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## Transcript of Proceedings

(Reconvened at 9:00 a.m.)
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good morning.
ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.
MR. HODSON: Good morning. The last
witness for the Commission to hear from is Pat
Alain. And Ms. Alain is a former RCMP, I think
her title was Chief Serologist or in the
serologist department, and when we looked at scheduling her evidence we did not have a day that her evidence would fit and we were concerned that we were not able to get her in. So we had her come to Saskatoon about ten days ago, and Mr. Hardy questioned Ms. Alain under oath, and we video taped that examination, so I propose to play it. The reason it's by way of video rather than having her here was due to scheduling concerns I had.

So her evidence relates to the examination of exhibits back in 1992, it's about an hour and 39 minutes is the evidence of Ms. Alain, and then after that $I$ will have a few other DNA matters and some clean-up, so we should be finished by, hopefully, no later than 11:30 this morning.

So, with that, we will have the Pat Alain video.
(Transcript of Tape of Interview between Patricia Alain and Jordan Hardy On Friday, September 22, 20061.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Can you please raise your right hand and state your full name, please? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Patricia Mary Alain. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Patricia Mary Alain, do you swear that the evidence you shall give to this hearing shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I do. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Thank you. Please be seated.

PATRICIA MARY ALAIN, (testifying by video) sworn: BY MR. HARDY: Good morning, Ms. Alain. MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Good morning.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Thank you for attending today to give video taped evidence to the Commission of Inquiry. I understand that you currently reside in Ottawa? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I do. MR. JORDAN HARDY: And am I correct that you are presently retired?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I am.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And when did you retire?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I retired from the Royal Canadian Mounted Police forensic laboratory in January of 2001.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And as you've mentioned, you were previously employed with the Central Forensic Laboratory in Ottawa?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I was actually employed by the directorate of the Forensic Laboratory, the directorate being responsible for all six of the $R C M P$ forensic laboratories.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And we have a copy of your curriculum vitae from 1997. I'll refer to the document, the document is 287591 , and then $I$ understand that you've had a chance to review that document, Ms. Alain?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I have.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And is that $C V$ accurate,

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it is.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: -- at least until 1997, at which point it was current at least at that time?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And I just want to touch on a couple of aspects of the $C V$. I see, in the middle of the first page, there is a section referred to as Employment History, and in the second line of that paragraph it notes promoted to Serology Section Head June 1974, Forensic Laboratory, Edmonton, Alberta?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And that would be accurate?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it is.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And what were your duties in that position?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: As a Section Head I was responsible for anywhere from three to nine individuals, over which $I$ was responsible for their -- the administrative work involved in -as a Section Head. I also performed casework, I was involved in their training -- excuse me -additional training, as new techniques became available then it was my responsibility to ensure that these techniques got developed appropriately, and that everyone was proficiency tested on these new techniques as they came along.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And you mentioned that you attended to actual casework?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I did.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And would that involve standard serology lab work, so to speak?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it did.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Including the study and analysis, identification of bodily fluids?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And you continued in that position for 15 years, it would appear, until April of 1989?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And in April of 1989 I see that you were promoted to Chief Scientist, Serology, at the Central Forensic Laboratory in Ottawa, Ontario?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And what were your duties in that new position?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: As the Chief Scientist I was responsible for the training, the introduction of any new serological techniques as well as scientific reviews of the, each of the various sections, Serology Sections of each of
the laboratories. And, at that same time, I was also asked to assist with the implementation of the new DNA testing by assisting with the acceptance of cases for DNA testing.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And you continued in that position until July of 1992?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And would that -- I -we'll refer from time to time to the transcript from your testimony at the Larry Fisher preliminary hearing, and $I$ assume you've had a chance to review that transcript?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I have.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Uh, if I read it correctly, I believe you confirm that this position that you've got described for us, was that an administrative position?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: It was predomin -- it was administrative in all aspects.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Now I guess, in comparison to what you mentioned with your work in, or at the forensic laboratory in Edmonton, did you continue to do casework while you were Chief Scientist, Serology?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I did not conduct
actual casework while $I$ was Chief Scientist, Serology. I would review reports and other documentation from the various sections of each of the other laboratories, but I did not actually conduct casework.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And just to be certain, when we refer to 'casework', am I correct in assuming that that means actual lab work, sort of hands-on day-to-day lab work? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And would I be correct that, for the remainder of your career up until your retirement, that you similarly remained in administrative-type positions; would that be accurate?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it is.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And we will be focusing today on your work in this case, in the David Milgaard matter in 1992, and as we've covered you were in the position of Chief Scientist, Serology, at that time I believe? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And we'll get into that in a little bit more detail shortly. Now the documents, Ms. Alain, would indicate that you
first became involved in the David Milgaard matter in approximately 1989, and would that sound accurate --

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: -- from your
recollection?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And the first record that we have that mentions your name is a memo to Eugene Williams dated August 8th, 1989, and I'll refer to document 002477, and I believe that would be behind tab 2 in your binder.

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it is.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Have you had a chance to review this document?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I have reviewed this document.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And we'll -- again, it's a memo to Mr. Williams from yourself dated August 8th, 1989. I'm not going to review the document in any detail. It would appear to indicate that you were consulted on a number of serological issues arising from Staff Sgt. Paynter's original work in the Gail Miller murder investigation? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Is that correct? And, in the very first paragraph under the Regina versus Milgaard, it refers to some materials that you would have reviewed including the transcripts, which $I$ assume would be from the original case, the preliminary hearing, and the trial of David Milgaard. It also refers to some comments of Dr. Ferris. Would you have had some materials from Dr. Ferris at that time, do you recall, a report?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I do not remember seeing a copy of the report. I do remember -- I do remember reviewing written document, or written comments that Dr. Ferris had made regarding exhibits. It was not in the form of a letter, I'm not really sure what it was actually from, but it did contain written comments from Dr. Ferris.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. It also refers to submissions by Mr. Wolch and Staff Sgt. Paynter's lab reports?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And would all of this, then, fit with your recollection about your initial involvement in terms of being consulted
on some of these initial matters?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And, again, I am not going to get into the details, we've covered this to some degree within the context of the hearing already, dealing with questions surrounding $A$ Antigens and secretor status and such. I think we'll let the documents speak for itself, although $I$ should mention -- and $I$ believe this would be correct -- that you continued to consult with Mr. Williams with respect to these types of issues, $I$ believe, through 1989 and 1990?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And again, as I mentioned, this would include matters surrounding Mr. Milgaard's secretor status, for example?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it did.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And, as well, I believe
you were asked to look at any report by a Dr. Peter Markesteyn; is that --

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I actually do not
remember seeing the report by Dr. Peter Markesteyn.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Does that name sound familiar to you at all or --

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I -- I -- Dr.

Markesteyn was the Medical Examiner in the Province of Alberta, Chief Medical Examiner for many years, and as a result of that $I$ knew him personally because we had worked on many cases together, but $I$ was not aware that he was even involved in this case until you just mentioned it.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Okay. And I'm not going to turn to those documents that indicate your consideration of such matters, but I will refer to some of the doc. ids just for the record; 002475, 002473, 016135, and 002511.
$I$ do want to focus today, Ms.

Alain, on some work you conducted in February of 1992 relating to the examination of several of the original physical exhibits from the Gail Miller murder investigation, and am $I$ correct that you were asked to review those exhibits for purposes of identifying stains or samples that potentially could be suitable for DNA testing?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And I'm going to get to the specifics of your involvement in that respect in just a moment. I do want to set some
of the groundwork, though, leading up to your work in that respect, and I'll refer to a couple of documents. The first one is 002479, and $I$ believe that's behind tab 3 in your binder. MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it is. MR. JORDAN HARDY: You'll see it's a memo to file from Eugene Williams dated September 6th, 1989, and it refers to David Milgaard, Telecom with Barry Gaudette, DNA Analysis, and are you familiar with the name Barry Gaudette?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I am.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And who was that individual?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Barry Gaudette, at the time, was the Chief Scientist, Molecular Biology. I knew Barry from the time that he was -- he joined the $R C M P$ Forensic Laboratory in Edmonton, Alberta in 1970 as a -- and $I$ worked with him. He was in the Hair and Fiber Section, and then he transferred to Ottawa, I believe it was around 1984-85, to become the Chief Scientist, Hair and Fiber Section. And in '91 or '92, when the Hair and Fiber and Serology Sections were combined into what was called Molecular Genetics, he was the Chief Scientist of the Molecular Genetics

Section.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Was he an individual that you reported to at the time? And I guess we'll focus on the time period that we have been discussing thus far, 1989 to 1992?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I did not report to him, He and I were equivalent colleagues.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. I'll refer to just a portion of the memo. The first paragraph: "Today I spoke with Barry Gaudette concerning the performance of D.N.A. Testing on certain exhibits tendered at Mr. Milgaard's trial. Mr. Gaudette advised me that he had reviewed the material sent over to Pat Alain, and was of the view that the current technology would not enable him to test the material."

And I'm assuming the 'material' he is referring to is the same material that was mentioned in that previous memo that we were looking at, and that we've learned that Mr. Gaudette is deceased, and I think, though, that that's probably a safe assumption.
time, and again we are looking at September of 1989, that you would not have yet received the physical exhibits for purposes of examination?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: That is correct.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And we'll re -I'll refer to you a further document, 002480. And you'll see it's a letter to Mr. Williams from Mr. Gaudette dated September 8th, 1989. Just in the very first paragraph:
"As per our telephone conversation of Sept. 6th concerning your inquiry as to the possibility of DNA analysis in the Milgaard case, please be advised of the following: 1. On the basis of information $I$ was given concerning the age, history and previous examination results of the stains in this case, it appears unlikely that there would be sufficient sample for conventional DNA Typing (RFLP analysis)."

I'll turn to page 2 of that letter, point number $5:$
"Since any attempt to apply conventional DNA analysis methodologies at the
present time would likely preclude any subsequent analysis attempts, $I$ feel it would be best to delay any request for DNA analysis in the Milgaard case until such time as the new technology is available".

And do you have any recollection of having an awareness of this recommendation from Mr.

Gaudette in 1989 or having any involvement in this communication with Mr. Williams?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I didn't have an actual hand in the preparation of the document, but Mr. Gaudette and $I$ had discussed it and $I$ was aware of what his comments were, mainly because when I had put together my original letter to Mr. Williams $I$ had shown him, at the same time, the documentation that $I$ was working from, and based on the fact that there didn't appear to be much of any stains that had been identified that were still left, that -- and the age of the stain at that time, it would be unlikely that, on the techniques that were available in 1989, that DNA would be successful.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And I hope -perhaps this is an unfair question cause I'm
asking you to think back quite a ways -- but, just at this time, you mentioned sort of what your initial impressions of this staining were from a review of the materials that we see that you initially received. Do you recall some of what stuck out to you, Do you recall some of the items or what your understanding was of the staining, at least at this point in time?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: At this point in time my impressions basically were that there would be very limited amounts of staining, and that certainly some of the stains might be available for doing serological testing on, however the age of the stains would limit what serological testing could be done. And certainly, on the basis of looking at secretor status of the stains at that time, after 25 or more years it would be impossible to do secretor status testing on any of these stains if it was found.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And in terms
that -- and we'll talk about the actual exhibits shortly, but again at this point in time, do you recall having an understanding of what clothing items, for example, might be of interest for purposes of staining?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: To be honest, I really don't remember any particular discussions, or anything along that line, as to what, you know, exhibits would be. All I really remember is the fact that I'd been asked to make a comment on the documents, and $I$ wrote the letter that $I$ did based on the documentation that $I$ received.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. I'll continue referring to some documents. The next document is 334337. And this is a memo to file from Mr. Williams, we've moved ahead a couple of years in time, or just over two years in time, from the last document that we referred to, the date is December 30, 1991. You'll see the reference is David Milgaard - Section 690 Application for the Mercy of the Crown, And in the first paragraph beginning in the second sentence, I'll read that portion:
"Dr. Emmerson ...",
he's referred to a Dr. Vivian Emmerson in the first sentence:
"Dr. Emmerson is the head of the Home Office's Central Research Laboratory at Aldermaston, England. The laboratory has conducted DNA research which may be
suitable for retrieving DNA that may exist on the physical exhibits in Court."

And, again, $I$ don't know if you can recall, but $I$ assume at this point, and $I$ think the documents would reflect, that the physical exhibits from the Gail Miller murder investigation had not yet been received by this point in time by Ottawa? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No, they hadn't. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. I will refer you next to 334382, again these documents just to give us context to your involvement. You'll see this is a letter directed to Dr. Emmerson from Eugene Williams dated January 6, 1992. I'll refer you to the second page of this letter and the first full paragraph, it's the paragraph in the middle of the page, I'm going to read that paragraph to you and then just a portion of the second full paragraph. It states:
"We understand that advances
in DNA testing technology has expanded the scope of materials that may be tested. We have identified five known samples from four individuals to be compared with five unknown samples. The
unknown samples are blood and semen stains that were found on the victim's underwear. There were semen stains on the victim's panties, girdle with attached stockings, dress and slip. In addition, there was an attempt to test the reside ...",

I believe that's supposed to be 'residue':
"... of a semen sample collected from the frozen snow where the body was found. The known samples include hair from the victim's head and pubic area that are not mounted on microscopic slides; blood from the convict; blood from a third party whom the convict has accused of the crime; and a blood stain from a friend of the accused who was in the area shortly after the offence occurred.

> We would like you to apply
any P.C.R. based technologies that you
deem appropriate including short tandem repeat and mitochondrial testing to the samples to determine whether there are any matches or exclusions."

Again, I think as we've confirmed, I don't believe the physical exhibits would have yet been received, they're shortly to be received by Mr. Williams and yourself for testing at this point in time. Do you recall seeing this letter prior to your work on the exhibits or having an awareness of any of the information mentioned in this letter?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I was not aware of the actual letter itself. I was aware that Mr. Gaudette had been exploring the possibility of DNA testing on various exhibit materials, not necessarily just this particular case, but also in other areas that may have been looked at for DNA.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And Mr. Williams mentions that semen stains were found on the victim's underwear, and he goes on to say there were semen stains on the victim's panties, girdle with attached stockings, dress and slip, and we haven't yet asked Mr. Williams about where that information came from or what the basis of that information was. Do you recall having an awareness of that sort of information prior to your work on the exhibits, which we'll see
follows, I guess, just about a few weeks after this letter?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Basically, all of that information was the kind of information that $I$ received when $I$ wrote $u p$ my initial report, or not really report but the memorandum that $I$ wrote up in regards to the review of the transcript, comments and the laboratory reports, as well, from a variety of people in 1989. That's where I would really have learned what had been found and what had been done with the exhibits at that time.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: So the extent of your knowledge would have come from the very sources that we referred to earlier --

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: -- in terms of the documents you reviewed, and we will cover this with Mr. Williams in terms of this particular information.

I'm going to turn you next to
334413. Again, this is just for background, you'll see it's a letter from Dr. Emmerson, directed to Mr. Williams, dated January 15th, 1992 respecting the review by the Supreme Court
of Canada of David Milgaard's conviction for the 1969 murder of Gail Miller. Dr. Emmerson says, starting at the first paragraph:
"Thank you for your Fax on 6 January 1992. Currently we are only using the DQa Amplitype system in casework. We would be unable to offer DNA sequencing or short tandem repeats for casework analysis because we have not completed our validation of these new methods.

I would be grateful if you could clarify the position regarding control samples from the victim. It is unlikely that a result would be forthcoming from the hairs. We really require a bloodstain. It would not be possible to report the case without suitable controls from the victim because we need to eliminate the possibility of cross-contamination." And do you understand what Mr. -- or Dr. Emmerson is saying there in terms of requiring suitable controls from the victims, or can you help me understand that a little bit better?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Suitable controls from the victim, and basically any other individuals that would be, have been tested against, is a -means that at that point in time a blood sample of a minimum stain size of the stain of a quarter, and that that stain would be used to identify the entire pattern, DNA pattern of the victim. And, unfortunately, at that time, when the DQa Amplitype system, cross-contamination, if there was the presence of other body fluids or other contaminants present, then they could interfere with the identification, and it was very difficult to, at times, to be able to do this.
We were also unaware of
Whether or not the age of the stains would be
of -- affected or have an effect on the testing. MR. JORDAN HARDY: So, and I hope I'm not oversimplifying it, but in effect is he suggesting that what is needed is a clear indication of $D N A$, in this case from Gail Miller, in order to have that as a standard for Gail Miller for purposes of comparison? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And it sounds
like he is suggesting, perhaps, that the hairs that we saw were mentioned as potential testing points might not be good enough, and that what he had hoped for, was hoping for at this point was a bloodstain; and would that make sense? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it would. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. If we move forward to the next document, the document id is 334423, and it is a letter directed to Mr. Emmerson from Mr. Williams dated January 16, 1992, and I'll review this letter as well:
"Thank you for your letter of January 15, 1992, which contained your requests for clarification of the control samples from the victim.

I wish to advise that we can provide a control sample from the victim that contains a blood stain.

I noted your comments concerning the use of Dqa amplitype system for casework. I wondered whether DNA sequencing or short tandem repeats could also be used, as a check on the results obtained by the Dqa amplitype system."

And I'll move forward to 334449. You'll see it's a memo to Rob Frater, Counsel, Criminal Law, from Mr. Williams, the date is January 21st, 1992, the reference is Release of Exhibits in the Supreme Court of Canada. Mr. Williams writes:

```
                        "The purpose of this memo is
to identify the exhibits which will be
required for DNA testing.
                                    The following exhibits are
required:
1. Exhibit 6: Pair of pink panties
...",
```

"2. Exhibit 7: Girdle with white mesh
stockings ...",
"3. Exhibit 8: Half slip ...",
"4. Exhibit 9: Brassiere ...",
"5. Exhibit 10: White uniform type
dress ...",
"6. Exhibit 13: Envelope containing
two vials;
7. Exhibit 15: Sample of head hair
from the body of Gail Miller;
8. Exhibit 35: Toque in brown
envelope;
9. Exhibit 41: Blood sample identified
as that of Ronald Dale Wilson." If we move forward to 334429, you'll see it's a letter to Mr. Williams from Mr. Emmerson dated January 21st, 1992, and just in the first, or second paragraph $I$ guess of the letter Mr. Emerson states:
"I regret that we can only
use the DQa Amplitype system for
casework because we have not yet
completed our validations using DNA
sequencing or short tandem repeats and
therefore are not prepared to use these in casework until we have."

And then, moving to the last paragraph:
"I confirm that we are now
able to proceed with this case. If you
are prepared to proceed on the above basis please forward the blood and semen stains associated with the case, including any bloodstains taken from the victim."

If we move next to 334474. And you'll see it's a, appears to be a memo of sorts, or a Request For Analysis, $I$ guess is the title of the document, directed to the Officer in Charge, CFL,

Ottawa, Ontario from Mr. Williams. And the text reads:
"The attached list of exhibits is to be examined by forensic specialists to determine the presence of biological material suitable for analysis by a DNA typing methodology." And, unfortunately, we -- our version of this document does not have the list attached that's referred to. Do you recognize, first of all, this form of document, Ms. Alain?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I do.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And who would the Officer in Charge CFL be?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: The officer in charge of the Central Forensic Laboratory is the individual who basically was responsible for the administration, administrative duties, and assigning casework to the various disciplines that would be required at the time.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. So this document
then, and the attached list which we don't have, would have come to the attention of the individual who was going to be assigned this particular task; would that be correct?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: In most instances, when a casework was coming into the laboratory, it was always addressed to the officer in charge, however, it was generally directed to the individual or the discipline that was going to be doing the casework, the actual typing -- or actual examination of the exhibits.

In this instance it was directed to myself because $I$ was the one who had been involved in the discussions previous to this.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. So you recall you received this particular assignment, then?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I did.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And what do you recall of the instructions you received?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: The instructions that I received actually were very limited in the sense of "we're looking for DNA stains that would be suitable for -- we're looking for stains that would be suitable for DNA analysis, and looking for semen stains as well as control blood samples, and, well, can you help us?"

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And do you recall; who did you receive the instructions
from?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Eugene Williams.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And do you remember what form those instructions came?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: They were with -basically they were verbal instructions at the time that were with the list of the exhibits that were atta -- on a Supreme Court order.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And do you recall any particulars about those discussions with Mr. Williams?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Not exact discussions. I remember that we did discuss, you know, what we could do but we didn't, until I had actually looked at the exhibits themselves I was very limited, really, in what $I$ could say about what could be done with the stains, because $I$ was not aware of what was present and what the exhibits would look like and, therefore, also depending on the age of the samples and how much of the stains that were there, it was going to have a direct influence as to what could be done with them.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And if we assume correctly that the list of exhibits that we've already reviewed were the exhibits you were to
receive, and perhaps we've stated it already, but just to be certain; what is your recollection of what you had been asked to do with those exhibits that we've mentioned?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I was asked to look at the exhibits, certainly certain items of the exhibits such as the panties, the girdle, the half-slip $I$ believe, and in particular for semen stains; and to look at the dress and the bra and any other items from Gail Miller, to determine whether or not there were -- there was a bloodstain that would be sufficient, of sufficient quality, to submit for $D N A$ typing analysis.

As well, the samples that
were looked at, or that $I$ had also received, were to -- excuse me again -- I was to look at to see whether or not they would be suitable. And $I$ do remember that, in regards to the hair samples, that it was Mr. Gaudette who advised me that they were not suitable for analysis, and $I$ believe that was based on the fact that there were not root -roots attached, or attached to the hairs, at that --by that point in time.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And we'll cover this a little bit further, $I$ know we'll have, for reference here, testimony at the Larry Fisher preliminary hearing, and we'll look at your report in just a moment. And perhaps you've answered this question already, but you had mentioned that you were, in effect, in an administrative position at this time. On that basis, did you have any concerns about receiving this particular assignment, which $I$ take it would be hands-on lab work?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: There was -- well, because I'd been away from doing actual lab work for about three years, there was a bit of a concern. But also, because of the fact that $I$ had had over 21 years of experience in conducting these analyses, and $I$ had available, certainly, all of the protocols that we would use, that it came down to the fact that there really wasn't anyone else available to do that because the testing, what they -- Mr. Williams did ask that the testing be done in Ottawa and there wasn't a Serology Section in the Ottawa laboratory at that time. The individuals that had been in Serology and in the Hair and Fiber Section were now
combined into what was called the Molecular Genetic Section, and they were learning and reviewing how to work on DNA technology.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay, I had meant to ask you about that, in terms of what was happening with the laboratory at the time. And so when you -- when we refer to a 'Serology Section', was that still in existence, and maybe you've covered it as much as we can. Was there still, for example, individuals working who were assigned the task solely of identifying bodily fluids on items of clothing or otherwise, or what was happening in that respect?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: At that particular time the Central Forensic Laboratory did not have a Serology Section. Serology Sections existed in our Vancouver, Edmonton, Regina, Halifax and the Sackville, New Brunswick laboratories. As a result of these laboratories $I$ was aware of the fact that individuals were being, they were receiving casework on a daily basis for the examination of bodily fluids, semen, blood, saliva, etc., and as a result of that they were then asked to conduct serological testing and examination to determine possible sources of the
hair and blood.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And do you recall was there any consideration, at this time, to having this task performed by one of these other places that you've mentioned that had an active Serology Section?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I had asked Mr. Williams about that, but he felt that he would like -- he wanted to have the exhibits examined in the Ottawa laboratory.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And was there anyone else in the Ottawa laboratory that was conducting day-to-day work, whether it was a lab technician or otherwise, that could have attended to the task, besides yourself?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: There was Mrs. Katherine Bowen, and she was -- she had been conducting regular casework up until probably six months prior to the receipt of these exhibits. I had asked her if she would do the exhibits, but she felt that she was not really comfortable in doing something that would become -- that was a high-profile type of case, and I can remember saying "neither am I, but the buck stops here", so basically it was me.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Okay. And you did have your previous experience to rely on, in any event, the 15 years of working as serology Section Head and at the forensic laboratory in Edmonton?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I had, as I said, I had over 21 years at that point in time in examining exhibits for blood and semen.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And we'll look at the contents of your report in just a moment, but just in very general terms, what do you recall doing in relation to this assignment?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I can remember getting a call from Mr. Williams, and traveling to the Supreme Court building in Ottawa, and I remember that he had called, and that the exhibits had arrived, and that we met in a small room on the second floor of the Supreme court building, and a Ms. Ghislaine Bergiven was the -- brought all of the boxes in. All of these boxes were sealed and there was no indication as to exactly what was contained in each box. We went from the -- I went from the list of exhibit items that Mr. Williams had asked for and, in the boxes that were there, $I$ believe that there was just about
every exhibit that had been involved in this particular case at the trial.

As a result of this, I had to
go through the boxes looking for each of the various items, and that's basically what $I$ did. I would go through it, and $I$ would find an item that would be listed, I would look at it, and most of the items were packaged in plastic bags and then in paper envelopes or paper bags, and they were not sealed in the sense of being actually heat-sealed or anything, they were just closed item -- or the containers were basically closed and, therefore, there was -- contamination from one to another was, as far as $I$ was concerned, would be non-existent.

And certainly, so then $I$
collected all of the ones that I had been asked to look at, and took those samples with me, returning everything else back into the boxes that they'd come in, and closing them up, and giving them back to Mrs. Bergiven.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And, just for reference sake, that would have likely been by way of the order of the Supreme Court respecting the release of the exhibits, and we've previously
looked at this document in the course of the hearings, and just for reference the document is 268674?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: So I'll turn your attention now, Ms. Alain, to your report of February 17th, 1992, and you've had a chance to look at this document?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I have.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And do you recognize this document as your report arising from your examination of the exhibits in this case?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I do.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And would this be the only report that you completed in that respect?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: It was.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And perhaps just a couple of general questions to start off with; do you recognize this as a standard form of report, so to speak?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: This was the general format of any laboratory report. However, in the particular, in this particular instance there were additional paragraphs or additional information that was presented that was not
normally presented in a usual -- in the average laboratory report.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And we'll touch on those likely in a moment, but can you just give me a sense of that, what those sections would be that perhaps would not usually be included?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: In particular, most of the sections, they were the comments that would be in the Remarks section.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And we'll see that on page 4, it says page 4 at the bottom, it's page 3 of our document, and we'll turn to that in just a moment. But it would be those, it would be the inclusion of those comments, that perhaps would not be standard?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes. There would often be Remark, there would often be a Remarks portion in the laboratory report but, in general, that was usually the dis -- regarding the disposition of exhibits, whether they were transferred to another individual or returned with the report.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And in your experience how were these reports usually used, these types of reports?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: In many instances these types of reports were actually submitted to the Court and, therefore, it was not necessary that the laboratory individual would be required to go to court in -- particularly, in many instances, for preliminary hearings.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And I assume you would attend in court on occasion with respect to work that you had conducted?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I have.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And would reports of this nature be used as a refreshing tool or as --

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: -- the document by which you could confirm what work was --

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Frequently.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: -- attended to? And what did you know of David Milgaard's situation, or case, at the time of your work?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I really knew a very limited amount other than the fact that what was perhaps present in newspapers at the time that $I$ would read, because of course $I$ was kinda involved in it so $I$ would read it, and the information that $I$ received. I really had no
opinion, you know, regarding whether this individual had been wrongfully convicted, or he had been convicted rightfully, or $I$ really had no opinion because $I$ hadn't been involved, to any extent, in anything.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. You were aware, generally, of the ongoing application to the Supreme Court for a review of his conviction? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I can remember that, because part of it was that Mr. Williams advised me that $I$ could be testifying in front of the Supreme Court of Canada, and if that happened I -- it would have been a very unusual circumstance.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And maybe that answers the next question $I$ had for you, and it was what your understanding was of how your work might fit into those ongoing proceedings. But you had an understanding that, obviously, this work may lead to some important information for purposes of those proceedings?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. So we'll just
look at a -- at the report page by page. I see the first page, the first subsection is titled General, and what would this section be intended to relay?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: The General section would list the items that were received, it would list where they were received, and who they were received from.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: So would it be a matter of confirming continuity?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Mostly, continuity was the purpose of the General section.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And again we see, it looks like you attended to obtaining some samples from Mr. Milgaard himself, noted in point one?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And then, as we've mentioned, you received a number of the exhibits that we've been discussing in point two. I'll move to the next page. The next subheading is Purpose, at the top of page 2, and what would the intent of this section be?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: The purpose was
generally to identify the pro -- not so much the
procedures, but the intent of what the exhibits
were going to be examined for, so that the various sections of the laboratory report, we're trying to make it as complete as possible, so that it could be submitted to court if required, and not have to have the forensic scientist present, and that's why you would have what you were examining the exhibits for, as to each purpose.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And I'm going to read through each of these. Perhaps we'll pause for just a second.

## (Tape paused)

(Tape resumed)
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. We paused there briefly just to change the tape.

And we're dealing with the section titled Purpose, and under number 1, I'm going to read some of this to you, Patricia. Number 1:

$$
\text { "To examine exhibits } 6 \text {...", }
$$

which we know are the panties:

$$
\text { ". . . } 7 \text {. . ." }
$$

the girdle:

$$
\text { "... } 13 \text {..." }
$$

the vials:
"... and 35 ...",
the toque:
"... for stains or residue suitable for DNA typing analysis."

And what would that mean, what would that sentence mean?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Basically, I was looking at those items, in particular, for semen or possibly blood that could be used for samples for typing for DNA. They were not necessarily samples of blood that would be suitable for control purposes or standard sample purposes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And then number 2 states:
"To examine exhibits 8 ...", which we know is the half slip:

$$
\text { "... } 9 \text {..." }
$$

the brassiere:
"... 10 ...",
the dress:
"... and 15 ...",
which was the envelope with scalp hair:
"... for stains or samples suitable for
known standard purposes as sources of
'Gail Miller'"
And, similarly, what would that entry mean? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Basically, those items would be looked at to see if there was a bloodstain that would be, a pure bloodstain that would be suitable, then, for using as a standard sample for Gail Miller. Because there was not a -- it was not possible, at that time, to obtain a liquid blood sample from the deceased, and there was certainly not anything that could be done at that time that would have been able to obtain from the body.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And, again, would this tie back, then, to what Dr. Emmerson perhaps was communicating with Eugene Williams about it in terms of requiring a control sample?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, for comparison purposes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And number 3:
"To examine exhibit 41 to determine
suitability for DNA typing analysis."
And I think that's fairly straightforward?
MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: That's the liquid
blood sample from Wilson, I think.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Okay. And then
in terms of the next three sections, Methods, Results, and Conclusions, what would the purpose of these sections be in this report?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Methods generally was to provide a general description of the methods that would be used, and the Results would be the fact as to what the test results actually were without providing any particular comments regarding those particular results, and the Conclusions would be the area of the report that the discussion of what -- the identification of that stain, or for comparison purposes, whether in a general -- in another report, other than this type of report, it would be -- excuse me -it would provide the areas of where, for example, stains had been -- bloodstains would be identified and then compared to the known standard sample and, therefore, could have come from or could not come from a particular individual.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And we'll cover this in a little bit more detail, but $I$ see under Methods that it speaks of a visual examination for stains, and could you describe for us very generally speaking -- and $I$ think we'll get into
some more detail -- but what would have been your methods with respect to carrying out the purposes 1, 2 and 3 as we've mentioned?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: With -- my visual examination of all stains is, or of all exhibits, is a normal practice, and in the -- in this instance $I$ would be, in order to look for bloodstains, I would be looking at an exhibit for blood that would be -- appear to have the reddish-brown colour of blood, that would be concentrated enough that could be used as a standard sample. I would also be looking at various items for semen stains that -- or I shouldn't say for the semen stains themselves -but for stains that could be semen stains, and that -- then conducting preliminary or presumptive testing on those stains to determine whether or not they actually could be blood or semen. And $I$ would use, for blood I would use visual techniques, that is actual direct looking at the item, and conducting a presumptive test for the presence of blood on the stain. Because there are some non-biological stains that can have the appearance of blood, for example some rust stains or something.

As well, for semen, you're looking at, on a visual aspect, you're looking for kind of whitish/yellowish stains ...
(Tape paused)
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: She said
"presumptive" test and the text said "perceptive" test. Line 22, just, is it possible to scroll it back to line 22?

MR. HODSON: I'm not sure we can do that. COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes. It's perhaps of some significance, so we should just note the fact that the text appears to be wrong, she said "presumptive". We can go ahead now, thank you.
(Tape resumed)
MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: (Continued) Because there are some non-biological stains that can have the appearance of blood, for example some rust stains or something.

As well, for semen, you are looking at it as a visual aspect, you are looking for a kind of whitish/yellowish stains. You are also using your fingers, looking for a tactile, touching of all the areas that you would be looking for to find any areas that would be stiff. Seminal stains can make a fabric feel
stiffer than, for whatever reason that it's used, and that would be one way. It was also common at the time to use UV, what was called the Woods light or black light for $U V$, however, over the years we came to not rely on UV testing as being the most suitable for a lot of tests because of the fact that there was so many stains that were non-biological, and plus some biological stains, particularly urine, that would fluoresce in the same manner as the semen stain would.

And these were the general methods that, for my purposes, based on the fact that these were very old samples, I felt that more than likely if anything was there it was probably degraded and $U V$ testing would be of limited value. And at that particular point in time, in the laboratory, the area that had been used for UV examinations had been renovated and the UV light was no longer in use.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. I'm going to move down to the Conclusions section, and would $I$ be correct in reading this, that the Conclusions would follow from the Purpose section?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And I'm going to
read these to you beginning with number 1:
"A single stain (approx. 4-5 mm
diameter) was found on exhibit 6,
(panties). This stain appears to be an uncontaminated semen stain. This stain
appears to be of sufficient quantity
that a PCR based DNA typing technology
could be attempted. No opinion
regarding the quality of the stain can
be given due to the age of the stain and
unknown storage conditions."

And would $I$ be incorrect in reading this
conclusion as tying into purpose number 1 above?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And you described
this at the Larry Fisher proceeding, but in terms of identifying this stain, do you recall what steps you had taken? Can you take us through that, in terms of the identification of this single stain that is mentioned?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: After my visual
examinations $I$ found a very, very small stain, which $I$ measured as being 4 to 5 mm in diameter, and after finding that stain $I$ then conducted what is called a fast blue test. That is a test
for the presence of acid phosphatase. Acid phosphatase is an enzyme that is found in extremely high quantities in semen, and the -this, generally, is indicative of a semen stain. If you get a positive test, it is generally indicative of a semen stain. After that, the normal method would be to remove some of the stain and to examine it microscopically, or -and then subsequently do blood group typing or secretor testing on it, if it was sufficient to do that, or -- but because the stain was so small that it was felt that the entire stain should be left for DNA testing, and, therefore, no subsequent testing was done on it other than the fact that identified it as being a positive presumptive test on it.

I examined the panties in, using the fast blue test, in a variety of the other areas. And $I$ guess, at this point in time, I should also say that being aware of what -- the fact that semen stains had not, other than in the crotch area of the panties which was non-existent, basically, at the time that $I$ examined them --

MR. JORDAN HARDY: It had been removed?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: It had been removed, I know that some of that sample would have been removed by Mr. Bruce Paynter when he did his examinations, and perhaps he, because of the fact that he did not identify any other semen stains present, perhaps in the back of my mind subconsciously it may have influenced how I looked at some of these exhibits.

I do know that $I$ did do
testing of many areas, and also when $I$ conducted the test, and basically using small pieces of filter paper approximately 1 1/2 by 2 inches long -- $1 / 2$ inches wide, 2 inches long, which would be moistened with distilled water, pressing them against the suspected areas, and then adding the fast blue reagent to it. And, once I'd done that, then you would look for an immediate turning of that stain, It would become a very purple stain, a very intense purple stain. When it didn't come up quickly, I would put it aside, and $I$ would leave it. Once those stains were left -- pardon me -- once those papers were left in line over a period of time, for example within, you know, within 15 or 20 minutes, there would be some, purple staining developing, but
generally that would not be of concern because you're looking for this very intense visual type of testing result.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And I assume that you received that intense result in relation to this one small stain?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I did.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. So if I've got -and I'll just summarize, if $I$ have got it down correctly you would have, in terms of the panties you would have visually looked at the panties for staining, you would have tactilely examined the panties, --

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: -- you would have done a random sampling of the panties -- but I should step back.

Was it that you had
identified one area in this area particularly, in particular visually, that caused you to conduct the acid phosphatase test in respect to that one area.

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it was.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And it reacted positively, but you had also done the random
sampling that you've mentioned in various areas of the panties material?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I did.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Number 2:
"Bloodstains present on exhibits 9 ... and 10 ... are of sufficient quantity suitable to be used as know samples for 'Gail Miller'. No opinion regarding the quality of the stains can be given due to the age of the stains and unknown storage conditions."

And would $I$ be correct that that conclusion follows from Purpose number 2 above?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. But I think hat we confirmed this, or it should be obvious from number 1, obviously there were no other areas that you suspected, on the panties, of having semen present?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No. From the random testing that $I$ did, plus from my visual and tactile screening the item, I really didn't feel that there was any other present.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Turning to the next page, number 3, conclusion number 3:
"Bloodstains present on exhibit
35(toque) are of sufficient quantity
that a forensic DNA analysis could be
attempted. No opinion regarding the
quality of the stains can be given due
to the age of the stains and unknown
storage conditions."
And would $I$ be correct that that follows from
purpose number 1 on the pervious page?
MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Conclusion number
4 :
"Because it is not possible to determine
the source of the residue in exhibit
13(vial), no opinion can be given as to
suitability of the sample for forensic
DNA analysis."
And, again, would that follow from purpose number
1 --
MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: -- on the previous page?
Conclusion number 5:
"There are sufficient hair roots in
exhibit 15 that a forensic DNA analysis
could be attempted."

And would $I$ be correct that that would follow from purpose number 2 on the previous page?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Conclusion number 6:
"No potential semen stains were identified on exhibit 7 ...",
the:
"(girdle)."
And would $I$ be correct that that followed from purpose number 1 on the previous page?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And would you have conducted a similar testing approach, with respect to the girdle, that you have just explained in terms of the panties? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I did. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Would that have included, then, the visual/tactile methods? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Would it have also included the random sampling that you've mentioned?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Random sampling of various areas using the fast blue -MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay.

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: -- test for the presence of acid phosphatase.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Conclusion number 7:
"The blood in exhibit $41($ Wilson) is considered unsuitable for analysis." I believe that would follow from purpose number 3 on the previous page; --

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: -- would that be correct?
Okay. Then the next section of the report is the Remarks section that you previously referenced and $I$ believe, to summarize, it sets out various DNA testing options based on your findings in relation to an examination of the exhibits. Would the information with respect to these DNA options have been drafted by yourself, or did you include this information?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I included the information, and -- but the actual comments themselves were probably drafted by myself and Mr. Gaudette.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Did you have DNA expertise, so to speak, DNA testing expertise at this time?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No, I didn't.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And who would you have been relying upon then -- and $I$ shouldn't say "relying upon" -- but who, in effect, would have had that expertise in terms of offering these options forward?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Basically, at that particular time, Mr. Gaudette was in charge of the Molecular Genetics Section, as it was called, and a Mr. Ron Fourney was another individual in the laboratory who actually did some DNA, was conducting testing using -- for DNA samples using the RFLP method, and also responsible for introducing various other scientists to that particular method.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: So would I be correct then, Dr. Fourney, would he be the individual, then, with the expertise, so to speak?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: With the actual hands-on expertise.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And I'm not going to read all the remarks. I see on the last page, number 2, it states, 'based on the suspect stains and the available technologies AMP FLP technology, as done by Roche Diagnostics, is
recommended as the best option.'

And do you recall that being
the recommendation at that time?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: That was the
recommendation based on the information that $I$ - that Mr. Gaudette felt would be the most appropriate --

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay.

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: -- at that time.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Now was Dr. Fourney or Mr. Gaudette present during your examination of the exhibits?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And was anyone else present or did anyone else assist you?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No -- excuse me -there was no one that actually was present, and no one assisted me in this particular matter.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. So I'd like to talk with you a little bit about the dress now, Ms. Alain, and this follows in large part from your testimony at the Larry Fisher preliminary hearing, and would $I$ be correct in summarizing -and we'll look at the passage in a moment -- that you confirmed, during your testimony at the Larry

Fisher preliminary hearing, that you examined the dress for semen?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I did.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay, and maybe let's just refer to that briefly, you won't have the document but I'll -- it's a short section that I will read to you. And just for reference sake, the document is 287452, and again -- oh, you do, I see you do have a copy there? I can read it to you, though, whatever you prefer. Again, beginning at page 17, at the bottom of the page beginning at question 84, and $I$ am going to read this:
"Q All right."
And this is an examination by Mr. Sinclair, I should have mentioned, of the Crown beginning at 84:
"Q All right. Did you conduct an examination of the dress that you identified this morning?

A Yes, I did.
Q And can you describe that process, please?

A Again, $I$ used exactly the same process. I examined it visually and
tactileley with my fingers, and then
conducted again probably close to a
dozen areas that $I$ had tested, using
the acid fast blue test.
Q Again, this is filter paper that you're
talking about?
A Yes, it is.
Q And what size of filter paper are you
using then to --
A Again, filter paper was the same size,
approximately one inch by about two
and a half inches.
Q All right. And these -- the filter
paper test, if $I$ can call it that, you
say that you would have applied that on
a random basis to the dress?
A Yes.
Q Do you have any notion where you
randomly selected, or was it on the
outside, the inside, or all over?
A I covered both the front and back,
inside.
Q Yeah.
A That is, the -- that part of the
garment that would be worn closest to
the body, and then on the outside as well, looking for areas that $I$
would -- that $I$ would suspect to be seminal fluid. Again, either visually, by the appearance, or by feeling the item.

Q And did you find any areas that you suspected were seminal staining?

A No, I did not. That's why I did a random testing, using the acid fast blue test.

Q Okay. Now, in that connection and if I understand your testimony correctly, you did not examine all of the area of the panties or all of the area of the dress with the filter paper and the acid phosphatase?

A I did not cover the entire item using the acid fast blue test.

Q All right. You did look at the entire item though?

A Yes, I did.
Q Okay. At the time that you looked at the dress was there any other apparent staining on it that you could observe?

A Most of the items that $I$ examined or the clothing I examined there were significant blood stains, as well as stains that appeared to be blood that had been diluted; that is, perhaps a concentrated stain, the item may have been wet and there was what $I$ would describe as being diluted blood stains on some of the items -- on the items as well."

Does that passage $I$ just read to you fit with your recollection, Ms. Alain?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it does.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And would you adopt that testimony as accurate in terms of the steps you took with the dress?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it does.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Now, in terms of
your examination of the dress for semen stains, when $I$ read your report -- and $I^{\prime} m$ hoping you can help me through this, and perhaps $I$ am giving it too technical a reading -- but as we covered in the Purpose section, you had listed four items that $I$ understand you had examined for stains or residue suitable for DNA typing analysis, and
again you mentioned that would be for blood or for semen, and those items were the panties, the girdle, the vials, and the toque, and $I$ note that the dress isn't listed in that particular purpose but, instead, that the dress is listed in Purpose 2 for purposes of looking for a suitable for known standard purposes as sources of Gail Miller, which you had explained to us as likely being blood. Can you help me understand that, and I'll call it an apparent inconsistency -- I may be wrong and maybe you can help me though this -- but can you help me understand that apparent inconsistency between the testimony that indicates that you did examine the dress, and the Purpose, which does not seem to indicate that you examined the dress for seminal stains?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: One of the things that I should mention, basically, is that when an item is received, regardless of what the actual purpose of my examination would be, that in cases where it was, for example, a sexual assault case, that it was a normal routine or habit not to examine just, for example, the underwear, like you would generally, like, examine the outer clothing, everything that the -- that had been
submitted, all right, for -- from the victim, and as a result it was just a common practice that you did it out of habit. But in this particular instance, $I$ believe that part of it was because it was a common practice and $I$ was just so accustomed to doing that that $I$ would do that, but in this instance, also, I would be looking at the fact as to whether or not the stains that we were looking at for blood to be used as a standard sample, $I$ would also want to know whether or not those stains that $I$ saw were, in fact, pure stains, that is purely blood, and not contaminated with semen or urine or other unknown possible materials, and that's why I would have done random testing on it. And after I'd done the random testing, my -- of the particular dress, on the inside of the back of the dress, on the inside of the front at the top of the dress, that is what would be work closest to the body, and again on the outside in the front and in the back, and again mostly at this point in time probably from the waist down, because in the front the stain was very, very concentrated in what would be the bust area of the dress, and so I just wanted to make sure that $I$ didn't really
miss anything that would be of suitable forensic value and so that would be why $I$ would, you know, I would look at it, and $I$ can remember doing, you know, like all those tests.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Right. Okay. That's helpful.

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: But very randomly
and --
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And perhaps that answers it, but does that help us understand why it wouldn't have been itemized as one of the exhibits that you had looked at for residue or stains that would be suitable for DNA typing analysis?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Most likely, I
probably didn't enter it in because it wasn't one that $I$ had been asked to look at definitely, you know, definitively for semen, because $I$ was supposed to be looking at it more for blood, for blood as being -- to be used as a standard sample, and that really is probably the best way that $I$ can reflect what it was. It was something that $I$ did because it was a normal practice for me to do that type of thing, and -- but when $I$ am putting in my Purposes, that basically I would
list the exhibits that were used for that purpose and put them in.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. So these would, am I hearing that correctly, these would match more particularly the instructions you had received?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Notwithstanding that, you would have conducted the more general tests for blood and semen on the dress?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And that's --
MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: And all the other exhibits as well.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And maybe that helps us understand the Conclusion as well. When I looked at this report, if $I$ turn to conclusion 6, it states, 'specifically, no potential semen stains were identified on exhibit 7, the girdle'. And I don't see a similar entry which would confirm, for example, that no potential semen stains were identified on the dress, and perhaps you've described it already, but can you help me understand why that conclusion wouldn't be included similarly?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I can almost, perhaps, say that it should have been included there. And again, more because of the fact that $I$ really hadn't been asked to look at it, but I did look at it and it would -- I would say that I missed, or $I$ just didn't include it in there, but if it -- that's probably where it should have been included as well.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Okay. And would that go similarly with the other clothing items, then, that -- I think what you are saying for us is that you would have examined all of the clothing items for blood and semen?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. So if we could go back, and you were doing the report again, that would be something that you would include in the conclusions then?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I would.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. So, again, you've confirmed that you would have looked at all of the clothing exhibits for seminal stains?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And the methods
would have been the same as we've covered in --

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: -- your testimony today and as outlined in your -- in the transcript from your testimony at the Larry Fisher preliminary hearing?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And those methods would have been in line with the protocols of the day, so to speak?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, they were.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And I'll just refer briefly, $I$ don't think we need to turn to it, but counsel for the $R C M P$ has kindly provided us with a document, the document id is 335730, and the relevant page number is page 104 of that document. And the, you won't have this in front of you, Ms. Alain, but the date is October 16th, 1992, it refers to a Biology Section Methods Guide, which $I$ understand would have been a document used by the RCMP in terms of setting out protocols in this area, and page 104 talks about the examination of exhibits for body fluids and substances and semen in particular, and it's a short section, I'll read it to you, '2, semen, items other then swabs
(1) examine items visually for translucent to whitish stains, artificially aged or older stains will appear yellowish in colour.
(2) using fingertips lightly feel fabric items for areas of stiffness. Seminal fluid occasionally makes lightweight fabrics stiff. (3) examine items using ultraviolet light looking for white fluorescence.
(4) test each suspect stain using a fast blue test for acid phosphatase. Record the size, shape, location and results of test of all stains.
(5) if positive remove sufficient stain by excision or scraping and examine a small portion for spermatozoa using phase contrast microscopy. (i) record positive results by grading the number of heads, complete sperm, epithelia cells, yeast, and bacteria.'

And I think you've mentioned, because of the size of the stain, you didn't proceed with step five; correct? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Correct. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Now I see, I noted, one thing $I$ did note; does that sound like something you would have been familiar with at
the time, then, in terms of a standard protocol for testing?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: This is a little bit after the time of your examination but I assume, and I think that your -- counsel for the RCMP has assumed as well, that it would likely be very similar to this in terms of the protocol that would be in place in February of 1992.

I notice that it doesn't mention the random sampling process that you indicated you had proceeded with, and would that, would the random sampling approach, though, be, similarly, something that was standard, or done by standard at the time?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes. Basically it says to examine the item using the fast blue test, and that's really how we did the fast blue test, we would randomly sample various areas of the garment, and then -- and particularly the first ones that we would go to would be the stains that we had identified as a visual type of stain. Either because of its colour or its feel, those would be the first ones to be looked at, and then after that we would use it to check out
other areas, and it's just in a general pattern, to see if there was anything else that might be present.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And had you considered at time, or was it considered at the time, an approach which I've had referred to me as full mapping of a garment, in other words what $I$ understand is covering the entire garment with filter paper and conducting the acid phosphatase test?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Was that an approach that was considered in 1992, OR that you are aware of?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I was aware of the technique, certainly, but one of the -- the -- I guess in our laboratories, really, the reason why we didn't really use it was that we didn't really have the facilities for the spraying of the paper. Because you would need to have it, an area that would be large enough to put up the piece of paper, and that you could spray it, and there were -- there was obviously health concerns because some of the -- because -- because some of the chemicals that were used, you know, were not
necessarily the best if you were exposed to them on a -- you know, like in a spray format, because you would be breathing them, and so that was probably the most likely reason why.

I have to admit that, you
know, hindsight being best, that yes, if I'd have really considered it and -- that would have been the way to go would have been to have used the full mapping procedure on that, and -- and $I$ admit that that was something that may have cost -- it may have sort of crossed my mind. But again, if $I$ am sort of subconsciously aware of the fact that these other individuals had examined it, and, you know, and as a result of that what are my chances of finding something, so I would just do a random method or a mat.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And, again, it doesn't indicate as well, in the protocol that we've just read, that full mapping should be done? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No. It does now. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay.

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: As a result of this particular test the biology -- the procedures manual was rewritten so that -- and all the required areas and requirements in order to do
the testing of, or the full testing, were made available, and it was then forwarded to every laboratory, every foren -- RCMP Forensic Laboratory, at that time, were advised to conduct full mapping for the presence of semen.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. We talked about the panties and the dress. Just covering some of the other items, the half-slip, I think you've have confirmed for us, then, that you would have also checked that item for seminal stains?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Using the same methods that we've talked about?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And do you have a recollection of that or can you remember that?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I have to admit, that's one of the few exhibits that $I$-- that and the girdle in particular, I have very vague memories on those two. I remember specifically the panties and the dress and the bra, but I'm really sorry, for some reason or other those don't seem to be highlighted in my memory. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay, and we know that you checked the brassiere for blood, but you also
would have checked the brassiere for semen stains as well?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, I would do a fast blue test on the bloodstain to try and make sure that there wasn't any semen present there.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And that would be a similar process that you've been describing for us, then, for example would you do the random sampling or would you have done the random sampling on the brassiere?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Most likely, I would only have tested the actual bloodstain for that, because of the fact that we were -- I was looking for a concentrated stain that would be used suitable for a standard stain.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And sorry if I missed it, but just going back to the half-slip, you would have conducted the random sampling, though --

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: -- on the half-slip for seminal stains?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And the girdle we've mentioned, and your report refers to it as
well, $I$ think we have covered that. So I, and I just, I have to ask you the question, Ms. Alain, you have been helpful in explaining all of that to me. Is there any possibility at all that you're mistaken or confused in your recollection and that you only looked at the dress, the brassiere, and the half slip for blood and not for semen?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I have to admit that, because this was the last actual laboratory testing exhibits that $I$ conducted at the time, that for some reason or other the memory of examining the panties and the dress are so clear that $I$ could actually describe to you the table, the -- what was on the table, everything about that, and for some reason or other that's been in my memory since the time that I examined them, and I fully believe that what $I$ can remember is what $I$ did and what results $I$ obtained at that time.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And that includes an examination of the dress, as you've mentioned, for seminal stains?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And I take it you
would have -- and I think you confirmed at the Larry Fisher preliminary hearing -- you would have had notes in relation to your work, and we don't need to cover that, $I$ think it was confirmed that by that time those notes were no longer available; is that right?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it is.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And that's fully set out in document 075178, and again, $I$ don't think we need to turn to that. I don't know if you can
recall this, I'll ask the question, but would you have reported -- or provided a more complete report to anyone at the time of your work? And when $I$ say "more complete", I don't know if that's the right word, but in terms of you explaining to me that you perhaps now wish you would have included in the conclusion an indication that no seminal stains had been located on the dress or some of these other items; would that be information that you had provided to anybody at the time in some other form, or can you recall?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No, not likely.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay.

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Well, perhaps what I should say is 'not likely', but perhaps in a verbal question/answer session with other individuals that might have been involved, there is a possibility they might have asked me did I look at these things, and is what did look at doing, but $I$ do not remember specifically providing that information to any individual, particularly in a written form, or anything other than verbal comments that may have been made.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And we'll see as we, and we're going to move forward in time through a few other occurrences that you weren't directly involved in, but they source back to your work in terms of indicating that the key stain for study is that small semen stain on the panties that you had located, and $I$ don't know if you can recall for us Ms. Alain, or not, but whether any of these individuals, whether it be Dr. Fourney or anyone else, had ever come back to you and said "Ms. Alain, did you check all of these exhibits for seminal stains?", and if you recall any sort of conversation of that nature? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No, I don't remember. MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. So move forward.

Shortly following your report we come to another document, which is 231497, and you'll see it's a letter directed to yourself from Mr. Williams, Mr. Gaudette is copied on it, dated February 24th, 1992, and in the first paragraph Mr. Williams states:
"Thank you for your recent letter which outlined the results of your examination of the trial exhibits that were obtained from the Supreme Court of Canada, concerning the reference to the Court of the application by David Milgaard for the mercy of the Crown."

And I noticed he mentions:
"Thank you for your recent
letter ...";
do you recall providing Mr. Williams a letter than outlined your results?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Umm, I -- he would --
there was not a particular letter. Basically what he was referring to as a letter would be the laboratory report. MR. JORDAN HARDY: That we just reviewed? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Then, in the third paragraph, Mr. Williams states:
"In view of your remarks concerning the suspect stain on Exhibit 6, and your analysis of the suitability of available DNA techniques on pages 3, and 4, of your letter, the Department of Justice will follow the recommendation that Roche Diagnostics be approached to perform AMPFLP DNA analysis on the sample from Mr. Milgaard and the Court exhibits. Accordingly, I will correspond with Mr. Barry Gaudette, Chief Scientist, DNA Laboratory, Central

Forensic Laboratory to finalize
arrangements to secure the testing of the sample and the exhibits."

And does this correspondence and plan of action match with your recollection of what happened following your work?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it does.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. One other document for reference sake, 010283. This shortly follows, in fact the day after the document that we just referred to, dated February

25, 1992, a letter to Mr. Williams from Mr. Gaudette. You'll see, in the first paragraph, he states:
"This letter is further to the laboratory report of February 17 from Patricia Alain, in which we recommended that AmpFLP technology as conducted by Roche Diagnostics was the best remaining option for immediate forensic DNA analysis of exhibits in the David Milgaard case."

Mr. Gaudette goes on, and $I$ won't read it, to discuss Roche Diagnostics' position on performing AmpFLP testing and, as a result of Roche Diagnostics' position, a list of options for Mr. Williams to consider, at least from Mr. Gaudette's perspective. And if we move to the next page, at the top of the page, it indicates: "Since all of these options have serious drawbacks, the recommendation of the $R C M P$ is that none of them should be taken at this time. Rather, analysis of these exhibits should be delayed until such time as one or more of the recommended $P C R$
technology options (Short Tandem
Repeats, AmpFLPs, or Mitochondrial DNA analysis) is ready for casework application."

Do you recall this recommendation following from your work on the exhibits, Ms. Alain?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: I don't recall the
actual making of that recommendation, but $I$ was aware of the fact that Mr. Gaudette had made that recommendation.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: You would not have then, necessarily, been involved directly in these types of considerations?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No. I basically was informed by Mr. Gaudette, more or less on a "by the way, I did this", type of a thing.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And just to continue forward -- and we're almost through -would $I$ be correct that your next direct involvement in this matter would have been the release of the exhibits to be transported to North Carolina for DNA testing at Roche Diagnostics?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And I think the return
of those exhibits to you afterwards?
MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And I'm not going to
turn to the document, but for reference sake,
there is a document outlining that matter at
245562 .
I'll turn next to 334822.
And you'll see it's a memo dated September 9th,
1992 from the -- or to the file from Mr.
Williams, Subject: Milgaard Exhibits, Pat Alain,
and the first paragraph indicates:
"Today Pat Alain called to
find out whether she should keep the
court exhibits from the Milgaard
trial.",
indicates that you had retained those exhibits,
and notes that you have assumed new
responsibilities and are no longer connected
directly to the day-to-day operations of the lab.
Mr. Williams states:
"After consulting with Mr.
Fainstein, $I$ asked her to retain
possession of all exhibits until further
notice."
So you had, again, been left with the exhibits at
this point. Where were you keeping the exhibits? MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: The exhibits, at that time, were being kept in a freezer, and inside that freezer there were -- it would be like a locker type of situation where there would be lockers up and down and various sizes of lockers, and $I$ had a large locker in the very bottom corner on your right-hand side when you walked into it, and that's where $I$ kept all of the exhibits, and the materials that they came in, the packaging and everything else was also in that same locker.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. I'm going to move ahead a few years, Ms. Alain, and turn to the next document which is 231 -- oh, let's pause for a moment.
(Tape paused)
(Tape resumed)

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. As I mentioned, we'll move ahead a few years now, Ms. Alain, and turn next to document 231473. You'll see it's a letter dated March 1st, 1995 to Mr. Ron Fainstein from yourself, and just the first paragraph states:

```
                                    "As requested from our
```

meeting on 95 February 28 at the Central Forensic Laboratory ... I am providing a letter covering all actions and memories of my attendance at the Supreme Court of Canada Building when $I$ received exhibits related to this matter."

And do you recall the context of this
correspondence or your understanding in terms of why this information was required from you at the time?
(Tape paused)
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: And if we could just back up to the 231473 discussion, for -- to resume there, please. 15 minutes.
(Adjourned at 10:34 a.m.)
(Reconvened at 10:54 a.m.)
(Tape resumed)
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. As I mentioned, we'll move ahead a few years now, Ms. Alain, and turn next to document 231473. You'll see it's a letter dated March 1st, 1995 to Mr. Ron Fainstein from yourself, and just the first paragraph states:
"As requested from our meeting on 95 February 28 at the Central

Forensic Laboratory ... I am providing a letter covering all actions and memories of my attendance at the Supreme Court of Canada Building when $I$ received exhibits related to this matter."

And do you recall the context of this
correspondence or your understanding in terms of why this information was required from you at the time?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: To be honest, I don't remember this particular document.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay.
MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: But I'm sure that there must have been a request for some reasons to why -- why this document was written.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay, no, that's fine. And we can speak with Mr. Fainstein about that as well, assuming that it was just to outline matters relating to continuity of exhibits and such, that's fine if you don't have a direct recollection of it.
I'll turn you next to 032751.

And it is a letter again to Mr. Fainstein from Dr. Fourney dated March 16, 1995. You will see in the first paragraph, just for context, Dr.

Fourney states:
"After reviewing the current
status of the remaining Miller case exhibits and in regard to the letter of Feb. 21, 1995 from Mr. Milgaard's lawyers ... my suggestions concerning further DNA testing are noted below." And if we just move down to the third paragraph, in the first sentence of that paragraph:
"After Mrs. Pat Alain of the RCMP CFL examined the exhibits in 1992, it was apparent that one semen stain of approximately $1 \mathrm{~cm} x 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ was still present on Gail Miller's panties." And I think perhaps you've confirmed this for us, but do you recall having any direct involvement in these DNA considerations during the mid-1990's?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Turn next to 230919. You'll see it's a letter directed to Inspector Orem from Katherine Bowen dated September 3rd, 1997, just in the first sentence of the letter:
"The following exhibits were
received personally from $C / M P$. Alain at the Central Forensic Laboratory ...", then a number of the exhibits are mentioned, and again it appears you had maintained possession of these items at least until this time, and at this point they were handed over to Katherine Bowen or Kathy Bowen; and does that fit with your recollection?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it does.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And $I$ assume that's, perhaps, the last time that you would have been in possession of the exhibits?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Um, I believe there were still some packaging materials that I returned, again $I$ gave to Mrs. Bowen on a subsequent date, but they were basically just packaging materials.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Just one question I had thought of going back to our discussion relating to the panties. You had confirmed that a portion of the crotch of the panties was missing when you had received the panties?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: The entire crotch area was missing from the front seam to the back seam, there was no fabric in between other than the
elastic along the sides.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And did you receive the cut-out portion?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No, I did not.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: And that wasn't available, then, for your analysis, obviously?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Not being fully aware of the amount of stain that Mr. Paynter may have been -- may have removed at that time. He would not have retained the stained portion that he had removed, that because the practice in 1969 was that it would be discarded at the end of the testing. However, by the mid-80's we were retaining the stains, and would have returned the portions back with the exhibit when the exhibits were returned to the initial submitter.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And it's been confirmed for us through other witnesses that Dr. Ferris, of course, also had dealings with the panties in the later 1980's, and I believe had removed the portion of the crotch, and I'm not certain exactly what the evidence was, but in any event, it was no longer available when someone had gone back to Dr. Ferris to ask for it.
In -- at the time you were
dealing with this in the early 1990 's, would that have been -- what would have been the protocol in terms of keeping a portion of material that had been excised or cut away from the exhibit?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: At that point in time any forensic scientist that examined an item and removed a portion of it, it was then stapled to a 3 x 5 index card, it would be written on what this -- what item it was from, and after the forensic tests had been conducted it would be returned to the submitter with the other exhibit material.

Most likely what we were doing as a practice at that point in time, the index card would be placed in the bag with the original item, so that it was returned to the original submitter.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And I think you've mentioned for us, that was the approach taken even extending back in time to the mid-1980's, or beginning thereabouts?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Prior to, actually up -- that would be about the mid-1980's we were using that approach. Prior to that, around the mid-70's, we would be retaining, each scientist
would retain the samples that they had collected, and then we decided that it would be appropriate to return them with the exhibits.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And, again, we've confirmed you've had an opportunity to review the complete transcript of your testimony at the preliminary hearing?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes I have.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And if I didn't cover it earlier, do you adopt that complete transcript as accurate, or can you confirm the accuracy of that information for us?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: To the best of my recollection, at that particular time, that was as accurate as $I$ could remember.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. And just one last question arising from document 231387. If we turn to page 3 of that document $I$ see your name is mentioned, and it appears that you had done some further work with respect to at least the coat and the dress, and it mentions in the first line of this document that is a fax to Inspector Orem:
"Black coat ... and uniform
dress ... were examined by K.L. Bowen
and myself to determine button sizes and placement."

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Does that fit with your recollection?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: Yes, it does.
MR. JORDAN HARDY: And there would be no chance that your examination of the dress on this occasion is being confused with your examination of the dress from an earlier point in time?

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: No.

MR. JORDAN HARDY: Okay. Thank you. I am just going to pause the tape for a moment to check my notes, Ms. Alain, to make sure I've covered everything.
(Tape paused)
(Tape resumed)
MR. JORDAN HARDY: To conclude, I have no further questions for you, Ms. Alain, and I want to thank you for attending today from Ottawa and providing video tape testimony. Thank you.

MS. PATRICIA ALAIN: You're welcome.
(Tape ends)
MR. HODSON: Mr. Commissioner, I can indicate that you noted one error in the Central Booking - Call Irene @ 1-800-667-6777 or go to www.compucourt.tv
transcript and there are a few others. That transcript was put together just to facilitate the showing of the video today, it was done on fairly short notice because we didn't think we would be playing it. The court reporters will have the official transcript of the tape that will be the record.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you very much.

MR. HODSON: And just a couple of matters to finish up here by way of reports, and just to identify a couple of documents for the benefit of counsel and on the public record.

There is a DNA chronology, if

I can call up 340380, and there is a document that we prepared that provides a chronology of those events relating to the DNA issues and a doc. ID. I will not be going through it, but it will be posted on our web site and is available for the parties, and as well $I$ think the DNA documents are sorted in a folder on CaseVault for the benefit of the parties.

If we can just go through a couple of other documents relating to the DNA issue and some reports from some scientists.

The first one is 231438, and if we can go to page 442, this is the 1997, July 18th report of doctor -- or, pardon me, of Mr. Barber who was the lab scientist in England who did the tests confirming that DNA profiles were obtained from semen stains cut from Gail Miller's panties and dress and then goes on at the bottom to give the results that:
"The semen $I$ found on the panties and dress cannot have originated from David Milgaard."

And that:
"Larry Fisher cannot be excluded as a source of the semen on the panties and the dress."

And then if we can go ahead to 231 -- pardon me, 231077, and this is Mr. Barber's September 18th, 1997 report, and if we can go to page 231081, and again $I$ think we've been through parts of these reports before, but this is the report where he talks about his laboratory examination of the panties, the dress and the coat and identifies in there a process where they were chemically screened to detect a biochemical constituent of semen called acid phosphatase and described how
he mapped the entire dress as well as the underpants, screened for that process, and then if we can go to page 085 and it talks about the findings that he found on the panties and the dress and the semen.

If we can then go to, and again I'll just identify this document for the record, 340176 , this is our letter to Mr. Barber, Mr. Barber resides in England, and we sent him a letter asking him to confirm some information with respect to DNA and I'll briefly go through that. We chose not to have Mr. Barber attend in person. This report, or his report, which I'll go through, was circulated to all parties and no parties identified that they had questions for him, but essentially we asked him to confirm his two reports from 1997 and then posed the question:
"If you had been asked to conduct DNA testing on the exhibits and samples in this case in 1988 or later in 1992 , please describe for us what you would have done, what testing technique(s) would have been applied ... and your opinion on the likelihood of effective
DNA results in 1988 and 1992
respectively?"
And the significance of those dates,
Mr. Commissioner, 1988 is when Dr. Ferris
attempted some DNA testing and 1992 is when
Federal Justice officials at the lab in North
Carolina did some DNA testing, and then go, we
went on to ask him to provide his opinion that
based on his:
"...examination of the exhibits in 1997,
what is your opinion as to the earliest
point in time when effective DNA testing
could have been conducted on the
exhibits, and by what methods and
procedures?"
And if $I$ can call up 340172 , and this is
Mr. Barber's September 26,2006 letter to the
Commission and he confirms that his earlier
reports are accurate and then he answered this
question essentially as to:
"If you had been asked to conduct DNA
testing ... in 1988 or later in 1992 ...
what would you have done..."
And what would be the likelihood, and if we can
go to the next page, $I$ will go through this, he
says:
"In 1988 we had available one DNA analysis technique, an RFLP based method known as multilocus profiling. It was powerful in terms of its ability to discriminate between individuals but, like all RFLP techniques, MLP required a significantly greater quantity of sample in order for a profile to be produced relative to the later $P C R$ based technology. The quantity of semen $I$ identified both on Gail Miller's panties and dress was considerable and had this sample been fresh and in good condition there would have been a very good chance that MLP results would have been successfully obtained. However due to the time that had elapsed between 1969 when the semen was deposited and 1988 I cannot say one way or the other if DNA degradation within these samples would have progressed to a point in 1988 that precluded the production of an MLP profile or part of an MLP profile. RFLP technology was more susceptible to
degradation effects when compared with PCR technology partly because it targets much longer sections of the DNA molecule and partly because of the difference in the amount of sample required to produce a result. The result that $I$ obtained in July 1997 using the PCR based STR technology was a complete result but did reveal that a significant amount of the DNA present within the semen staining had degraded.

In 1992 the methods we had available within our organization for casework samples were an RFLP method known a single locus profiling and an PCR based method known as DQ-alpha. As with MLP I am unable to see whether or not the level of DNA degradation reached in 1992 within the semen staining would have precluded the production of an SLP profile. The issues with SLP are similar to those described for MLP, DQ-alpha however is a more sensitive PCR based method and targets much shorter sections of the DNA molecule. DQ-alpha
has a similar success rate as the STR test carried out in 1997 and so had DQ-alpha tests been performed in 1992 on the same material that produced STR results in 1997 then it is likely that DQ-alpha results would have been obtained successfully both from the panties and dress."

And scroll down, the next question dealt, asked him to describe the methods that Mr. Barber used, that he would have used:

$$
\text { "...in } 1988 \text { and } 1992 \text { to identify }
$$ potentially relevant staining on the physical exhibits?"

In other words, what he would have done in checking for semen on the panties, dress and coat, and he refers to the report of September 18th and he says:
"It is standard practice within my organisation to conduct this process..."

And that's the acid phosphatase test,
"...over the whole surface of the items
being tested. This method of semen
location is the most sensitive and
reliable method available. This
procedure has been standard practice within the $F S S$ for many years and was well established prior to 1988."

And then on the next page, the question:
"Given your examination of the exhibits in 1997 , what is your opinion as to the earliest point in time when effective DNA testing could have been conducted on the exhibits, and by what methods and procedures?"

Mr. Barber responds:
"The earliest DNA method available to us within the FSS that had a good chance of success, given our actual results in 1997, is DQ-alpha and this was introduced in early 1992. DQ-alpha is considerably less discriminating than either the MLP, SLP or STR methods but it is quite likely that it would have produced results suitable to show that the semen $I$ found on the dress and panties was not David Milgaard's. Whilst it is quite likely that the $D Q-a l p h a t e s t ~ w o u l d ~ h a v e ~ u n e q u i v o c a l l y ~$ eliminated David Milgaard as the source
of the semen, its power to provide positive evidence of association with Larry Fisher was limited. The chance that $D Q-a l p h a$ would produce a coincidental match between unrelated samples is between 1 in 5 and 1 in 50."

And:
"I am unable with any confidence to assess the likelihood that either of the RFLP methods ... would have produced either part of a profile or a complete profile."

And then just down to the next paragraph:
"Within the FSS the earliest example of a highly discriminating $P C R$ based method was an STR method known as Quad that became available for use in casework in August of 1994. Had the Quad test been conducted in 1994 it is very likely that results would have been obtained from both the semen on the panties and on the dress. Typically, with Quad, the chance of a coincidental match is around 1 in 10,000, hence, it was powerful enough to produce very strong evidence of
association."

So those are the reports, Mr. Commissioner, on the DNA.

There's one other report that
I want to simply identify for the record and that is 339765 . Actually, sorry, 339762 first, and this relates to the evidence of Anne-Elizabeth Charland who was an RCMP, or $I$ think is an RCMP scientist. She examined the garments, the Gail Miller garments in 1997 as part of the Larry Fisher prosecution. She did some DNA work I believe as well as looked at Gail Miller's glove, and that glove had not been examined by anybody prior to 1997, and identified a substance on the glove and did some testing. We followed up through counsel for the RCMP, Mr. Gibson, and posed a number of questions to Ms. Charland about the work she did, and if we can go to 339765 , this is her response, and I'll only go through parts of it.

Go to the next page. And the
question here relates to the glove that was reviewed in 1997 and the questions that we posed to Ms. Charland was to try and identify whether testing could have been done on this glove back
in 1969 relating to the substance that was found on the glove, and she responds under part (a) that:
"The material found on the glove was not identified as blood. As the stain in question was limited in both quality and quantity, only the presumptive test was performed. This test indicates the presence of blood but it is not considered a positive test as false positive results may be obtained under certain conditions."

And then goes on to talk about the DNA and says: "...I cannot positively identify what biological material yielded the DNA that produced the typing profile for $\mathrm{P} 28 . \mathrm{C}$ That's the glove.
"However, the possibility that blood did in fact contribute DNA to the sample removed from the glove cannot be excluded."

And then onto the next page -- actually, go to page 768 , the question was asked that assuming that the substance on the glove was blood, could it have been typed in 1969 using the ABO system,
and :
"Assuming the stain was typed as "A", could scientists in 1969 have gone further and sub-typed or sub-grouped this stain in such a way that one could eliminate other type "A" persons as donors based upon the fact that their blood showed a different sub-type or sub-grouping?"

And the answer provided that:
"Item P28 ... was not submitted to the RCMP forensic laboratories for analysis until November 1997."

And it wasn't examined.
"The area of staining identified on the glove was limited in quantity and quality. The condition of the sample would therefore have seriously hampered any typing efforts using the techniques in use at the time (ABO typing). It is my opinion that based on the poor quality of the sample as well as the limitations of the ABO typing techniques available at the time, the material found on the glove would not have been
typed had it been found in 1969. Other typing techniques such as enzyme typing did not become routine in the RCMP forensic laboratories until the mid to late 1970 's but considering the quality of the sample, it is doubtful that any testing would have been attempted."

And then goes on to talk about the sub-grouping techniques and indicates that -- yeah, sub-grouping techniques:
"...were not in use in the RCMP forensic laboratories at the time and were never implemented into routine casework to process forensic samples. Please keep in mind that clinical laboratories usually work with liquid blood samples where the quantity and quality are not in issue. As such, techniques that are routinely used in a medical laboratory setting may not be appropriate for forensic use."

Again, the balance of the report,

Mr. Commissioner, $I$ don't propose to go through, but that is filed. There may be one area of further follow-up with Ms. Charland with a
question or two from counsel for one of the parties that I'll follow up with Mr. Gibson and if anything further comes of that I'll certainly file it.

One final matter to address, on June 28th, Mr. Commissioner, you advised the parties that any party who wished to have additional witnesses called that I did not call could apply to you in writing to have witnesses called. You provided a deadline of July 15th. On July 14 th the Commission did receive a request from counsel for Mr. Caldwell, Mr. Karst, Mr. Kujawa and the Saskatoon Police Service to have the Commission call five individuals. They were Jim McCloskey, Dan Lett, David Roberts, Cam Fuller and Timothy Appleby. I had had earlier contact with counsel for Mr. Lett and Mr. Roberts and had tried to make arrangements with Mr. McCloskey. Counsel for Mr. Lett and Mr. Roberts advised me that their clients objected to being called as witnesses on the basis that they did not believe the proposed evidence of their clients was relevant to the Commission's Terms of Reference and as well they had other constitutional objections to the compellability
of their evidence.
application, the position on behalf of Mr. Lett was confirmed and as well $I$ had discussions with counsel on behalf of Mr. McCloskey who advised us that it was not possible for him to attend, and as I've informed the parties today, you have ruled that the five witnesses will not be called as witnesses, so $I$ simply state that for the record.

And on one related matter to Mr. McCloskey, you will recall that when Mr. Henderson testified, there were two document issues outstanding, one $I$ think at the conclusion of his evidence through his counsel Mr. Killeen, efforts were made to have Mr. McCloskey send us the box of documents from Centurion Ministries which arrived after, or at the time Mr. Henderson's evidence was done. We were allowed to review the documents, we were not allowed to use them in any other way, we were allowed to hold them, and so I've had extensive correspondence with Mr. Killeen on that and $I$ was advised $I$ think yesterday that $I$ am now allowed to have his documents included in our database,
and so there's a box, we've reviewed them and identified a small number of documents that we do not already have. They will be scanned and put in the database for the parties, Mr. McCloskey won't be here, and as well Mr. Henderson, I think when he finished his evidence, or in the course of it indicated that he would continue to look for his documents and Mr. Killeen advises that we should not expect to get anything from Mr. Henderson on that front.

> Lastly, Dr. Butt is a
forensic pathologist that we had asked to prepare a report on a couple of issues relating to retention of exhibits and some systemic issues and we expect to receive that report sometime this month and I'll provide it to the parties. Lastly, just for the record, the submissions, counsel had been advised that oral submissions will be made on December 11th and December $12 t h$ in Saskatoon, that's a Monday and Tuesday. Written submissions are to be filed with the Commission by November 13th. I will then distribute all those submissions to the parties. It's not my intent to make those submissions public at that time, but rather, they
will become public at the time of oral
submissions. Parties will have until November $30 t h$ to file rebuttal submissions and again those will be distributed.

So that is it for me. I'm
not sure if I'm supposed to ring a bell and say we're done. I will have more formal concluding remarks in December, but if $I$ could just at this time express my thanks to the staff, all of the staff and to counsel for the last six weeks, we have got a lot accomplished by way of witnesses to get the hearing finished, so $I$ would like to express my appreciation to counsel and the staff for allowing us to proceed in the fashion we did, and I think with that, Mr. Commissioner, we're probably set to adjourn to December 11th. COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks, Mr. Hodson.

> Ladies and gentlemen, this
is, there remains much work to be done in this matter, so this is really not the time for speeches, but it will probably be the case that not everyone present will be here for oral submissions, so I don't want to let the occasion pass without publicly expressing my thanks to the
document management staff, in case they, or some of them, will not be present for the oral submissions. Without their devotion and skill this huge task could not have been completed as efficiently, or perhaps not as all, as was the case.

The reporters, of course,
deserve special mention for their unerring accuracy and patience with all of us.

I don't know if Irene and Ed

Beitel will be back, our clerk, and Ed has performed yeoman, and $I$ might say pro bono service for us all this time, and is an example to us all in that respect.

Messrs. Wilde and Esson, my
security people, have become good friends and have been unfailing in their devotion to their duty.

To the media, not all of you
have been back, I'm sure. Some of you have been here practically every day. You are to be congratulated. Anyone who covers Court proceedings, and this is quite a lot like a Court proceeding, will realize the powerful anaesthetic effect of sitting there for any length of time
listening, so congratulations for having survived the experience, and your contributions are not only essential to a public inquiry, but very much appreciated by everybody involved.

So we will have the occasion, following oral submissions on the 11 th and 12 th, to say, perhaps, a few more words of thanks to individuals and, of course, I hope that I don't forget to make the necessary acknowledgements in my report which will come out, God willing, before too long.

MR. HODSON: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: To the 11th and 12th, Irene, is it, and do we know the place and time?

MR. HODSON: Bessborough.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Bessborough Hotel? MR. HODSON: Yes. I think at 1:00 on the Monday.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: 1:00 on the 11th
at the Bessborough? Thank you.
(Adjourned at 11:25 a.m.)
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| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40529: 2,40530: 1, \\ & 40530: 16,40532: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 66 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { willing [1] - 40560:10 } \\ & \text { Wilson[2]-40477:1, } \\ & \text { 40494:24 } \\ & \text { wish [2]-40475:16, } \\ & \text { 40526:17 } \\ & \text { wished [1] - 40555:7 } \\ & \text { witness [1] - 40452:6 } \\ & \text { witnesses [7]- } \\ & \text { 40538:18, 40555:8, } \\ & \text { 40555:9, 40555:21, } \\ & \text { 40556:8, 40556:9, } \\ & \text { 40558:11 } \\ & \text { Wolch [2] - 40450:2, } \\ & \text { 40460:20 } \\ & \text { wondered [1] - } \\ & \text { 40475:21 } \\ & \text { Woods[1]-40498:3 } \\ & \text { word [1] - 40526:16 } \\ & \text { words [3] - 40521:7, } \\ & \text { 40548:15, 40560:7 } \\ & \text { worn [1] - 40510:25 } \end{aligned}$ | ```"after [3] - 40532:21, 40536:2, 40536:10 "as [3] - 40465:10, 40533:25, 40534:24 "by [1] - 40531:15 "dr [1] - 40468:19 "i[2]-40477:7, 40477:15 "in [1] - 40529:3 "more [1] - 40526:15 "since [2] - 40465:24, 40530:19 "thank [4] - 40473:4, 40475:12, 40528:7, 40528:16 "the [2] - 40476:6, 40478:3 "this [1] - 40530:4 "today [2] - 40464:10, 40532:12 "we [1] - 40469:20``` |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { writing }[1]-40555: 9 \\ & \text { written }[6]-40460: 13, \\ & 40460: 14,40460: 17, \\ & 40527: 9,40535: 15 \end{aligned}$ | " ${ }^{\text {" } 1]-40528: 17}$ |
| Written [1] - 40557:21 | - |
| ```Wrongful[1] - 40448:3 wrongfully [1] - 40490:2 wrote [3] - 40468:6, 40472:5, 40472:6``` | -[1]-40468:15 |
| Y |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { years [14]-40456:11, } \\ & 40462: 4,40467: 17 \\ & 40468: 11,40468: 12, \\ & 40482: 14,40482: 16 \\ & 40485: 3,40485: 7 \\ & 40498: 5,40533: 14 \\ & 40533: 20,40534: 19 \end{aligned}$ |  |

