388:11, 35412:6, 35452:24, 35463:12, 35464:9, 35467:12, 35515:4, 35520:6</p> <p>Montague [2] - 35343:6, 35343:9</p> <p>month [1] - 35541:19</p> <p>monthly [1] - 35532:8</p> <p>months [4] - 35358:16, 35421:17, 35427:6, 35459:5</p> <p>morning [13] - 35271:3, 35271:4, 35271:7, 35303:20, 35349:13, 35349:19, 35350:19, 35396:22, 35398:9, 35420:11, 35497:18, 35499:22, 35503:3</p> <p>most [11] - 35274:19, 35314:21, 35320:24, 35412:25, 35428:25, 35429:19, 35446:24, 35478:13, 35511:25, 35524:25, 35525:19</p> <p>Most [1] - 35386:3</p> <p>motel [7] - 35379:25, 35403:3, 35403:12, 35423:22, 35424:14, 35475:21, 35477:15</p> <p>motive [1] - 35454:21</p> <p>municipal [2] - 35273:9, 35536:10</p> <p>Murder [3] - 35418:18, 35419:19, 35535:24</p> <p>murder [45] - 35272:8, 35272:11, 35272:18, 35272:19, 35273:18, 35280:14, 35280:22, 35289:14, 35295:23, 35303:24, 35304:20, 35304:22, 35316:21,</p>	<p>35327:4, 35368:22, 35374:1, 35374:16, 35374:18, 35377:6, 35377:24, 35379:17, 35396:23, 35398:10, 35406:7, 35419:1, 35421:5, 35424:20, 35440:12, 35447:19, 35448:5, 35462:21, 35464:17, 35497:19, 35499:22, 35500:1, 35535:23, 35537:18, 35538:18, 35539:25, 35540:18, 35541:7, 35541:24, 35542:2, 35542:6, 35542:8</p> <p>murderer [2] - 35497:20, 35503:5</p> <p>Murphy [3] - 35376:17, 35376:18, 35451:2</p> <p>Murray [5] - 35270:3, 35271:5, 35328:4, 35329:19, 35534:19</p> <p>must [13] - 35288:8, 35318:13, 35335:8, 35377:11, 35387:5, 35399:24, 35402:18, 35402:19, 35410:10, 35410:24, 35433:3, 35479:15, 35518:6</p>	<p>nature [11] - 35324:12, 35350:23, 35371:22, 35372:20, 35374:11, 35383:1, 35402:16, 35415:12, 35416:17, 35439:6, 35533:7</p> <p>necessarily [4] - 35290:6, 35293:9, 35387:15, 35489:2</p> <p>necessary [6] - 35326:5, 35327:14, 35344:13, 35407:14, 35452:4, 35482:25</p> <p>nee [1] - 35461:23</p> <p>need [20] - 35308:13, 35308:15, 35336:3, 35336:23, 35343:4, 35343:7, 35345:21, 35348:3, 35367:3, 35374:14, 35376:16, 35382:19, 35413:25, 35414:1, 35454:6, 35479:19, 35479:21, 35485:14, 35519:19, 35541:12</p> <p>needed [12] - 35283:17, 35308:2, 35310:1, 35323:19, 35344:5, 35344:10, 35346:14, 35348:13, 35361:2, 35447:9, 35451:16, 35478:15</p> <p>needs [1] - 35343:25</p> <p>negligence [1] - 35372:19</p> <p>negligent [1] - 35299:11</p> <p>Neil [2] - 35320:15, 35473:21</p> <p>net [1] - 35474:7</p> <p>never [22] - 35316:19, 35316:25, 35378:21, 35403:19, 35414:5, 35414:23, 35428:12, 35431:17, 35432:10, 35482:18, 35486:22, 35490:11, 35495:11, 35496:25, 35498:4, 35501:23, 35502:2, 35518:18, 35526:16, 35535:4, 35544:1</p> <p>new [10] - 35335:6, 35337:25, 35360:3, 35369:11, 35424:5, 35442:22, 35461:17, 35537:21, 35538:3</p> <p>news [5] - 35337:18, 35337:20, 35338:9, 35339:5, 35340:5</p> <p>newspaper [2] -</p>
N				
			<p>name [18] - 35409:25, 35414:14, 35431:18, 35431:25, 35433:23, 35434:5, 35434:10, 35461:14, 35483:4, 35485:13, 35493:20, 35496:6, 35497:3, 35498:5, 35498:10, 35499:10, 35515:8</p> <p>named [2] - 35362:6, 35497:16</p> <p>namely [12] - 35300:22, 35301:21, 35403:16, 35431:1, 35453:6, 35454:13, 35463:16, 35469:23, 35486:8, 35513:15, 35517:22, 35529:15</p> <p>names [8] - 35324:16, 35337:19, 35339:5, 35439:10, 35444:1, 35447:3, 35483:4, 35483:14</p> <p>natural [3] - 35451:5, 35451:23, 35452:5</p> <p>naturally [1] - 35493:20</p>	



<p>35291:23, 35339:22 next [47] - 35277:16, 35279:24, 35280:5, 35281:9, 35287:13, 35309:18, 35311:3, 35314:10, 35336:7, 35343:3, 35350:14, 35351:6, 35362:23, 35368:18, 35374:13, 35379:18, 35395:4, 35396:12, 35403:2, 35417:20, 35418:5, 35427:22, 35432:3, 35442:4, 35446:4, 35448:2, 35450:21, 35464:10, 35464:20, 35466:1, 35474:10, 35475:17, 35479:11, 35481:9, 35482:7, 35483:2, 35485:11, 35485:25, 35495:6, 35495:22, 35495:23, 35498:12, 35508:5, 35524:18, 35531:16, 35533:6 Next [10] - 35294:24, 35335:2, 35371:24, 35376:16, 35406:24, 35429:2, 35454:18, 35456:10, 35473:19, 35479:5 Nichol [31] - 35310:6, 35319:9, 35375:9, 35376:3, 35379:22, 35384:6, 35386:15, 35387:11, 35394:7, 35461:20, 35462:18, 35463:15, 35464:12, 35464:16, 35465:1, 35466:5, 35466:6, 35466:22, 35468:2, 35468:11, 35468:18, 35469:19, 35469:24, 35470:1, 35513:17, 35519:18, 35519:20, 35530:10, 35530:22 Nicole [1] - 35309:19 night [2] - 35464:24, 35499:25 nine [1] - 35357:9 non [14] - 35391:1, 35405:22, 35440:6, 35441:7, 35441:11, 35441:16, 35441:21, 35441:23, 35448:25, 35489:8, 35490:3, 35491:11, 35492:14 non-admissibility [1] - 35391:1 non-fisher [1] -</p>	<p>35448:25 non-secretor [12] - 35405:22, 35440:6, 35441:7, 35441:11, 35441:16, 35441:21, 35441:23, 35489:8, 35490:3, 35491:11, 35492:14 norm [3] - 35323:1, 35387:7, 35387:8 normal [3] - 35355:13, 35502:1 normally [3] - 35329:21, 35353:6, 35354:22 note [7] - 35342:15, 35352:7, 35359:22, 35452:21, 35484:21, 35505:15, 35511:14 notebook [1] - 35335:6 noted [1] - 35364:5 notes [23] - 35291:24, 35335:20, 35335:22, 35356:19, 35359:12, 35359:13, 35369:10, 35384:15, 35413:10, 35414:21, 35414:24, 35415:3, 35427:11, 35435:5, 35435:8, 35435:9, 35446:8, 35450:23, 35482:17, 35482:19, 35508:1, 35545:6 Notes [1] - 35435:6 nothing [7] - 35364:3, 35383:2, 35385:21, 35391:12, 35501:21, 35524:1, 35533:7 notified [3] - 35350:9, 35350:19, 35350:20 notify [1] - 35410:13 notwithstanding [5] - 35287:1, 35369:24, 35422:5, 35458:10, 35526:6 November [5] - 35274:8, 35274:9, 35330:19, 35338:10, 35412:23 number [40] - 35273:2, 35282:9, 35283:4, 35285:21, 35293:11, 35302:1, 35306:4, 35306:9, 35309:25, 35318:5, 35318:20, 35336:16, 35344:9, 35360:21, 35362:1, 35362:24, 35364:13, 35386:8, 35386:11, 35386:19, 35403:15,</p>	<p>35411:9, 35416:12, 35417:10, 35418:10, 35425:9, 35426:14, 35436:4, 35439:21, 35440:3, 35441:24, 35448:16, 35452:3, 35474:23, 35483:20, 35493:21, 35506:18, 35507:5, 35540:5, 35540:21 Number [1] - 35475:19 numbered [2] - 35277:6, 35356:16 numbers [5] - 35324:16, 35447:12, 35447:13, 35494:9, 35508:3 numerous [3] - 35431:21, 35445:18, 35484:4</p>	<p>35437:17, 35440:18, 35442:6, 35445:8, 35481:1, 35486:6, 35493:20, 35512:6, 35514:17 obtaining [2] - 35327:14, 35456:11 obvious [2] - 35512:3, 35525:19 Obviously [4] - 35309:21, 35310:18, 35499:20, 35500:3 obviously [4] - 35397:5, 35398:13, 35411:15, 35525:8 occasion [1] - 35348:11 occasions [3] - 35306:9, 35403:15, 35431:21 occupation [1] - 35539:18 occur [1] - 35393:18 occurred [6] - 35271:25, 35348:18, 35379:15, 35404:7, 35408:23 occurrence [1] - 35292:5 occurring [2] - 35283:23, 35448:17 October [4] - 35411:16, 35412:15, 35412:19, 35412:21 offence [4] - 35271:25, 35371:19, 35428:8, 35448:12 offences [8] - 35370:11, 35448:9, 35448:24, 35449:1, 35449:4, 35449:6, 35449:17, 35538:16 offender [1] - 35450:5 offer [7] - 35310:3, 35353:20, 35367:17, 35370:19, 35512:16, 35521:1, 35522:1 offering [1] - 35477:21 office [12] - 35276:14, 35286:2, 35286:20, 35289:17, 35295:12, 35329:7, 35402:13, 35473:22, 35494:8, 35498:11, 35507:8, 35534:23 Officer [1] - 35268:11 officer [12] - 35276:11, 35325:2, 35325:10, 35387:6, 35390:3, 35390:5, 35392:14, 35413:14, 35500:24,</p>	<p>35501:3, 35522:14 officers [17] - 35309:17, 35324:3, 35325:9, 35334:25, 35336:20, 35347:2, 35363:18, 35365:24, 35382:13, 35390:24, 35391:4, 35391:17, 35391:19, 35462:7, 35506:8, 35509:3, 35541:5 Official [5] - 35268:9, 35545:1, 35545:3, 35545:14, 35545:18 officials [1] - 35307:3 often [6] - 35342:5, 35353:17, 35386:7, 35387:8, 35450:16, 35521:23 Oic [3] - 35332:21, 35332:22, 35337:6 Once [2] - 35381:23, 35520:10 once [13] - 35274:21, 35306:6, 35344:17, 35359:19, 35414:9, 35450:19, 35459:25, 35500:17, 35502:10, 35503:8, 35513:19, 35521:3, 35541:15 One [1] - 35430:25 one [103] - 35277:2, 35277:25, 35280:16, 35291:1, 35293:7, 35294:5, 35302:7, 35306:7, 35306:18, 35310:21, 35314:20, 35315:21, 35324:20, 35336:17, 35341:1, 35345:6, 35345:10, 35345:18, 35349:3, 35353:20, 35354:1, 35354:9, 35359:6, 35364:4, 35364:10, 35366:17, 35370:8, 35370:23, 35373:19, 35375:2, 35378:1, 35378:15, 35378:20, 35378:24, 35387:20, 35393:3, 35393:5, 35393:6, 35393:13, 35397:19, 35403:13, 35403:18, 35405:8, 35405:11, 35406:11, 35409:17, 35409:25, 35411:5, 35412:15, 35414:14, 35417:10, 35420:25, 35423:5, 35431:3, 35435:15, 35437:15, 35438:9, 35438:11, 35438:17,</p>
O				
<p>objection [1] - 35416:14 objective [8] - 35299:1, 35335:7, 35335:23, 35353:4, 35358:10, 35450:10, 35450:14, 35521:25 obligation [1] - 35536:19 observe [2] - 35395:15, 35410:24 observed [2] - 35303:20, 35481:5 obstructed [2] - 35289:21, 35425:14 obstructing [1] - 35451:15 obstruction [16] - 35276:12, 35288:25, 35289:15, 35289:16, 35290:4, 35290:8, 35290:11, 35291:2, 35351:23, 35371:19, 35372:11, 35374:8, 35379:15, 35403:23, 35423:11, 35425:5 obtain [6] - 35391:18, 35397:14, 35507:2, 35507:25, 35508:11, 35524:22 Obtain [4] - 35311:4, 35448:6, 35448:8, 35450:24 obtained [14] - 35305:6, 35312:7, 35312:19, 35389:13, 35391:7,</p>				



<p>35438:18, 35444:10, 35452:22, 35452:24, 35453:9, 35456:12, 35456:15, 35459:17, 35464:23, 35468:10, 35474:1, 35479:1, 35483:24, 35486:11, 35487:22, 35491:12, 35491:15, 35504:14, 35507:13, 35507:14, 35508:23, 35509:13, 35511:8, 35511:14, 35512:18, 35512:23, 35512:24, 35515:9, 35515:16, 35518:21, 35519:24, 35526:20, 35530:12, 35530:14, 35533:24, 35537:3, 35540:14, 35540:21, 35541:21, 35542:4, 35543:6</p> <p>one's [1] - 35411:13</p> <p>ones [2] - 35308:25, 35434:18</p> <p>onus [1] - 35457:11</p> <p>open [6] - 35274:2, 35291:7, 35292:13, 35339:2, 35343:7, 35411:22</p> <p>openly [1] - 35335:19</p> <p>operate [9] - 35322:2, 35323:19, 35324:4, 35325:11, 35327:25, 35328:25, 35414:17, 35478:24, 35543:16</p> <p>operation [10] - 35323:8, 35323:12, 35323:14, 35323:18, 35324:12, 35324:14, 35325:1, 35328:8, 35328:13, 35485:22</p> <p>operational [1] - 35307:4</p> <p>operations [1] - 35501:4</p> <p>Operations [1] - 35332:21</p> <p>operative [2] - 35323:17, 35324:22</p> <p>operator [1] - 35379:3</p> <p>opinion [7] - 35361:1, 35440:17, 35442:5, 35452:16, 35459:8, 35489:15, 35492:22</p> <p>opinions [1] - 35472:5</p> <p>opportunity [11] - 35302:3, 35333:1, 35353:10, 35353:21, 35357:15, 35358:7, 35359:4, 35380:24,</p>	<p>35399:12, 35424:4, 35492:22</p> <p>opposed [12] - 35273:4, 35286:13, 35303:1, 35319:19, 35361:16, 35361:22, 35365:11, 35379:16, 35392:1, 35442:16, 35457:8, 35461:9</p> <p>oral [3] - 35412:4, 35412:9, 35412:10</p> <p>order [6] - 35302:16, 35337:25, 35440:16, 35457:23, 35494:6, 35526:18</p> <p>ordered [1] - 35429:17</p> <p>orders [3] - 35326:12, 35332:11, 35428:23</p> <p>ordinary [2] - 35409:5, 35410:9</p> <p>organization [1] - 35311:18</p> <p>organizations [1] - 35322:23</p> <p>organize [1] - 35345:16</p> <p>organized [1] - 35349:9</p> <p>organizing [1] - 35426:9</p> <p>origin/author [1] - 35310:20</p> <p>original [13] - 35287:3, 35292:5, 35363:13, 35399:14, 35400:18, 35401:8, 35419:3, 35489:1, 35489:15, 35492:10, 35529:4, 35529:8, 35536:2</p> <p>originally [1] - 35329:8</p> <p>other' [1] - 35332:16</p> <p>otherwise [1] - 35458:5</p> <p>ought [3] - 35286:17, 35542:2</p> <p>outlined [2] - 35310:12, 35531:23</p> <p>outlines [1] - 35426:14</p> <p>outlining [1] - 35307:15</p> <p>outset [1] - 35541:24</p> <p>outside [3] - 35283:11, 35284:1, 35334:24</p> <p>outstanding [1] - 35533:17</p> <p>over-the-telephone [1] - 35509:19</p> <p>overall [3] - 35395:25, 35428:24, 35429:18</p> <p>overly [1] - 35341:13</p> <p>overtaken [1] - 35409:21</p> <p>overview [1] - 35343:18</p> <p>own [13] - 35278:5,</p>	<p>35294:20, 35318:8, 35385:11, 35388:20, 35402:15, 35494:12, 35514:6, 35516:24, 35535:18, 35539:4, 35540:1, 35542:25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <p>package [1] - 35445:21</p> <p>page [69] - 35274:14, 35277:16, 35279:24, 35281:9, 35287:13, 35294:24, 35309:18, 35311:3, 35314:10, 35326:15, 35335:2, 35336:7, 35351:6, 35351:14, 35351:20, 35356:11, 35362:23, 35368:18, 35371:24, 35374:13, 35376:16, 35379:18, 35395:4, 35396:12, 35403:2, 35406:24, 35418:5, 35418:17, 35419:23, 35425:7, 35425:22, 35429:2, 35432:3, 35439:20, 35442:4, 35442:20, 35444:22, 35446:4, 35448:2, 35450:21, 35454:18, 35456:10, 35464:10, 35464:20, 35466:1, 35470:9, 35474:10, 35474:12, 35474:22, 35475:17, 35479:5, 35479:11, 35481:9, 35482:7, 35483:2, 35485:6, 35485:11, 35495:22, 35495:23, 35495:24, 35498:12, 35501:1, 35508:5, 35524:19, 35531:16, 35532:18, 35532:20, 35541:18, 35541:20</p> <p>Page [1] - 35270:2</p> <p>pages [1] - 35545:4</p> <p>paid [2] - 35403:21, 35472:12</p> <p>panel [1] - 35409:19</p> <p>panties [2] - 35436:19, 35436:22</p> <p>panties/compared [1] - 35459:1</p> <p>paper [2] - 35281:15, 35426:9</p> <p>paragraph [19] - 35279:6, 35287:25, 35288:20, 35291:4,</p>	<p>35310:9, 35344:24, 35360:4, 35363:17, 35364:1, 35368:25, 35374:13, 35394:16, 35406:25, 35499:1, 35509:24, 35509:25, 35510:5, 35510:21, 35511:6</p> <p>Paragraph [4] - 35296:2, 35370:21, 35375:5, 35404:23</p> <p>parallels [1] - 35360:10</p> <p>paraphrased [1] - 35514:9</p> <p>paraphrasing [2] - 35423:8, 35513:22</p> <p>pardon [1] - 35481:9</p> <p>parents [2] - 35451:2, 35464:14</p> <p>Parole [3] - 35370:23, 35371:6, 35495:5</p> <p>part [42] - 35277:2, 35278:9, 35282:3, 35282:5, 35287:6, 35287:21, 35292:17, 35299:13, 35300:17, 35301:7, 35301:14, 35305:6, 35305:18, 35311:1, 35320:4, 35327:4, 35342:21, 35345:8, 35349:8, 35349:10, 35361:1, 35371:1, 35372:13, 35375:17, 35388:24, 35397:15, 35407:21, 35423:20, 35424:7, 35428:24, 35429:15, 35429:18, 35474:16, 35485:2, 35486:5, 35488:11, 35528:21, 35529:18, 35530:25, 35531:8, 35533:5, 35536:18</p> <p>partial [1] - 35279:15</p> <p>participate [3] - 35508:21, 35509:1, 35532:3</p> <p>participated [2] - 35400:8, 35429:16</p> <p>participation [1] - 35494:13</p> <p>particular [12] - 35280:8, 35282:8, 35344:22, 35347:17, 35350:2, 35426:6, 35443:13, 35463:3, 35489:4, 35492:9, 35543:6</p> <p>Particularly [1] - 35394:2</p>	<p>particularly [4] - 35385:20, 35385:24, 35386:12, 35522:13</p> <p>particulars [1] - 35474:18</p> <p>parties [4] - 35349:18, 35350:18, 35410:5, 35425:13</p> <p>parts [5] - 35274:13, 35305:21, 35359:11, 35526:8, 35530:13</p> <p>pass [2] - 35350:21, 35535:5</p> <p>passed [5] - 35272:3, 35292:14, 35302:1, 35333:8, 35432:11</p> <p>passing [1] - 35378:1</p> <p>past [2] - 35382:25, 35432:25</p> <p>path [1] - 35459:24</p> <p>pattern [2] - 35448:20, 35467:8</p> <p>Paul [10] - 35366:16, 35379:19, 35380:7, 35380:11, 35480:13, 35481:1, 35486:18, 35505:11, 35508:12, 35521:10</p> <p>Paul's [1] - 35480:14</p> <p>pause [1] - 35388:11</p> <p>pay [1] - 35373:10</p> <p>Paynter [1] - 35441:14</p> <p>Pds [2] - 35334:8, 35334:11</p> <p>Pearson [3] - 35304:17, 35305:3, 35472:18</p> <p>peculiar [1] - 35448:15</p> <p>pending [1] - 35345:9</p> <p>Penkala [1] - 35440:13</p> <p>pentothal [1] - 35378:9</p> <p>people [57] - 35276:6, 35276:25, 35298:9, 35299:19, 35300:8, 35300:21, 35301:5, 35301:21, 35302:3, 35303:3, 35303:20, 35308:9, 35312:1, 35314:11, 35316:4, 35330:25, 35332:7, 35332:11, 35335:4, 35336:4, 35336:11, 35337:21, 35339:7, 35339:14, 35339:25, 35340:5, 35340:23, 35345:21, 35348:11, 35350:4, 35364:15, 35368:11, 35373:11, 35382:8, 35383:9, 35383:10, 35390:6, 35390:7, 35395:9,</p>
---	---	---	---	---



<p>35395:16, 35401:6, 35406:21, 35418:14, 35433:15, 35439:11, 35439:14, 35473:24, 35477:15, 35478:10, 35480:20, 35486:3, 35512:12, 35512:13, 35521:6, 35521:16, 35531:22, 35537:11 people's [4] - 35301:17, 35302:17, 35302:22, 35487:10 perceived [1] - 35323:17 percentages [1] - 35281:24 perception [1] - 35404:13 perfected [1] - 35459:16 perform [1] - 35344:14 performing [2] - 35348:6, 35454:7 Perhaps [4] - 35313:17, 35391:10, 35447:1, 35514:5 perhaps [36] - 35296:20, 35313:16, 35317:16, 35353:19, 35358:5, 35360:25, 35363:13, 35367:15, 35378:22, 35389:9, 35394:22, 35411:22, 35415:14, 35416:4, 35417:25, 35420:21, 35421:16, 35450:15, 35468:18, 35469:8, 35480:6, 35493:13, 35493:25, 35501:6, 35510:3, 35512:15, 35513:10, 35516:18, 35516:23, 35517:11, 35517:16, 35518:15, 35519:3, 35520:22, 35523:19, 35531:10 period [2] - 35516:20, 35522:13 perjured [1] - 35478:4 permanent [1] - 35520:18 perpetrator [3] - 35537:25, 35538:13, 35540:20 person [33] - 35321:2, 35321:10, 35326:21, 35328:1, 35328:5, 35347:25, 35359:7, 35382:14, 35383:12, 35397:18, 35397:21, 35425:19, 35430:21,</p>	<p>35433:17, 35443:14, 35450:2, 35457:24, 35458:1, 35458:11, 35493:8, 35493:19, 35494:10, 35494:17, 35508:21, 35509:13, 35509:18, 35511:20, 35513:20, 35515:18, 35515:21, 35520:20, 35522:20, 35525:7 person's [2] - 35324:24, 35432:25 personal [3] - 35385:12, 35411:16, 35508:14 personally [4] - 35504:14, 35508:15, 35535:2, 35543:10 persons [6] - 35296:21, 35386:12, 35418:9, 35466:21, 35475:20, 35478:3 perspective [7] - 35290:10, 35307:19, 35314:19, 35316:14, 35316:17, 35453:15, 35492:24 persuade [1] - 35504:15 persuasive [1] - 35496:10 pertain [1] - 35294:21 pertaining [2] - 35482:17, 35505:13 Peter [1] - 35376:6 phase [1] - 35417:21 phone [11] - 35324:16, 35397:12, 35487:15, 35494:4, 35494:7, 35494:9, 35498:11, 35504:2, 35506:17, 35506:18, 35506:19 phoned [2] - 35486:20, 35506:9 photograph [1] - 35542:8 photographic [1] - 35428:9 physical [1] - 35517:13 physically [4] - 35286:14, 35287:9, 35306:1, 35436:11 pick [1] - 35344:5 picking [1] - 35375:2 picture [2] - 35299:13, 35301:7 piece [4] - 35319:22, 35349:4, 35389:22, 35427:9 pieces [2] - 35406:12,</p>	<p>35485:1 pillow [1] - 35403:17 Place [1] - 35267:16 place [8] - 35295:16, 35297:20, 35356:25, 35462:5, 35467:4, 35529:1, 35529:6, 35534:5 placed [3] - 35310:18, 35310:23, 35457:11 plan [5] - 35294:16, 35295:15, 35411:18, 35412:18, 35425:21 planning [1] - 35411:13 plans [1] - 35412:15 plant [2] - 35388:19, 35513:9 planted [1] - 35486:10 play [5] - 35283:14, 35306:18, 35332:5, 35438:9, 35531:7 played [4] - 35420:12, 35424:23, 35471:23, 35489:23 playing [1] - 35306:18 Plus [2] - 35446:1, 35493:23 plus [1] - 35306:8 Pm [4] - 35408:14, 35475:10, 35475:11, 35544:4 point [51] - 35275:12, 35275:15, 35281:2, 35282:8, 35282:10, 35283:16, 35306:21, 35315:7, 35328:1, 35336:16, 35338:17, 35370:8, 35377:9, 35378:5, 35378:21, 35380:10, 35385:16, 35385:18, 35387:4, 35398:14, 35401:12, 35409:2, 35409:3, 35410:1, 35411:5, 35411:14, 35413:24, 35420:9, 35420:24, 35421:11, 35422:14, 35425:22, 35426:22, 35428:11, 35436:14, 35451:7, 35452:8, 35452:15, 35458:5, 35459:19, 35478:19, 35521:2, 35523:12, 35530:14, 35531:1, 35532:25, 35534:3, 35538:15, 35539:21, 35540:14 pointed [1] - 35451:13 pointing [1] - 35500:19 Points [1] - 35351:3</p>	<p>points [4] - 35292:24, 35307:6, 35426:24, 35455:7 Poitrans [9] - 35497:17, 35498:17, 35498:22, 35500:4, 35500:10, 35503:9, 35503:10, 35503:19 police [138] - 35271:23, 35273:9, 35273:20, 35275:24, 35276:10, 35278:19, 35279:19, 35279:25, 35280:11, 35280:13, 35280:17, 35280:20, 35280:21, 35281:14, 35282:3, 35284:7, 35284:17, 35284:24, 35287:16, 35289:16, 35289:21, 35297:3, 35297:5, 35297:10, 35297:23, 35299:20, 35303:22, 35304:8, 35306:23, 35310:7, 35312:22, 35313:2, 35313:10, 35313:18, 35314:4, 35319:19, 35320:5, 35320:9, 35321:9, 35323:25, 35324:3, 35324:21, 35325:17, 35327:17, 35330:21, 35333:8, 35334:6, 35334:11, 35353:14, 35354:17, 35362:13, 35362:17, 35375:18, 35376:19, 35376:20, 35377:10, 35377:21, 35377:23, 35378:2, 35382:6, 35382:13, 35384:23, 35385:6, 35385:10, 35385:16, 35386:19, 35386:22, 35387:4, 35387:6, 35387:16, 35387:18, 35387:22, 35388:18, 35389:1, 35389:6, 35389:9, 35389:16, 35389:20, 35390:2, 35390:23, 35392:13, 35392:25, 35406:24, 35407:21, 35416:15, 35421:3, 35421:18, 35421:25, 35423:8, 35429:17, 35431:11, 35447:18, 35451:4, 35451:18, 35452:18, 35452:23, 35455:9, 35455:13, 35457:7, 35457:8, 35457:24, 35462:7, 35462:18, 35462:20, 35463:15,</p>	<p>35463:18, 35463:19, 35466:7, 35466:16, 35469:21, 35470:1, 35478:2, 35485:11, 35486:9, 35486:12, 35496:23, 35504:19, 35515:12, 35515:14, 35521:15, 35522:14, 35524:12, 35524:20, 35524:23, 35526:25, 35527:6, 35528:4, 35529:24, 35530:2, 35531:5, 35531:8, 35536:3, 35538:15, 35539:17, 35541:5, 35541:25, 35542:14 Police [58] - 35269:7, 35272:4, 35272:9, 35273:2, 35273:5, 35273:8, 35276:9, 35277:22, 35279:7, 35279:16, 35288:22, 35295:11, 35320:22, 35321:9, 35322:14, 35324:2, 35324:13, 35334:1, 35363:9, 35364:3, 35375:10, 35375:12, 35376:4, 35377:2, 35377:4, 35377:7, 35407:2, 35407:4, 35407:6, 35407:7, 35407:12, 35407:25, 35413:14, 35419:24, 35432:6, 35452:11, 35452:23, 35453:1, 35453:8, 35454:24, 35457:12, 35458:6, 35458:8, 35482:7, 35482:11, 35496:7, 35525:5, 35537:22, 35538:5, 35538:10, 35539:5, 35539:24, 35540:3, 35540:17, 35541:3, 35541:6, 35541:23, 35542:5 policemen [1] - 35543:5 policy [3] - 35294:22, 35327:10, 35535:22 political [1] - 35334:14 politicians [1] - 35334:7 Polygraph [1] - 35477:24 polygraph [15] - 35378:14, 35379:1, 35379:3, 35475:18, 35475:22, 35476:4, 35477:18, 35477:22, 35478:2, 35478:6,</p>
--	--	--	---	---



<p>35478:9, 35478:11, 35478:14, 35479:3, 35537:12 Ponder [1] - 35351:3 poor [1] - 35363:1 portion [3] - 35436:18, 35533:8, 35534:20 pose [1] - 35339:18 posed [2] - 35452:5, 35533:12 position [12] - 35273:24, 35288:21, 35291:21, 35292:24, 35350:5, 35350:8, 35406:2, 35433:3, 35433:18, 35437:6, 35512:4 possess [1] - 35525:19 possession [5] - 35366:4, 35482:16, 35507:24, 35526:1, 35526:7 possibility [4] - 35336:15, 35385:9, 35437:8, 35456:11 possible [17] - 35275:1, 35276:12, 35279:5, 35288:9, 35289:9, 35290:25, 35292:3, 35292:22, 35307:18, 35311:4, 35311:12, 35315:12, 35315:16, 35323:3, 35340:10, 35478:19, 35514:5 Possibly [2] - 35323:2, 35469:14 possibly [10] - 35276:25, 35298:17, 35306:6, 35316:14, 35317:17, 35339:3, 35370:9, 35371:2, 35411:17, 35455:1 post [3] - 35313:21, 35389:10, 35507:8 post-initial [1] - 35389:10 potential [1] - 35342:6 powers [1] - 35496:10 practice [5] - 35355:13, 35422:10, 35505:18, 35506:7 preclude [2] - 35285:14, 35438:13 precluded [1] - 35479:3 prediction [1] - 35283:2 preference [1] - 35411:19 preferred [1] - 35508:15 prejudice [1] - 35496:16</p>	<p>preliminary [6] - 35372:1, 35377:1, 35470:11, 35470:14, 35470:18, 35470:22 Premier [1] - 35327:20 Premier [1] - 35341:7 preparation [1] - 35358:25 prepare [7] - 35347:7, 35417:1, 35417:2, 35443:17, 35453:23, 35505:20, 35539:14 prepared [32] - 35274:17, 35275:6, 35291:10, 35291:12, 35307:8, 35310:13, 35329:16, 35351:4, 35353:12, 35355:19, 35359:13, 35359:15, 35359:17, 35370:19, 35412:9, 35416:20, 35416:21, 35420:23, 35434:24, 35445:1, 35472:18, 35487:10, 35487:13, 35505:12, 35508:21, 35509:4, 35520:20, 35527:15, 35527:18, 35532:9, 35540:1 preparing [2] - 35274:24, 35510:12 preponderance [1] - 35424:18 presence [1] - 35334:9 present [9] - 35315:4, 35325:9, 35332:4, 35400:24, 35424:5, 35446:25, 35459:13, 35477:23, 35523:8 presented [3] - 35396:4, 35422:8, 35423:19 president [1] - 35321:22 Press [1] - 35362:7 press [1] - 35287:5 pressing [2] - 35322:10, 35485:13 pressure [5] - 35383:25, 35387:19, 35463:15, 35519:10 pressured [1] - 35452:23 presumably [2] - 35458:9, 35475:21 pretences [1] - 35374:10 pretty [4] - 35284:23, 35382:12, 35393:13, 35421:2</p>	<p>prevailing [1] - 35422:10 previous [6] - 35308:7, 35326:7, 35367:1, 35447:5, 35457:15, 35517:2 previously [6] - 35279:4, 35333:18, 35359:25, 35368:19, 35376:14, 35432:22 primarily [1] - 35411:5 primary [2] - 35401:18, 35401:19 prime [1] - 35376:2 principal [1] - 35503:24 principally [2] - 35276:15, 35480:14 principle [1] - 35303:2 printed [1] - 35342:8 privilege [4] - 35445:2, 35445:6, 35460:12, 35460:17 probative [2] - 35421:3, 35422:1 probe [3] - 35296:24, 35313:1, 35372:7 problem [4] - 35402:8, 35490:21, 35508:25, 35522:18 problems [5] - 35278:11, 35469:7, 35469:13, 35495:1 procedure [4] - 35307:2, 35459:6, 35459:16, 35535:22 procedures [3] - 35306:21, 35506:12, 35534:4 proceed [2] - 35333:20, 35382:7 proceeding [1] - 35517:3 proceedings [2] - 35287:20, 35326:7 Proceedings [5] - 35267:12, 35267:23, 35270:1, 35271:1, 35419:25 process [5] - 35278:8, 35353:4, 35397:17, 35473:2, 35505:5 processes [1] - 35404:25 product [1] - 35390:18 production [1] - 35410:1 profession [2] - 35297:24, 35298:3 professional [4] - 35296:22, 35297:1,</p>	<p>35334:16, 35335:9 profile [1] - 35469:9 profiling [1] - 35449:24 progress [2] - 35343:22, 35470:8 progressed [4] - 35306:13, 35358:6, 35417:9, 35470:13 progresses [1] - 35327:9 progressing [1] - 35496:22 project [1] - 35358:17 promised [1] - 35427:6 prompt [1] - 35384:4 prompted [4] - 35288:11, 35454:15, 35525:16, 35525:17 prompts [1] - 35538:22 proper [6] - 35297:21, 35334:5, 35372:6, 35387:19, 35423:9, 35441:17 properly [4] - 35297:11, 35302:24, 35422:17, 35539:15 property [1] - 35536:2 propose [9] - 35359:9, 35410:8, 35416:23, 35418:8, 35443:1, 35447:11, 35447:20, 35470:18, 35473:19 prosecuted [1] - 35428:13 prosecution [3] - 35327:10, 35455:10, 35455:13 Prosecutor [3] - 35288:23, 35306:17, 35428:15 prosecutor [3] - 35275:24, 35314:4, 35371:20 Prosecutor's [1] - 35398:21 prosecutor's [1] - 35285:20 prosecutors [1] - 35403:22 protect [2] - 35413:21, 35415:16 Protocol [3] - 35334:4, 35343:15, 35349:8 protocol [6] - 35319:18, 35322:5, 35322:12, 35322:13, 35323:4, 35334:5 prove [4] - 35425:3, 35490:24, 35527:5, 35527:6</p>	<p>proves [2] - 35489:25, 35492:12 provide [49] - 35289:10, 35291:14, 35293:18, 35296:24, 35306:11, 35310:4, 35320:18, 35321:18, 35325:18, 35329:16, 35340:16, 35341:17, 35341:20, 35341:22, 35341:23, 35342:14, 35348:16, 35348:20, 35352:24, 35357:15, 35360:8, 35365:3, 35365:6, 35365:13, 35372:5, 35380:21, 35417:8, 35417:12, 35419:4, 35419:21, 35420:4, 35444:16, 35455:10, 35460:11, 35460:14, 35471:11, 35472:13, 35487:13, 35487:20, 35494:1, 35502:20, 35504:10, 35504:21, 35511:4, 35515:21, 35517:14, 35533:16, 35542:23 provided [56] - 35271:17, 35284:19, 35291:16, 35291:19, 35291:21, 35293:6, 35293:23, 35294:1, 35294:2, 35307:25, 35324:15, 35324:16, 35324:20, 35328:16, 35341:25, 35344:7, 35357:18, 35359:2, 35360:22, 35364:8, 35364:16, 35364:18, 35366:8, 35376:13, 35380:23, 35381:12, 35381:24, 35386:25, 35390:4, 35391:6, 35391:16, 35392:1, 35415:24, 35426:24, 35436:17, 35436:19, 35436:25, 35439:1, 35445:8, 35462:18, 35484:23, 35487:18, 35488:9, 35492:21, 35496:25, 35502:18, 35503:2, 35504:20, 35504:24, 35511:1, 35511:7, 35525:25, 35527:22, 35530:11 provides [2] - 35376:6, 35513:3 providing [7] - 35325:11, 35325:16, 35328:1, 35329:1,</p>
---	---	--	--	--



35330:7, 35442:24, 35451:15 province [1] - 35341:8 Province [4] - 35296:7, 35320:16, 35540:4, 35545:3 provincial [1] - 35536:11 proving [1] - 35489:18 Ps [1] - 35351:7 pseudonym [1] - 35397:5 Psychiatric [4] - 35414:21, 35460:16, 35482:14, 35482:16 psychiatric [5] - 35415:5, 35482:24, 35485:17, 35494:20, 35495:1 Public [1] - 35335:9 public [7] - 35326:19, 35336:21, 35337:16, 35338:18, 35338:22, 35340:9, 35342:8 publicly [3] - 35337:23, 35352:5, 35354:19 purged [1] - 35536:5 purging [1] - 35502:1 purpose [16] - 35314:7, 35314:14, 35321:5, 35334:10, 35357:13, 35357:14, 35357:20, 35375:11, 35416:25, 35418:10, 35420:4, 35430:5, 35454:4, 35461:10, 35471:10, 35510:24 purposes [4] - 35283:7, 35283:20, 35407:13, 35426:2 pursuant [1] - 35536:12 pursue [8] - 35285:6, 35287:8, 35346:9, 35373:24, 35375:15, 35433:8, 35435:2, 35437:8 pursued [11] - 35282:16, 35287:6, 35291:1, 35318:15, 35319:6, 35346:15, 35374:6, 35375:16, 35405:9, 35405:11, 35424:15 pursuing [1] - 35343:22 pursuit [1] - 35282:2 pushed [1] - 35392:5 put [49] - 35275:9, 35278:16, 35281:11, 35281:14, 35288:19, 35294:9, 35295:15,	35300:4, 35303:11, 35307:18, 35314:19, 35316:13, 35316:16, 35316:18, 35317:16, 35317:22, 35321:20, 35344:25, 35349:25, 35350:17, 35370:24, 35374:3, 35382:8, 35383:25, 35385:3, 35385:15, 35388:8, 35388:9, 35393:14, 35403:13, 35403:25, 35404:22, 35406:3, 35419:18, 35437:5, 35443:25, 35459:20, 35474:18, 35476:8, 35477:3, 35478:12, 35479:20, 35491:16, 35492:23, 35513:16, 35515:11, 35521:3, 35524:6, 35534:23 putting [7] - 35297:20, 35322:13, 35386:14, 35418:25, 35419:10, 35475:1, 35488:3	35468:10, 35486:21, 35486:24, 35487:11, 35488:3, 35505:13, 35505:17, 35506:10, 35508:6, 35508:9, 35508:17, 35508:20, 35509:16, 35525:24, 35531:14, 35531:25, 35532:4, 35533:12, 35542:23 quick [2] - 35418:15, 35444:9 quickly [6] - 35338:24, 35356:12, 35356:22, 35373:6, 35435:12, 35456:4 quiet [1] - 35469:12 Quinn [3] - 35324:8, 35330:6, 35343:6 Quinney [3] - 35326:16, 35329:14, 35330:1 quite [13] - 35282:7, 35341:16, 35342:5, 35342:9, 35353:16, 35353:17, 35386:7, 35397:17, 35412:8, 35506:22, 35521:23, 35530:3, 35540:11 quoted [1] - 35499:18	Rarely [1] - 35386:3 rarely [1] - 35386:11 Rasmussen [6] - 35395:6, 35535:13, 35535:17, 35540:24, 35540:25, 35542:12 Rasmussens [1] - 35396:6 rather [6] - 35353:1, 35355:2, 35359:6, 35385:11, 35421:1, 35441:23 Rcmp [41] - 35269:10, 35273:3, 35273:10, 35325:25, 35329:11, 35334:25, 35338:1, 35417:4, 35418:13, 35436:11, 35436:23, 35439:9, 35439:11, 35439:21, 35468:19, 35473:24, 35494:3, 35494:12, 35501:15, 35511:19, 35511:22, 35512:4, 35515:25, 35535:11, 35535:17, 35536:3, 35536:9, 35537:19, 35538:2, 35538:11, 35538:20, 35539:1, 35539:23, 35539:25, 35540:8, 35540:23, 35541:11, 35541:20, 35542:12, 35543:6 re [11] - 35274:2, 35278:17, 35309:22, 35310:1, 35310:3, 35394:13, 35395:21, 35436:14, 35451:6, 35452:4, 35478:21 re-examined [1] - 35436:14 re-interview [3] - 35278:17, 35395:21, 35451:6 re-interviewed [4] - 35309:22, 35310:1, 35394:13, 35478:21 re-interviewing [1] - 35310:3 re-interviews [1] - 35452:4 re-open [1] - 35274:2 reach [1] - 35299:6 reached [3] - 35318:9, 35397:6, 35542:11 reacted [1] - 35499:25 reaction [4] - 35371:7, 35399:8, 35401:11, 35489:6 read [5] - 35320:11,	35373:5, 35407:17, 35421:12, 35435:11 reader [4] - 35418:15, 35419:20, 35419:21, 35420:4 reading [7] - 35315:22, 35319:21, 35351:15, 35463:7, 35483:17, 35484:16, 35542:10 reads [1] - 35511:18 real [2] - 35304:20, 35465:25 realizing [1] - 35541:10 really [10] - 35305:5, 35384:22, 35422:23, 35452:19, 35469:8, 35478:11, 35491:19, 35502:18, 35520:17, 35521:1 reason [24] - 35300:4, 35322:10, 35322:11, 35323:11, 35323:13, 35354:1, 35354:9, 35355:12, 35358:24, 35366:9, 35374:3, 35382:21, 35387:13, 35388:12, 35391:17, 35407:10, 35415:7, 35454:19, 35461:7, 35476:3, 35499:13, 35500:9, 35500:11, 35509:3 reasonable [2] - 35385:15, 35424:18 reasons [17] - 35309:25, 35315:15, 35336:17, 35378:20, 35385:11, 35385:12, 35386:8, 35386:11, 35388:20, 35398:4, 35441:25, 35479:1, 35508:23, 35512:3, 35520:14, 35521:8, 35522:8 recalled [2] - 35466:23, 35468:12 recant [2] - 35513:23, 35516:25 recantation [53] - 35278:9, 35339:21, 35383:11, 35383:13, 35383:14, 35486:8, 35504:11, 35514:4, 35514:25, 35517:1, 35517:4, 35517:6, 35517:8, 35517:9, 35517:13, 35517:15, 35518:23, 35518:25, 35519:7, 35519:23, 35520:1, 35520:4,
	Q			
	Qb [1] - 35268:9 Qc [4] - 35269:2, 35269:6, 35269:8, 35269:11 qualification [1] - 35510:4 quantity [1] - 35473:3 Queen's [4] - 35545:1, 35545:3, 35545:14, 35545:18 questioned [8] - 35278:7, 35289:2, 35340:2, 35377:4, 35377:23, 35453:2, 35500:17, 35521:10 questioner [1] - 35514:22 questioning [10] - 35297:15, 35389:2, 35389:11, 35390:8, 35390:19, 35390:20, 35390:23, 35464:11, 35469:21, 35480:21 questions [33] - 35288:8, 35307:20, 35308:15, 35308:25, 35316:22, 35349:17, 35354:1, 35359:6, 35361:19, 35396:2, 35439:21, 35441:1, 35448:1, 35455:4,	R	radar [1] - 35295:25 radio [1] - 35397:7 raise [5] - 35411:5, 35427:12, 35435:18, 35469:9 raised [13] - 35295:2, 35359:25, 35360:6, 35362:10, 35369:12, 35396:14, 35409:22, 35416:2, 35427:17, 35435:1, 35461:9, 35505:2, 35540:15 raises [1] - 35351:21 raising [2] - 35356:10, 35507:16 rank [1] - 35335:16 ranks [1] - 35322:16 rape [4] - 35279:10, 35407:9, 35407:19, 35457:19 rapes [10] - 35280:14, 35280:22, 35369:13, 35447:19, 35448:4, 35449:11, 35449:12, 35541:23, 35542:6, 35542:9 rare [1] - 35353:16	



<p>35520:8, 35520:13, 35520:22, 35521:8, 35521:18, 35526:18, 35526:19, 35526:20, 35528:1, 35528:4, 35528:9, 35528:11, 35528:13, 35528:14, 35528:16, 35528:17, 35528:21, 35529:2, 35529:6, 35529:10, 35529:16, 35529:18, 35529:19, 35529:24, 35530:2, 35530:13, 35530:20, 35530:24, 35531:1, 35531:4, 35543:2</p> <p>recanted [3] - 35423:20, 35520:13, 35530:17</p> <p>recanter [4] - 35520:10, 35520:11, 35520:12, 35520:17</p> <p>recanting [5] - 35380:12, 35382:17, 35382:24, 35383:5, 35528:11</p> <p>receive [2] - 35322:22, 35412:11</p> <p>received [14] - 35324:12, 35328:8, 35328:14, 35365:8, 35369:6, 35414:11, 35429:11, 35473:6, 35483:13, 35488:14, 35493:24, 35501:20, 35506:2, 35541:10</p> <p>receiving [2] - 35506:15, 35507:22</p> <p>recent [3] - 35359:15, 35430:3, 35440:15</p> <p>recite [2] - 35374:15, 35466:13</p> <p>recited [1] - 35418:20</p> <p>reciting [1] - 35308:5</p> <p>recollection [11] - 35301:17, 35377:12, 35377:16, 35377:19, 35379:8, 35435:24, 35463:2, 35487:6, 35516:24, 35530:19, 35536:23</p> <p>recollections [1] - 35302:17</p> <p>recommendation [2] - 35272:3, 35298:18</p> <p>recommendations [1] - 35410:25</p> <p>reconsider [1] - 35471:13</p> <p>Reconvened[4] -</p>	<p>35271:2, 35349:15, 35408:14, 35475:11</p> <p>record [14] - 35302:15, 35302:25, 35330:4, 35339:8, 35347:9, 35350:18, 35353:7, 35353:22, 35467:14, 35474:2, 35486:2, 35494:2, 35495:23, 35532:2</p> <p>recorded [5] - 35302:17, 35302:24, 35343:17, 35367:23, 35523:5</p> <p>recording [1] - 35366:15</p> <p>records [18] - 35303:18, 35415:5, 35415:8, 35420:1, 35420:2, 35433:24, 35460:15, 35460:19, 35460:21, 35461:5, 35482:24, 35485:18, 35494:4, 35494:7, 35495:12, 35495:15, 35507:8, 35541:4</p> <p>recruit [1] - 35330:25</p> <p>redacted [1] - 35434:2</p> <p>reenactment [1] - 35379:25</p> <p>Reenactment[1] - 35475:20</p> <p>reevaluate [1] - 35471:13</p> <p>refer [3] - 35302:13, 35359:11, 35457:14</p> <p>reference [10] - 35384:17, 35418:16, 35436:7, 35444:10, 35445:9, 35446:10, 35456:13, 35456:22, 35466:2, 35511:20</p> <p>referenced [1] - 35366:15</p> <p>references [3] - 35445:19, 35484:4, 35505:10</p> <p>referred [9] - 35271:21, 35272:1, 35272:14, 35297:14, 35307:4, 35307:5, 35356:23, 35366:17, 35473:9</p> <p>referring [8] - 35447:23, 35457:10, 35465:16, 35472:22, 35481:19, 35511:23, 35537:13, 35537:15</p> <p>refers [2] - 35448:18, 35495:25</p> <p>reflect [5] - 35275:19,</p>	<p>35417:16, 35445:18, 35484:3, 35494:3</p> <p>reflects [2] - 35467:14, 35494:2</p> <p>refresh [1] - 35541:12</p> <p>refusal [1] - 35543:16</p> <p>refused [5] - 35325:6, 35365:11, 35414:17, 35482:22, 35504:3</p> <p>regard [4] - 35431:17, 35495:8, 35496:22, 35504:12</p> <p>Regarding[1] - 35494:20</p> <p>regarding [8] - 35324:19, 35344:25, 35410:17, 35433:2, 35450:25, 35458:24, 35524:21, 35525:20</p> <p>regardless [2] - 35289:13, 35395:22</p> <p>regards [4] - 35297:2, 35302:9, 35451:21, 35473:17</p> <p>Regina[15] - 35369:3, 35375:9, 35375:11, 35375:12, 35377:2, 35377:4, 35377:6, 35377:10, 35377:21, 35380:3, 35452:24, 35460:10, 35461:21, 35464:15, 35540:8</p> <p>regular [6] - 35335:12, 35340:16, 35383:6, 35383:7, 35417:5, 35417:8</p> <p>reinterview [1] - 35451:24</p> <p>reinterviewed [1] - 35277:21</p> <p>reinvestigated [1] - 35423:16</p> <p>reinvestigating [1] - 35314:22</p> <p>relate [4] - 35415:13, 35429:13, 35451:11, 35456:23</p> <p>related [11] - 35285:15, 35309:11, 35388:6, 35401:14, 35407:9, 35427:7, 35445:5, 35479:7, 35479:17, 35495:16, 35496:23</p> <p>relates [4] - 35431:9, 35450:22, 35461:18, 35482:2</p> <p>relating [7] - 35285:17, 35356:13, 35381:8, 35439:22, 35451:3, 35472:18, 35535:18</p>	<p>relationship [2] - 35363:1, 35484:9</p> <p>relationships [1] - 35363:8</p> <p>relative [2] - 35426:5, 35455:4</p> <p>relaxed [1] - 35358:9</p> <p>released [1] - 35500:18</p> <p>relevance [1] - 35415:13</p> <p>relevant [10] - 35295:23, 35363:6, 35366:5, 35373:2, 35373:21, 35415:10, 35457:18, 35460:24, 35463:18, 35526:2</p> <p>reliability [1] - 35514:16</p> <p>reliable [6] - 35438:7, 35438:23, 35450:11, 35492:16, 35518:10, 35531:1</p> <p>relied [4] - 35302:18, 35442:14, 35489:17, 35492:11</p> <p>reluctance [1] - 35505:3</p> <p>rely [3] - 35352:1, 35394:3, 35439:14</p> <p>remaining [1] - 35408:21</p> <p>remains [1] - 35410:6</p> <p>remark [3] - 35288:12, 35292:10, 35395:5</p> <p>remarkable [1] - 35316:9</p> <p>remedy [1] - 35424:1</p> <p>remember [16] - 35301:22, 35303:1, 35355:7, 35357:24, 35465:24, 35472:25, 35473:1, 35473:3, 35498:5, 35504:17, 35505:1, 35505:24, 35515:9, 35537:12, 35540:20, 35540:22</p> <p>removed [1] - 35407:8</p> <p>render [2] - 35459:7, 35492:22</p> <p>rendered [1] - 35471:7</p> <p>repeat [1] - 35421:23</p> <p>repeated [1] - 35376:17</p> <p>repetitive [1] - 35425:8</p> <p>replied [1] - 35431:16</p> <p>replies [1] - 35493:24</p> <p>reply [9] - 35329:14, 35432:19, 35433:11, 35441:4, 35468:7, 35469:2, 35486:21, 35493:1, 35508:18</p> <p>Report[3] - 35479:12,</p>	<p>35479:13, 35496:4</p> <p>report [98] - 35275:4, 35275:5, 35275:8, 35276:3, 35277:7, 35277:9, 35277:14, 35279:15, 35297:7, 35297:12, 35298:15, 35298:18, 35298:24, 35299:12, 35300:4, 35301:9, 35325:23, 35338:9, 35339:22, 35344:12, 35344:16, 35347:7, 35348:1, 35348:10, 35359:24, 35377:17, 35378:6, 35412:16, 35414:5, 35416:19, 35416:20, 35417:5, 35417:10, 35417:18, 35417:23, 35417:24, 35418:9, 35419:4, 35419:11, 35426:19, 35427:16, 35434:14, 35436:2, 35436:24, 35436:25, 35442:21, 35442:23, 35443:19, 35445:15, 35447:14, 35447:17, 35447:23, 35451:7, 35452:8, 35452:20, 35456:15, 35458:7, 35458:8, 35459:21, 35460:1, 35460:6, 35460:7, 35470:8, 35470:16, 35470:20, 35470:24, 35471:4, 35479:21, 35480:9, 35483:3, 35483:13, 35485:25, 35489:15, 35490:24, 35497:5, 35501:16, 35502:2, 35503:22, 35519:19, 35524:21, 35532:7, 35532:13, 35532:21, 35533:6, 35533:10, 35535:14, 35537:8, 35537:9, 35537:13, 35537:15, 35537:19, 35538:20, 35539:3, 35541:12, 35541:14, 35541:19, 35542:13</p> <p>Reporttapes [1] - 35483:3</p> <p>reported [8] - 35319:7, 35341:11, 35417:15, 35419:17, 35499:14, 35500:14, 35539:1</p> <p>Reporter[2] - 35545:14, 35545:18</p> <p>Reporters[2] - 35268:9, 35545:3</p>
--	---	--	---	--



<p>Reporters^[1] - 35545:1 reporting^[7] - 35417:7, 35418:12, 35487:7, 35536:11, 35536:15, 35536:20, 35540:2 Reports^[1] - 35482:14 reports^[34] - 35292:8, 35314:17, 35316:15, 35329:11, 35367:2, 35417:1, 35417:12, 35417:16, 35418:7, 35418:10, 35419:16, 35443:10, 35455:9, 35455:13, 35470:21, 35494:2, 35494:21, 35524:23, 35532:9, 35535:11, 35535:13, 35536:18, 35537:16, 35538:2, 35539:4, 35540:1, 35540:3, 35540:23, 35540:24, 35540:25, 35541:11, 35541:20 represent^[3] - 35359:14, 35374:10, 35508:10 representations^[1] - 35412:4 representative^[1] - 35525:13 representatives^[1] - 35445:17 represented^[1] - 35443:6 representing^[1] - 35374:4 request^[8] - 35320:14, 35433:2, 35433:4, 35456:23, 35460:18, 35483:7, 35483:16, 35533:17 requested^[5] - 35291:15, 35360:17, 35458:22, 35482:21, 35483:11 requesting^[1] - 35481:21 requests^[3] - 35410:10, 35461:7, 35484:22 require^[5] - 35321:12, 35327:15, 35379:24, 35483:22, 35484:23 required^[11] - 35307:12, 35321:8, 35326:10, 35330:8, 35347:17, 35347:19, 35352:8, 35384:2, 35390:9, 35390:13, 35484:14</p>	<p>requirement^[3] - 35417:4, 35536:11, 35536:16 requires^[1] - 35459:6 research^[2] - 35344:13, 35426:3 resources^[1] - 35416:12 respect^[22] - 35271:11, 35272:17, 35281:22, 35296:13, 35297:22, 35298:1, 35299:22, 35304:7, 35304:8, 35308:22, 35312:14, 35322:21, 35325:14, 35325:15, 35332:2, 35344:1, 35368:7, 35386:14, 35418:7, 35433:4, 35434:24, 35543:1 respecting^[2] - 35271:9, 35423:22 respects^[4] - 35326:2, 35373:19, 35389:12, 35526:20 respond^[2] - 35526:15, 35532:3 responded^[1] - 35505:25 response^[8] - 35322:19, 35322:22, 35370:8, 35483:16, 35488:19, 35489:6, 35492:23, 35534:1 responses^[1] - 35533:12 responsibilities^[1] - 35336:4 responsibility^[3] - 35352:17, 35398:15, 35449:7 responsible^[9] - 35288:25, 35303:24, 35321:10, 35344:11, 35376:19, 35428:15, 35499:24, 35515:17, 35542:3 rest^[3] - 35356:11, 35418:7, 35492:23 rests^[1] - 35391:2 result^[16] - 35275:6, 35289:3, 35290:21, 35307:23, 35308:12, 35335:10, 35373:23, 35387:15, 35387:18, 35403:21, 35430:3, 35445:23, 35469:20, 35474:7, 35499:5, 35542:4 resulted^[4] - 35363:10,</p>	<p>35389:3, 35389:11, 35477:10 results^[12] - 35272:24, 35290:18, 35370:5, 35390:21, 35440:18, 35442:5, 35456:12, 35470:11, 35470:19, 35470:22, 35500:17 resume^[1] - 35349:17 resurrect^[1] - 35520:23 Retired^[1] - 35269:14 retired^[3] - 35320:22, 35325:10, 35499:8 retrieval^[1] - 35426:2 return^[1] - 35422:18 returned^[4] - 35436:22, 35461:20, 35462:3, 35534:5 reveal^[1] - 35296:3 revealed^[2] - 35407:13, 35533:8 review^[27] - 35288:2, 35294:8, 35294:17, 35294:25, 35299:4, 35311:12, 35344:4, 35345:16, 35346:18, 35348:2, 35348:12, 35348:18, 35349:22, 35353:19, 35375:7, 35384:3, 35384:4, 35409:19, 35418:2, 35418:3, 35425:25, 35434:5, 35445:24, 35490:7, 35541:14 reviewed^[10] - 35274:22, 35299:25, 35336:16, 35344:3, 35344:17, 35353:23, 35359:2, 35377:11, 35416:11, 35510:14 reviewers^[1] - 35443:9 reviewing^[7] - 35314:17, 35326:9, 35359:1, 35367:1, 35367:2, 35426:8, 35443:9 reviews^[4] - 35282:9, 35282:10, 35318:5, 35472:21 revived^[1] - 35400:3 reward^[1] - 35451:2 Richardson^[3] - 35439:8, 35459:3, 35473:23 Richardsons^[1] - 35473:22 Rick^[1] - 35269:7 ring^[2] - 35319:1, 35427:13 rise^[6] - 35273:15,</p>	<p>35347:11, 35382:10, 35400:9, 35406:16, 35520:1 risk^[1] - 35516:10 robbery^[2] - 35380:3, 35421:5 Robert^[3] - 35426:12, 35483:3, 35483:13 Roberts^[1] - 35429:6 Rochelle^[1] - 35269:9 Rodin^[2] - 35274:7, 35331:23 role^[13] - 35300:11, 35300:13, 35300:25, 35306:17, 35306:19, 35332:5, 35420:12, 35420:15, 35421:13, 35426:5, 35471:23, 35489:23, 35536:4 roles^[2] - 35306:18, 35341:15 Romanow^[3] - 35276:20, 35402:17, 35533:3 Ron^[35] - 35312:7, 35312:23, 35313:3, 35313:5, 35318:24, 35319:10, 35339:19, 35378:15, 35380:12, 35381:2, 35381:8, 35381:9, 35381:15, 35384:6, 35386:15, 35387:11, 35394:7, 35473:22, 35483:24, 35486:8, 35488:2, 35518:19, 35518:22, 35519:5, 35519:6, 35519:25, 35521:13, 35523:1, 35526:17, 35526:19, 35527:4, 35527:25, 35529:3, 35529:10, 35529:22 Ronald^[5] - 35309:21, 35423:19, 35503:24, 35533:14, 35533:18 room^[10] - 35345:4, 35379:25, 35403:3, 35403:12, 35403:16, 35408:24, 35423:22, 35424:14, 35475:21, 35477:15 rose^[1] - 35416:2 Rossmo^[2] - 35315:20, 35522:2 routine^[1] - 35340:16 Rpr^[4] - 35268:10, 35545:2, 35545:16, 35545:17 Rps^[1] - 35450:24 rule^[2] - 35323:20,</p>	<p>35410:18 ruled^[2] - 35421:10, 35421:24 rules^[2] - 35284:22, 35410:4 ruling^[2] - 35422:21, 35422:25 running^[3] - 35345:2, 35348:21, 35444:6 ruse^[1] - 35373:13</p>
S				
<p>S/sgt^[1] - 35429:3 sacrifice^[1] - 35412:9 safe^[2] - 35287:7, 35358:21 sake^[1] - 35410:22 saliva^[1] - 35441:9 sample^[1] - 35438:2 samples^[1] - 35291:14 Sandra^[1] - 35268:4 Sask^[1] - 35327:7 Saskatchewan^[34] - 35267:17, 35269:4, 35272:5, 35273:1, 35273:19, 35276:18, 35294:20, 35295:13, 35306:4, 35320:5, 35320:14, 35326:21, 35327:19, 35328:8, 35328:9, 35328:24, 35369:1, 35369:12, 35407:2, 35409:9, 35427:10, 35494:9, 35497:8, 35498:15, 35525:14, 35536:14, 35539:2, 35539:23, 35540:5, 35545:4 Saskatoon^[76] - 35267:17, 35269:7, 35272:1, 35272:3, 35272:9, 35273:1, 35273:4, 35273:7, 35276:9, 35277:21, 35279:7, 35279:16, 35279:19, 35283:24, 35288:22, 35295:11, 35299:20, 35306:7, 35306:8, 35313:2, 35319:20, 35320:9, 35320:22, 35321:8, 35322:14, 35324:2, 35324:13, 35324:21, 35327:17, 35333:25, 35343:3, 35362:12, 35363:9, 35364:2, 35375:10, 35375:11,</p>				



<p>35376:4, 35378:2, 35397:7, 35407:3, 35407:6, 35407:7, 35407:12, 35407:21, 35407:25, 35413:14, 35419:24, 35431:11, 35432:6, 35452:11, 35452:23, 35452:25, 35453:7, 35454:24, 35457:12, 35461:22, 35461:25, 35479:7, 35482:7, 35482:11, 35496:7, 35525:4, 35536:3, 35537:22, 35538:5, 35538:10, 35538:14, 35539:5, 35539:24, 35540:3, 35540:8, 35540:17, 35541:3, 35541:6, 35541:22, 35542:5 sat [4] - 35282:24, 35308:11, 35523:23, 35531:23 satisfied [5] - 35315:6, 35416:3, 35424:17, 35502:25, 35506:20 satisfy [1] - 35337:25 saw [31] - 35280:13, 35328:4, 35330:20, 35338:9, 35388:14, 35395:14, 35396:21, 35397:11, 35397:24, 35399:15, 35400:7, 35400:20, 35400:25, 35402:12, 35402:16, 35402:21, 35407:6, 35408:1, 35456:13, 35476:6, 35482:3, 35491:22, 35503:3, 35503:17, 35504:3, 35505:16, 35512:18, 35513:11, 35516:14, 35516:22, 35521:5 Sawatsky [16] - 35270:3, 35271:5, 35271:7, 35289:12, 35290:17, 35299:16, 35307:14, 35312:21, 35320:1, 35349:17, 35351:2, 35382:5, 35407:17, 35409:6, 35413:6, 35515:6 scan [1] - 35356:12 scenario [6] - 35287:15, 35289:25, 35369:25, 35385:8, 35387:11, 35387:20 scenarios [2] - 35370:13, 35403:13 scene [18] - 35306:7,</p>	<p>35314:11, 35314:18, 35314:20, 35315:2, 35315:8, 35315:23, 35316:3, 35316:5, 35316:8, 35316:13, 35316:15, 35316:23, 35317:23, 35318:21, 35319:11, 35394:25, 35440:12 science [4] - 35436:13, 35437:20, 35438:6, 35439:1 scientific [2] - 35471:13, 35492:21 scientifically [1] - 35441:1 scientist [1] - 35409:17 scope [7] - 35337:17, 35337:24, 35416:6, 35416:10, 35422:2, 35422:13, 35422:22 Scp [4] - 35448:10, 35448:14, 35451:1, 35451:9 screen [1] - 35295:25 Scroll [1] - 35461:12 scroll [6] - 35277:5, 35287:25, 35343:1, 35383:15, 35494:19, 35509:24 scrutiny [2] - 35335:9, 35336:21 Seattle [1] - 35509:3 second [13] - 35289:24, 35354:15, 35365:2, 35393:7, 35417:11, 35439:20, 35442:21, 35454:2, 35454:12, 35454:16, 35460:18, 35508:13, 35511:18 Secondly [1] - 35485:11 secondly [5] - 35288:15, 35425:15, 35437:20, 35518:24, 35526:24 secretaries [1] - 35399:5 secretor [33] - 35405:22, 35405:23, 35439:22, 35440:4, 35440:6, 35440:8, 35441:7, 35441:11, 35441:16, 35441:21, 35441:22, 35441:23, 35471:3, 35471:18, 35473:7, 35488:20, 35488:25, 35489:3, 35489:5, 35489:8, 35489:11, 35490:2, 35490:3, 35490:9,</p>	<p>35491:11, 35491:12, 35491:24, 35491:25, 35492:10, 35492:14, 35492:15, 35492:24 secretors [1] - 35441:5 section [1] - 35442:10 Section [1] - 35471:24 securing [1] - 35406:21 Security [1] - 35268:11 see [53] - 35272:24, 35277:6, 35282:12, 35283:1, 35285:8, 35288:1, 35296:12, 35298:16, 35309:15, 35309:19, 35346:21, 35360:4, 35366:8, 35368:13, 35371:18, 35372:7, 35372:10, 35373:24, 35381:23, 35389:23, 35394:18, 35394:25, 35395:17, 35395:18, 35399:23, 35402:18, 35404:12, 35408:11, 35415:2, 35418:9, 35421:15, 35424:14, 35430:19, 35436:14, 35439:10, 35443:23, 35447:12, 35449:2, 35459:23, 35466:12, 35467:1, 35476:1, 35484:21, 35494:8, 35496:11, 35496:21, 35500:9, 35502:4, 35503:17, 35513:10, 35517:6, 35517:8, 35542:17 seed [1] - 35513:9 seeing [4] - 35314:24, 35318:12, 35384:14, 35398:5 seeking [2] - 35415:4, 35512:1 seem [1] - 35541:1 self [1] - 35513:9 self-doubt [1] - 35513:9 semen [15] - 35309:5, 35405:16, 35405:17, 35405:18, 35435:19, 35440:14, 35440:17, 35440:20, 35442:7, 35442:17, 35489:25, 35491:11, 35491:15, 35492:12, 35492:14 send [4] - 35333:25, 35352:20, 35427:6, 35507:11 sending [2] - 35353:1, 35506:10 senior [5] - 35307:3, 35326:20, 35335:3,</p>	<p>35341:14, 35537:11 sense [16] - 35285:24, 35319:4, 35331:18, 35339:19, 35358:4, 35360:20, 35370:6, 35374:6, 35402:5, 35415:19, 35415:20, 35415:23, 35445:5, 35487:12, 35517:15, 35540:18 sensitive [1] - 35334:15 sent [15] - 35355:8, 35433:25, 35456:20, 35486:21, 35486:24, 35488:20, 35493:4, 35496:1, 35505:18, 35506:1, 35508:20, 35509:16, 35531:25, 35536:18, 35540:3 sentence [2] - 35369:6, 35380:4 sentiment [1] - 35355:22 separate [1] - 35481:18 Sept/70 [1] - 35448:9 September [9] - 35329:9, 35411:8, 35411:12, 35412:4, 35489:16, 35532:24, 35534:18, 35541:1, 35542:13 Serge [1] - 35269:6 Sergeant [10] - 35308:19, 35320:1, 35357:1, 35358:23, 35435:3, 35435:4, 35441:14, 35443:21, 35443:22, 35472:17 series [1] - 35505:12 serious [3] - 35299:7, 35352:4, 35354:18 serve [2] - 35321:2, 35420:3 served [2] - 35314:15, 35417:10 service [5] - 35271:23, 35321:10, 35323:5, 35324:1, 35325:17 Service [9] - 35269:7, 35272:4, 35320:23, 35321:9, 35322:14, 35324:2, 35324:13, 35363:9, 35407:25 serving [1] - 35320:21 set [7] - 35285:17, 35295:15, 35297:8, 35333:6, 35418:21, 35424:3, 35531:24 sets [2] - 35336:3, 35343:17</p>	<p>setting [3] - 35283:12, 35284:1, 35284:3 settled [1] - 35410:2 seven [2] - 35275:17, 35291:22 several [3] - 35279:8, 35434:11, 35533:12 Severall [1] - 35441:11 sex [1] - 35448:8 sexual [10] - 35421:5, 35448:16, 35448:25, 35449:5, 35537:17, 35537:23, 35540:17, 35541:6, 35541:25, 35542:2 Sgt [5] - 35429:7, 35498:19, 35498:20, 35499:6, 35499:7 Shannon [2] - 35373:8, 35373:13 share [1] - 35363:2 shared [1] - 35464:24 shed [8] - 35313:14, 35321:4, 35350:8, 35360:19, 35454:3, 35495:16, 35525:3, 35525:9 sheet [1] - 35481:15 sheets [1] - 35337:3 Shellbrook [6] - 35498:15, 35498:18, 35499:3, 35499:15, 35499:16, 35500:15 Short [1] - 35532:14 short [4] - 35296:10, 35296:18, 35296:21, 35443:11 shorthand [1] - 35545:5 shoulders [1] - 35457:14 show [6] - 35289:11, 35311:20, 35331:4, 35427:15, 35441:24, 35502:22 showed [3] - 35428:8, 35502:15, 35542:8 shown [1] - 35297:9 shows [3] - 35288:21, 35296:5, 35532:2 sic [1] - 35382:7 side [10] - 35302:7, 35302:11, 35319:8, 35351:18, 35405:24, 35405:25, 35427:12, 35467:19, 35523:20, 35524:8 Sidney [20] - 35396:13, 35396:16, 35396:18, 35396:24, 35397:4, 35397:9, 35397:20,</p>
---	--	--	---	--



<p>35397:22, 35430:20, 35457:9, 35482:2, 35482:4, 35485:6, 35493:6, 35494:3, 35497:6, 35498:5, 35503:1</p> <p>sign [8] - 35352:15, 35352:21, 35353:5, 35353:16, 35353:24, 35355:14, 35418:3, 35505:20</p> <p>signal [1] - 35391:8</p> <p>signed [2] - 35351:24, 35445:2</p> <p>significance [17] - 35312:13, 35383:23, 35397:11, 35398:11, 35422:20, 35424:9, 35424:22, 35449:5, 35451:12, 35452:11, 35456:16, 35462:14, 35462:16, 35467:5, 35482:5, 35502:13, 35536:25</p> <p>significant [9] - 35282:1, 35282:5, 35282:10, 35287:2, 35354:6, 35379:2, 35396:14, 35434:17, 35449:14</p> <p>significantly [1] - 35442:9</p> <p>similar [13] - 35275:9, 35277:12, 35306:18, 35327:1, 35347:15, 35447:5, 35449:11, 35449:21, 35449:22, 35472:3, 35475:23, 35491:15, 35491:20</p> <p>similarities [3] - 35280:13, 35448:10, 35450:3</p> <p>similarly [2] - 35299:24, 35522:20</p> <p>Simington [7] - 35498:19, 35498:20, 35499:6, 35499:8, 35501:20, 35501:24, 35502:8</p> <p>simply [28] - 35291:25, 35292:11, 35292:25, 35293:16, 35322:2, 35337:1, 35349:8, 35350:17, 35353:17, 35353:22, 35375:4, 35395:3, 35399:17, 35410:21, 35443:11, 35470:3, 35474:23, 35478:12, 35487:13, 35487:15, 35493:14,</p>	<p>35494:1, 35500:5, 35501:16, 35501:24, 35501:25, 35504:12, 35524:2</p> <p>single [1] - 35275:2</p> <p>sit [6] - 35345:18, 35353:19, 35478:15, 35504:15, 35520:20, 35520:25</p> <p>sitting [1] - 35267:15</p> <p>situation [5] - 35390:2, 35433:5, 35438:22, 35506:8, 35520:11</p> <p>situations [1] - 35441:11</p> <p>six [3] - 35274:13, 35275:17, 35421:17</p> <p>skill [1] - 35545:6</p> <p>slotted [1] - 35444:3</p> <p>small [1] - 35438:15</p> <p>snow [1] - 35405:6</p> <p>snowbank [1] - 35491:17</p> <p>so-called [1] - 35310:11</p> <p>sodium [1] - 35378:9</p> <p>solicitor/client [3] - 35460:11, 35460:17, 35484:9</p> <p>solve [1] - 35290:12</p> <p>someone [29] - 35271:18, 35273:25, 35279:7, 35303:1, 35321:1, 35321:17, 35322:6, 35323:7, 35324:22, 35333:18, 35335:21, 35347:24, 35347:25, 35363:19, 35363:20, 35393:14, 35399:23, 35400:19, 35402:18, 35414:3, 35447:8, 35449:16, 35453:13, 35491:11, 35503:17, 35507:7, 35518:4, 35521:22, 35543:22</p> <p>sometime [1] - 35329:3</p> <p>sometimes [3] - 35322:22, 35391:24, 35478:10</p> <p>somewhere [4] - 35279:17, 35337:7, 35390:9, 35503:11</p> <p>son [2] - 35357:5, 35374:10</p> <p>soon [1] - 35274:17</p> <p>Sorry [7] - 35321:19, 35331:10, 35458:17, 35470:6, 35474:11, 35481:10</p> <p>sorry [24] - 35279:20,</p>	<p>35286:11, 35326:19, 35326:25, 35331:14, 35341:4, 35342:24, 35363:24, 35421:23, 35430:13, 35430:18, 35430:22, 35431:8, 35442:19, 35444:21, 35446:22, 35457:1, 35464:9, 35470:7, 35481:14, 35489:12, 35495:24, 35516:6, 35524:18</p> <p>sort [60] - 35275:23, 35278:10, 35278:11, 35292:20, 35295:21, 35301:8, 35306:22, 35308:11, 35315:21, 35316:19, 35318:4, 35319:10, 35322:11, 35340:9, 35340:14, 35345:1, 35348:17, 35348:21, 35352:17, 35353:7, 35353:10, 35353:11, 35355:9, 35358:18, 35359:17, 35364:25, 35371:9, 35378:21, 35386:24, 35388:4, 35388:20, 35393:24, 35394:15, 35399:13, 35399:16, 35400:2, 35404:16, 35417:14, 35419:2, 35443:7, 35444:10, 35467:6, 35467:7, 35467:9, 35469:9, 35476:4, 35476:21, 35478:16, 35487:12, 35491:19, 35497:25, 35505:4, 35510:18, 35513:20, 35520:20, 35521:18, 35527:24, 35528:7, 35541:12</p> <p>sorted [1] - 35349:6</p> <p>sorts [3] - 35367:24, 35493:21, 35504:21</p> <p>sound [3] - 35275:18, 35316:20, 35317:20</p> <p>Source [1] - 35482:8</p> <p>source [21] - 35279:21, 35407:5, 35407:12, 35407:16, 35413:11, 35427:17, 35430:6, 35430:16, 35431:20, 35432:5, 35432:22, 35437:16, 35438:3, 35438:13, 35444:14, 35457:13, 35457:16, 35482:10, 35524:24, 35525:1</p> <p>source's [1] - 35431:18</p>	<p>sources [2] - 35467:1, 35486:12</p> <p>Spd [1] - 35343:5</p> <p>speaking [20] - 35324:6, 35337:20, 35339:6, 35344:2, 35348:5, 35363:7, 35408:23, 35409:16, 35421:13, 35429:7, 35443:18, 35453:9, 35453:13, 35466:21, 35496:8, 35497:16, 35499:6, 35499:12, 35521:22, 35525:14</p> <p>speaks [1] - 35335:14</p> <p>specific [9] - 35286:1, 35337:11, 35362:2, 35367:22, 35377:12, 35377:13, 35401:13, 35405:5, 35465:9</p> <p>specifically [1] - 35480:10</p> <p>spectrum [1] - 35524:15</p> <p>speculative [2] - 35292:1, 35292:12</p> <p>speech [1] - 35286:6</p> <p>spend [1] - 35343:16</p> <p>spent [1] - 35426:8</p> <p>spermatozoa [1] - 35442:13</p> <p>spoken [4] - 35404:7, 35431:18, 35433:1, 35476:19</p> <p>spot [1] - 35349:12</p> <p>square [1] - 35374:17</p> <p>squarely [2] - 35421:20, 35457:13</p> <p>stab [1] - 35309:20</p> <p>stabbed [1] - 35403:17</p> <p>stack [1] - 35344:9</p> <p>Staff [5] - 35268:1, 35268:7, 35435:3, 35441:14, 35443:21</p> <p>stage [1] - 35309:13</p> <p>stain [1] - 35458:25</p> <p>stand [3] - 35347:20, 35355:20, 35453:12</p> <p>standard [4] - 35284:23, 35300:1, 35372:19, 35418:12</p> <p>standards [2] - 35298:3, 35406:21</p> <p>standing [1] - 35410:5</p> <p>staring [1] - 35286:14</p> <p>start [5] - 35295:5, 35331:21, 35423:6, 35470:14, 35496:18</p> <p>started [9] - 35330:24, 35331:2, 35331:18,</p>	<p>35401:17, 35402:1, 35402:20, 35434:20, 35517:21, 35524:3</p> <p>starting [7] - 35275:12, 35275:15, 35331:17, 35385:15, 35460:3, 35470:10, 35476:24</p> <p>startling [1] - 35533:7</p> <p>state [3] - 35354:19, 35441:17, 35495:9</p> <p>statement [74] - 35277:23, 35305:9, 35312:8, 35338:1, 35339:21, 35351:24, 35352:14, 35353:2, 35353:5, 35356:8, 35356:9, 35359:20, 35367:6, 35367:9, 35367:24, 35368:1, 35380:13, 35380:20, 35381:25, 35382:3, 35382:10, 35382:15, 35383:5, 35383:6, 35384:1, 35386:6, 35389:10, 35391:3, 35391:6, 35391:17, 35393:8, 35393:19, 35400:18, 35400:24, 35401:9, 35401:23, 35441:15, 35450:22, 35454:1, 35454:2, 35454:12, 35454:13, 35454:16, 35455:1, 35462:24, 35463:7, 35463:14, 35466:5, 35466:18, 35466:20, 35467:13, 35468:3, 35469:20, 35478:17, 35480:25, 35513:2, 35513:6, 35514:16, 35514:17, 35514:20, 35514:25, 35516:16, 35524:15, 35527:5, 35527:22, 35527:23, 35528:3, 35529:8, 35530:9, 35530:10, 35530:18, 35531:6, 35531:7, 35543:2</p> <p>statements [18] - 35282:25, 35283:2, 35368:12, 35372:15, 35382:6, 35386:16, 35386:20, 35386:24, 35387:2, 35389:2, 35389:19, 35391:25, 35443:10, 35462:17, 35508:1, 35510:23, 35521:3, 35529:12</p> <p>states [7] - 35283:5, 35384:13, 35428:14,</p>
---	---	--	---	---



<p>35428:16, 35428:18, 35428:19, 35459:4</p> <p>stating [2] - 35340:3, 35460:18</p> <p>station [2] - 35376:20, 35397:7</p> <p>status [6] - 35437:16, 35437:20, 35440:4, 35458:23, 35471:18, 35488:25</p> <p>statutory [1] - 35324:1</p> <p>stem [1] - 35440:21</p> <p>stemmed [1] - 35279:13</p> <p>step [2] - 35294:17, 35520:10</p> <p>steps [7] - 35296:13, 35303:25, 35323:19, 35326:4, 35326:10, 35348:3, 35371:9</p> <p>stevelly [1] - 35269:4</p> <p>still [19] - 35283:14, 35285:2, 35289:15, 35289:20, 35290:25, 35291:3, 35308:19, 35309:8, 35390:20, 35408:25, 35413:21, 35427:8, 35458:10, 35459:5, 35490:25, 35533:20, 35535:24, 35539:9</p> <p>Stinchcombe [1] - 35409:25</p> <p>stood [1] - 35316:9</p> <p>stop [1] - 35429:17</p> <p>stopped [1] - 35395:16</p> <p>stories [1] - 35475:23</p> <p>story [17] - 35281:14, 35345:8, 35392:7, 35393:1, 35423:11, 35453:11, 35453:12, 35476:25, 35478:1, 35500:5, 35503:12, 35503:14, 35514:6, 35521:16, 35521:19, 35523:20</p> <p>straightforward [1] - 35530:4</p> <p>strangely [1] - 35499:25</p> <p>strategy [1] - 35340:15</p> <p>strength [1] - 35470:5</p> <p>strictly [1] - 35455:20</p> <p>strong [3] - 35323:25, 35479:15, 35543:4</p> <p>stronger [2] - 35294:2, 35294:3</p> <p>stuck [2] - 35318:24, 35530:16</p> <p>stuff [4] - 35302:9, 35337:1, 35361:6,</p>	<p>35427:5</p> <p>subject [9] - 35334:16, 35336:20, 35409:24, 35419:15, 35429:23, 35446:4, 35477:24, 35539:16, 35541:14</p> <p>subject's [1] - 35426:5</p> <p>subjective [4] - 35335:7, 35335:24, 35450:10, 35450:13</p> <p>subjects [3] - 35418:16, 35434:16, 35475:22</p> <p>submissions [3] - 35412:9, 35412:11</p> <p>subpoena [2] - 35322:18, 35365:24</p> <p>subsequent [3] - 35440:13, 35520:16, 35529:10</p> <p>substan [1] - 35293:4</p> <p>substance [3] - 35289:10, 35405:6, 35443:2</p> <p>substantiate [7] - 35293:7, 35293:19, 35294:11, 35408:1, 35414:6, 35457:25, 35511:24</p> <p>substantiated [9] - 35292:1, 35292:12, 35293:3, 35293:5, 35293:17, 35293:22, 35294:5, 35294:10, 35414:3</p> <p>substantiates [1] - 35293:25</p> <p>substantiation [1] - 35479:15</p> <p>successful [1] - 35438:1</p> <p>suddenly [1] - 35408:22</p> <p>suffered [1] - 35278:10</p> <p>sufficient [1] - 35438:3</p> <p>suggest [12] - 35273:18, 35278:12, 35292:19, 35294:6, 35323:2, 35366:13, 35370:6, 35433:25, 35489:9, 35509:22, 35515:25, 35541:2</p> <p>suggested [7] - 35279:18, 35388:11, 35395:1, 35440:15, 35458:4, 35479:24, 35506:1</p> <p>suggesting [11] - 35283:18, 35283:21, 35284:14, 35289:6, 35293:8, 35395:2, 35433:7, 35452:20,</p>	<p>35462:19, 35478:18, 35512:13</p> <p>suggestion [19] - 35281:2, 35285:19, 35294:9, 35352:13, 35352:19, 35357:5, 35371:8, 35374:17, 35381:13, 35385:3, 35454:5, 35456:7, 35459:23, 35479:8, 35490:23, 35491:9, 35495:15, 35507:17, 35540:16</p> <p>suggestions [3] - 35315:11, 35368:2, 35524:6</p> <p>suitable [4] - 35309:8, 35379:1, 35379:6, 35479:2</p> <p>summarize [2] - 35373:6, 35444:24</p> <p>summarizing [1] - 35335:3</p> <p>summary [25] - 35281:10, 35281:12, 35282:2, 35282:19, 35282:25, 35283:1, 35307:8, 35307:10, 35310:15, 35310:16, 35352:4, 35359:10, 35418:4, 35420:7, 35421:1, 35443:20, 35453:19, 35453:23, 35453:25, 35454:10, 35454:11, 35454:15, 35474:3, 35531:4, 35537:5</p> <p>summer [1] - 35350:10</p> <p>Superintendent [6] - 35319:17, 35332:24, 35342:22, 35500:22, 35501:6, 35501:7</p> <p>superior [1] - 35320:8</p> <p>superiors [1] - 35540:2</p> <p>supplemented [1] - 35280:2</p> <p>supply [2] - 35407:16, 35523:7</p> <p>Support [1] - 35268:7</p> <p>support [13] - 35279:12, 35291:20, 35294:2, 35294:9, 35336:13, 35384:23, 35398:23, 35400:14, 35469:25, 35480:23, 35485:2, 35528:7</p> <p>supported [2] - 35288:17, 35479:22</p> <p>supporting [1] - 35292:21</p>	<p>supports [1] - 35353:11</p> <p>suppose [21] - 35313:14, 35319:13, 35322:8, 35322:14, 35331:18, 35353:25, 35354:14, 35370:8, 35370:12, 35372:18, 35373:19, 35388:10, 35388:25, 35423:5, 35435:21, 35516:11, 35520:19, 35521:2, 35522:14, 35523:23, 35524:8</p> <p>supposed [1] - 35315:14</p> <p>supposedly [1] - 35384:16</p> <p>suppressed [2] - 35398:24, 35399:6</p> <p>Supreme [41] - 35278:25, 35279:4, 35283:6, 35283:9, 35283:15, 35283:18, 35284:9, 35285:1, 35285:9, 35308:22, 35329:20, 35383:18, 35384:3, 35384:5, 35403:5, 35420:7, 35420:11, 35420:18, 35421:9, 35421:24, 35422:5, 35422:25, 35423:3, 35423:7, 35423:11, 35424:1, 35424:11, 35425:4, 35427:10, 35436:7, 35437:24, 35456:21, 35476:13, 35476:16, 35478:5, 35490:7, 35490:10, 35504:23, 35521:12, 35522:6, 35530:15</p> <p>surface [2] - 35295:3, 35448:23</p> <p>surfaced [1] - 35501:11</p> <p>surprise [5] - 35489:4, 35492:8, 35492:9, 35492:11, 35492:16</p> <p>surprised [5] - 35488:7, 35489:10, 35492:18, 35498:9</p> <p>suspect [11] - 35276:12, 35295:13, 35304:21, 35323:13, 35351:4, 35416:13, 35448:12, 35450:1, 35472:19, 35487:14, 35520:14</p> <p>suspects [5] - 35324:5, 35385:25, 35448:22, 35450:19</p>	<p>suspicion [3] - 35493:13, 35493:16, 35493:17</p> <p>suspicious [4] - 35361:13, 35493:12, 35523:19, 35524:16</p> <p>suspicious [8] - 35361:7, 35361:17, 35386:21, 35493:25, 35523:12, 35528:13, 35529:17, 35529:20</p> <p>swabs [1] - 35309:5</p> <p>sworn [3] - 35382:17, 35476:17, 35517:2</p> <p>synopses [2] - 35443:17, 35443:23</p> <p>synopsis [5] - 35442:22, 35442:25, 35443:4, 35443:7, 35443:20</p> <p>synthesized [1] - 35344:24</p> <p>system [2] - 35334:7, 35436:11</p> <p>systemic [1] - 35409:20</p>
T				
			<p>tactics [3] - 35480:4, 35480:21, 35519:10</p> <p>tail [1] - 35532:20</p> <p>tail-end [1] - 35532:20</p> <p>tainted [1] - 35302:4</p> <p>talks [8] - 35325:23, 35368:25, 35375:5, 35404:23, 35460:7, 35471:17, 35507:15, 35510:22</p> <p>Tallis[25] - 35269:13, 35276:24, 35280:23, 35370:24, 35371:2, 35371:8, 35371:18, 35371:25, 35372:4, 35372:15, 35372:25, 35384:16, 35444:23, 35444:25, 35445:4, 35445:10, 35446:6, 35446:8, 35446:13, 35456:21, 35460:13, 35525:15, 35530:6, 35530:21</p> <p>Tallis [1] - 35456:24</p> <p>tantamount [1] - 35457:17</p> <p>tape [16] - 35274:10, 35364:8, 35366:14, 35366:15, 35367:7, 35376:6, 35376:9, 35376:12, 35380:7,</p>	



<p>35488:2, 35518:18, 35523:5, 35523:7, 35523:24, 35524:1, 35524:8 taped [4] - 35352:1, 35380:11, 35483:20, 35483:22 tapes [22] - 35275:18, 35357:10, 35364:13, 35364:21, 35364:23, 35365:5, 35365:8, 35366:3, 35366:19, 35381:3, 35381:6, 35381:7, 35381:14, 35484:13, 35486:23, 35490:18, 35495:20, 35507:23, 35511:8, 35513:14, 35514:9, 35519:1 target [3] - 35321:15, 35327:23, 35532:25 targets [1] - 35275:24 task [9] - 35294:7, 35330:16, 35330:25, 35344:15, 35346:8, 35346:13, 35346:24, 35349:5, 35393:24 Task[1] - 35335:10 tasked [2] - 35320:2, 35330:7 tasks [4] - 35348:6, 35348:10, 35348:12, 35348:13 Tcu[1] - 35267:16 Tdr[1] - 35269:5 team [39] - 35272:13, 35301:19, 35305:18, 35333:12, 35334:21, 35334:24, 35335:4, 35346:8, 35347:15, 35363:22, 35367:10, 35370:3, 35379:9, 35381:7, 35401:13, 35402:10, 35415:22, 35416:22, 35417:17, 35417:20, 35425:24, 35426:8, 35426:25, 35434:19, 35435:1, 35435:15, 35437:7, 35443:8, 35446:17, 35446:23, 35455:24, 35459:13, 35463:2, 35475:15, 35479:23, 35480:24, 35487:6, 35541:2 team's [2] - 35282:5, 35467:6 Technician[1] - 35268:12 technique [5] -</p>	<p>35513:24, 35514:8, 35515:13, 35515:14, 35515:16 techniques [6] - 35481:6, 35507:4, 35518:14, 35519:4, 35520:3, 35520:5 telephone [6] - 35507:10, 35509:11, 35509:14, 35509:19, 35511:9, 35532:3 Templeton[4] - 35463:1, 35463:5, 35463:22, 35468:25 ten [2] - 35299:10, 35345:20 tend [2] - 35467:20, 35468:2 tended [1] - 35405:4 tending [1] - 35398:14 tentative [1] - 35409:12 tentatively [1] - 35409:16 term [2] - 35296:16, 35370:25 terms [2] - 35287:16, 35410:24 test [21] - 35379:1, 35391:1, 35392:24, 35437:21, 35438:7, 35438:11, 35438:12, 35438:21, 35438:23, 35438:24, 35441:18, 35441:24, 35450:11, 35455:6, 35456:14, 35491:25, 35492:1, 35526:19, 35526:20, 35527:9, 35530:5 tested [5] - 35441:21, 35441:23, 35490:8, 35521:6, 35528:16 testified [6] - 35278:24, 35384:7, 35395:8, 35395:13, 35476:17, 35497:10 testimony [6] - 35380:5, 35423:21, 35451:7, 35452:8, 35453:6, 35529:4 Testimony[1] - 35267:14 testing [11] - 35326:8, 35435:22, 35437:2, 35437:8, 35437:25, 35439:5, 35458:23, 35474:5, 35474:7 tests [3] - 35438:14, 35442:8, 35442:12 Thatcher[7] - 35373:11, 35373:16, 35373:20,</p>	<p>35374:1, 35374:16, 35375:1, 35479:6 themselves [4] - 35314:24, 35348:19, 35367:3, 35500:8 theories [1] - 35316:18 theory [4] - 35281:11, 35395:1, 35469:25, 35540:19 there'd [1] - 35341:9 Therefore[1] - 35369:6 therefore [16] - 35280:20, 35285:2, 35335:23, 35352:15, 35363:12, 35371:4, 35379:12, 35402:20, 35423:10, 35424:3, 35488:14, 35489:3, 35493:21, 35521:8, 35530:25, 35536:1 thereof [1] - 35412:12 they've [1] - 35386:5 thinking [4] - 35360:20, 35389:5, 35389:15, 35478:8 thinks [2] - 35390:5, 35487:4 third [2] - 35411:15, 35485:17 thorough [3] - 35288:2, 35336:8, 35434:4 thoroughly [3] - 35289:9, 35292:3, 35422:4 thoughts [5] - 35278:5, 35352:22, 35392:16, 35504:21, 35525:4 thousandths [1] - 35438:16 threatened [1] - 35462:4 three [13] - 35275:23, 35276:1, 35276:5, 35277:2, 35358:16, 35358:22, 35395:7, 35424:2, 35424:10, 35425:13, 35482:14, 35484:21, 35535:11 throughout [2] - 35364:17, 35378:12 throw [1] - 35353:25 thrown [2] - 35388:14, 35530:14 thrust [1] - 35360:10 Thursday[2] - 35408:20, 35408:24 tied [1] - 35372:9 timely [1] - 35484:18 timetable [1] - 35412:14 timing [1] - 35466:2</p>	<p>tip [1] - 35347:17 Today[1] - 35539:21 today [5] - 35350:13, 35408:25, 35516:22, 35535:25, 35539:23 Toews[1] - 35269:12 together [9] - 35306:1, 35321:24, 35384:1, 35419:3, 35427:9, 35534:23, 35540:6, 35540:10, 35542:7 token [1] - 35371:4 Tom[5] - 35325:3, 35408:8, 35430:17, 35431:1, 35433:23 tomorrow [3] - 35468:22, 35535:9, 35537:9 Tony[3] - 35373:9, 35373:12, 35374:9 took [11] - 35286:13, 35286:16, 35316:7, 35356:25, 35362:18, 35371:9, 35371:15, 35374:22, 35462:5, 35541:15, 35543:2 tool [2] - 35449:25, 35450:12 top [4] - 35286:3, 35287:14, 35458:16, 35458:17 topic [1] - 35396:13 Tost[14] - 35315:4, 35344:2, 35344:18, 35345:14, 35346:14, 35347:8, 35348:2, 35348:7, 35348:24, 35417:25, 35429:3, 35435:4, 35443:22 Tosts [3] - 35435:11, 35458:18, 35459:9 touch [7] - 35277:16, 35287:2, 35306:10, 35309:4, 35431:20, 35486:17, 35498:1 touched [7] - 35287:13, 35295:18, 35301:4, 35413:19, 35424:11, 35426:22, 35471:21 tough [1] - 35281:21 towards [2] - 35398:14, 35456:14 trace [1] - 35386:24 track [1] - 35534:9 tracked [2] - 35447:16, 35506:21 transcribed [3] - 35352:3, 35353:2, 35354:4 Transcript[2] -</p>	<p>35267:12, 35271:1 transcript [13] - 35274:10, 35274:21, 35274:22, 35352:20, 35354:8, 35355:15, 35356:24, 35359:11, 35359:19, 35362:1, 35374:24, 35468:14, 35474:2 transcription [1] - 35545:5 transcripts [5] - 35315:22, 35326:7, 35365:17, 35367:1, 35456:20 transfers [1] - 35337:3 translation [1] - 35503:11 travel [2] - 35508:24, 35509:2 Travel[1] - 35509:9 treated [4] - 35313:9, 35313:18, 35389:25, 35521:15 tremendous [1] - 35525:21 trial [56] - 35277:23, 35278:19, 35283:7, 35283:11, 35283:19, 35284:1, 35284:3, 35284:4, 35284:8, 35284:16, 35308:22, 35313:7, 35313:12, 35313:15, 35315:13, 35316:2, 35317:3, 35317:15, 35317:22, 35318:13, 35318:19, 35318:22, 35319:3, 35319:13, 35345:7, 35345:10, 35371:21, 35372:25, 35377:1, 35382:18, 35384:21, 35385:5, 35385:9, 35385:14, 35387:10, 35387:12, 35388:5, 35395:8, 35395:14, 35403:20, 35405:14, 35406:3, 35423:10, 35440:7, 35441:16, 35456:24, 35467:15, 35467:21, 35490:21, 35491:17, 35524:12, 35529:4, 35529:12, 35529:23, 35530:25, 35531:9 tried [10] - 35274:18, 35274:25, 35304:25, 35324:22, 35362:7, 35362:19, 35405:18, 35430:20, 35432:9,</p>
---	---	--	---	--



<p>35485:18 tries [1] - 35303:1 trip [1] - 35461:21 trips [1] - 35306:8 trouble [1] - 35318:12 true [22] - 35319:1, 35355:4, 35371:11, 35383:21, 35388:15, 35388:23, 35389:4, 35393:20, 35397:13, 35401:24, 35401:25, 35463:14, 35467:13, 35469:24, 35470:2, 35512:8, 35513:6, 35520:3, 35522:22, 35527:5, 35529:19, 35545:5 trust [2] - 35346:11, 35416:15 truth [13] - 35377:2, 35386:13, 35390:19, 35390:21, 35404:20, 35452:6, 35453:14, 35513:12, 35521:21, 35522:9, 35522:10, 35524:3, 35524:13 truthful [14] - 35355:15, 35355:18, 35379:16, 35380:24, 35386:6, 35387:13, 35388:6, 35389:13, 35390:13, 35392:19, 35392:20, 35463:10, 35527:23, 35529:24 truthfully [1] - 35394:15 truthfulness [2] - 35476:4, 35531:9 try [43] - 35282:13, 35284:21, 35288:19, 35289:8, 35294:11, 35299:1, 35304:19, 35304:23, 35305:7, 35310:1, 35314:7, 35361:2, 35368:2, 35382:22, 35383:8, 35383:12, 35383:13, 35386:4, 35386:5, 35388:20, 35392:19, 35394:24, 35397:3, 35397:14, 35399:19, 35401:3, 35404:19, 35431:8, 35436:4, 35437:11, 35448:20, 35455:5, 35457:7, 35466:19, 35466:25, 35478:15, 35506:25, 35507:9, 35517:7, 35520:16, 35521:25, 35533:20, 35534:10 trying [35] - 35278:12,</p>	<p>35283:25, 35284:12, 35292:18, 35297:19, 35301:16, 35308:20, 35311:20, 35321:6, 35321:7, 35321:25, 35339:1, 35366:13, 35367:13, 35375:18, 35379:13, 35388:4, 35395:12, 35395:17, 35408:24, 35427:8, 35430:7, 35433:16, 35440:5, 35446:12, 35452:1, 35452:5, 35466:10, 35477:8, 35482:3, 35491:6, 35524:19, 35525:7, 35529:20 turn [1] - 35322:2 turned [3] - 35283:2, 35384:22, 35502:18 turns [1] - 35393:19 twice [1] - 35306:6 twisted [1] - 35503:20 two [26] - 35344:24, 35347:2, 35348:11, 35348:25, 35356:25, 35357:11, 35359:7, 35362:11, 35362:21, 35392:24, 35400:7, 35402:12, 35402:16, 35403:13, 35416:5, 35417:9, 35427:6, 35430:22, 35434:15, 35442:7, 35442:12, 35453:24, 35491:19, 35511:14, 35515:7, 35526:19 twofold [1] - 35437:15 type [14] - 35315:17, 35327:16, 35373:16, 35405:19, 35405:21, 35405:23, 35439:5, 35453:24, 35466:9, 35466:17, 35467:18, 35474:5, 35480:21, 35513:1 types [5] - 35296:23, 35304:22, 35337:3, 35372:8, 35480:17</p>	<p>unable [4] - 35396:24, 35431:16, 35478:17, 35504:12 unadopted [1] - 35468:3 unco [1] - 35324:22 unco-operative [1] - 35324:22 uncover [1] - 35333:12 uncovered [3] - 35271:24, 35461:17, 35467:10 uncovering [1] - 35467:9 undeliverable [1] - 35534:15 undelivered [1] - 35534:6 Under [2] - 35277:17, 35454:18 under [16] - 35312:18, 35320:10, 35334:4, 35363:18, 35378:8, 35378:10, 35382:14, 35410:4, 35438:22, 35444:3, 35448:3, 35471:24, 35520:12, 35529:16, 35531:7, 35541:21 underlying [1] - 35358:18 understood [5] - 35284:12, 35284:13, 35326:22, 35400:2, 35542:21 undertake [1] - 35410:12 undertaken [2] - 35291:8, 35291:18 undertaking [1] - 35446:7 underway [1] - 35466:15 Undoubtedly [4] - 35301:23, 35301:25, 35337:19, 35500:13 undoubtedly [1] - 35340:7 unethical [1] - 35298:2 unfolded [1] - 35387:2 unfortunately [1] - 35493:3 unique [1] - 35383:5 units [4] - 35540:5, 35540:6, 35540:7, 35540:8 unknown [2] - 35430:23, 35431:3 unless [2] - 35322:17, 35485:22</p>	<p>unpleasant [1] - 35505:5 unredacted [1] - 35414:13 unreliability [1] - 35292:6 unreliable [1] - 35516:15 unsatisfied [1] - 35410:6 unsuccessful [1] - 35436:22 untrue [1] - 35513:4 untruth [1] - 35516:13 untruths [1] - 35515:10 unusual [2] - 35321:14, 35456:3 unvetted [1] - 35414:15 unwilling [1] - 35504:13 up [95] - 35271:15, 35274:5, 35274:6, 35275:23, 35277:2, 35277:14, 35280:7, 35280:24, 35289:8, 35293:10, 35297:2, 35298:25, 35305:3, 35308:3, 35308:16, 35309:24, 35325:23, 35333:6, 35335:25, 35341:11, 35346:22, 35349:6, 35353:13, 35354:10, 35355:6, 35356:22, 35361:1, 35365:1, 35366:25, 35367:9, 35368:12, 35369:24, 35370:15, 35371:13, 35372:22, 35375:19, 35377:3, 35379:14, 35380:1, 35384:1, 35393:14, 35393:22, 35395:13, 35399:7, 35399:18, 35399:19, 35401:3, 35402:10, 35402:22, 35404:22, 35407:1, 35413:8, 35413:9, 35414:5, 35427:2, 35428:9, 35428:10, 35429:9, 35429:21, 35431:13, 35434:14, 35434:23, 35436:1, 35440:23, 35441:24, 35444:10, 35447:8, 35447:9, 35447:11, 35447:13, 35448:3, 35448:14, 35453:12, 35454:25, 35467:5, 35472:2, 35475:13, 35479:22, 35485:21, 35489:7, 35495:14,</p>	<p>35501:13, 35502:16, 35502:23, 35503:12, 35506:16, 35509:24, 35509:25, 35521:15, 35522:25, 35524:14, 35532:13, 35536:20, 35537:9, 35540:2 update [1] - 35532:10 updated [1] - 35417:12 updates [3] - 35306:12, 35306:13, 35417:8 uphold [1] - 35324:1 ups [1] - 35542:8 Urbanofski [1] - 35332:5 urge [1] - 35495:7 urged [1] - 35496:19 urine [10] - 35405:7, 35405:10, 35405:13, 35406:3, 35406:10, 35440:23, 35442:16, 35490:22, 35491:16, 35491:19 useful [3] - 35411:20, 35450:4, 35450:11 usual [1] - 35322:5 Ute [4] - 35346:22, 35346:23, 35347:23, 35476:15 uttered [1] - 35402:14 uttering [1] - 35403:17</p>
V				
<p>V4 [7] - 35448:15, 35455:19, 35455:21, 35455:23, 35455:24, 35456:6 V5 [9] - 35428:6, 35428:8, 35428:10, 35428:11, 35428:20, 35429:18, 35429:19, 35524:22 vagrancy [2] - 35377:21, 35450:25 valid [1] - 35289:11 validation [1] - 35459:7 value [14] - 35292:15, 35311:13, 35367:9, 35371:15, 35401:1, 35401:10, 35414:25, 35482:20, 35488:10, 35494:22, 35511:5, 35514:20, 35520:8, 35520:15 Vancouver [1] - 35436:17 Vanin [8] - 35325:3, 35408:8, 35413:12,</p>				



35414:16, 35430:7, 35430:17, 35431:1, 35457:8 Vanin's [1] - 35433:23 various [11] - 35310:12, 35336:4, 35364:14, 35364:15, 35434:25, 35437:4, 35443:24, 35450:18, 35470:12, 35510:23, 35521:16 vast [1] - 35308:20 vehicle [3] - 35462:1, 35465:12, 35465:20 veracity [1] - 35455:6 verbal [1] - 35306:11 verbatim [1] - 35420:10 verdict [2] - 35422:18, 35424:3 verified [1] - 35466:20 verify [7] - 35310:10, 35354:2, 35355:4, 35355:15, 35383:12, 35386:4, 35392:19 version [8] - 35414:13, 35419:10, 35434:1, 35456:6, 35468:16, 35477:11, 35500:6, 35500:7 versus [2] - 35303:12, 35303:13 Vic [1] - 35269:12 victim [1] - 35428:5 victims [1] - 35542:9 video [1] - 35507:23 view [27] - 35272:20, 35286:5, 35286:8, 35286:23, 35315:23, 35317:23, 35343:7, 35362:20, 35378:24, 35382:21, 35409:2, 35409:4, 35411:14, 35423:4, 35457:21, 35463:9, 35487:10, 35493:22, 35495:2, 35504:8, 35512:15, 35514:15, 35515:13, 35522:7, 35527:25, 35529:25, 35538:12 viewed [4] - 35286:8, 35288:7, 35297:24, 35529:17 views [1] - 35360:8 violence [1] - 35448:13 virtue [2] - 35275:23, 35374:8 visit [2] - 35316:8, 35472:12 visited [3] - 35306:7, 35315:1, 35316:4 visiting [3] - 35314:20,	35316:2, 35316:12 Volume [1] - 35267:22 volumes [1] - 35291:22 voluntarily [2] - 35291:13, 35365:25 voluntary [1] - 35381:25 volunteer [1] - 35386:12	35471:22, 35471:23, 35472:24, 35521:11, 35522:5 Williams' [3] - 35472:6, 35472:21, 35473:13 willing [2] - 35352:6, 35504:9 willingness [2] - 35342:13 Wilson [112] - 35269:6, 35277:20, 35278:9, 35278:17, 35278:24, 35281:12, 35281:15, 35282:4, 35282:19, 35300:10, 35309:21, 35312:7, 35312:15, 35312:17, 35312:23, 35313:3, 35313:5, 35313:9, 35313:23, 35314:5, 35318:18, 35318:24, 35319:10, 35339:20, 35375:9, 35376:3, 35378:15, 35379:20, 35380:8, 35380:12, 35380:18, 35380:19, 35381:2, 35381:8, 35381:9, 35381:11, 35381:15, 35381:18, 35383:17, 35384:6, 35386:15, 35387:12, 35388:4, 35388:13, 35391:13, 35394:7, 35396:13, 35396:16, 35396:19, 35396:20, 35396:25, 35397:4, 35397:9, 35397:16, 35397:20, 35397:22, 35403:5, 35423:20, 35430:20, 35454:12, 35457:9, 35477:21, 35478:9, 35478:13, 35482:2, 35482:4, 35483:24, 35485:6, 35485:7, 35486:8, 35488:2, 35493:6, 35494:3, 35497:6, 35498:5, 35503:1, 35503:24, 35504:3, 35504:8, 35513:15, 35517:19, 35518:14, 35518:19, 35518:22, 35518:25, 35519:5, 35519:25, 35520:2, 35520:25, 35521:3, 35521:10, 35521:13, 35522:1, 35522:4, 35523:2, 35523:4, 35523:14, 35524:2, 35526:18, 35527:4, 35527:10, 35527:14, 35527:22,	35529:11, 35531:4, 35531:10, 35533:15, 35533:18, 35543:2 Wilson's [7] - 35313:15, 35519:7, 35526:19, 35527:25, 35529:3, 35529:23, 35531:5 window [1] - 35388:15 Winnipeg [7] - 35309:16, 35362:7, 35369:5, 35369:13, 35369:17, 35370:1, 35370:11 wish [6] - 35287:8, 35350:17, 35353:1, 35358:12, 35471:12, 35532:14 wished [4] - 35291:11, 35353:24, 35355:11, 35543:21 Wisninski [15] - 35347:21, 35461:13, 35461:23, 35462:25, 35463:10, 35464:5, 35466:5, 35466:13, 35466:24, 35468:1, 35468:7, 35468:17, 35468:25, 35469:1, 35469:23 Wisninski's [3] - 35462:8, 35463:3, 35467:13 withheld [1] - 35284:25 withstand [1] - 35335:9 witness [47] - 35331:2, 35331:7, 35341:6, 35352:14, 35352:15, 35352:16, 35364:6, 35367:23, 35376:13, 35380:25, 35382:1, 35382:2, 35382:9, 35383:5, 35383:6, 35383:8, 35385:14, 35389:24, 35390:3, 35390:4, 35391:8, 35391:11, 35392:8, 35392:21, 35392:25, 35393:22, 35410:14, 35423:20, 35478:1, 35513:10, 35514:1, 35514:4, 35515:16, 35516:10, 35516:12, 35516:21, 35516:23, 35517:1, 35517:12, 35518:1, 35518:3, 35518:5, 35521:5, 35522:15, 35522:16, 35522:21, 35522:23 witnessed [4] - 35398:8, 35400:7,	35402:13, 35462:20 witnesses [78] - 35292:6, 35295:8, 35295:20, 35297:15, 35300:9, 35300:10, 35300:25, 35302:2, 35310:12, 35312:2, 35325:17, 35326:9, 35328:18, 35331:22, 35337:19, 35339:6, 35339:9, 35340:6, 35340:12, 35340:18, 35341:11, 35341:17, 35341:22, 35341:23, 35350:16, 35366:20, 35366:21, 35367:3, 35368:6, 35375:8, 35375:13, 35376:2, 35382:6, 35382:24, 35382:25, 35384:25, 35385:2, 35385:5, 35385:9, 35385:23, 35386:1, 35387:10, 35387:19, 35387:22, 35387:25, 35389:7, 35389:12, 35392:6, 35394:4, 35395:22, 35408:21, 35409:3, 35409:5, 35409:11, 35409:13, 35410:5, 35410:7, 35410:10, 35410:18, 35411:7, 35421:7, 35434:20, 35444:1, 35476:1, 35487:23, 35506:13, 35512:15, 35512:24, 35513:15, 35514:12, 35515:11, 35519:5, 35519:9, 35522:12, 35531:19, 35539:1 Wolch [93] - 35269:2, 35274:7, 35274:18, 35274:20, 35275:17, 35277:18, 35278:15, 35279:14, 35280:6, 35280:19, 35281:11, 35283:18, 35283:21, 35284:6, 35284:14, 35286:16, 35287:1, 35287:2, 35288:1, 35288:13, 35288:21, 35291:6, 35291:21, 35291:25, 35292:11, 35292:13, 35292:23, 35293:12, 35295:2, 35307:16, 35307:25, 35308:6, 35310:18, 35310:23, 35311:7, 35330:19, 35331:10, 35331:22, 35352:1,
	W			
	waited [1] - 35469:1 waiting [2] - 35438:6, 35533:20 waiver [6] - 35445:1, 35445:8, 35445:10, 35445:11, 35460:11, 35460:17 walk [1] - 35314:10 walk-through [1] - 35314:10 warrant [1] - 35494:6 wash [1] - 35505:6 ways [3] - 35392:24, 35413:20, 35527:9 weak [2] - 35387:19, 35387:22 weapon [1] - 35368:22 wedding [2] - 35411:17, 35412:18 Wednesday [1] - 35267:21 wee [1] - 35358:5 week [7] - 35331:15, 35343:3, 35350:14, 35350:24, 35372:3, 35408:19, 35411:16 weeks [2] - 35425:25, 35426:8 weight [2] - 35529:2, 35529:7 Weir [3] - 35428:8, 35428:22, 35429:15 welcome [1] - 35511:25 Wempe [1] - 35269:9 whatsoever [1] - 35432:24 whichever [1] - 35271:23 whole [2] - 35303:25, 35315:15 wide [1] - 35343:6 wife [1] - 35503:3 Williams [13] - 35308:19, 35320:2, 35357:2, 35358:23, 35409:7, 35429:7, 35446:6, 35446:13,			



<p>35352:8, 35354:5, 35354:8, 35355:14, 35357:18, 35358:11, 35359:3, 35359:25, 35360:7, 35360:11, 35376:1, 35376:18, 35385:4, 35396:15, 35396:17, 35397:6, 35397:21, 35397:22, 35401:20, 35411:4, 35412:15, 35412:18, 35412:22, 35412:25, 35419:6, 35423:12, 35430:1, 35430:4, 35430:14, 35430:25, 35431:16, 35431:19, 35431:24, 35432:10, 35433:7, 35433:14, 35440:22, 35444:23, 35445:13, 35474:25, 35475:2, 35481:19, 35481:25, 35483:17, 35485:3, 35485:8, 35485:20, 35488:22, 35493:1, 35493:11, 35493:13, 35495:25, 35498:2, 35540:15 Woich's [6] - 35289:10, 35368:19, 35494:8, 35494:13, 35498:11, 35541:22 woman [1] - 35463:22 wonder [3] - 35408:16, 35543:7, 35543:12 wondering [6] - 35292:9, 35341:4, 35383:4, 35435:20, 35435:23, 35438:19 word [5] - 35282:1, 35323:25, 35357:24, 35519:23, 35543:4 words [53] - 35281:13, 35286:4, 35289:19, 35290:6, 35293:23, 35298:8, 35300:13, 35302:16, 35304:3, 35313:7, 35314:1, 35315:13, 35315:25, 35318:10, 35318:17, 35319:18, 35341:6, 35352:18, 35354:11, 35359:24, 35365:20, 35382:9, 35385:14, 35389:10, 35400:19, 35401:23, 35402:13, 35403:17, 35404:6, 35406:9, 35408:21, 35408:22, 35434:9, 35438:12, 35441:20, 35448:25, 35455:5,</p>	<p>35465:9, 35476:19, 35491:9, 35513:14, 35517:11, 35520:4, 35520:17, 35524:10, 35526:25, 35527:4, 35528:14, 35528:20, 35529:9, 35536:19, 35537:24, 35542:25 works [1] - 35431:11 world [1] - 35306:24 worth [2] - 35372:10, 35478:20 worthwhile [1] - 35371:13 write [6] - 35319:20, 35321:21, 35344:16, 35432:15, 35471:2, 35493:3 writes [2] - 35448:7, 35492:7 writing [9] - 35319:19, 35342:25, 35351:8, 35410:11, 35410:14, 35412:16, 35458:18, 35459:10, 35508:17 written [13] - 35306:12, 35342:21, 35351:24, 35368:12, 35412:10, 35412:11, 35417:14, 35417:24, 35473:8, 35486:24, 35495:19, 35542:23 wrongdoing [18] - 35293:12, 35296:18, 35299:3, 35300:14, 35300:18, 35311:1, 35320:4, 35321:17, 35321:23, 35322:4, 35328:10, 35328:12, 35332:17, 35362:3, 35374:6, 35404:11, 35426:16, 35486:4 Wrongful [1] - 35267:3 wrongful [3] - 35290:7, 35387:15, 35388:24 wrote [6] - 35327:17, 35384:16, 35427:19, 35480:11, 35492:4, 35542:10</p>	<p>35455:25, 35463:23, 35522:20, 35535:19 yellow [2] - 35405:6, 35440:11 Yesterday [2] - 35271:7, 35274:5 yesterday [17] - 35276:21, 35280:15, 35281:10, 35285:13, 35287:14, 35295:19, 35296:9, 35303:5, 35338:14, 35345:14, 35357:23, 35405:10, 35413:19, 35420:10, 35426:23, 35471:21, 35516:21 Yorkton [4] - 35414:20, 35460:15, 35482:15, 35494:21 young [1] - 35390:6 yourself [3] - 35354:20, 35496:17, 35506:4 youth [1] - 35497:12 yup [1] - 35418:3</p>
	Y	
	<p>year [4] - 35295:6, 35329:23, 35421:24, 35456:12 year's [1] - 35456:16 years [9] - 35301:22, 35304:14, 35305:7, 35394:2, 35440:15,</p>	

